Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics (GGI)

Axions across boundaries between Particle Physics, Astrophysics, Cosmology and forefront Detection Technologies 24th May 2023



Pablo Quílez Lasanta - <u>pquilez@ucsd.edu</u>
University of California San Diego (UCSD)
Based on <u>"Multiple QCD axion"</u> 2305.15465 [hep-ph] in collaboration with B. Gavela and M. Ramos



How many QCD axions can there be?



Can the QCD axion deviate from the standard m_a-f_a relation being QCD the only source of PQ breaking?



If there are other scalar singlets in Nature, other ALPs,

What are the consequences of a general mixing with the QCD axion?

The single QCD axion line



The single QCD axion line



Adapted from AxionLimits [Ciaran O'hare, 20]

Beyond the canonical band

Summary slide from Patras 2021 Review talk: <u>"True axions beyond</u> the canonical band" P. Quilez



A)

Photophilic/photophobic axions

1. Single scalar: Playing with fermionic representations

"Preferred axion window" "Axion from monopoles"

[Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi, 16] [Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi, 18]

[Sokolov, Ringwald, 21]

2. Multiple scalars: Alignment in field space

"Clockwork axion" "KNP alignment" "Multi-higgs models"

[Farina et al, 17] [Coy, Frigerio, 17] [Kim et al, 04] [Choi et al, 14 and 16] [Kaplan et al 16] [Giudice et al 16]

[Agrawal et al 17] [Kim et al, 04] + Refs in FIPs report [2102.12143] [Di Luzio, Mescia, Nardi, 17] [Di Luzio, Giannotti, Nardi, Visinelli, 16] [Darmé, Di Luzio, Giannotti, Nardi, 20]





1. Heavy axions: extra instantons

[Rubakov, 97] [Berezhiani et al ,01] [Fukuda et al, 01] [Hsu et al, 04] [Gianotti, 05] [Hook et al, 14] [Chiang et al, 16] [Khobadize et al,] [Dimopoulos et al, 16] [Gherghetta et al, 16] [Agrawal et al, 17] [Gaillard, Gavela, Houtz, Rey PQ, 18] [Fuentes-Martin et al, 19] [Csaki et al, 19] [Gherghetta et al, 20]

2. Even lighter QCD axion

[Hook, 18] [Luzio, Gavela, PQ, Ringwald, 21] [Luzio, Gavela, PQ, Ringwald, 21]

More PQ breaking

 $\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu}_{\rm PO} = GG + \dots$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta} \right) G\widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \,,$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \,,$$

 $\exists true U(1)_{PQ} \iff$ classically exact and only broken by QCD

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{a}_{k}}{\hat{f}_{k}} \right)^{2} - V_{B}(\hat{a}_{1}, \hat{a}_{2}, \dots, \hat{a}_{N}),$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2} \hat{a}_{k} \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{kl}^{2} \hat{a}_{l} \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\mathbf{M}}^{2} = \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{A}^{2} + \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{B}^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2} m_{i}^{2} a_{i}^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2} m_{i}^{2} a_{i}^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} \frac{a_{i}}{f_{i}} G \widetilde{G}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} \frac{a_{i}}{f_{i}} G \widetilde{G}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left(\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{a}_{k}}{\hat{f}_{k}}}_{\hat{a}_{G\tilde{G}}/F} \right)^{2} - V_{B}(\hat{a}_{1}, \hat{a}_{2}, \dots, \hat{a}_{N}) \,, \\ & \text{Diagonalize mass matrix} \\ \mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2} m_{i}^{2} a_{i}^{2} \\ \mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2} m_{i}^{2} a_{i}^{2} \\ & \text{Compute coupling to gluons} \\ \mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} \frac{a_{i}}{f_{i}} G\tilde{G} \end{split}$$

Deviation from the QCD line: g_i-factor



Deviation from the QCD line: g_i-factor



Toy example: N=2

$$m_i^2 f_i^2 \equiv f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{\left(m_u + m_d\right)^2} \times g_i$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{N=2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta}\right) G\tilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2 . \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[\left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}}\right)^2 + r \, \hat{a}_2^2 \right]$$

Toy example: N=2

$$\begin{split} m_i^2 f_i^2 &\equiv f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i \\ \mathcal{L}_{N=2} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2 . \quad \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[\left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} \right)^2 + r \, \hat{a}_2^2 \right] \end{split}$$

→ Note that there are 2 relevant axion linear combinations:

• The one that couples to
$$G\tilde{G}$$

$$\hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2 \right)$$

• The one implementing the PQ symmetry:

$$a_{\rm PQ} = \hat{a}_1 \,,$$

Toy example: N=2

$$\begin{aligned}
m_i^2 f_i^2 &\equiv f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i \\
\mathcal{L}_{N=2} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2 . \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[\left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} \right)^2 + r \, \hat{a}_2^2 \right] \\
a_{\text{PQ}} &= \hat{a}_1 , \qquad \hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Limit $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \infty$: The mass eigenstates read,

$$\begin{array}{lll} a_1 \simeq \hat{a}_1 \,, \text{with} & g_1 \to 1 & \Longrightarrow & a_1 = a_{\text{QCD-like}} \\ a_2 \simeq \hat{a}_2 \,, \text{with} & g_2 \to \infty & \Longrightarrow & a_2 = a_{\text{decoupled}} \end{array}$$

Toy example: N=2

$$\begin{aligned}
m_i^2 f_i^2 &\equiv f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i \\
\mathcal{L}_{N=2} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2 . \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left[\left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} \right)^2 + r \, \hat{a}_2^2 \right] \\
a_{\text{PQ}} &= \hat{a}_1 , \quad \hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Limit $\mathbf{r} \to \infty$: The mass eigenstates read,

$$a_1 \simeq \hat{a}_1$$
, with
 $a_2 \simeq \hat{a}_2$, with

 $g_1 \to 1 \qquad \Longrightarrow a_1 = a_{\text{QCD-like}}$ $g_2 \to \infty \qquad \Longrightarrow a_2 = a_{\text{decoupled}}$

Limit $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$: The mass eigenstates read,

$$a_1 \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_2), \text{ with}$$
$$a_2 \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2), \text{ with}$$

$$g_1 \to \infty \implies a_1 = a_{\text{decoupled}}$$

$$g_2 \to 1 \implies a_2 = a_{\text{QCD-like}}$$







QCD-axionness

- $\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\rm QCD}}{m_i^2 f_i^2}$
- Inverse of the distance to QCD line
- Fraction of its mass stemming from QCD



QCD-axionness: a sum rule from true PQ

- $\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\rm QCD}}{m_i^2 f_i^2}$
- Inverse of the distance to QCD line
- Fraction of its mass stemming from QCD



$$\exists U(1)_{PQ} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1,$$

Experimental consequences
$$\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{m_i^2 f_i^2}$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$
1) $g_i \ge 1 = \left(1 + \frac{F^2}{\chi_{\text{QCD}}} \frac{\langle a_i | \mathbf{M}_B^2 | a_i \rangle}{|\langle a_i | \hat{a}_{G\tilde{G}} \rangle|^2}\right)$





 m_a [eV]









Experimental consequences
$$\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{m_i^2 f_i^2}$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$
4) $\max_{\mathbf{M}^2} \left\{ \min_i \{g_i\} \right\} = N \implies g_i = N, \forall i.$

QCD Maxions = Maximally deviated QCD axions = Multiple QCD axions













 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$



 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_i} = 1$











MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

Neutrinos Lead to Unexpected Discovery in Basic Math

65 Three physicists wanted to calculate how neutrinos change. They ended up discovering an unexpected relationship between some of the most ubiquitous objects in math.

PETER B. DENTON, STEPHEN J. PARKE, TERENCE TAO, AND XINING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. If A is an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1(A), \ldots, \lambda_n(A)$ and $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, then the j^{th} component $v_{i,j}$ of a unit eigenvector v_i associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i(A)$ is related to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(M_j), \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}(M_j)$ of the minor M_j of A formed by removing the j^{th} row and column by the formula

$$|v_{i,j}|^2 \prod_{k=1; k \neq i}^n (\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_k(A)) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_k(M_j)) .$$

We refer to this identity as the *eigenvector-eigenvalue identity* and show how

QCD Maxion conditions



4)
$$\max_{\mathbf{M}^2} \left\{ \min_i \{g_i\} \right\} = N \implies g_i = N, \ \forall i.$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{N-k}^{\mathbf{M}^2} = N \, \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^2} \, \mathcal{B}_{N-k-1}^{\mathbf{M}_1^2} \, .$$

$$p_{\mathbf{M}^{2}}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{N-k}}{(n-k)!} \mathcal{B}_{n-k}^{\mathbf{M}^{2}} \lambda^{k}$$
$$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i}^{2} = N \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^{2}} .$$

$$m = N(N+1)/2.$$

m-parameter family of Maxion matrices,







Coupling to photons

Same E/N
$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{N} g^{0}_{\hat{a}_{k}\gamma\gamma} \, \hat{a}_{k} F \widetilde{F} \equiv \frac{\alpha_{em}}{8\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{E}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\hat{a}_{k}}{\hat{f}_{k}} F \widetilde{F} \,,$$

