

(New) Physics at the LHC Fabiola Gianotti (CERN)

- Status of machine and experiments, experimental challenges
- ${f O}$  The first year(s) of data taking
- Longer-term physics potential (examples ...)
- Constraining the underlying theory









110 dipoles installed in the underground tunnel as of last Friday



F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference,



Cryoline successfully cooled down last week

Such a high-tech machine requires sophisticated tests ...



F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conferei

Not only dipoles ....

Dipoles	1232
Quadrupoles	400
Sextupoles	2464
Octupoles/decapoles	1568
Orbit correctors	642
Others	376
Total	~ 6700







23/10/2004: first beam injection test from SPS to LHC through TI8 transfer line

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri, 19/09/2005



# LHC physics goals

Search for the Standard Model Higgs boson over ~ 115 <  $m_H$  < 1000 GeV.

Explore the highly-motivated TeV-scale, search for physics beyond the SM (Supersymmetry, Extra-dimensions, q/l compositness, leptoquarks, W'/Z', heavy q/l, etc.)

Precise measurements :

- -- W mass
- -- top mass, couplings and decay properties
- -- Higgs mass, spin, couplings (if Higgs found)
- -- B-physics (mainly LHCb): CP violation, rare decays, B<sup>0</sup> oscillations
- -- QCD jet cross-section and  $a_{\!\scriptscriptstyle S}$

-- etc. ....

Study phase transition at high density from hadronic matter to quark-gluon plasma (mainly ALICE).

Etc. etc. ....

## The environment and the experimental challenges

• Don't know how New Physics will manifest  $\rightarrow$  detectors must be able to detect as many particles and signatures as possible: e,  $\mu$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\gamma$ , jets, b-quarks, ....  $\rightarrow$  ATLAS and CMS are general-purpose experiments.



Event rate and pile-up (consequence of high luminosity ...)



Impact of pile-up on detector requirements and performance:

- -- fast response : ~ 50 ns
- -- granularity : >  $10^8$  channels
- -- radiation resistance (up to 10<sup>16</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>/year in forward calorimeters)
- -- event reconstruction much more challenging than at previous colliders





- No hope to observe light objects (W, Z, H?) in fully-hadronic final states  $\rightarrow$  rely on I,  $\gamma$
- Fully-hadronic final states (e.g.  $q^* \rightarrow qg$ ) can be extracted from backgrounds only with hard O(100 GeV)  $p_T$  cuts  $\rightarrow$  works only for heavy objects
- Mass resolutions of ~ 1% (10%) needed for I,  $\gamma$  (jets) to extract tiny signals from backgrounds
- Excellent particle identification: e.g. e/jet separation



![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

Length : ~45 m Radius : ~12 m Weight : ~ 7000 tons Electronic channels : ~ 10<sup>8</sup>

... and 3000 km of cables ...

#### • Tracking (|1|<2.5, B=2T) :

- -- Si pixels and strips
- -- Transition Radiation Detector ( $e/\pi$  separation)

#### • Calorimetry ( $|\eta|$ <5) :

- -- EM : Pb-LAr
- -- HAD: Fe/scintillator (central), Cu/W-LAr (fwd)

#### • Muon Spectrometer ( $|\eta|$ <2.7) :

air-core toroids with muon chambers

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetril, 17, 07, 100

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

Length : ~22 m Radius : ~7 m Weight : ~ 12500 tons

- Tracking ( $|\eta|$ <2.5, B=4T): Si pixels and strips
- Calorimetry ( $|\eta|$ <5):
- -- EM : PbWO<sub>4</sub> crystals
- -- HAD: brass/scintillator (central+ end-cap), Fe/Quartz (fwd)
- Muon Spectrometer ( $|\eta|$ <2.5) : return yoke of solenoid instrumented with muon chambers

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri, 191

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

Point 1: 8<sup>th</sup> (and last) ATLAS barrel toroid installed in the underground cavern

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri, 19/09/2005

August 25 2005: an historical day at Point 1 and Point 5 Point 5: CMS magnet (230 tons, L=12.5 m, R=3m) rotated from vertical to horizontal position before insertion into cryostat (operation at T=4.2 K)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

## CMS end-cap Muon Spectrometer

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

All 400 CSC chambers produced, > 60% installed

# ATLAS inner tracker: insertion of the third Silicon layer (out of four) into the barrel cylinder

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

First cosmic muons observed by ATLAS in the underground cavern on June 20th (recorded by hadron Tilecal calorimeter) ATLAS Atlantis Event JiveXML 1114 00005

2005

Tower energies:

Phi (Degree

W

~ 2.5 GeV

T scale = 1 GeV : Missing ET = 0 GeV

# Examples of expected performance

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

10

2000

4000

m(l⁺l⁻) GeV

be discovered in the X → ee channel (muon decay useful for couplings, asymmetry, etc.)

