



The Conformal Higgs

Brando Bellazzini

University of Padova, SISSA, & INFN

based on a work in progress with R. Franceschini, L. Martucci and R. Torre



SISSA

GGI, Florence, July 10th 2013





The Conformal Higgs

or the role of the Dilaton in Composite Higgs models

Brando Bellazzini

University of Padova, SISSA, & INFN

based on a work in progress with R. Franceschini, L. Martucci and R. Torre



SISSA

GGI, Florence, July 10th 2013

COMPOSITE HIGGS



COMPOSITE HIGGS





COMPOSITE HIGGS













EFT FOR DILATON+HIGGS



for the EFT-Dilaton alone: see e.g. BB, Csaki, Hubisz, Serra & Terning 1305.3919 and 1209.3299; Chacko & Mishra 1209.3022; Goldberger, Grinstein & Skiba 0708.1463; Hubisz, Csaki & Lee 0705.3844

CFT
$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \rangle = f^{\Delta}$$
 Poincare'





dilaton restores conformality

 $\mathcal{L}_{IR} \supset \mathcal{O}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(x) \times \chi^{4-\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \qquad \text{dilaton couples to non-marginality}$ $\mathcal{L}_{IR} \supset \mathcal{O}(x) \left[1 + (4-\Delta)\frac{\sigma}{f} + \dots \right] = \mathcal{O}(x) + \frac{\sigma}{f}\partial_{\mu}D^{\mu} + \dots$

dilaton restores conformality

$$\mathcal{L}_{IR} \supset \mathcal{O}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(x) \times \chi^{4-\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \quad \text{dilaton couples to non-marginality}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{IR} \supset \mathcal{O}(x) \left[1 + (4-\Delta)\frac{\sigma}{f} + \dots \right] = \mathcal{O}(x) + \frac{\sigma}{f}\partial_{\mu}D^{\mu} + \dots \quad \frac{\sigma}{f}T^{\mu}_{\mu}$$

dilaton restores conformality

$$\mathcal{L}_{IR} \supset \mathcal{O}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(x) \times \chi^{4-\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \qquad \text{dilaton couples to non-marginality}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{IR} \supset \mathcal{O}(x) \left[1 + (4-\Delta)\frac{\sigma}{f} + \dots \right] = \mathcal{O}(x) + \frac{\sigma}{f}\partial_{\mu}D^{\mu} + \dots \qquad \frac{\sigma}{f}T^{\mu}_{\mu}$$

like the Higgs: it couples to the mass $\frac{1}{f}\sigma T^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{v}{f}\sigma \left[2m_W^2 W^2 + m_\psi\psi\psi\dots\right]$

• overall rescaling

dilaton restores conformality





like the Higgs: it couples to the mass $\frac{1}{f}\sigma T^{\mu}_{\mu} \neq \frac{v}{f}\sigma \left[2m_W^2 W^2 + m_\psi\psi\psi\dots\right]$

V overall rescaling Higgs-like Dilaton? SO(4)/SO(3)+ dilaton with $v\sim f$ within 10%

BB, Csaki, Hubisz, Serra, Terning 1209.3299; Chacko, Franceschini, Mishra 1209.3259









scale dim. can be suitably chosen: $\Delta_{\pi} = 0$ "angles"



$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT+G}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{f^2} \right) \chi^2 \left(\partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{a}} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{b}} g_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}(\pi) \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi)^2 \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT+G}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{f^2} \right) \chi^2 \left(\partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{a}} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{b}} g_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}(\pi) \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi)^2 \right]$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT+G}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{f^2} \right) \chi^2 \left(\partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{a}} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{b}} g_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}(\pi) \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi)^2 \right]$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT+G}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{f^2} \right) \chi^2 \left(\partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{a}} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{b}} g_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}(\pi) \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi)^2 \right]$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT+G}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{f^2} \right) \chi^2 \left(\partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{a}} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{b}} g_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}(\pi) \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi)^2 \right]$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT+G}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{f^2} \right) \chi^2 \left(\partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{a}} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\hat{b}} g_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}(\pi) \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi)^2 \right]$$



I) non-compact (dilations): no scale

2) singular at the apex where cut-off=0









••• all amplitudes vanish!!



$$A(\pi\pi \to hh) \sim \left(\frac{s}{f_{\pi}^2} - \frac{s}{f^2}\right) \to 0$$

$$A(\pi\pi\to\sigma\sigma)\to 0$$

••• all amplitudes vanish!!



