## Codina Cotar

University College London

### June 25, 2015, GGI

Partly based on joint works with Christof Külske

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

# Outline

- 1 The model
- 2 Questions
- 3 Known results
  - Results: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Results: Non-convex potentials
- 4 New model: Interfaces with Disorder
  - Model A
  - Model B
  - Results for gradients with disorder
  - Non-convex potentials with disorder

- 5 Some new tools
- 6 Sketch of proof

#### - The model



- $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  finite,  $\partial \Lambda := \{x \notin \Lambda, ||x y|| = 1 \text{ for some } y \in \Lambda \}$
- Height Variables (configurations)  $\phi_x \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Lambda$
- Boundary condition  $\psi$ , such that

$$\phi_x = \psi_x$$
, when  $x \in \partial \Lambda$ .

• tilt  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and tilted boundary condition  $\psi_x^u = x \cdot u, x \in \partial \Lambda$ .

Gradients  $\nabla \phi$ :  $\eta_b = \nabla \phi_b = \phi_x - \phi_y$  for b = (x, y), ||x - y|| = 1

• The finite volume Gibbs measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{\Lambda}$ 

$$\nu_{\Lambda}^{\psi}(\phi) := \frac{1}{Z_{\Lambda}^{\psi}} \exp(-\beta \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \Lambda \cup \partial \Lambda \\ |i-j|=1}} V(\phi_i - \phi_j)) \prod_{i \in \Lambda} d\phi_i,$$

where  $\phi_i = \psi_i$  for  $i \in \partial \Lambda$ .

- $V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+, V \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ , satisfies:
  - symmetry:  $V(x) = V(-x), x \in \mathbb{R}$
  - $V(x) \ge Ax^2 + B, A > 0, B \in \mathbb{R}$ , for large  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- Finite volume surface tension (free energy) σ<sub>Λ</sub>(u): macroscopic energy of a surface with tilt u ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup>.

$$\sigma_{\Lambda}(u) := \frac{1}{\beta |\Lambda|} \log Z_{\Lambda}^{\psi^{u}}.$$

#### - The model

For GFF

- If V(s) = s<sup>2</sup>, then ν<sup>ψ</sup><sub>Λ</sub> is a Gaussian measure, called the Gaussian Free Field (GFF).
- If  $x, y \in \Lambda_n$

$$\operatorname{cov}_{\nu_{\Lambda_n}^0}(\phi_x,\phi_y)=G_{\Lambda_n}(x,y),$$

where  $G_{\Lambda_n}(x, y)$  is the Green's function, that is, the expected number of visits to y of a simple random walk started from x killed when it exits  $\Lambda_n$ .

 GFF appears in many physical systems, and two-dimensional GFF has close connections to Schramm-Loewner Evolution (SLE). -Questions

### Questions (for general potentials *V*):

Existence and (strict) convexity of infinite volume surface tension

$$\sigma(u) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \sigma_{\Lambda}(u).$$

Existence of shift-invariant infinite volume Gibbs measure

$$u := \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \nu^\psi_\Lambda$$

- Uniqueness of shift-invariant Gibbs measure under additional assumptions on the measure.
- Quantitative results for ν: decay of covariances with respect to φ, central limit theorem (CLT) results, large deviations (LDP) results.

- -Known results
  - Results: Strictly Convex Potentials

# Outline

- 1 The model
- 2 Questions
- 3 Known results

### Results: Strictly Convex Potentials

- Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials
- Results: Non-convex potentials
- 4 New model: Interfaces with Disorder
  - Model A
  - Model B
  - Results for gradients with disorder
  - Non-convex potentials with disorder

- 5 Some new tools
- 6 Sketch of proof

Known results

Results: Strictly Convex Potentials

Known results for potentials V with

$$0 < C_1 \le V'' \le C_2:$$

- Existence and strict convexity of the surface tension for  $d \ge 1$ .
- Gibbs measures  $\nu$  do not exist for d = 1, 2.
- We can consider the distribution of the ∇φ-field under the Gibbs measure ν. We call this measure the ∇φ-Gibbs measure μ.
- $\nabla \phi$ -Gibbs measures  $\mu$  exist for  $d \ge 1$ .