8000

6000

First collisions (Summer 2007) : L ~  $5 \times 10^{28}$ Plans to reach L ~  $10^{33}$  in/before 2009 Hope to collect few fb<sup>-1</sup> per experiment by end 2008

Channels ( <u>examples</u> )	Events to tape for 1 fb <sup>-1</sup> (per expt: ATLAS, CMS)	Total statistics from previous Colliders
$W \rightarrow \mu \nu$	7 × 10 <sup>6</sup>	~ 10 <sup>4</sup> LEP, ~ 10 <sup>6</sup> Tevatron
Z→µµ	~ 10 <sup>6</sup>	~ 10 <sup>6</sup> LEP, ~ 10 <sup>5</sup> Tevatron
tt →W b W b → $\mu v$ +X	~ 10 <sup>5</sup>	~ 10 <sup>4</sup> Tevatron
$\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$ m = 1 TeV	10 <sup>2</sup> - 10 <sup>3</sup>	

With these data:

- Understand and calibrate detectors in situ using well-known physics samples
  - e.g.  $-Z \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu$  tracker, ECAL, Muon chambers calibration and alignment, etc. - tt  $\rightarrow$  blv bjj jet scale from W $\rightarrow$ jj, b-tag performance, etc.
- Measure SM physics at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV : W, Z, tt, QCD jets ... (omnipresent backgrounds to New Physics)

 $\overline{F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugurd} \rightarrow$  prepare the road to discovery ..... it will take a lot of time ...

## Example of initial SM measurement : top signal and top mass

(relevant to New Physics .....)

ATLAS 150 pb<sup>-1</sup> ( < 20 days at 10<sup>32</sup>) 300 250 200 150 100 50 B=W+4 iets (ALPGEN) 250 200 150 100 400 M (jjj) GeV

- top signal visible pretty soon with simple selection cuts and no b-tagging
- cross-section to ~ 20%
- top mass to ~7 GeV
- get feedback on detector performance (jet E-scale, b-tag)
- tt is background to many searches

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

- Very simple selection:

  - -- exactly 4 jets  $p_T > 40$  GeV
  - -- no kinematic fit
  - -- no b-tagging required (pessimistic, assumes trackers not yet understood)
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  Plot invariant mass of 3 jets with highest  $p_{T}$

Time	Events at 10 <sup>33</sup>	Stat. error $\delta M_{top}(GeV)$	Stat. error δσ/σ
1 year	3x10 <sup>5</sup>	0.1	0.2%
1 month	7x10 <sup>4</sup>	0.2	0.4%
1 week	2x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.4	2.5%

Ultimate LHC measurement precision:  $m_{top}$  to ~ 1 GeV (and  $m_W$  to ~ 15 MeV)

Bentvelsen et al

What about early discoveries? Three examples ....

<u>An easy case</u> : a new (narrow) resonance of mass ~ 1 TeV decaying into  $e^+e^-$ , e.g. a Z' or a Graviton  $\rightarrow e^+e^-$  of mass ~ 1 TeV

An intermediate case : SUSY

<u>A difficult case</u> : a light Higgs (m<sub>H</sub> ~ 115 GeV)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri, 19/09/2005

## An "easy case" : $G \rightarrow e+e-resonance$ with m ~ 1 TeV

· ·		•	<b>I</b> .
Mass	Events for 1	0 fb <sup>-1</sup>	∫L dt for discovery
(TeV)	(after all cut	s)	(≥ 10 observed events)
0.9	~ 80		~ 1.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>
1.1	~ 25	CMS	~ 4 fb <sup>-1</sup>
1.25	~ 13		~ 8 fb <sup>-1</sup>

