Electroweak NLOPS for the Higgs decay into four charged leptons

C.M. Carloni Calame Pavia University

HP2⁵ workshop, Galileo Galilei Institute, Florence

in collaboration with S. Boselli, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, F. Piccinini

C.M. Carloni	Calame
--------------	--------

 $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$

- * Motivations for a detailed simulation of $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 2\ell 2\ell^{(\prime)}$
- ★ Outline of the EW NLO calculation (in the SM)
- ⋆ Matching EW NLO with a QED Parton Shower
- ⋆ Comparison to independent calculations
- ⋆ Results
- ⋆ Conclusions and outlook

4 3 5 4 3

Motivations

- after the Higgs discovery, its nature is studied with high precision
- H mass already measured at the few 0.1% level

 $m_H = 125.36 \pm 0.37 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.18 \text{ (sys) GeV} \text{ [ATLAS]}$ $125.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (sys) GeV} \text{ [CMS]}$

arXiv:1406.3827 (submitted to PRD); PRD 89, 092007

- spin/parity consistent with the SM
- next run will require high accuracy SM predictions, to test SM itself or disentagle any deviation, firstly in the cleanest decay channels
 - $\star \ H \to \gamma \gamma$
 - $\star~H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4 \text{ charged leptons}$
- EW NLO and higher order corrections to H decay into 4ℓ have to be considered

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Previous and independent work

• (gauge invariant) QED corrections to $H \to ZZ \to 4\ell$ in leading-log (LL) approximation, QED Parton Shower approach

CMCC et al., NPB Proc. Suppl. 157, 73 (2006)

PoS HEP 2005, 307 (2006)

- ★ estimated impact of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ RC on extracted m_H : $\mathcal{O}(100 \text{ MeV})$
- ★ estimated impact of higher-order RC: O(few 10 MeV)
- full one-loop EW (& QCD) corrections to $H \to ZZ/WW \to 4f$, implemented in the publicly available Prophecy4f

A. Bredenstein et al., PRD 74 (2006) 013004 NPB Proc. Suppl. **160** (2006) 131 JHEP 0702 (2007)

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

 combination of 1-loop EW RC and QED exponentiation is a desirable feature

NLO RC: calculation approach

As usual, the partial width is split into two parts, $\Gamma^{NLO} = \Gamma_{1 \rightarrow 4} + \Gamma_{1 \rightarrow 5}$ IR singularities are regularized with a vanishingly small photon mass λ

 $\bullet \ H \to 4\ell$

$$\Gamma_{1\to 4} = \frac{1}{2m_H} \int d\Phi_4(|M_0|^2 + 2\Re[M_0^* \times M_\alpha^{1L}(\lambda)])$$

• $H \to 4\ell + \gamma$

$$\Gamma_{1\to 5} = \frac{1}{2m_H} \int_{\omega > \lambda} d\Phi_5 |M_{\alpha}^{1\gamma}|^2 = \delta(\lambda, k_0) \ \Gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2m_H} \int_{\omega > k_0} d\Phi_5 |M_{\alpha}^{1\gamma}|^2$$

- matrix elements consistently evaluated including Z (and W, top) decay widths
- the integration over the 4/5-particles phase space is done with MC techniques and fully-exclusive events are generated

NLO RC: 1-loop corrections

- the full set (vertex, box, pentagon, self-energy corrections) of 1-loop EW diagrams are evaluated in the Feynman-'t Hooft gauge, in the on-shell renormalization scheme Omitted only the (negligible) diagrams where H is coupled to external fermions
- the on-shell ren. is "translated" to the *complex mass scheme* to consistently treat the *Z* (+ *W*, top) widths in the loop diagrams preserving IR cancellation and gauge invariance

A. Denner et al., PLB **612**, 223 (2005), ibid. **704**, 667 (2011) A. Denner et al., NPB **724**, 247 (2005), ibid. **854**, 504 (2012)

 the 1-loop A, B, C, D, E functions and tensor coefficients are evalutated with the help of LoopTools v2.10, with complex Z, W, top masses

T. Hahn, www.feynarts.de/looptools/

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

 independence of UV & IR regulators checked with high numerical accuracy

C.M. Carloni Calame

NLO cross-checks, comparison to Prophecy4f

- the calculation is implemented in the new MC EG Hto41
- numerics done in the G_{μ} scheme with the inputs

$$\begin{array}{l} M_{\rm W}^{\rm LEP} = 80.398\,{\rm GeV} & \Gamma_{\rm W}^{\rm LEP} = 2.141\,{\rm GeV} \\ M_{\rm Z}^{\rm LEP} = 91.1876\,{\rm GeV} & \Gamma_{\rm Z}^{\rm LEP} = 2.4952\,{\rm GeV} \\ m_{\rm t} = 172.5\,{\rm GeV} \end{array}$$

the "on-shell" LEP M_V and Γ_V are converted to the "pole" (fixed-width) ones by means of

$$M_V = M_V^{\rm LEP} / \sqrt{1 + (\Gamma_V^{\rm LEP} / M_V^{\rm LEP})^2} \qquad \Gamma_V = \Gamma_V^{\rm LEP} / \sqrt{1 + (\Gamma_V^{\rm LEP} / M_V^{\rm LEP})^2}$$

various lepton pairs invariant masses and the angle between the Z decay planes are considered

$$\cos\phi \equiv \frac{\left[(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) \times \mathbf{p}_1\right] \cdot \left[-(\mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_4) \times \mathbf{p}_3\right]}{|(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) \times \mathbf{p}_1||(\mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_4) \times \mathbf{p}_3|}$$