All the results apply to photons if all ${\rm a_k}$ have the same E/N

$$\frac{m_i^2}{g_{a_i\gamma\gamma}^2} = \frac{m_a^2}{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2} \bigg|_{\text{single QCD axion}} \times g_i \,.$$

$$\frac{(2\pi)^2 \chi_{\text{QCD}}}{\alpha_{em}^2} \left[\frac{E}{\mathcal{N}} - 1.92 \right]^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{g_{a_i \gamma \gamma}}{m_i^2} = 1.$$



Caveats

- → For sizable effects, extra masses need to be of the order of the QCD contribution
- → Difficult to measure precisely gluon coupling, theoretical uncertainty,

$$g_{an\gamma} = e \frac{C_{\text{EDM}}}{f_i} = (3.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{1}{f_i}\right) \frac{1}{\text{GeV}}$$

(But typically very precise in masses/frequencies, detectable multiple signals)

- → Coupling to photons more precise, but has model dependencies.
- → Most experiments rely on DM

 $\sqrt{\rho_{\mathrm{DM},local}} \times g_{aXX},$

Conclusions

- → Multiple QCD axions can solve the strong CP problem!
- → A sum rule from the PQ symmetry links the possible values $\{m_i, f_i\}$.
- → Finding one axion gives us a lot of information on the posible others
- \rightarrow The maximum deviation for N axions is \sqrt{N}
- → Outlook:
 - Modified experimental bounds for multiple axions?
 - DM production for multiple axions?
 - Topological defects, etc.



Back up slides

Exact diagonalization: N=2

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \chi_{QCD} \\
\hline m_i^2 f_i^2 = \int_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \times g_i \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
\mathcal{L}_{N=2} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} + \bar{\theta} \right) G\tilde{G} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{m}_2^2 \hat{a}_2^2 . \longrightarrow V_{N=2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{QCD} \left[\left(\frac{\hat{a}_1}{\hat{f}} + \frac{\hat{a}_2}{\hat{f}} \right)^2 + r \hat{a}_2^2 \right] \\
m_{1,2}^2 &= \frac{\chi_{QCD}}{2\hat{f}^2} \left(2 + r \mp \sqrt{4 + r^2} \right) \\
a_1 &= \frac{2\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2 \left(r - \sqrt{4 + r^2} \right)}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{4 + r^2 - r\sqrt{4 + r^2}}} , \\
a_2 &= \frac{2\hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_1 \left(-r + \sqrt{4 + r^2} \right)}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{4 + r^2 - r\sqrt{4 + r^2}}} .
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \rightarrow \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}}}{F} - \bar{\theta} \right) G \widetilde{G} - V_B^{\mathrm{R}}(\hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}}, \dots)$$
In the rotated basis,
$$\mathbf{M}^2 \equiv \mathbf{R} \, \hat{\mathbf{M}}^2 \mathbf{R}^T$$

$$\mathbf{M}^2 = \mathbf{M}_A^2 + \mathbf{M}_B^2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{M}_1^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} - \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^2} & \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{M}_1^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\exists U(1)_{PQ} \implies \lim_{\chi_{\rm QCD} \to 0} \det \mathbf{M}^2 = 0 \implies \det \mathbf{M}_B^2 = 0 \ \left\langle \hat{a}_0 | a_{G\tilde{G}} \right\rangle \neq 0$$

Applying Schur's formula for invertible M_{1} ,

$$\det \mathbf{M}_{1}^{2} \left(b_{11} - \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^{2}} - \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{-2} \mathbf{X} \right) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{\det \mathbf{M}^{2}}{\det \mathbf{M}_{1}^{2}} = \left(b_{11} - \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{-2} \mathbf{X} \right) = \frac{\chi_{\text{QCD}}}{F^{2}}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{a}_k}{\hat{f}_k} - \bar{\theta}\right) G\widetilde{G} - V_B(\hat{a}_1, \, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_N)}_{\hat{a}_{G\widetilde{G}}/F},$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{QCD}} \left(\sum_{\substack{k=1\\\hat{a}_{G\tilde{G}}/F}}^{N} \frac{\hat{a}_{k}}{\hat{f}_{k}} \right)^{2} + V_{B} \left(\tilde{a}_{1}, \dots, \tilde{a}_{N-1} \right).$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2} \hat{a}_k \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{kl}^2 \hat{a}_l \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\mathbf{M}}^2 = \hat{\mathbf{M}}_A^2 + \hat{\mathbf{M}}_B^2 \,,$$

$$\exists U(1)_{PQ} \implies \lim_{\chi_{\rm QCD} \to 0} \det \hat{\mathbf{M}}^2 = 0 \implies \det \hat{\mathbf{M}}_B = 0.$$

$$\operatorname{Rank}\left[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{A}^{2}\right] = 1$$

Deviation from the QCD line: g_i-factor