BR ( $G \rightarrow ee \approx 2\%$ ), c = 0.01 (small/conservative coupling to SM particles)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

signal is <u>mass peak</u> above background

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### <u>An "intermediate case" : SUPERSYMMETRY</u>

If SUSY stabilizes  $m_H \rightarrow at$  TeV scale  $\rightarrow$  could be found quickly .... thanks to:

- large  $\widetilde{q}\widetilde{q}, \widetilde{q}\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$  cross-section  $\rightarrow \approx 100$  events/day at  $10^{33}$  for  $m(\widetilde{q}, \widetilde{g}) \sim 1$  TeV
- spectacular signatures from cascade decays of heavy objects

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Why is SUSY more difficult than the previous case?

Because of larger (and less well known) detector-related and physics backgrounds

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### <u>A difficult case: a light Higgs (m<sub>H</sub> ~ 115 GeV) ...</u>

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Expected Higgs signal significance  $(S/\sqrt{B})$ in ATLAS (combining both experiments significance increases by ~  $\sqrt{2}$ )

- Higgs can be discovered over full allowed mass range
  - $\rightarrow$  LHC will say final word about SM Higgs mechanism
- Most difficult region (especially at the beginnning) :  $m_H \sim 115 \text{ GeV}$ 
  - close-to-optimal detector performance needed to detect H  $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ , ttH  $\rightarrow$  bb, qqH $\rightarrow \tau\tau$
  - knowledge of (huge) backgrounds to few percent required
- $\rightarrow$  it will take a lot of time ...

F. Gianotti, GGI Ind

#### If $m_H > 180 \text{ GeV}$ : early discovery easier with gold-plated $H \rightarrow 41$ channel

 $H \rightarrow 4I$ : low-rate but very clean (narrow mass peak, small background)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

May be observed with 3-4 fb<sup>-1</sup> (end 2008?)

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Second Examples of longer-term potential

Look for a continuum of Graviton KK states :

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  topology is jet(s) + missing E<sub>T</sub>

Cross-section 
$$\approx \frac{1}{M_D^{\delta+2}}$$
  
 $M_D = \text{gravity scale}$ 

 $\delta$  = number of extra-dimensions

		AILA	<u>15, 100 fb<sup>-1</sup></u>
	δ = 2	δ = 3	δ = 4
M <sub>D</sub> <sup>max</sup>	9 TeV	7 TeV	6 TeV

100 (1-1

5

<u>Discriminating between models:</u> -- SUSY : multijets plus  $E_T^{miss}$  (+ leptons, ...) -- ADD : monojet plus  $E_T^{miss}$ 

#### Extra-dimensions (ADD models)

To characterize the model need to measure  $M_{\rm D}$  and  $\delta$ 

Measurement of cross-section gives ambiguous results: e.g.  $\delta$ =2,  $M_D$ = 5 TeV very similar to  $\delta$ =4,  $M_D$ = 4 TeV

![](_page_27_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_12.jpeg)

Good discrimination between various solutions possible with expected <5% accuracy on  $\sigma(10)/\sigma(14)$  for 50 fb<sup>-1</sup>

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

Alternative approach to the hierarchy problem predicting heavy top T (EW singlet), new gauge bosons  $W_H$ ,  $Z_H$ ,  $A_H$  and Higgs triplet  $\Phi^0$ ,  $\Phi^+$ ,  $\Phi^{++}$ 

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

Observation of  $T \rightarrow Zt$ , Wb discriminates from 4<sup>th</sup> family quarks Observation of  $V_H \rightarrow Vh$ discriminates from W', Z'

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Other scenarios .....

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Large number of scenarios studied:

- $\Rightarrow$  demonstrated detector sensitivity to many signatures
  - $\rightarrow$  robustness, ability to cope with unexpected scenarios
- $\Rightarrow$  LHC <u>direct</u> discovery reach up to m  $\approx$  5-6 TeV

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_7.jpeg)

# • Constraining the underlying theory ...

Courtesy M. Duehrssen

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Lot of useful information to constrain the theory

(though not competitive with LC precision of e.g.  $\approx$  % on couplings)

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri, 19/09/2005

#### Higgs self-coupling $\lambda$

- not accessible at LHC
- may be constrained to  $\approx 20\%$ at Super-LHC (L=10<sup>35</sup>)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

