



# Searches for Supersymmetry and Dark Matter at CMS

Alex Tapper






# Outline

- The LHC and the CMS detector
- Search strategy
- Some examples of searches
  - Strong production
  - Weak production
  - Initial state radiation searches
- Summary and outlook





# The Large Hadron Collider








# The CMS detector

JINST3:S08004 (2008)




- 4T solenoid magnet
- Silicon detector (pixel, strips)
- Crystal ECAL  $\sigma(E)/E=3\%/\sqrt{E}+0.003$
- Brass/sci. HCAL  $\sigma(E)/E=100\%/\sqrt{E}+0.05$
- Muon chambers  $\sigma(p)/p<10\%$  at 1TeV





# The CMS detector

CMS Total Integrated Luminosity, p-p




- LHC delivered  $\sim 6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  in 2011
- CMS collected  $\sim 5.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  (93%)
- Results based on  $\sim 5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  (83%)

**More than  $16 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  delivered in 2012 so far!**

## CMS in 2011:

- Average fraction of functional detector channels  $> 98.5\%$
- Lowest still  $> 95\%$




**90%! →**



# Dark Matter @ LHC



- Neutral and weakly interacting so difficult to observe
  - No signal in LHC detectors → missing transverse energy
- Direct production has small cross section and no signal in detector → difficult searches
- Production in conjunction with Standard Model particles easier option for detection
- Design searches based on MET →









# Search strategy



- Strong production  $\rightarrow$  Long cascades, jets, maybe leptons
- Weak production  $\rightarrow$  no hadronic jets ( $\chi$  pair-production)
- Direct production  $\rightarrow$  QED/QCD initial state radiation
- More exotic  $\rightarrow$  stopped gluinos, HSCP...



# Search strategy



- **Strong production** → Long cascades, jets, maybe leptons
- **Weak production** → no hadronic jets ( $\chi$  pair-production)
- **Direct production** → QED/QCD initial state radiation
- **More exotic** → stopped gluinos, HSCP... **not covered here**





# The key: backgrounds

- Physics

- Standard Model processes that give the same signatures as SUSY
- Cannot/do not (yet?) rely on Monte Carlo simulations → measure in data

- Detector effects

- Detector noise, mis-measurements etc. that generate MET or extra jets
- Commissioning and calibration → good performance

- Other

- Beam-halo muons and cosmic-ray muons, beam-gas events
- Data and simulation already → measure in situ too




# Jets + MET

- All hadronic channel, just jets and missing energy in event
  - Very challenging due to large amount and wide range of backgrounds
  - However most sensitive search for strongly produced SUSY
  - CMS pursues several complementary strategies based on kinematics and detector understanding → this analysis the “classic” version

arXiv:1207.1898

- Selection

- No leptons (e or  $\mu$ )
- At least 3 jets  $> 50$  GeV
- $\Delta\phi$  between jets and MET
- Examine data in bins
  - $H_T^{\text{miss}}$  (MET from jets)
  - $H_T$  ( $\sum$  of jet  $p_T$ )








# Jets + MET

- All background estimates taken from **data**
- Multi-bin approach in  $H_T^{\text{miss}}$  and  $H_T$ 
  - Wide sensitivity
  - Bins combined for final limits

arXiv:1207.1898





No excess seen in data  
 → set limits



# Jets + MET

arXiv:1207.1898




- Limit in the usual CMSSM plane ( $\tan\beta=10, A_0=0, \mu>0$ )



# Interpretation Intermezzo


## ● Simplified Model Spectra

- Limited set of hypothetical particles and decays
- Less specific mass patterns and signatures
- Give acceptance x efficiency and cross-section limit
- Models proposed at: <http://www.lhcnewphysics.org>



## ● Hadronic searches


- Squark anti-squark pair production with decay
  - squark  $\rightarrow$  quark +  $\chi^0$
- Kinematics specified by masses
- Direct case  $m_{\text{squark}}$  vs  $m_{\text{LSP}}$  2D plot
- For cascade decays (arbitrary but sensible) slices of intermediate particle
- “Reference” cross sections (from PROSPINO) given to illustrate limits





# Jets + MET

arXiv:1207.1898







- Clean way to communicate results of our searches and compare different channels  $\rightarrow$  no hidden theory dependence
- Areas of small mass splittings removed to reduce sensitivity to signal modeling





