Interference effects for Higgs-mediated ZZ+jet production

E. H. LEWARD STRUCTURE STRUCTURE OF A DAY STORE STRUCTURE

Elisabetta Furlan

Fermilab

in collaboration with John M. Campbell, R. Keith Ellis and Raoul Röntsch



Motivation

- * a large fraction of the cross section for events where the
 - Higgs decays to vector bosons,

pp -> H(-> VV) + X

lies in the high mass tail Myy>2 my

Kauer, Passarino, JHEP 1208, 116 (2012)

this tail is independent of the Higgs boson width $\Gamma_{ m H}$

	bound Γ_{H}	Caola, Melnikov JHEP 14	y, PRD88, 054024 (2013); Campbell et al., 404, 060 (2014), PRD89,053011 (2014);		
use it to	Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collab.), PLB 736, 64 Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2014-042.				
	study the effecti	ve	Cacciapaglia et al., 1406.1757; Azatov et al., 1406.6338.		
	gluon-Higgs coupl	ing			

Motivation

why the extra jet?

* radiation in gluon-fusion Higgs production is large

large k-factors in gg -> H

Pawson, NPB 359, 283 (1991); Djouadi et al., PLB 264, 440 (1991); Graudenz et al., PRL 70, 1372 (1993); ...

large cross section for gg -> H + 1 jet Ellis et al., NPB297, 221 (1998)

->> production xsec in H + 1 jet and H + 0 jet comparable



Motivation

- the one-loop amplitudes entering ZZ + jet are part of the missing higher-order corrections to inclusive loop-mediated Z pair production relevant to the Higgs-continuum interference
- * these corrections are expected to be large -> having them

under control would allow for a more reliable bound on $\Gamma_{\rm H}$

from ZZ interference

our results are analytical -> easier to integrate over singular regions

* virtual corrections are still missing ..

Bounding the Higgs width using interference effects in ZZ

Caola, Melnikov, PRD88, 054024 (2013); Campbell et al., JHEP 1404, 060 (2014), PRD89,053011 (2014); Kauer, Passarino, JHEP 1208, 116 (2012)

i $\frac{H}{g_i \ g_f}$ f $\frac{d\sigma}{dq^2} \sim \frac{g_i^2 g_f^2}{(q^2 - m_H^2)^2 + m_H^2 \Gamma_H^2}$

* consider an Higgs-mediated process i -> H -> f





cross section to constrain Γ_{H}

Caola, Melnikov,



The result this yields large destructive interference between GC uts appropriate for CMS analgened of full dataset? ZZ -> 41



the qq background is 1-2 orders of magnitude larger than the signal

situation improves at higher center of mass energies

Campbell et al., JHEP 1404, 060 (2014)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{introduction} \\ \text{* constraint on the Higgs width: assume that} \\ & \sigma_{H}^{peak} = \sigma_{H}^{peak,SM} , g_{i,f}^{peak} = g_{i,f}^{off} \\ & \text{but allow for } \Gamma_{H} \neq \Gamma_{H}^{SM} \text{, i.e., } g_{i,f} = \alpha g_{i,f}^{SM}, \\ & \Gamma_{H} = \alpha^{4} \Gamma_{H}^{SM}. \end{aligned} \\ \text{* the ratio of peak and off-peak cross sections at STeV yields} \\ & \frac{\sigma_{off}^{H+I}(m_{4l} > 300 \text{ GeV})}{\sigma_{peak}^{H}} = 0.098 \left(\frac{\Gamma_{H}}{\Gamma_{H}^{SM}}\right) - 0.141 \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{H}}{\Gamma_{H}^{SM}}} \\ \text{• } \Gamma_{H} \lesssim 25.2 \Gamma_{H}^{SM} \qquad \text{Campbell et al., JHEP 1404, 060 (2014)} \\ & \Gamma_{H} < 5.4 \Gamma_{H}^{SM} \text{ (CMS)} \\ & \Gamma_{H} < (4.8 - 7.7) \Gamma_{H}^{SM} \text{ (ATLAS)} \end{aligned}$$

- similar ideas for interference effects in pp -> ZZ+1 jet
 - in the tail, the ratio of Higgs signal to LO background even (slightly) better than for pp -> ZZ



- similar ideas for interference effects in pp -> ZZ+1 jet
 - in the tail, the ratio of Higgs signal to LO background even better than for pp -> ZZ!
 - also in this case the interference between pp -> H(->ZZ) + 1 jet and pp -> ZZ + 1 jet in the high energy region is large and needs to be taken into account

Ingredients

order	process	background	signal
$g_w^2 g_s$	$\begin{array}{c} q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ + g \\ qg \rightarrow ZZ + q \end{array}$	\mathcal{B}_t^{qqg}	
$g_w^2 g_s^3$	$\begin{array}{c} q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ + g \\ qg \rightarrow ZZ + q \end{array}$	B ^{qqg} 200 m	S ^{qqg} _{1l}
	$gg \rightarrow ZZ + g$	B ^{ggg} _{1l} und	Sggg eee



Ingredients



Ingredients



Results for pp -> ZZ + jetdemand* one single jet $|\eta_j| < 3$, $p_{T,j} > p_{T,cut}$ * $m_{ZZ} > 300$ GeV (high mass tail)