- $A(\pi\pi \to hh) \sim \left(\frac{s}{f_{\pi}^2} \frac{s}{f^2}\right) \to 0$
- $A(\pi\pi\to\sigma\sigma)\to 0$

••• all amplitudes vanish!!

• symmetry, tuning or dynamics?

- is it actually weakly coupled?
 - $\Lambda \sim 4\pi f? \quad \Lambda \gg 4\pi f?$

CONE VS PLANE



 $|\Phi|^2 = f_\pi^2$








all amplitudes are trivially vanishing (at this order)

CCWZ notation: $e^{-i\pi}\partial_{\mu}e^{i\pi} = id^{\hat{a}}_{\mu}T^{\hat{a}} + iE^{a}_{\mu}T^{a}$

CCWZ notation:
$$e^{-i\pi}\partial_{\mu}e^{i\pi} = id^{\hat{a}}_{\mu}T^{\hat{a}} + iE^{a}_{\mu}T^{a}$$

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	\sim
only pions:	
only dilaton:	
mixed term:	

CCWZ notation:
$$e^{-i\pi}\partial_{\mu}e^{i\pi} = id_{\mu}^{\hat{a}}T^{\hat{a}} + iE_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}$$

only pions: $(\text{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\mu}])^{2}$, $\text{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\nu}]\text{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\nu}]$, $\text{Tr}[E_{\mu\nu}E^{\mu\nu}]$
only dilaton:
mixed term:

CCWZ notation:
$$e^{-i\pi}\partial_{\mu}e^{i\pi} = id^{\hat{a}}_{\mu}T^{\hat{a}} + iE^{a}_{\mu}T^{a}$$

only pions: $\left(\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\mu}]\right)^{2}$, $\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\nu}]\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\nu}]$, $\operatorname{Tr}[E_{\mu\nu}E^{\mu\nu}]$

only dilaton:
$$a \left[(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^4 + 2(\Box\sigma)(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^2 \right] + b \left[(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^2 + \Box\sigma \right]^2$$

a-anomaly Komargodski-Schwimmer

by e.o.m enters in pi-pi scattering

mixed term:

CCWZ notation:
$$e^{-i\pi}\partial_{\mu}e^{i\pi} = id^{\hat{a}}_{\mu}T^{\hat{a}} + iE^{a}_{\mu}T^{a}$$

only pions: $\left(\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\mu}]\right)^{2}$, $\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\nu}]\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\nu}]$, $\operatorname{Tr}[E_{\mu\nu}E^{\mu\nu}]$

only dilaton:
$$\boldsymbol{a} \left[(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^4 + 2(\Box\sigma)(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^2 \right] + \boldsymbol{b} \left[(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^2 + \Box\sigma \right]^2$$

a-anomaly Komargodski-Schwimmer

by e.o.m enters in pi-pi scattering

mixed term: $c \left[(\partial_{\alpha} \sigma)^2 + \Box \sigma \right] \operatorname{Tr}[d_{\nu} d^{\nu}] + d \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} ((\partial_{\alpha} \sigma)^2 - \Box \sigma) + 4 (\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \sigma - \partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial_{\nu} \sigma) \right] \operatorname{Tr}[d^{\mu} d^{\nu}]$