# Jets + MET

arXiv:1207.1898




- ZZ channel allows comparison with leptonic analyses
- b-quark rich channel sets limits on 3<sup>rd</sup> generation SUSY decays




# $\alpha_T$ search

PRL 101:221803 (2008) & CMS-PAS-SUS-09-001



$$\alpha_T = \frac{E_{Tj2}}{M_{Tj1j2}} = \frac{\sqrt{E_{Tj2} / E_{Tj1}}}{\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \Delta\varphi)}}$$



## $\alpha_T$ and $H_T$ based search:

- $\alpha_T > 0.55$
- $H_T > 275$  GeV
- At least two jets with  $p_T > 100$  GeV
- Lepton veto





## • Backgrounds

- $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$  from  $\gamma$ +jets sample with MC translation factor
- W/top from  $\mu(\mu)$ +jets control sample with MC translation factor
- QCD background shape from lower  $\alpha_T$  control sample



# $a_T$ search

SUS-12-016



## Multi-bin approach in $H_T$ and number of b tagged jets



- Wide sensitivity to both inclusive and 3<sup>rd</sup> generation signatures
- Top-rich signal example
- Bins combined statistically for final limits

No excess seen in data  
→ set limits



# $\alpha_T$ search

- Exploit the b-tag dimension in top-rich decay topologies




SUS-12-016






# Photon(s) + MET



## Single photon + jets + MET:

$P_{T\gamma} > 80 \text{ GeV}$   
 $H_T (\geq 2 \text{ Jets}) > 450 \text{ GeV}$   
 $\text{MET} > 100 \text{ GeV}$



## Diphoton + jet + MET:

$P_{T\gamma} > 40/25 \text{ GeV}$   
 At least one jet  
 $\text{MET} > 50 \text{ GeV}$

- QCD bkgd. dominant  $\rightarrow$  shape from control samples - norm. at low MET
- $e \rightarrow \gamma$  fake rate measured on Z peak and used to estimate EWK bkgds.

SUS-12-018






# Photon(s)+MET

SUS-12-018

	$2\gamma$ MET > 100 GeV	$\gamma$ MET > 350 GeV
Data	11	8
SM	$17.8 \pm 12.4$	$14.6 \pm 6.4$

## GGM model (J. Ruderman, D. Shih arXiv:1103.6083)

- Gravitino LSP
- Neutralino NLSP
- $\chi^0$  (bino/wino-like) gives > 1 photon (BR  $\gamma$  vs  $Z^0$ )
- Limit for fixed  $\chi^0$  mass of 375 GeV








# Photon(s)+MET

SUS-12-018

	$2\gamma$ MET > 100 GeV	$\gamma$ MET > 350 GeV
Data	11	8
SM	$17.8 \pm 12.4$	$14.6 \pm 6.4$

## GGM model (J. Ruderman, D. Shih arXiv:1103.6083)

- Gravitino LSP
- Neutralino NLSP
- $\chi^0$  (bino/wino-like) gives > 1 photon (BR  $\gamma$  vs  $Z^0$ )
- Limit for fixed  $\chi^0$  mass of 375 GeV





# Photons+MET


## • Extend diphoton search to low MET

- Predict background in high jet mult. signal region from low jet mult. sideband using  $S_T$  [cf CMS black hole searches]

$$S_T = MET + \sum_{\gamma} E_T + \sum_j p_T^j$$

- $S_T$  shape independent of object mult.
- Normalisation from low  $S_T$  sideband


arXiv:1210.2052



## Stealth SUSY model

(Fan, Reece, Ruderman arXiv:1105:5135)

- Stealth sector superpartners nearly mass degenerate
- Soft MET spectrum from LSP (RPC)
- More details in backup









# Photons+MET

arXiv:1210.2052

- Background shape from 2-3 jet bins
- Normalise in  $600 < S_T < 700$  GeV sideband
- Signal region:  $\geq 4$  jets and  $S_T > 700$  GeV



- No excess over background prediction
- Set limits in model (and on cross section)
- $M(\text{squark}) > 1430$  GeV








# Multilepton searches

- Adding leptons reduces background compared to hadronic searches
  - Allows looser cuts, particularly on hadronic quantities → sensitivity to weakly produced new physics with lower cross sections
- Consider two, three and four lepton searches to search for electroweak production of SUSY particles
  - Dilepton (opposite-charge, same-charge,  $Z(\rightarrow ll)+V(\rightarrow jj)$ )
  - Trilepton
  - Four lepton
- Paper bringing previous and new results together
  - arXiv:1209.6620