Buszello et al. SN-ATLAS-2003-025

#### <u>Higgs spin and CP</u> Promising for $m_H > 180 \text{ GeV} (H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I)$ , difficult at lower masses

![](_page_31_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Significance for exclusion of $J^{CP}=0^+$

ATLAS + CMS, 2 x 300 fb<sup>-1</sup>

m <sub>H</sub> (GeV)	J <sup>CP</sup> = 1+	J <sup>CP</sup> = 1⁻	J <sup>CP</sup> =0 <sup>-</sup>
200	<b>6.5</b> σ	<b>4.8</b> σ	40 σ
250	20 σ	<b>19</b> σ	<b>80</b> σ
300	<b>23</b> σ	<b>22</b> σ	<b>70</b> σ

### Precise SUSY measurements

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

Mass peaks cannot be directly reconstructed ( $\chi^{0}_{1}$  undetectable) → measure invariant mass spectra (end-points, edges,..) of visible particles → deduce constraints on combinations of sparticle masses

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Putting all measurements together:

- deduce several sparticle masses: typical precision 1%-20% Model-indep. (just kinematics), but interpretation is model-dep.
- from fit of model to all experimental measurements derive
  - -- sparticle masses with higher accuracy
  - -- fundamental parameters of theory to 1-30%
- -- dark matter ( $\chi^{0}_{1}$ ) relic density and  $\sigma$  ( $\chi^{0}_{1}$  nucleon)

demonstrated so far in mSUGRA (5 param.) and in more general MSSM (14 param.)

![](_page_33_Figure_7.jpeg)

General strategy toward understanding the underlying theory

(SUSY as an example ...)

Discovery phase: inclusive searches ... as model-independent as possible

<u>First characterization of model</u>: from general features: Large  $E_T^{miss}$ ? Many leptons? Exotic signatures (heavy stable charged particles, many  $\gamma$ 's, etc.)? Excess of b-jets or  $\tau$ 's?...

Interpretation phase:

- reconstruct/look for semi-inclusive topologies, eg.:
  - -- h  $\rightarrow$  bb peaks (can be abundantly produced in sparticle decays)
  - -- di-lepton edges
  - -- Higgs sector: e.g. A/H  $\rightarrow \mu\mu$  ,  $\tau\tau \Rightarrow$  indication about tan $\beta$  , measure masses
  - -- tt pairs and their spectra  $\Rightarrow$  stop or sbottom production, gluino  $\rightarrow$  stop-top
- determine (combinations of) masses from kinematic measurements (e.g. edges ...)
- measure observables sensitive to parameters of theory (e.g. mass hierarchy)

At each step narrow landscape of possible models and get guidance to go on:

- lot of information from LHC data (masses, cross-sections, topologies, etc.)
- consistency with other data (astrophysics, rare decays, etc.)
- · joint effort theorists/experimentalists will be crucial

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri, 19/09/2005

# **Conclusions**

Past year achievements in the LHC machine construction are impressive, giving robustness to the schedule (CERN fully committed to it !). Main objectives: -- complete installation by end of 2006 -- deliver first collisions by summer 2007

The experiments are generally on track for ready-for-beam in middle 2007 Emphasis is now on integration, installation, commissioning of machine and detectors of unprecedented complexity, technology and performance

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

# so, hopefully ...

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri

In ~ 2 years from now, particle physics will enter a new epoch, hopefully the most glorious and fruitful of its history.

The LHC will explore in detail the highly-motivated TeV-scale with a direct discovery potential up to m  $\approx$  5-6 TeV

- $\rightarrow$  if New Physics is there, the LHC will find it (\*)
- → it will say the final word about the SM Higgs mechanism and many TeV-scale predictions
- → it may add crucial pieces to our knowledge of fundamental physics → impact also on astroparticle physics and cosmology
- → most importantly: it will likely tell us which are the right questions to ask, and how to go on

(\*) Early determination of scale of New Physics would be crucial for the future of our discipline and for the planning of future facilities (ILC ? CLIC ? Underground Dark Matter searches ? ....)

