

The University of Manchester



Consortium for Fundamental Physics

# Monte Carlo simulation of QCD radiation

Mike Seymour University of Manchester Giuseppe Marchesini Memorial Meeting Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics May 19th 2017

















net

he University of Manchest



























EARWIG









































































































#### Introduction: Pino, Monte Carlo and Me 1990 1988 1989 1991 2001 2002 2008 1984



The University of Mancheste





Cnet

The University of Mancheste





















(2000)

HERWIG 6













(2000)

HERWIG 6











Cnet



(2000)

**HERWIG 6** 











Herwig7: Matching and Merging

- Herwig 7.0 (December 3rd 2015)
  - Automated generation of NLO cross sections
  - Automated MC@NLO-like or POWHEG-like matching to parton shower or dipole shower





Herwig7: Matching and Merging

- Herwig 7.0 (December 3rd 2015)
  - Automated generation of NLO cross sections
  - Automated MC@NLO-like or POWHEG-like matching to parton shower or dipole shower
- Herwig 7.1 (May 19th 2017)
  - Multi-jet merging of NLO matched cross sections
  - New model for soft interactions and diffraction











#### **Motivation**

Inclusive Jet Multiplicity



Inclusive Jet Multiplicity 104  $\sigma(W + \ge N_{\text{jet}} \text{ jets}) \text{ [pb]}$ Data Hw 7.1 NLO Merged  $p_{\perp}^{\rm jet} > 20~{
m GeV}$ 10<sup>3</sup>  $10^{2}$  $10^{1}$ 1  $10^{-1}$ 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.1 1.0 0.9 MC/Data 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0 1 2 3 4 5 Njet

MCnet

Carlo net

Durham ~ 1p3~

6

#### **Motivation**

 $H_T$  (W+  $\geq$  1 jets)

Durham

Sal

 $IP^3$ 









7

### Merging

Basic Idea: Divide the phase space into ME and PS regions.

$$\mathcal{PS}_{\mu}[u(\phi_n,Q)] = \widetilde{\mathcal{PSV}}_{\mu}[\widetilde{\mathcal{PS}}_{\rho}[u(\phi_n,Q)]]$$

Overlapping phase spaces produce dead regions if not treated properly.





Durham ~~(lp3

Simple example:

- Start with two kernels with overlapping phase spaces.
- ME region defined by clustering algorithm.
- Both scales qa and qb must be above merging scale
- Assume emission in shower region from kernel Pa
- Simple veto PS would produce point A but not B





8

Merging

$$\mathcal{PS}_{\mu}[u(\phi_n, Q)] = \widetilde{\mathcal{PSV}}_{\mu}[\widetilde{\mathcal{PS}}_{\rho}[u(\phi_n, Q)]]$$

Now replace  $\widetilde{\mathcal{PS}}_{\rho}[u(\phi_n, Q)]$ with expressions from the ME calculation weighted with shower history:









$$d\sigma_n u(\phi_n, q_n) w_H^n - \int_{\rho}^{q_n} dq \sum_{\alpha} \frac{w_{C,\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} w_{C,\beta}} u(\phi_n^{\alpha}, q_n^{\alpha}) d\sigma_{n+1} w_H^{n+1}$$

$$+ d\sigma_{n+1} u(\phi_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) w_H^{n+1}$$

Unitarized LO and NLO merging now adds and subtracts the same parts. Here only if the cluster history is produced.

In order to add NLO corrections the history and the additional emissions need to be expanded to order  $\alpha_S$  in the ME and the PS region

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_n u(\phi_n, q_n) \left. \frac{\partial w_H^n}{\partial \alpha_S} \right| &- \int_{\rho}^{q_n} dq \sum_{\alpha} \frac{w_{C,\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} w_{C,\beta}} u(\phi_n^{\alpha}, q_n^{\alpha}) d\sigma_{n+1} \\ &+ d\sigma_{n+1} u(\phi_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$







For example 
$$\prod_{i} \frac{\alpha_{S}(q_{i})}{\alpha_{S}(\mu)} = 1 - \sum_{i} b_{0} \frac{\alpha_{S}(\mu)}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{q_{i}}{\mu}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{S}^{2})$$

#### Together with LO weights the expansion needs to produce the form

 $d\sigma_n^B(1+\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^2))$ 

#### but e.g.

$$d\sigma_n^B \prod_i \left( \left[ 1 - \sum_X \alpha_S w_{\partial_X}^i \right] \prod_X w_X^i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad d\sigma_n^B \prod_i \left( \left[ \prod_X w_X^i - \sum_X \alpha_S w_{\partial_X}^i \right] \right)$$

both fulfil the criterion above.

11

Can be used as uncertainty as difference tests higher orders.





#### **Sanity Checks**

- Sudakov sampling
- Subtraction plots
- Cluster mass spectra











#### Results: e+e- annihilation









#### Results: LHC Z+jets









#### Results: LHC Z+jets



![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Results: LHC Higgs+jets

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

Associated Transverse Energy in Hadronic Jet Production G. Marchesini and B.R. Webber **Phys. Rev. D38 (1988) 3419** 

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

 $E_{T}^{raw}$  (GeV)

40

60

20

2

0 L

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

Associated Transverse Energy in Hadronic Jet Production G. Marchesini and B.R. Webber **Phys. Rev. D38 (1988) 3419** 

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

 $E_{T}^{raw}$  (GeV)

40

60

20

0

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

net

The University of Manchester

+R(F(

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

Johannes Bellm

20

Durham

#### Summary: Thank you Pino!

For a remarkable partnership, with a legacy that is thriving

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_8.jpeg)