# Dilepton searches

arXiv:1209.6620



## • Backgrounds



- Same-sign:  $t\bar{t}$  → data-driven fake rate, rare processes from simulation
- Opposite sign:  $Z$ +jets estimated from data templates,  $t\bar{t}$  from opposite flavour events → here analysis targets  $Z(\rightarrow ll)Z/W(\rightarrow jj)$



# Three and four lepton searches

arXiv:1209.6620

arXiv:1204.5341








## • Backgrounds

- Irreducible: WZ+jets, ZZ+jets → estimated from simulation
- $t\bar{t}$  → simulation with study in control regions
- Z+jets, WW+jets, W+jets, QCD → data-driven fake rate





# Multilepton searches







Dilepton search adds sensitivity when 3<sup>rd</sup> lepton is soft

arXiv:1209.6620



# Multilepton searches





Tau enriched scenario: the chargino decays exclusively to  $\tau$  leptons (coupling to Higgsino component)

arXiv:1209.6620



# Multilepton searches




Dilepton  $Z \rightarrow ll + V \rightarrow jj$  complementary to trilepton search

Trade off purity for higher branching ratio

arXiv:1209.6620



# Electroweak production limits




arXiv:1209.6620



# Monojets/monophotons

- Dark matter production at LHC









# Monojets/monophotons

- Dark matter production at LHC

arXiv:1204.0821



- Selection

- $P_{T\gamma} > 145$  GeV
- $MET > 130$  GeV
- Veto on jets ( $p_T > 30$  GeV)



Source	Estimate
Jet Mimics Photon	$11.2 \pm 2.8$
Beam Halo	$11.1 \pm 5.6$
Electron Mimics Photon	$3.5 \pm 1.5$
$W\gamma$	$3.0 \pm 1.0$
$\gamma$ +jet	$0.5 \pm 0.2$
$\gamma\gamma$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$
$Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$	$45.3 \pm 6.9$
Total Background	$75.1 \pm 9.5$
Total Observed Candidates	73



# Monojets/monophotons

- Dark matter production at LHC

arXiv:1206.5663





- Selection

- One or two jets with  $p_T > 100$  (30) GeV
- MET  $> 200$  GeV
- $\Delta\phi$  between jets  $< 2.4$

$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ (GeV/c) $\rightarrow$	$\geq 250$	$\geq 300$	$\geq 350$	$\geq 400$
Process	Events			
Z( $\nu\bar{\nu}$ )+jets	5106 $\pm$ 271	1908 $\pm$ 143	900 $\pm$ 94	433 $\pm$ 62
W+jets	2632 $\pm$ 237	816 $\pm$ 83	312 $\pm$ 35	135 $\pm$ 17
t $\bar{t}$	69.8 $\pm$ 69.8	22.6 $\pm$ 22.6	8.5 $\pm$ 8.5	3.0 $\pm$ 3.0
Z( $\ell\bar{\ell}$ )+jets	22.3 $\pm$ 22.3	6.1 $\pm$ 6.1	2.0 $\pm$ 2.0	0.6 $\pm$ 0.6
Single t	10.2 $\pm$ 10.2	2.7 $\pm$ 2.7	1.1 $\pm$ 1.1	0.4 $\pm$ 0.4
QCD Multijets	2.2 $\pm$ 2.2	1.3 $\pm$ 1.3	1.3 $\pm$ 1.3	1.3 $\pm$ 1.3
Total SM	7842 $\pm$ 367	2757 $\pm$ 167	1225 $\pm$ 101	573 $\pm$ 65
Data	7584	2774	1142	522
Expected upper limit non-SM	779	325	200	118
Observed upper limit non-SM	600	368	158	95



# Monojets/monophotons




- Interpret searches in contact interaction model
  - Bai et al. JHEP 1012:048(2010) → more details in backup
- Independent of astrophysical experiments
- CMS results extend to lower masses
- Strong constraints on spin-dependent cross section



# Results at a glance

SUS-11-016


CMS preliminary



**Warning!**  
 Strong dependence on LSP mass and BRs assumed to be 100%



# Many other searches at CMS...







# Summary & outlook

- Wide range of MET based searches performed with  $5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  2011 data
  - No significant deviation from the Standard Model
  - First few results with 2012 data → many more to come

- Larger data samples

- Weak production modes
- More exclusive channels


- 14 TeV collisions

- Much larger reach!