# Spare slides

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri, 19/09/2005

# LHC start-up scenario

# Stage 1

Initial commissioning 43x43 to 156x156, N=3x10<sup>10</sup> Zero to partial squeeze

L=3x10<sup>28</sup> - 2x10<sup>31</sup>

### Stage 2

75 ns operation 936x936, N=3-4x10<sup>10</sup> partial squeeze

L=10<sup>32</sup> - 4x10<sup>32</sup>

## Stage 3

25 ns operation 2808x2808, N=3-5x10<sup>10</sup> partial to near full squeeze

L=7x10<sup>32</sup> - 2x10<sup>33</sup>

Stage 4 25 ns operation Push to nominal per bunch partial to full squeeze

L=10<sup>34</sup>

" Difficult to speculate further on what the performance might be in the first year. As always, CERN accelerators departments will do their best !"

Lyn Evans, LHC Project Leader

![](_page_39_Figure_14.jpeg)

• Now : detectors being commissioned with cosmic rays also in large chunks, addressing system issues.

 $\cdot$  Q1 06, cosmic challenge: slice test of CMS during the Magnet Test

 $\cdot$  Test with cosmic rays will continue in the pit after installation and re-cabling

• **Pilot run:** Assume that we get a reasonable amount of collision data which are completed by Beam Gas/Beam Halo Muon datasets

•LVL1/HLT/DAQ: Timing-in, data coherence, sub-system synchronization, calibration, debug algorithms, …

•ECAL and HCAL calibration :Intercalibrate barrel crystals -

"Phi Symmetry Method" ~2% and Cross check and complete source calibration for HCAL channels ~2%

 $\cdot \text{Tracker}$  and Muon alignment : Align the tracker strip detector significantly below the 100  $\mu m$  level, Align the muon chambers at the 100  $\mu m$  level

# **CMS** Status

- Civil Engineering is off the Critical Path
- Magnet: Coil connected. Start swivelling preparations in June 2005. Q1-06 end magnet test and cosmic challenge & start heavy lowering April 06
- HCAL, Muons : construction on schedule and well advanced.
- TO WATCH:
- ECAL: Crystals production, new contracts signed with two vendors.
- TRACKER: Hybrid production and tracker integration at CERN.

Initial CMS\* detector will be ready and closed for beam on 30 June 2007.

\*ECAL endcaps and pixels (even though ready) will be installed during winter 2007 shutdown in time for physics run in 2008.

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

Impact of pile-up on detector requirements and performance:

- -- fast response : ~ 50 ns
- -- granularity : > 10<sup>8</sup> channels
- -- radiation resistance (up to 10<sup>16</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>/year in forward calorimeters)
- -- event reconstruction much more challenging than at previous colliders

# • The first year(s) of data taling

The first LHC data : from Summer 2007...

1 fb<sup>-1</sup> (10 fb<sup>-1</sup>) = 6 months at  $10^{32}$  ( $10^{33}$ ) cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> at 50% efficiency  $\rightarrow$  may collect few fb<sup>-1</sup> per experiment by end 2008

Channels ( <u>examples</u> )	Events to tape for 1 fb <sup>-1</sup> (per expt: ATLAS, CMS)	Total statistics from previous Colliders
$W \rightarrow \mu \nu$	7 × 10 <sup>6</sup>	~ 10 <sup>4</sup> LEP, ~ 10 <sup>6</sup> Tevatron
Z→µµ	~ 10 <sup>6</sup>	~ 10 <sup>6</sup> LEP, ~ 10 <sup>5</sup> Tevatron
tt →W b W b → $\mu$ v +X	~ 10 <sup>5</sup>	~ 10 <sup>4</sup> Tevatron
$\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$ m = 1 TeV	10 <sup>2</sup> - 10 <sup>3</sup>	

#### With these data:

- Understand and calibrate detectors in situ using well-known physics samples
  - e.g.  $-Z \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu$  tracker, ECAL, Muon chambers calibration and alignment, etc. - tt  $\rightarrow$  blv bjj jet scale from W $\rightarrow$ jj, b-tag performance, etc.
- Measure SM physics at vs = 14 TeV : W, Z, tt, QCD jets ... (omnipresent backgrounds to New Physics)

 $\rightarrow$  prepare the road to discovery ...... it will take a lot of time ...