• (Funaki-Spohn: CMP 1997) For every  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there exists a **unique shift-invariant ergodic**  $\nabla \phi$ - Gibbs measure  $\mu$  with  $E_{\mu}[\phi_{e_k} - \phi_0] = u_k$ , for all  $k = 1, \ldots, d$ .

- Decay of covariance results, CLT results, LDP results
- Important properties for proofs: shift-invariance, ergodicity and extremality of the infinite volume Gibbs measures

Bolthausen, Brydges, Deuschel, Funaki, Giacomin, Ioffe, Naddaf, Olla, Sheffield, Spencer, Spohn, Velenik, Yau

- Known results
  - Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials

# Outline

- 1 The model
- 2 Questions
- 3 Known results
  - Results: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Results: Non-convex potentials
- 4 New model: Interfaces with Disorder
  - Model A
  - Model B
  - Results for gradients with disorder
  - Non-convex potentials with disorder

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

- 5 Some new tools
- 6 Sketch of proof

Known results

Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials

For

$$0 < C_1 \le V'' \le C_2:$$

**Brascamp-Lieb Inequality:** for all  $x \in \Lambda$  and for all  $i \in \Lambda$ 

$$\frac{1}{C_2} \operatorname{var}_{\tilde{\nu}^{\psi}_{\Lambda}}(\phi_i) \leq \operatorname{var}_{\nu^{\psi}_{\Lambda}}(\phi_i) \leq \frac{1}{C_1} \operatorname{var}_{\tilde{\nu}^{\psi}_{\Lambda}}(\phi_i),$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu_{\Lambda}^{\psi}}(F(\nu \cdot (\phi - \mu(\phi))) \le \frac{1}{C_{1}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\nu}_{\Lambda}^{\psi}}(F(\phi)), \ \forall \nu \in \mathbb{R}^{|\Lambda|}.$$

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Known results

- Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials

## Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials (cont.)

- Random Walk Representation Deuschel-Giacomin-Ioffe (PTRF-2000): Representation of Covariance Matrix in terms of the Green function of a particular random walk.
  - **GFF:** If  $x, y \in \Lambda$

$$\operatorname{cov}_{\nu_{\Lambda}^{0}}(\phi_{x},\phi_{y})=G_{\Lambda}(x,y),$$

where  $G_{\Lambda}(x, y)$  is the Green's function, that is, the expected number of visits to y of a simple random walk started from x killed when it exits  $\Lambda$ .

■ General  $0 < C_1 \le V'' \le C_2$ :  $0 \le \operatorname{cov}_{\nu_{\Lambda}^{\psi}}(\phi_x, \phi_y) \le \frac{C}{||x-y||^{d-2}}, |\operatorname{cov}_{\mu_{\Lambda}^{\rho}}(\nabla_i \phi_x, \nabla_j \phi_y)| \le \frac{C}{||x-y||^{d-2+\delta}}$ 

Known results

L Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials

## Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials (cont.)

The dynamic: SDE satisfied by  $(\phi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ 

$$d\phi_x(t) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_x}(\phi(t))dt + \sqrt{2}dW_x(t), \ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where  $W_t := \{W_x(t), x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is a family of independent 1-dim Brownian Motions.

- -Known results
  - Results: Non-convex potentials

# Outline

- 1 The model
- 2 Questions

## 3 Known results

- Results: Strictly Convex Potentials
- Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials
- Results: Non-convex potentials
- 4 New model: Interfaces with Disorder
  - Model A
  - Model B
  - Results for gradients with disorder
  - Non-convex potentials with disorder

- 5 Some new tools
- 6 Sketch of proof

Known results

### Why look at the case with non-convex potential V?

- Probabilistic motivation: Universality class
- Physics motivation: For lattice spring models a realistic potential has to be non-convex to account for the phenomena of fracturing of a crystal under stress.
- The Cauchy-Born rule: When a crystal is subjected to a small linear displacement of its boundary, the atoms will follow this displacement.
- Friesecke-Theil: for the 2-dimensional mass-spring model, Cauchy-Born holds for a certain class of non-convex potentials. Generalization to *d*-dimensional mass-spring model by Conti, Dolzmann, Kirchheim and Müller.

### Results for non-convex potentials

- Funaki-Spohn: The surface tension  $\sigma(u)$  is convex as a function of  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- Existence of infinite volume  $\nabla \phi$ -Gibbs measure  $\mu$  with expected tilt  $E_{\mu}[\phi_{e_k} \phi_0] = u_k, k = 1, 2, \dots d$ .
- Hariya (2014): Brascamp-Lieb inequality in d = 1.
- Brascamp-Lieb inequality for  $d \ge 2$  and 0-boundary condition holds for a class of potentials at all temperatures

$$e^{-V(s)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i e^{-k_i \frac{s^2}{2}}, \sum_i p_i = 1.$$

• Conjecture: Brascamp-Lieb holds for  $\psi \equiv 0$  for all *V* with  $V(x) \ge Ax^2 + B, A > 0, B \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $V'' \le C_2$ .

Known results

Results: Non-convex potentials

### For the potential

$$e^{-V(s)} = pe^{-k_1\frac{s^2}{2}} + (1-p)e^{-k_2\frac{s^2}{2}}, \ \beta = 1, k_1 << k_2, \ p = \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2}\right)^{1/4}$$



■ Biskup-Kotecký: (PTRF 2007) Existence of several  $\nabla \phi$ -Gibbs measures with expected tilt  $E_{\mu}[\phi_{e_k} - \phi_0] = 0, k = 1, 2, ..., d$ , but with different variances.

Known results

Results: Non-convex potentials

## Results (cont)

 Cotar-Deuschel-Müller (CMP 2009)/ Cotar-Deuschel (AIHP 2012): Let

$$V = V_0 + g, \ C_1 \le V_0'' \le C_2, \ g'' < 0.$$

If

 $C_0 \leq g'' < 0 ext{ and } \sqrt{eta} ||g''||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} ext{ small}(C_1, C_2).$ 

then we prove uniqueness of  $\nabla \phi$ -Gibbs measures  $\mu$  such that  $E_{\mu} [\phi_{e_k} - \phi_0] = u_k$  for all k = 1, 2, ..., d. Our results includes the Biskup-Kotecký model, but for different range of choices of  $p, k_1$  and  $k_2$ .

• Adams-Kotecký-Müller (in preparation): Strict convexity of the surface tension for small tilt u and large  $\beta$ .

New model: Interfaces with Disorder

L Model A

# Outline

- 1 The model
- 2 Questions
- 3 Known results
  - Results: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Results: Non-convex potentials

### 4 New model: Interfaces with Disorder

- Model A
- Model B
- Results for gradients with disorder
- Non-convex potentials with disorder

- 5 Some new tools
- 6 Sketch of proof

New model: Interfaces with Disorder

#### └─ Model A

 $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  the probability space of the disorder,  $\mathbb{E}$  the expectation w.r.t  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{V}$  the variance w.r.t.  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ ov the covariance w.r.t  $\mathbb{P}$ .

The Hamiltonian (random external field)

$$H^{\psi}_{\Lambda}[\xi](\phi) := rac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \Lambda \cup \partial \Lambda \ |x-y|=1}} V(\phi_x - \phi_y) + \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \xi_x \phi_x,$$

 $\chi$  is the set of  $\eta_b$ , with b = (x, y) bonds,

- $(\xi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  are assumed to be *i.i.d.* real-valued random variables, with *finite non-zero second moments*.
- $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  is an even function such that there exist  $0 < C_1 < C_2$  with

$$C_1 \leq V''(s) \leq C_2$$
 for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

• The finite volume Gibbs measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{\Lambda}$ 

$$\nu^{\psi}_{\Lambda}[\xi](\phi) := \frac{1}{Z^{\psi}_{\Lambda}[\xi]} \exp(-\beta H^{\psi}_{\Lambda}[\xi](\phi)) \prod_{x \in \Lambda} d\phi_x,$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

where  $\phi_x = \psi_x$  for  $x \in \partial \Lambda$ .

└─ Model A

#### New model: Interfaces with Disorder

For  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we define the shift operators  $\tau_v$ :

- For the bonds by  $(\tau_v \eta)(b) := \eta(b v)$  for *b* bond and  $\eta \in \chi$
- For the disorder by  $(\tau_v \xi)(y) := \xi(y v)$  for  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ .
- A measurable map ξ → μ[ξ] is called a shift-covariant random gradient Gibbs measure if μ[ξ] is a ∇φ− Gibbs measure for P-almost every ξ, and if

$$\int \mu[ au_
u \xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)F(\eta) = \int \mu[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)F( au_
u\eta),$$

for all  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and for all  $F \in C_b(\chi)$ , where  $\chi$  is the set of gradients.

New model: Interfaces with Disorder

L Model B

# Outline

- 1 The model
- 2 Questions
- 3 Known results
  - Results: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Results: Non-convex potentials

## 4 New model: Interfaces with Disorder

- Model A
- Model B
- Results for gradients with disorder
- Non-convex potentials with disorder

- 5 Some new tools
- 6 Sketch of proof

New model: Interfaces with Disorder

#### L Model B

### Model B

- For each  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ , |x y| = 1, we define the measurable map  $V^{\omega}_{(x,y)}(s) : (\omega, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- V<sup>ω</sup><sub>(x,y)</sub> are random variables with *uniformly-bounded finite second moments* and jointly *stationary* distribution.
- For some given  $0 < C_{1,(x,y)}^{\omega} < C_{2,(x,y)}^{\omega}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , with  $0 < \inf_{(x,y)} \mathbb{E}(C_{1,(x,y)}^{\omega}) < \sup_{(x,y)} \mathbb{E}(C_{2,(x,y)}^{\omega}) < \infty$ ,  $V_{(x,y)}^{\omega}$  obey for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every  $\omega \in \Omega$  the following bounds, uniformly in the bonds (x, y)

$$C_{1,(x,y)}^{\omega} \leq (V_{(x,y)}^{\omega})''(s) \leq C_{2,(x,y)}^{\omega}$$
 for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For each fixed  $\omega \in \Omega$  and for each bond (x, y),  $V_{(x,y)}^{\omega} \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$  is an even function.

New model: Interfaces with Disorder

└─ Model B

The Hamiltonian for each fixed  $\omega \in \Omega$  (random potentials)

$$H^{\psi}_{\Lambda}[\omega](\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Lambda \cup \partial \Lambda, |x-y|=1} V^{\omega}_{(x,y)}(\phi_x - \phi_y)$$

• Let  $\omega \in \Omega$  be fixed. We will denote by  $\mu[\tau_v \omega]$  the infinite-volume gradient Gibbs measure with given Hamiltonian  $\overline{H}[\omega](\eta) := (H^{\rho}_{\Lambda}[\omega](\tau_v \eta))_{\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d}$ . This means that we shift the field of disorded potentials on bonds from  $V^{\omega}_{(x,y)}$  to  $V^{\omega}_{(x+v,y+v)}$ .

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Questions of interest: Disorder-relevance, universality

- New model: Interfaces with Disorder
  - Results for gradients with disorder

## Outline

- 1 The model
- 2 Questions
- 3 Known results
  - Results: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Results: Non-convex potentials

### 4 New model: Interfaces with Disorder

- Model A
- Model B

## Results for gradients with disorder

Non-convex potentials with disorder

- 5 Some new tools
- 6 Sketch of proof

#### Results for gradients with disorder

### Results for gradients with disorder

- For model A, van Enter-Külske (AAP-2007): For d = 2, there exists no shift-covariant gradient Gibbs measure  $\mu[\xi]$  with  $\mathbb{E} \left| \int \mu[\xi](d\eta) V'(\eta(b)) \right| < \infty$  for all bonds *b*.
- For model A, Cotar-Külske (AAP-2010): For d = 3, 4, there exists no shift-covariant Gibbs measure.
- Cotar-Külske (PTRF-to appear): (Model A) Let  $d \ge 3$ ,  $\xi(0)$  with symmetric distribution and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Assume  $0 < C_1 \le V'' \le C_2$ . Then there exists exactly one shift-covariant random gradient Gibbs measure  $\xi \to \mu[\xi]$  with  $\mathbb{E}(\int \mu[\xi])$  ergodic and such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int \mu[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)\eta_b\right) = \langle u, y_b - x_b\rangle \text{ for all } b = (x_b, y_b).$$