CCWZ notation:
$$e^{-i\pi}\partial_{\mu}e^{i\pi} = id_{\mu}^{\hat{a}}T^{\hat{a}} + iE_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}$$

only pions: $(\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\mu}])^{2}$, $\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\nu}]\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\mu}d^{\nu}]$, $\operatorname{Tr}[E_{\mu\nu}E^{\mu\nu}]$
only dilaton: $a \left[(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^{4} + 2(\Box\sigma)(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^{2}\right] + b \left[(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^{2} + \Box\sigma\right]^{2}$
a-anomaly Komargodski-Schwimmer by e.o.m enters in pi-pi scattering
mixed term: $c \left[(\partial_{\alpha}\sigma)^{2} + \Box\sigma\right]\operatorname{Tr}[d_{\nu}d^{\nu}] + d \left[\eta_{\mu\nu}((\partial_{\alpha}\sigma)^{2} - \Box\sigma) + 4(\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\sigma - \partial_{\mu}\sigma\partial_{\nu}\sigma)\right]\operatorname{Tr}[d^{\mu}d^{\nu}]$
no reasons to expect $A(\pi\pi \to \pi\pi) \sim E^{4}$

Are we sensitive to E⁴ vs E⁰ in WW-scattering?



plane: invariant ISO(n+1)=SO(n+1)+translations

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \qquad \varphi^{a} \to \varphi^{a} + c^{a}$$

plane



plane: invariant ISO(n+1)=SO(n+1)+translations

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \qquad \varphi^{a} \to \varphi^{a} + c^{a}$$

accidental ISO x CFT spoiled @ $O(p^4)$

(true sym. only SO x CFT)



plane: invariant ISO(n+1)=SO(n+1)+translations

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \qquad \varphi^{a} \to \varphi^{a} + c^{a}$$

accidental ISO x CFT spoiled @ $O(p^4)$

(true sym. only SO x CFT)

promote it to a true UV symmetry?



plane: invariant ISO(n+1)=SO(n+1)+translations

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \qquad \varphi^{a} \to \varphi^{a} + c^{a}$$

accidental ISO x CFT spoiled @ $O(p^4)$

(true sym. only SO x CFT)

promote it to a true UV symmetry?

step I) $\mathcal{L}^{(4)} = \mathbf{a}(\partial_{\mu}\varphi^{a})^{4} + \mathbf{b}(\partial_{\mu}\varphi^{i}\partial_{\nu}\varphi^{i})^{2} + \dots$

step 2) make it marginal: divide by~ $\varphi^a \Box \varphi^a$?



plane: invariant ISO(n+1)=SO(n+1)+translations

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \qquad \varphi^{a} \to \varphi^{a} + c^{a}$$

accidental ISO x CFT spoiled @ O(p^4)

(true sym. only SO x CFT)

promote it to a true UV symmetry?

step I) $\mathcal{L}^{(4)} = \mathbf{a}(\partial_{\mu}\varphi^{a})^{4} + \mathbf{b}(\partial_{\mu}\varphi^{i}\partial_{\nu}\varphi^{i})^{2} + \dots$

step 2) make it marginal: divide by~ $\varphi^a \Box \varphi^a$?

 $\mathcal{L}^{(4)} \sim \frac{a}{\varphi^a \Box \varphi^a} (\partial_\mu \varphi^a)^4 + \frac{b}{(\ldots)} (\partial_\mu \varphi^i \partial_\nu \varphi^i)^2 + \dots \frac{\text{non-locality forced by}}{\text{translations+dilations!}}$

ACCIDENT VS SYMMETRY

ACCIDENT VS SYMMETRY



ACCIDENT VS SYMMETRY

barring non-locality (=no extra massless fields)





HIERARCHY PROBLEM?



breakings translations new scale breaking CFT $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} + \epsilon_{I \ SO} \times M_{C \ FT}^{2} \varphi^{a} \varphi^{a} + \dots$

the relevant operator is small by symmetry

HIERARCHY PROBLEM?



breakings translations new scale breaking CFT

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} + \epsilon_{ISO} \times M_{CFT}^{2} \varphi^{a} \varphi^{a} + \dots$$
the relevant operator is small by symmetry

but the whole potential is suppressed by translations

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} + \epsilon_{I \ SO} \cdot \lambda^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{M_{C \ FT}^{2}}{4\lambda^{2}} - \varphi^{a} \varphi^{a} \right)^{2} + \dots$$

HIERARCHY PROBLEM?



breakings translations

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} + \epsilon_{I SO} \times M_{CFT}^{2} \varphi^{a} \varphi^{a} + \dots$$
the relevant operator is small by symmetry
but the whole potential is
suppressed by translations

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} + \epsilon_{I SO} \cdot \lambda^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{M_{CFT}^{2}}{4\lambda^{2}} - \varphi^{a} \varphi^{a}\right)^{2} + \dots$$

$$\begin{cases} f^2 = \frac{M_{C \not FT}^2}{4\lambda^2} & m_{\sigma}^2 \propto \epsilon f^2 \\ & \Delta = \frac{f^2}{v^2} \gg 1 \end{cases}$$

generically big tuning!

DILATON DECAYS









(and no Higgs-dilaton kinetic mixing)

 $|\nabla_{\mu}H|^{2} = |\partial_{\mu} - \Delta_{H}\partial_{\mu}\sigma)H|^{2}$

Giudice, Rattazzi, Wells hep-ph/0002178; L.Vecchi 1002.1721 [hep-ph]











PHOTON AND GLUON COUPLINGS



PHOTON AND GLUON COUPLINGS



PHOTON AND GLUON COUPLINGS



DILATON COUPLINGS: SUMMARY



ILATON COUPLINGS: SUMMARY



example w/ composite top-right for Higgs-like Dilaton: $\frac{v}{f}(\beta_{UV}^{CFT} + \beta_{SM}^{\gamma} - \beta_{t_R,W_L}^{\gamma})$

DILATON & HIGGS POTENTIALS



Integrate-out CFT (all orders)


Integrate-out CFT (all orders) $\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{gauge} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\Pi_0(p) \operatorname{Tr}[A_\mu A_\mu] + \Pi_i(p) \Phi^T A_\mu A_\mu \Phi \right] P_{\mu\nu}^{\perp}$



Integrate-out CFT (all orders) $\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{gauge} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\Pi_0(p) \operatorname{Tr}[A_\mu A_\mu] + \Pi_i(p) \Phi^T A_\mu A_\mu \Phi \right] P_{\mu\nu}^{\perp}$ form factors

I-loop of elem. fields: Coleman-Weinberg!

$$V(\pi, \chi) = \sum_{i} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \log \Pi_i(p^2, \Phi)$$



Integrate-out CFT (all orders) $\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{gauge} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\Pi_0(p) \operatorname{Tr}[A_\mu A_\mu] + \Pi_i(p) \Phi^T A_\mu A_\mu \Phi \right] P_{\mu\nu}^{\perp}$ form factors

I-loop of elem. fields: Coleman-Weinberg!

$$V(\pi, \chi) = \sum_{i} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \log \Pi_i(p^2, \Phi)$$











dress with the dilaton

$$V = \left(\frac{\chi}{f}\right)^4 \left[\kappa + y^2 \left(\frac{\chi}{f}\right)^{2\gamma} \left(\Lambda_1 + A\sin^2 h + B\sin^4 h\right)\right] = \chi^4 F(y(\chi), \sin h)$$



dress with the dilaton

$$V = \left(\frac{\chi}{f}\right)^4 \left[\kappa + y^2 \left(\frac{\chi}{f}\right)^{2\gamma} \left(\Lambda_1 + A\sin^2 h + B\sin^4 h\right)\right] = \chi^4 F(y(\chi), \sin h)$$

5 parameters: trade for $m_\sigma m_h v/f_\pi f m_t$

Predictions (e.g. amplitudes) all in terms of physical quantities

CONCLUSIONS & QUESTIONS

- The Higgs has been discovered and it can well be a pNGB
- The CFT broken spontaneously in the IR gives a light dilaton in the spectrum
- Chiral lagrangian for Composite Higgs+Dilaton is quite interesting
 - **Funny geometrical structure (btw, is the cone homotopy trivial?)**
 - **f**=fpi by symmetry ISO(n), but weakly coupled, what about dynamics?

 $\pi_1(Cone)$

singularity

- ★ Clear Dilaton BRs: can we count the Goldstone bosons=dim[G/H]?
- Curious WW-scattering: can we see E^4 behavior? strong vs weak dynamics, dynamics vs symmetry)
- ★ Higgs and Dilaton potential are related
- ★ Can we distinguish it from another Higgs (2HDM?) or extra pNGB?

THANK YOU!

backup slides

HIGGS-LIKE DILATON: FITTING DATA



FITTING DATA

















$$L_{eff} = -\Lambda_{(5)}L^{5}(\partial\chi)^{2}/2 - \chi^{4}\left(-\Lambda_{(5)}L^{5} + V_{IR}L^{4}\right)$$

$$\mathsf{NDA:} \begin{cases} \delta a_{bulk} = -\Lambda_{(5)} L^5 \sim \frac{12^{5/2}}{24\pi^3} = \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \delta a_{IR} = V_{IR} L^4 = V_{IR} \left(\frac{L}{z_{IR}}\right)^4 z_{IR}^4 \sim 16\pi^2 \end{cases}$$



Stabilization: Goldberger and Wise

I) assume the RS tuning: vanishing/small quartic



Stabilization: Goldberger and Wise

I) assume the RS tuning: vanishing/small quartic

2) add a bulk scalar with small mass $\phi \iff \delta \mathcal{L}_{CFT} = \lambda \mathcal{O} \qquad m^2 L^2 = \Delta(\Delta - 4) \simeq 4\epsilon \ll 1$ $V_{eff} = \frac{1}{z_{IR}^4} \left[\delta a_{\epsilon=0} + \delta_1 \epsilon \log(L/z_{IR}) \right] = \chi^4 F(\lambda(\chi))$



Stabilization: Goldberger and Wise

- I) assume the RS tuning: vanishing/small quartic
- 2) add a bulk scalar with small mass $\phi \iff \delta \mathcal{L}_{CFT} = \lambda \mathcal{O} \qquad m^2 L^2 = \Delta(\Delta 4) \simeq 4\epsilon \ll 1$ $V_{eff} = \frac{1}{z_{IR}^4} \left[\delta a_{\epsilon=0} + \delta_1 \epsilon \log(L/z_{IR}) \right] = \chi^4 F(\lambda(\chi))$ 3) VEV: $f = \frac{1}{L} \operatorname{Exp} \left[-\frac{\delta a}{\epsilon \delta_1} \right] \qquad \text{but FT} = \frac{\delta a_{NDA}}{\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)} \gg 1$



Stabilization: Goldberger and Wise

- I) assume the RS tuning: vanishing/small quartic
- 2) add a bulk scalar with small mass $\phi \iff \delta \mathcal{L}_{CFT} = \lambda \mathcal{O} \qquad m^2 L^2 = \Delta(\Delta 4) \simeq 4\epsilon \ll 1$ $V_{eff} = \frac{1}{z_{IR}^4} \left[\delta a_{\epsilon=0} + \delta_1 \epsilon \log(L/z_{IR}) \right] = \chi^4 F(\lambda(\chi))$ 3) VEV: $f = \frac{1}{L} \operatorname{Exp} \left[-\frac{\delta a}{\epsilon \delta_1} \right]$ but $\operatorname{FT} = \frac{\delta a_{NDA}}{\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)} \gg 1$ from large K.T. $\frac{v}{f_{RS}} \sim \frac{v}{m_{KK}N} \ll 1$ not a good candidate





scaling dim.



scaling dim.