- LHC running well in 2012

<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSUS>

<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsEXO>









# Backup







# Direct production of 3<sup>rd</sup> gen





# Z' searches



- Electrons:




- $E_T > 35 \text{ GeV}$

- Muons:

- $P_T > 45 \text{ GeV}$



# W' searches



- Electrons:
  - $E_T > 90 \text{ GeV}$
  
- Muons:
  - $p_T > 45 \text{ GeV}$





# Jets + MET results

Selection		$Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$		$t\bar{t}/W \rightarrow e, \mu + X$		$t\bar{t}/W \rightarrow \tau_h + X$		QCD multijet		Total background		Data
$H_T$ (GeV)	$\cancel{H}_T$ (GeV)											
500–800	200–350	359	$\pm 81$	327	$\pm 47$	349	$\pm 40$	119	$\pm 77$	1154	$\pm 128$	1269
500–800	350–500	112	$\pm 26$	48	$\pm 9$	62.5	$\pm 8.7$	2.2	$\pm 2.2$	225	$\pm 29$	236
500–800	500–600	17.6	$\pm 4.9$	5.0	$\pm 2.2$	8.7	$\pm 2.5$	0.0	$\pm 0.1$	31.3	$\pm 5.9$	22
500–800	>600	5.5	$\pm 2.6$	0.8	$\pm 0.8$	2.0	$\pm 1.8$	0.0	$\pm 0.0$	8.3	$\pm 3.2$	6
800–1000	200–350	48	$\pm 19$	58	$\pm 15$	56.3	$\pm 8.3$	35	$\pm 24$	197	$\pm 35$	177
800–1000	350–500	16.0	$\pm 6.7$	5.4	$\pm 2.3$	7.2	$\pm 2.0$	1.2	$^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$	29.8	$\pm 7.5$	24
800–1000	500–600	7.1	$\pm 3.7$	2.4	$\pm 1.5$	1.3	$\pm 0.6$	0.0	$^{+0.2}_{0.0}$	10.8	$\pm 4.0$	6
800–1000	>600	3.3	$\pm 1.7$	0.7	$\pm 0.7$	1.0	$\pm 0.3$	0.0	$^{+0.1}_{0.0}$	5.0	$\pm 1.9$	5
1000–1200	200–350	10.9	$\pm 5.1$	13.7	$\pm 3.8$	21.9	$\pm 4.6$	19.7	$\pm 13.3$	66	$\pm 15$	71
1000–1200	350–500	5.5	$\pm 3.0$	5.0	$\pm 4.4$	2.9	$\pm 1.3$	0.4	$^{+0.7}_{-0.4}$	13.8	$\pm 5.5$	12
1000–1200	>500	2.2	$\pm 1.7$	1.6	$\pm 1.2$	2.3	$\pm 1.0$	0.0	$^{+0.2}_{0.0}$	6.1	$\pm 2.3$	4
1200–1400	200–350	3.1	$\pm 1.8$	4.2	$\pm 2.1$	6.2	$\pm 1.8$	11.7	$\pm 8.3$	25.2	$\pm 8.9$	29
1200–1400	>350	2.3	$\pm 1.5$	2.3	$\pm 1.4$	0.6	$^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$	0.2	$^{+0.6}_{-0.2}$	5.4	$\pm 2.3$	8
>1400	>200	3.2	$\pm 1.8$	2.7	$\pm 1.6$	1.1	$\pm 0.5$	12.0	$\pm 9.1$	19.0	$\pm 9.4$	16



# Multilepton results

Selection	N( $\tau$ )=0		N( $\tau$ )=1		N( $\tau$ )=2	
	obs	expect	obs	expect	obs	expect
<b>4<math>\ell</math> Lepton Results</b>						
4 $\ell$ (DY0) $S_T$ (High)	0	0.0010 $\pm$ 0.0009	0	0.01 $\pm$ 0.09	0	0.18 $\pm$ 0.07
4 $\ell$ (DY0) $S_T$ (Mid)	0	0.004 $\pm$ 0.002	0	0.28 $\pm$ 0.10	2	2.5 $\pm$ 1.2
4 $\ell$ (DY0) $S_T$ (Low)	0	0.04 $\pm$ 0.02	0	2.98 $\pm$ 0.48	4	3.5 $\pm$ 1.1
4 $\ell$ (DY1, no Z) $S_T$ (High)	1	0.009 $\pm$ 0.004	0	0.10 $\pm$ 0.07	0	0.12 $\pm$ 0.05
4 $\ell$ (DY1, Z) $S_T$ (High)	1	0.09 $\pm$ 0.01	0	0.51 $\pm$ 0.15	0	0.43 $\pm$ 0.15
4 $\ell$ (DY1, no Z) $S_T$ (Mid)	0	0.07 $\pm$ 0.02	1	0.88 $\pm$ 0.26	1	0.94 $\pm$ 0.29
4 $\ell$ (DY1, Z) $S_T$ (Mid)	0	0.45 $\pm$ 0.11	5	4.1 $\pm$ 1.2	3	3.4 $\pm$ 0.9
4 $\ell$ (DY1, no Z) $S_T$ (Low)	0	0.09 $\pm$ 0.04	7	5.5 $\pm$ 2.2	19	13.7 $\pm$ 6.4
4 $\ell$ (DY1, Z) $S_T$ (Low)	2	0.80 $\pm$ 0.34	19	17.7 $\pm$ 4.9	95	60 $\pm$ 31
4 $\ell$ (DY2, no Z) $S_T$ (High)	0	0.02 $\pm$ 0.01	–	–	–	–
4 $\ell$ (DY2, Z) $S_T$ (High)	0	0.89 $\pm$ 0.34	–	–	–	–
4 $\ell$ (DY2, no Z) $S_T$ (Mid)	0	0.20 $\pm$ 0.09	–	–	–	–
4 $\ell$ (DY2, Z) $S_T$ (Mid)	3	7.9 $\pm$ 3.2	–	–	–	–
4 $\ell$ (DY2, no Z) $S_T$ (Low)	1	2.4 $\pm$ 1.1	–	–	–	–
4 $\ell$ (DY2, Z) $S_T$ (Low)	29	29 $\pm$ 12	–	–	–	–
<b>3<math>\ell</math> Lepton Results</b>						
3 $\ell$ (DY0) $S_T$ (High)	2	1.14 $\pm$ 0.43	17	11.2 $\pm$ 3.2	20	22.5 $\pm$ 6.1
3 $\ell$ (DY0) $S_T$ (Mid)	5	7.4 $\pm$ 3.0	113	97 $\pm$ 31	157	181 $\pm$ 24
3 $\ell$ (DY0) $S_T$ (Low)	17	13.5 $\pm$ 4.1	522	419 $\pm$ 63	1631	2018 $\pm$ 253
3 $\ell$ (DY1, no Z) $S_T$ (High)	6	3.5 $\pm$ 0.9	10	13.1 $\pm$ 2.3	–	–
3 $\ell$ (DY1, Z) $S_T$ (High)	17	18.7 $\pm$ 6.0	35	39.2 $\pm$ 4.8	–	–
3 $\ell$ (DY1, no Z) $S_T$ (Mid)	32	25.5 $\pm$ 6.6	159	141 $\pm$ 27	–	–
3 $\ell$ (DY1, Z) $S_T$ (Mid)	89	102 $\pm$ 31	441	463 $\pm$ 41	–	–
3 $\ell$ (DY1, no Z) $S_T$ (Low)	126	150 $\pm$ 36	3721	2983 $\pm$ 418	–	–
3 $\ell$ (DY1, Z) $S_T$ (Low)	727	815 $\pm$ 192	17631	15758 $\pm$ 2452	–	–
Total 4 $\ell$	37	42 $\pm$ 13	32.0	32.1 $\pm$ 5.5	124	85 $\pm$ 32
Total 3 $\ell$	1021	1137 $\pm$ 198	22649	19925 $\pm$ 2489	1808	2222 $\pm$ 255
Total	1058	1179 $\pm$ 198	22681	19957 $\pm$ 2489	1932	2307 $\pm$ 257