 $\star \cos \phi$ is sensitive to *H* spin

NLO cross-checks, comparison to Prophecy4f



- perfect agreement for EW NLO partial decay widths between Hto41 and Prophecy4f
- for $m_H=125~{\rm GeV},$ EW NLO corrected decay width is $\simeq 1\%$ larger than LO

U.IVI. Uariurii Ualarite	C.M.	Carloni	Calame
--------------------------	------	---------	--------

NLO cross-checks, comparison to Prophecy4f



perfect agreement also on distributions

 $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$

Beyond NLO: matching with multiple photon emission

• the LL QED (PS) exponentiated width can be cast as

$$d\Gamma_{PS}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{2m_H} \Pi(Q^2, k_0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} |M_{LL,n}|^2 d\Phi_{4+n}^{[\omega_n > k_0]}$$

 which can be improved to include exact NLO EW corrections by the master formula

$$d\Gamma_{matched}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{2m_H} \Pi(Q^2, k_0) C_{SV} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^n C_{H,i} |M_n^{LL}|^2 d\Phi_{4+n}$$

with $C_{SV} = 1 + \frac{d\Gamma_{SV}^{NLO} - d\Gamma_{SV}^{PS}}{d\Gamma_0}$ $C_{H,i} = 1 + \frac{|M_{[i]}^{ex}|^2 - |M_{[i]}^{LL}|^2}{|M_{[i]}^{LL}|^2}$

CMCC et al., NPB 758, 227 (2006)

CMCC et al., JHEP 0710, 109 (2007), JHEP 0612, 016 (2006)

C.M. Carloni Calame

September 3-5 2014 10 / 18

Beyond NLO: matching properties

 C_{SV} and $C_{H,i}$:

- are built with up-to-1-loop "ingredients" and infrared/collinear safe
- account for $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ QED non-log & weak RC missing in the PS, avoiding LL double counting, so that
- $\star \ [\Gamma^{\infty}_{matched}]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha)} = \Gamma_{NLO}$
- \star the resummation of QED LL higher orders is preserved
- the master formula allows for a fully exclusive generation of all f.s. momenta (leptons and photons)
- the integral over the phase space $d\Phi_{4+n}$ is exact
- the closer $|M_{[i]}^{LL}|^2$ to $|M_{[i]}^{ex}|^2$ the closer $C_{H,i}$ to 1. A "good" *LL* approximation for photon emission is required.

Radiation LL approximation

 the peaking structure is driven by soft/collinear radiation ⊗ Z resonances
E.g. H → 2e2μ + nγ amplitude

$$M_n^{\text{peak}} = \frac{C}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{J_{12}^{\mu}}{(P_{12} + Q_{\mathcal{P}})^2 - m_z^2} \frac{J_{\mu,34}}{(P_{34} + Q_{\mathcal{P}'})^2 - m_z^2} \prod_{j=1}^4 \frac{\eta_j p_j \cdot \varepsilon(q_i)}{p_j \cdot q_i}$$

$$J_{ij}^{\mu} \equiv \bar{u}(p_i)\gamma^{\mu}(g_V - g_A\gamma_5)v(p_j), \quad P_{ij} = p_i + p_j, \quad Q_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}} q_i, \quad m_z^2 = M_Z^2 - i\Gamma_Z M_Z$$

- although approximately, radiation interferences are accounted for
- $|M_n^{LL}|^2 = |M_n^{\text{peak}}|^2 \oplus \text{AP}$ enhancement for hard-collinear radiation (i.e. photons' energy spectrum according to AP vertex)
- e.g., with $C_{H,i}$ switched on and n = 2 ($H \rightarrow 4\ell + 2\gamma$ at LO), checks vs MadGraph and GoSam show very good agreement

thanks to F. Tramontano

C.M.	Car	loni	Ca	lame
------	-----	------	----	------

September 3-5 2014 12 / 18

Results: relative corrections



large corrections on lepton invariant masses (mainly) due to QED

- ★ up to 50% NLO correction on (bare) $M_{e^+e^-}$
- $\star \mathcal{O}(10\%)$ due to exponentiation, multiple-photon emissions

C.M. Carloni Calame	$H \to Z Z \to 4\ell$	September 3-5 2014	13 / 18

Results: relative corrections



- interplay between non-log QED and pure weak corrections
- moderate effect of exponentiation

C.M. C	arloni	Calame
--------	--------	--------

Radiation exclusive observables

the events are fully exclusive over emitted photons
e.g. ΔR between softest γ (with E_γ > 4 GeV) and hardest ℓ



remarkable effect due to multiple photon emissions

C.M. Carloni Calame

 $H \to ZZ \to 4\ell$

Radiation exclusive observables



 4-lepton invariant mass: large effect due to multiple-photon emission

$H \to ZZ \to 4\ell$	September 3-5 2014	16/18

Radiation exclusive observables



• 4-lepton p_{\perp} (i.e. p_{\perp}^{H}): large effect due to multiple-photon emission

Conclusion and outlook

- the Higgs boson will be studied with high precision, to unveil its nature
- the new MC Hto41 has been developed for the precise simulation of the decay $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$, including
 - full one-loop EW corrections in the SM, in the complex-mass scheme
 - * consistent matching with multiple photon emission
 - ⋆ fully exclusive event generation
 - \star LHE compliant for interfacing to any EG for H production
- preliminary studies in the *H* rest frame show the effects are important in view of precise mass and spin/parity measurements
- work is in progress to study the realistic impact of the corrections including *H* production and detector effects

C.M.	Carloni	Calame
------	---------	--------

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト