The MSSM from extra dimensions

MARIANO QUIROS, ICREA/IFAE

Johns Hopkins 30th Workshop GGI, Florence June 8, 2006

OUTLINE

- Introduction
- Supersymmetry breaking:
 - In the hidden brane
 - Scherk-Schwarz breaking
- The model:
 - EWSB
 - Supersymmetric spectrum
 - Fine-tuning
 - Dark Matter
- Conclusions

Introduction

- The origin of supersymmetry breaking remains as the main unknown ingredient in supersymmetric theories
- On the one hand, supersymmetry breaking is known to be required to trigger EWSB in the MSSM: the phenomenology of the MSSM depends on the way supersymmetry is broken
- On the other hand, a generic problem of supersymmetric theories is: why do squark masses conserve flavor? Generically in 4D ⇒ Gauge mediated and/or anomaly mediated SUSY breaking

Introduction

- Extra dimensions provide new mechanisms to break supersymmetry and to solve the supersymmetric flavor problem
- If vectors propagate in the bulk (and feel supersymmetry breaking) and quarks and leptons are localized on a supersymmetry preserving 3-brane, supersymmetry breaking to the observable sector is GAUGINO MEDIATED: flavor violating interactions suppressed [L. Randall, R. Sundrum, hep-ph/9810155]

Introduction

- This asymmetry by which matter fields are localized on 3-branes and the gauge sector propagates in the bulk of extra dimensions typically appears in INTERSECTING BRANE constructions
- Gauge bosons are open string with ends on the same stack of branes: they propagate on the extra dimensions of the brane
- Quarks and leptons are open strings with ends on different branes: they propagate on their intersection

Supersymmetry breaking

• From here on we will restrict ourselves to a 5D theory where the extra dimension has been compactified on an orbifold S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2



 There are two general mechanisms of supersymmetry breaking which are consistent with the previous picture

In the hidden brane

Supersymmetry is broken in the hidden brane



by a superfield φ whose *F*-component acquires a non-vanishing VEV [E.A. Mirabelli, M.E. Peskin, hep-th/9712214; Z. Chacko, M. Luty, A. Nelson, E. Ponton, hep-ph/9911323]

In the hidden brane

• Supersymmetry breaking is transmitted from the hidden sector to the bulk by higher dimensional operators induced by heavy modes (mass Λ) of the fundamental theory

•
$$\int d^2\theta \varphi WW \Rightarrow M_{1/2} \sim F/\Lambda \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$$

•
$$\int d^4\theta \varphi^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_u \mathcal{H}_d \Rightarrow \mu \sim F/\Lambda$$

•
$$\int d^4\theta \varphi^{\dagger} \varphi (\mathcal{H}_u^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_u, \mathcal{H}_d^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_d, \mathcal{H}_u H_d)$$

 $B\mu, m_{H_u}^2, m_{H_d}^2 \sim F^2/\Lambda^2$

In the hidden brane

- Electroweak symmetry breaking can proceed depending on the detailed values of the generated parameters $B\mu, m_{H_u}^2, m_{H_d}^2$
- A precise calculation of them requires knowledge of the fundamental theory beyond Λ
- In this approach the extra dimension is used to hide the sequestered sector by the factor

$$e^{-\pi\Lambda R}$$

and to propagate supersymmetry breaking from gauginos to squarks and sleptons in a finite way

 Scherk-Schwarz supersymmetry breaking is a genuine mechanism for breaking globally supersymmetry in theories with extra dimensions



[I. Antoniadis, S. Dimopoulos, A. Pomarol,M.Quiros, NPB 544 (1999) 503; A. Delgado, A.Pomarol, M.Quiros, PRD 60 (1999)095008]

- After SS breaking the gauginos (and the gravitino) get a common mass: $M_{1/2} = \omega/R$
- Squarks and sleptons acquire one-loop finite masses $\sim ({\rm loop\ factor})\ g^2/R^2$



- The phenomenology of such models depends to a large extent on the Higgs sector
- Since the top is localized the stop mass is generated at one-loop and EWSB should proceed at two-loop
- There are two competing effects
 - The one-loop gauge contribution is positive

$$\Delta_G^{(1)} m_h^2 > 0$$

- The two-loop top contribution is negative

$$\Delta_Y^{(2)} m_h^2 < 0$$

• A detailed analysis of the two-loop effective potential for $\omega = 1/2$ [R. Barbieri et al., hep-ph/0205280] shows however that

$$m_{h,rad}^2 = \Delta_G^{(1)} m_h^2 + \Delta_Y^{(2)} m_h^2 > 0$$

- If the Higgs superfields $\mathcal{H}_{u,d}$ are strictly localized in one boundary their supersymmetry breaking masses are equal to zero: no EWSB
- If they are propagating in the bulk the Higgs squared masses are either zero or $\sim 1/R^2$ and again no EWSB occurs

 For arbitrary value of ω a similar result follows [G. Gersdorff, D. Diego, M. Quiros, hep-ph/0605024]



Plot of $10^4 m_{h,rad}^2$ as a function of ω for $\tan \beta = 1$ (bottom curve), 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 15

A way out is if Higgses are quasi-localized by a localizing mass M and tree-level masses are tachyonic (m_H)² < 0 [G. Gersdorff, D. Diego, M. Quiros, hep-ph/0505244] ^a and in absolute value at the weak scale

$$\epsilon = e^{-\pi MR} \ll 1, |m_H^0| \sim M\epsilon \sim m_Z$$

so that the scales of supersymmetry breaking and the weak scale are decoupled

^aAnother possibility (contradicts original requirement of localized matter) is to delocalize the top sector [R. Barbieri et al., hep-ph/0011311; N. Arkani Hamed et al., hep-ph/0102090; A. Delgado, M. Quiros, hep-ph/0103058]

 Higgses have to propagate (quasi-localized) in the bulk in two hypermultiplets

 $\mathbb{H}^a = (\mathcal{H}, \bar{\mathcal{H}}^c)^a$

transforming as a doublet of $SU(2)_H$

- Supersymmetry $(SU(2)_R)$ is broken by the SS parameter ω
- $SU(2)_H$ is also broken by the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism, the parameter $\tilde{\omega}$
- By assuming $\epsilon \ll 1$ there are two 4D modes whose wavefunctions localize towards the boundary at y = 0 and two other heavy modes localized at $y = \pi R$

The bulk Lagrangian is (N = 1 superfields)

$$\int d^4\theta \, \frac{\mathcal{T} + \bar{\mathcal{T}}}{2} \left\{ \bar{\mathcal{H}} \, \exp(T_a V^a) \, \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}^c \, \exp(-T_a V^a) \, \bar{\mathcal{H}}^c \right\}$$

$$-\int d^2\theta \left\{ \mathcal{H}^c(\partial_y - \mathcal{M}\mathcal{T})\mathcal{H} + h.c. \right\}$$

where the localizing mass term is $\mathcal{M} = M \, \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \, . \label{eq:mass_eq}$

and \vec{p} is a bulk unit vector in space $su(2)_H$ [\mathcal{T} is radion superfield]

The boundary Lagrangian is

$$\int d^2\theta \, \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{H}^c [1 + \vec{s}_f \cdot \vec{\sigma}] \mathcal{H} + h.c. \right) |_{y=0,\pi}$$

and \vec{s}_f is again a unit vector in $su(2)_H$

 The boundary conditions are obtained from the variational principle. In superfield language they are

$$(1+\vec{s}_f\cdot\vec{\sigma})\mathcal{H}=0$$

$$\mathcal{H}^c(1-\vec{s}_f\cdot\vec{\sigma})=0$$

Mass eigenvalues and eigenfunctions depend on the following parameters

• The SS parameter that breaks supersymmetry

 $\mathcal{T} = R + 2\,\omega\,\theta^2$

• The angles between \vec{p} and \vec{s}_f

$$c_f = \vec{s}_f \cdot \vec{p} \left(f = 0, \pi \right)$$

• The angle between \vec{s}_0 and \vec{s}_{π}

$$\cos(2\pi\tilde{\omega}) = \vec{s}_0 \cdot \vec{s}_\pi$$

• By assuming that $Mc_0 > 0$, for

$$\epsilon \equiv \exp(-\pi c_0 M R) \ll 1$$

there are two 4D modes whose wavefunctions localize towards the boundary at y = 0

$$H^{1}(x,y) = \sqrt{c_{0}M} \exp(-c_{0}MRy)H_{u}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

 $H_2^c(x,y) = \sqrt{c_0 M} \exp(-c_0 M R y) H_d(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$

• There are also two modes localizing at $y = \pi$ which can be made heavy

The tree-level mass lagrangian is as in the MSSM

$$-(\mu^2 + m_{H_u}^2) |H_u|^2 - (\mu^2 + m_{H_d}^2) |H_d|^2$$
$$+ m_3^2 (H_u \cdot H_d + h.c.)$$

The quartic potential is

$$V_{\text{quartic}} = \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{8} (|H_u|^2 - |H_d|^2)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

after integrating out the adjoint multiplet $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

The soft mass terms are

$$m_{H_u}^2 = m_{H_d}^2 = 4M^2 \sin^2(\pi\omega)(1 - \tan^2(\pi\tilde{\omega})) \epsilon^2$$

$$m_3^2 = 4M^2 \sin(2\pi\omega) \tan(\pi\tilde{\omega}) \epsilon^2$$

- Even if $M \gg m_Z$, if $\epsilon \ll 1$ it is possible that $M^2 \epsilon^2 \sim m_Z^2$ and help for EWSB
- Notice that

$$m_{H_u}^2 = m_{H_d}^2$$

so that even if they are negative they wouldn't trigger EWSB with stable D-flat directions

The Higgsino Dirac mass is

$$\mu^2 = s_0^2 M^2 + \mathcal{O}(s_0^2 \epsilon^2)$$

- It is required that $s_0 \sim m_Z/M$ for EWSB
- For $M \sim M_c \equiv 1/R \sim$ few TeV the parameter $s_0 = 0.1 0.01$
- This (10-1%) μ -problem: why

$\mu \ll M$

is less acute than the MSSM one just because there is a low supersymmetry breaking scale



- SUSY breaking will predominantly be mediated by one-loop gaugino loops
- Squark masses will be dominated by the contribution from the gluinos

$$\Delta m_{\tilde{t}}^2 = \frac{2 g_3^2}{3\pi^4} M_c^2 f(\omega), \ f(\omega) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\sin(\pi k\omega)^2}{k^3}$$

Electroweak gauginos provide

$$\Delta_G^{(1)} m_{H_{u,d}}^2 = \frac{3g^2 + g'^2}{8\pi^4} M_c^2 f(\omega)$$



- Furthermore there is a sizable two-loop contribution to the Higgs soft mass terms coming from top-stop loops with the one-loop generated squark masses
- This contribution can be estimated in the large logarithm approximation by just plugging the one-loop squark masses in the one-loop effective potential generated by the top-stop sector

$$\Delta_Y^{(2)} m_{H_u}^2 = \frac{3y_t^2}{8\pi^2} \Delta m_{\tilde{t}}^2 \log \frac{\Delta m_{\tilde{t}}^2}{Q^2} \bigg|_{Q = \omega M_c}$$



- EWSB occurs in a very peculiar and interesting way
- The tree-level mass $m_{H_u}^2$ is negative for values of $\tilde{\omega}>1/4$
- There can be a (total or partial) cancellation between the tree-level and one-loop contributions to the Higgs masses

$$m_{H_u}^2 + \Delta_G^{(1)} m_{H_u}^2 \simeq 0$$

• The negative two-loop corrections $\Delta_Y^{(2)} m_{H_u}^2$ will easily trigger EWSB

The minimization conditions provide predictions of $\tan\beta$ and μ as functions of M_c



Prediction of $\tan \beta$ for the case $\omega = 0.45$, $\tilde{\omega} = 0.35$, $M = 1.65M_c$ as a function of M_c in TeV

Experimental bound $m_{h^0} > 114.5$ GeV for $M_c > 6.5$ TeV



SM-like Higgs mass m_h . All masses are in TeV



From top to bottom: left-handed sleptons $m_{\tilde{\ell}_L}$ (green line), heavy neutral Higgs $m_H \simeq m_A$ (magenta line), right-handed sleptons $m_{\tilde{e}_R}$ (blue line) and neutralinos $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} \simeq \mu$ (red line)



Fine-tuning

 Due to the smallness of the SUSY breaking scale and the extreme softness of the SS mechanism the fine-tuning is much smaller than in the MSSM



Fine-tuning as function of $M_{\tilde{g}}$ in TeV

Fine-tuning

- In fact for $M_{\tilde{g}} \sim 3$ TeV the required amount of fine-tuning is $\sim 10\%$ while for larger values of $M_{\tilde{g}}$ the fine-tuning naturally increases quadratically
- In the MSSM the Z mass squared is proportional to $M_{\tilde{g}}^2$ but with a much larger coefficient $\mathcal{O}(1)$ due to large logarithms $\log m_Z/m_{\rm GUT}$

10%
$$\Leftrightarrow M_{\tilde{g}} \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$$

Dark Matter

 Neutralino is the LSP and the lightest neutralino is the candidate to CDM



Conclusions

The main features of these models are:

- Models are of "no-scale" type and then no anomaly mediated supersymmetry breaking occurs
- No one-loop quadratic or linear sensitivity on the cutoff Λ of Higgs masses
- Gauginos are the heaviest supersymmetric particles (they are in the TeV or multi-TeV region)
- EWSB is triggered by tachyonic tree-level masses and two-loop radiative corrections

Conclusions

- Squarks and sleptons acquire radiative masses from gluinos and electroweak gauginos, respectively
- Charged and neutral Higgsinos are almost degenerate with mass splittings $\sim 1~{\rm GeV}$
- Fine-tuning of MSSM alleviated
- The LSP is a neutralino which is a good candidate to Dark Matter