Selection	N( $\tau$ )=0		N( $\tau$ )=1		N( $\tau$ )=2	
	obs	expect	obs	expect	obs	expect
<b>4<math>\ell</math> Lepton Results</b>						
4 $\ell$ >50,>200, no Z	0	0.018 $\pm$ 0.005	0	0.09 $\pm$ 0.06	0	0.7 $\pm$ 0.7
4 $\ell$ >50,> 200, Z	0	0.22 $\pm$ 0.05	0	0.27 $\pm$ 0.11	0	0.8 $\pm$ 1.2
4 $\ell$ >50,<200, no Z	1	0.20 $\pm$ 0.07	3	0.59 $\pm$ 0.17	1	1.5 $\pm$ 0.6
4 $\ell$ >50,<200, Z	1	0.79 $\pm$ 0.21	4	2.3 $\pm$ 0.7	0	1.1 $\pm$ 0.7
4 $\ell$ <50,>200, no Z	0	0.006 $\pm$ 0.001	0	0.14 $\pm$ 0.08	0	0.25 $\pm$ 0.07
4 $\ell$ <50,>200, Z	1	0.83 $\pm$ 0.33	0	0.55 $\pm$ 0.21	0	1.14 $\pm$ 0.42
4 $\ell$ <50,<200, no Z	1	2.6 $\pm$ 1.1	5	3.9 $\pm$ 1.2	17	10.6 $\pm$ 3.2
4 $\ell$ <50,<200, Z	33	37 $\pm$ 15	20	17.0 $\pm$ 5.2	62	43 $\pm$ 16
<b>3<math>\ell</math> Lepton Results</b>						
3 $\ell$ >50,>200,no-OSSF	2	1.5 $\pm$ 0.5	33	30.4 $\pm$ 9.7	15	13.5 $\pm$ 2.6
3 $\ell$ >50,<200,no-OSSF	7	6.6 $\pm$ 2.3	159	143 $\pm$ 37	82	106 $\pm$ 16
3 $\ell$ <50,>200,no-OSSF	1	1.2 $\pm$ 0.7	16	16.9 $\pm$ 4.5	18	31.9 $\pm$ 4.8
3 $\ell$ <50,<200,no-OSSF	14	11.7 $\pm$ 3.6	446	356 $\pm$ 55	1006	1026 $\pm$ 171
3 $\ell$ >50,>200, no Z	8	5.0 $\pm$ 1.3	16	31.7 $\pm$ 9.6	–	–
3 $\ell$ >50,>200, Z	20	18.9 $\pm$ 6.4	13	24.4 $\pm$ 5.1	–	–
3 $\ell$ >50,<200, no Z	30	27.0 $\pm$ 7.6	114	107 $\pm$ 27	–	–
3 $\ell$ <50,>200, no Z	11	4.5 $\pm$ 1.5	45	51.9 $\pm$ 6.2	–	–
3 $\ell$ >50,<200, Z	141	134 $\pm$ 50	107	114 $\pm$ 16	–	–
3 $\ell$ <50,>200, Z	15	19.2 $\pm$ 4.8	166	244 $\pm$ 24	–	–
3 $\ell$ <50,<200, no Z	123	144 $\pm$ 36	3721	2907 $\pm$ 412	–	–
3 $\ell$ <50,<200, Z	657	764 $\pm$ 183	17857	15519 $\pm$ 2421	–	–
Total 4 $\ell$	37	42 $\pm$ 15	32.0	24.9 $\pm$ 5.4	80	59 $\pm$ 16
Total 3 $\ell$	1029	1138 $\pm$ 193	22693	19545 $\pm$ 2457	1121	1177 $\pm$ 172
Total	1066	1180 $\pm$ 194	22725	19570 $\pm$ 2457	1201	1236 $\pm$ 173



# Monphoton/monojet results


$M_\chi$ [GeV]	Vector		Axial-Vector	
	$\sigma$ [fb]	$\Lambda$ [GeV]	$\sigma$ [fb]	$\Lambda$ [GeV]
1	14.3 (14.7)	572 (568)	14.9 (15.4)	565 (561)
10	14.3 (14.7)	571 (567)	14.1 (14.5)	573 (569)
100	15.4 (15.3)	558 (558)	13.9 (14.3)	554 (550)
200	14.3 (14.7)	549 (545)	14.0 (14.5)	508 (504)
500	13.6 (14.0)	442 (439)	13.7 (14.1)	358 (356)
1000	14.1 (14.5)	246 (244)	13.9 (14.3)	172 (171)