After EWSB: only the *diagonal* symmetry survives $h \to (1 + \epsilon) h(x(x')) + \epsilon v$ non-linearly like a Goldstone boson



After EWSB: only the *diagonal* symmetry survives

 $h \rightarrow (1 + \epsilon)h(x(x')) + \epsilon v$ non-linearly like a Goldstone boson

w/o the Higgs (no sym.)
$$S_{IR} = \int d^4x \; [m_t \bar{t}_L t_R + \ldots] \rightarrow \int d^4x \; [m_t (1 - \epsilon) \bar{t}_L t_R + \ldots]$$



After EWSB: only the *diagonal* symmetry survives $h \to (1 + \epsilon)h(x(x')) + \epsilon v$ non-linearly like a Goldstone boson

w/o the Higgs (no sym.) $S_{IR} = \int d^4x \; [m_t \bar{t}_L t_R + \ldots] \rightarrow \int d^4x \; [m_t (1 - \epsilon) \bar{t}_L t_R + \ldots]$

w/ the Higgs
$$S_{IR} = \int d^4x \left[m_t \overline{t}_L t_R + \frac{h}{v} (m_t \overline{t}_L t_R) + \ldots \right]$$



After EWSB: only the *diagonal* symmetry survives $h \to (1 + \epsilon)h(x(x')) + \epsilon v$ non-linearly like a Goldstone boson

w/o the Higgs (no sym.)
$$S_{IR} = \int d^4x \ [m_t \bar{t}_L t_R + \ldots] \rightarrow \int d^4x \ [m_t (1 - \epsilon) \bar{t}_L t_R + \ldots]$$

w/ the Higgs $S_{IR} = \int d^4x \ [m_t \bar{t}_L t_R + \frac{h}{v} (m_t \bar{t}_L t_R) + \ldots]$
 $M_t (\frac{h}{v} + \epsilon) \bar{t}_L t_R$
sym.is restored.

ſ		gauge					
		SU(3)	SU(2)	$\mid U(1)$	$U(1)_R$		
	\overline{Q}	3	2	1/3	1		
	L	1	2	-1	-3		
	\overline{U}	$\overline{3}$	1	-4/3	-8		
	\overline{D}	$\overline{3}$	1	2/3	4		

 $g_i(\Lambda_i) \approx 4\pi$

 $\Lambda_3 \gg \Lambda_2$

3 classical flat directions: $Q\bar{D}L \quad Q\bar{U}L \quad \det \bar{Q}Q$

(gau			
	SU(3)	SU(2)	$\mid U(1)$	$U(1)_R$
\overline{Q}	3	2	1/3	1
L	1	2	-1	-3
\overline{U}	$\overline{3}$	1	-4/3	-8
\overline{D}	$\overline{3}$	1	2/3	4
i.				

 $g_i(\Lambda_i) \approx 4\pi$

 $\Lambda_3 \gg \Lambda_2$



 $\Lambda_3 \gg \Lambda_2$







small







is the dilaton naturally light? not quite


F is the vacuum energy in units of f





F is the vacuum energy in units of f

NDA:
$$F_{NDA} \sim \frac{\Lambda^4}{16\pi^2 f^4} = 16\pi^2$$

generically very steep potential!



F is the vacuum energy in units of f NDA: $F_{NDA} \sim \frac{\Lambda^4}{16\pi^2 f^4} = 16\pi^2$ generically very steep potential! $V' = f^3[4F(\lambda(f)) + \beta F'(\lambda(f))] = 0$ is not small $m_{dil}^2 \sim 256\pi^2 f^2 \sim \Lambda^2$



start with a ~flat direction; no large vacuum energy (natural only in SUSY?)

F is the vacuum energy in units of f NDA: $F_{NDA} \sim \frac{\Lambda^4}{16\pi^2 f^4} = 16\pi^2$ generically very steep potential! $V' = f^3[4F(\lambda(f)) + \beta F'(\lambda(f))] = 0$ is not small $m_{dil}^2 \sim 256\pi^2 f^2 \sim \Lambda^2$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT} + \lambda \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow V = \chi^4 F(\lambda(\chi)) \qquad I$$

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{a}{4} + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \ldots \right]$$

$$\int_{\text{sym}} \int_{\text{sym}} \int_{\text{sym}} \text{breaking}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT} + \lambda \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \left[V = \chi^4 F(\lambda(\chi)) \right]$$

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{a}{4} + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots \right]$$

sym sym breaking

) small vacuum energy
$$a \ll 16\pi^2$$

 $0 \qquad \delta F \qquad a \qquad 16\pi^2$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT} + \lambda \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \left[V = \chi^4 F(\lambda(\chi)) \right]$$

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{a}{4} + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots \right]$$

sym sym breaking

) small vacuum energy $a \ll 16\pi^2$



2) δF dynamically cancels vs a $a + \delta F(f) \simeq 0$ $f = \Lambda_{UV} \left(\frac{-4\pi c_0}{\lambda(M)c_1}\right)^{1/\epsilon}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT} + \lambda \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow V = \chi^4 F(\lambda(\chi))$$

$$F(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$sym \quad sym \text{ breaking}$$

$$F(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$F(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$F(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$f(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots\right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT} + \lambda \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow V = \chi^4 F(\lambda(\chi)) \qquad F(\lambda) = a + \delta F(\lambda) = 16\pi^2 \left[c_0 + c_1 \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} + \dots \right]$$

sym fym breaking
1) small vacuum energy $a \ll 16\pi^2$
 $\int \delta F = \frac{1}{\delta F} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int f = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int f = \frac$











G	H	N_G	NGBs rep. $[H] = \text{rep.}[SU(2) \times SU(2)]$
SO(5)	SO(4)	4	${f 4}=({f 2},{f 2})$
SO(6)	SO(5)	5	${f 5}=({f 1},{f 1})+({f 2},{f 2})$
SO(6)	$SO(4) \times SO(2)$	8	$\mathbf{4_{+2}} + \mathbf{ar{4}_{-2}} = 2 imes (2, 2)$
SO(7)	SO(6)	6	${f 6}=2 imes ({f 1},{f 1})+({f 2},{f 2})$
SO(7)	$\mathbf{G_2}$	7	${f 7}=({f 1},{f 3})+({f 2},{f 2})$
SO(7)	$SO(5) \times SO(2)$	10	$10_0 = (3, 1) + (1, 3) + (2, 2)$
SO(7)	$[SO(3)]^{3}$	12	(2, 2, 3) = 3 imes (2, 2)
$\operatorname{Sp}(6)$	$Sp(4) \times SU(2)$	8	$(4, 2) = 2 \times (2, 2), (2, 2) + 2 \times (2, 1)$
SU(5)	$SU(4) \times U(1)$	8	$4_{-5}+\mathbf{ar{4}}_{+5}=2 imes(2,2)$
SU(5)	SO(5)	14	${f 14}=({f 3},{f 3})+({f 2},{f 2})+({f 1},{f 1})$

Holography





$$f_{\pi}^{2} = \frac{4}{g_{5}^{2}} \frac{L_{0}}{L_{1}^{2}} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{g_{5}^{2}}{L_{0}^{2}} \gtrsim \frac{g_{eff}^{2} \log(L_{1}/L_{0})}{\frac{L_{0}}{g_{5}^{2}} \log(L_{1}/L_{0}) + \frac{1}{g_{UV}^{2}} + \frac{1}{g_{IR}^{2}} = \frac{1}{g_{eff}^{2}}$$

$$\frac{f_\pi^2}{f^2} \ll 1$$
 in AdS5