<u>A difficult case: a light Higgs (m<sub>H</sub> ~ 115 GeV) ...</u>

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Remarks:

Each channel contributes ~  $2\sigma$  to total significance  $\rightarrow$  observation of all channels important to extract convincing signal in first year(s)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

- different production and decay modes
- different backgrounds
- different detector/performance requirements:
  - -- ECAL crucial for H  $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  (in particular response uniformity) :  $\sigma/m \sim 1\%$  needed
  - -- b-tagging crucial for ttH: 4 b-tagged jets needed to reduce combinatorics
  - -- efficient jet reconstruction over  $|\eta|$  < 5 crucial for qqH  $\rightarrow$  qqtt :

forward jet tag and central jet veto needed against background

Note : -- all require "low" trigger thresholds

E.g. ttH analysis cuts :  $p_T$  (I) > 20 GeV,  $p_T$  (jets) > 15-30 GeV

-- all require very good understanding (1-10%) of backgrounds

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri, 19/09/2005

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

• H  $\rightarrow$  WW  $\rightarrow$  Iv Iv : high rate (~ 100 evts/expt) but no mass peak

- $\rightarrow\,$  not ideal for early discovery ...
- $H \rightarrow 4I$ : low-rate but very clean : narrow mass peak, small background

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

Here only h (SM - like) observable at LHC, unless A, H,  $H^{\pm} \rightarrow$  SUSY  $\rightarrow$  LHC may miss part of the MSSM Higgs spectrum Observation of full spectrum may require high-E ( $\sqrt{s} \approx 2$  TeV) Lepton Collider

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

Most of MSSM Higgs plane already covered after 1 year at L= 10<sup>33</sup> ...

Extended gauge groups :  $Z' \rightarrow I^+I^-$ 

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Reach in 1 year at 10<sup>34</sup> : 4-5 TeV
- Discriminating between models possible up to m ~ 2.5 TeV by measuring:
  - --  $\sigma \textbf{x} \Gamma$  of resonance
  - -- lepton F-B asymmetry
  - -- Z' rapidity

# Mini black holes production at LHC ?

... quite speculative for the time being ... many big theoretical uncertainties

• Schwarzschild radius (i.e. within which nothing escapes gravitational force):

4-dim., 
$$M_{\text{gravity}} = M_{\text{Planck}}$$
:  $R_{\text{S}} \sim \frac{2}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$   
4 +  $\delta$ -dim.,  $M_{\text{gravity}} = M_{\text{D}} \sim \text{TeV}$ :  $R_{\text{S}} \sim \frac{1}{M_{\text{D}}} \left(\frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{M_{\text{D}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}}$ 

Since  $M_D$  is low, tiny black holes of  $M_{BH} \sim \text{TeV}$  can be produced if partons ij with  $\sqrt{s_{ij}} = M_{BH}$  pass at a distance smaller than  $R_S$ 

![](_page_50_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Large partonic cross-section :  $\sigma(ij \rightarrow BH) \sim \pi R_s^2$ e.g. For  $M_D \sim 3$  TeV and  $\delta = 4$ ,  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow BH) \sim 100$  fb  $\rightarrow 1000$  events in 1 year at low L
- Black holes decay immediately ( $\tau \sim 10^{-26}$  s) by Hawking radiation (democratic evaporation) :
  - -- large multiplicity
  - -- small missing E
  - -- jets/leptons ~5

expected signature (quite spectacular ...)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

A black hole event with  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 8 \mbox{ TeV}$  in ATLAS

From preliminary studies : reach is  $M_D \sim 6$  TeV for any  $\delta$  in one year at low luminosity.

By testing Hawking formula  $\rightarrow$  proof that it is BH + measurement of  $M_D$ ,  $\delta$ 

 $\log T_{\rm H} = -\frac{1}{\delta + 1} \log M_{\rm BH} + f(M_{\rm D}, \delta)$ 

precise measurements of  $M_{BH}$  and  $T_{H}$  needed ( $T_{H}$  from lepton and photon spectra)

Note: mini-BH should also be produced by ultra-high-energy cosmic neutrinos and observed by Auger

F. Gianotti, GGI Inaugural Conference, Arcetri, 19/09/2005

Other examples of reach for Physics beyond SM ...

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Links with astrophysics and cosmology?

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

# <u>Measurement of $\sigma_{tot}$ (pp)</u>

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)