$M_\chi$ (GeV/ $c^2$ )	Spin-dependent		Spin-independent	
	$\Lambda$ (GeV)	$\sigma_{\chi N}$ (cm $^2$ )	$\Lambda$ (GeV)	$\sigma_{\chi N}$ (cm $^2$ )
0.1	754	$1.03 \times 10^{-42}$	749	$2.90 \times 10^{-41}$
1	755	$2.94 \times 10^{-41}$	751	$8.21 \times 10^{-40}$
10	765	$8.79 \times 10^{-41}$	760	$2.47 \times 10^{-39}$
100	736	$1.21 \times 10^{-40}$	764	$2.83 \times 10^{-39}$
200	677	$1.70 \times 10^{-40}$	736	$3.31 \times 10^{-39}$
300	602	$2.73 \times 10^{-40}$	690	$4.30 \times 10^{-39}$
400	524	$4.74 \times 10^{-40}$	631	$6.15 \times 10^{-39}$
700	341	$2.65 \times 10^{-39}$	455	$2.28 \times 10^{-38}$
1000	206	$1.98 \times 10^{-38}$	302	$1.18 \times 10^{-37}$




# CMSSM limits

CMS Preliminary  $L_{\text{int}} = 4.98 \text{ fb}^{-1}, \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$





# CMSSM event topologies









# Photon GGM Model

- Gravitino LSP
- Neutralino NLSP
  - Bino-like gives  $BR(\gamma) \gg BR(Z) \rightarrow$  two photons  $\gg \gamma + Z (\rightarrow$  jets, leptons)
  - Wino-like gives  $BR(Z) \gg BR(\gamma) \rightarrow \gamma + Z (\rightarrow$  jets, leptons)
  - Wino-like NLSP also chargino co-NLSP  $\rightarrow \gamma + W (\rightarrow$  jets, leptons)
  - Higgsino gives  $h^0$  or  $Z \rightarrow$  BR depends on  $\tan\beta$  and  $\text{sign}(\mu)$







# EWKino Model

Haber & Kane Physics Report Volume 117, pages 75-265 (1985)

[from Frank Wuerthwein]



Couples to all neutralino and chargino mass eigenstates



Couples to Higgsino neutralino mass eigenstates

- For WZ maximal Wino couplings (pure wino-like) and maximal Higgsino couplings (even split of two electroweak eigenstates)
- For ZZ maximal Higgsino couplings (even split of two electroweak eigenstates)
- Set chargino/heavy neutralino masses equal, light neutralino=0 and slepton mass in between



# Monophoton/monojet Model

- Pair production of DM contact interaction with operators

$$\mathcal{O}_V = \frac{(\bar{\chi}\gamma_\mu\chi)(\bar{q}\gamma^\mu q)}{\Lambda^2}$$

vector  $\rightarrow$  spin independent

$$\mathcal{O}_{AV} = \frac{(\bar{\chi}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\chi)(\bar{q}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5q)}{\Lambda^2}$$

axial-vector  $\rightarrow$  spin dependent

- Cross sections depend on mass ( $m_\chi$ ) and scale  $\Lambda$  (couplings)

$$\sigma_{SI} = 9 \frac{\mu^2}{\pi\Lambda^4}$$
$$\sigma_{SD} = 0.33 \frac{\mu^2}{\pi\Lambda^4}$$

$$\Lambda = M / \sqrt{g_\chi g_q}$$

$$\mu = \frac{m_\chi m_p}{m_\chi + m_p}$$


- $M=10(40)$  TeV for monophoton(jet) analysis



# Stealth SUSY model



# SUSY cross sections





# 8 TeV vs 14 TeV – Gain Factors

- Use parton luminosities to illustrate the gain of 14 vs 8 TeV

## Higgs:

$pp \rightarrow H, H \rightarrow WW, ZZ$  and  $\gamma\gamma$   
mainly  $gg$ : factor  $\sim 2$

## SUSY – 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation:

Mass scale  $\sim 500$  GeV  
 $qq$  and  $gg$ : factor  $\sim 2$  to 4

## SUSY – Squarks/Gluino:

Mass scale  $\sim 2.0$  TeV  
 $qq, gg, qg$ : factor  $\sim 6$  to 10

## Z' :

Mass scale  $\sim 5$  TeV  
 $qq$ : factor  $\sim 200$