		$ \mathcal{S}_{1l}^{ggg} ^2$	$ \mathcal{S}_{1l}^{qqg} ^2$	S	$\mathcal{B}_{1l}^{qqg} imes \mathcal{B}_{1l}^{*,}$	qqg
	$p_{T,\mathrm{cut}} [\mathrm{GeV}]$	σ_H^{gg} [fb]	$\sigma_H^{qg+q\bar{q}}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{gg}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{qg+q\bar{q}}[{ m fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{\rm tree}[{\rm fb}]$
	30	0.0212	0.00679	-0.0299	-0.00929	0.00230
$\sqrt{c} = 8 \text{ ToV}$	50	0.0124	0.00522	-0.0173	-0.00706	0.00182
$\sqrt{s} = 0$ Iev	100	0.00467	0.00279	-0.00632	-0.00369	0.00097
	200	0.00104	0.00086	-0.00133	-0.00111	0.00026

 $\mathcal{S}_{1l}^{ggg} imes \mathcal{B}_{1l}^{*,ggg} \quad \mathcal{S}_{1l}^{qqg} imes \mathcal{B}_{t}^{*,qqg}$

demand

one single jet

* $|\eta_j| < 3$, $p_{T,j} > p_{T,cut}$

 $m_{ZZ} > 300 \text{ GeV}$ (high mass tail) *

	$p_{T,\mathrm{cut}} [\mathrm{GeV}]$	σ_H^{gg} [fb]	$\sigma_H^{qg+q\bar{q}}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{gg}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{qg+q\bar{q}}[\mathrm{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{\mathrm{tree}}[\mathrm{fb}]$
	30	0.0212	0.00679	-0.0299	-0.00929	0.00230
$\sqrt{2}$ 9 T ₂ V	50	0.0124	0.00522	-0.0173	-0.00706	0.00182
$\sqrt{s} = 8$ lev	100	0.00467	0.00279	-0.00632	-0.00369	0.00097
	200	0.00104	0.00086	-0.00133	-0.00111	0.00026

agree with Campanario et al., JHEP 1306, 069 (2013)

strong cancellation as required by unitarity *

demand

* one single jet * $|\eta_j| < 3$, $p_{T,j} > p_{T,cut}$

* $m_{ZZ} > 300 \text{ GeV}$ (high mass tail)

	$p_{T,\mathrm{cut}} [\mathrm{GeV}]$	σ_H^{gg} [fb]	$\sigma_H^{qg+q\bar{q}}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{gg}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{qg+q\bar{q}}[{ m fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{\mathrm{tree}}[\mathrm{fb}]$
	30	0.0212	0.00679	-0.0299	-0.00929	0.00230
	50	0.0124	0.00522	-0.0173	-0.00706	0.00182
$\sqrt{s} = 8$ lev	100	0.00467	0.00279	-0.00632	-0.00369	0.00097
	200	0.00104	0.00086	-0.00133	-0.00111	0.00026

* small, as expected from Dixon et al., PRD 60, 114037 (1999)

by unitarity arguments

demand

* one single jet * $|\eta_j| < 3$, $p_{T,j} > p_{T,cut}$

* $m_{ZZ} > 300 \text{ GeV}$ (high mass tail)

	$p_{T,\mathrm{cut}} [\mathrm{GeV}]$	σ_H^{gg} [fb]	$\sigma_H^{qg+q\bar{q}}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{gg}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{qg+q\bar{q}}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma_I^{ m tree}[{ m fb}]$
111	30	0.0212	0.00679	-0.0299	-0.00929	0.00230
	50	0.0124	0.00522	-0.0173	-0.00706	0.00182
$\sqrt{s} = \delta$ lev	100	0.00467	0.00279	-0.00632	-0.00369	0.00097
T AVE IL	200	0.00104	0.00086	-0.00133	-0.00111	0.00026

 $\frac{\sigma_H^{qg+q\bar{q}}}{\sigma_H} \sim \frac{\sigma_I^{qg+q\bar{q}}}{\sigma_I} \sim \begin{cases} 25\% & \text{for } p_{T,cut} = 30 \text{ GeV} \\ 50\% & \text{for } p_{T,cut} = 200 \text{ GeV} \end{cases}$

an harder cut probes regions of large x, where quark PDFs are relatively more important than gluon PDFs

importance of the interference term:

- * the Higgs-mediated contribution becomes negative
- * its shape changes





Results for pp -> ZZ + jet analogous to the ZZ case, the ratio of peak and off-peak cross sections at 8 TeV can be used to bound the Higgs width $\frac{\sigma_{off,ZZ+jet}^{H+I}(m_{ZZ} > 300 \text{ GeV})}{\sigma_{peak,ZZ+jet}^{H}} = 0.02890 \left(\frac{\Gamma_{H}}{\Gamma_{H}^{SM}}\right) - 0.0391 \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{H}}{\Gamma_{H}^{SM}}}$ in the next run of the LHC, expect about 100 events to be produced in the high mass tail alternative extraction of the Higgs width

Conclusions

- Higgs width already constrained from interference effects in ZZ production
- similar analysis in the ZZ + jet channel is viable: in the high invariant mass tail,
 - the Higgs production cross section in the zero and one jet bins are comparable
 - the ratio of the Higgs signal to the LO background is larger in the one-jet bin than in the zero-jet bin

Conclusions

- we performed a detailed analysis of the high invariant mass tail
 - interference effects between Higgs and QCD ZZ production:
 large and negative as required by unitarity
- * as in the pp -> ZZ case, relate the ratio of peak and off-peak cross sections to the Higgs decay width relative to the Standard Model