New model: Interfaces with Disorder

Results for gradients with disorder

• (Model B) Let  $d \ge 1$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Assume  $0 < C_1 \le (V_{(x,y)}^{\omega})^{\prime\prime} \le C_2$  for all  $\omega$ . Then there exists exactly one shift-covariant random gradient Gibbs measure  $\omega \to \mu[\omega]$  with  $\mathbb{E}(\int \mu[\omega])$  ergodic and such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int \mu[\omega](\mathrm{d}\eta)\eta_b\right) = \langle u, y_b - x_b\rangle \text{ for all } b = (x_b, y_b).$$

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Gradient Gibbs measures with disorder	
New model: Interfaces with Disorder	
Deculto for anodiente mith disorder	

For our 2nd main result, we need

Poincaré inequality assumption on the distribution γ of the disorder ξ(0), (respectively of V<sup>ω</sup><sub>(0,e1</sub>)): There exists λ > 0 such that for all smooth enough real-valued functions f on Ω, we have for the probability measure γ

$$\lambda \operatorname{var}_{\gamma}(f) \leq \int |\nabla f|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\gamma,$$
 (1)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

where  $|\nabla f|$  is the Euclidean norm of the gradient of f smooth enough.

Let

$$\partial_b F(\eta) := rac{\partial F(\eta)}{\partial \eta_b}, \ ||\partial_b F||_\infty := \sup_{\eta \in \chi} |\partial_b F(\eta)| ext{ and } ]|b|[= \max\{|x_b|, 1\}\}.$$

New model: Interfaces with Disorder

Results for gradients with disorder

■ Cotar-Külske (PTRF-to appear): Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

(a) (Model A) Let  $d \ge 3$ . Assume that  $(\xi(x))_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  are i.i.d with mean 0 and the distribution of  $\xi(0)$  satisfies (1). Then for all  $F, G \in C_b$ 

$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}\left(\mu[\xi](F(\eta)),\mu[\xi](G(\eta))\right)| \leq c \sum_{b,b'} \frac{||\partial_b F||_{\infty}||\partial_{b'} G||_{\infty}}{||b-b'||^{d-2}},$$

for some c > 0 which depends only on d,  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and on the number of terms b, b' in F and G.

(b) (Model B) Let d ≥ 1. Assume that V<sup>ω</sup><sub>(x,y)</sub> are i.i.d., and they also satisfy (1) for P-almost every ω and uniformly in the bonds (x, y). Then for all F, G ∈ C<sup>1</sup><sub>b</sub>

$$|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}\left(\mu[\omega](F(\eta)),\mu[\omega](G(\eta))\right)| \leq c \sum_{b,b'} \frac{||\partial_b F||_{\infty}||\partial_{b'} G||_{\infty}}{]|b-b'|[^d]}.$$

The independence assumption can be relaxed by using, for example, Marton (2013) and Caputo, Menz, Tetali (2014)

- New model: Interfaces with Disorder
  - └─ Non-convex potentials with disorder

# Outline

- 1 The model
- 2 Questions
- 3 Known results
  - Results: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Techniques: Strictly Convex Potentials
  - Results: Non-convex potentials

### 4 New model: Interfaces with Disorder

- Model A
- Model B
- Results for gradients with disorder
- Non-convex potentials with disorder

- 5 Some new tools
- 6 Sketch of proof

New model: Interfaces with Disorder

Non-convex potentials with disorder

### Conjecture for disordered non-convex potentials

Consider for simplicity the corresponding disordered model

$$e^{-V_b(\eta_b)} := p e^{-k_1(\eta_b)^2 + \omega_b} + (1-p) e^{-k_2(\eta_b)^2 - \omega_b}, (w_b)_b$$
 i.i.d. Bernoulli.

Conjectures (work-in-progress):

- uniqueness for low enough  $d \leq d_c$  (shows disorder relevance);
- uniqueness/non-uniqueness phase transition for high enough  $d > d_c \ge 2$  (disorder relevance?).
- Strict convexity for the surface tension when the gradient Gibbs measure is unique.

Adaptation of the Aizenman-Wehr (CMP-1990) argument.

Gloria-Otto (AOP-2012)/ Ledoux (2001): Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^n$  be independent random variables with uniformly-bounded finite second moments on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Let X, Y be Borel measurable functions of  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e. measurable w.r.t. the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for which all coordinate functions  $\mathbb{R}^n \ni a \to a_i \in \mathbb{R}$  are Borel measurable). Then  $|\operatorname{cov}(X, Y)| \leq |z|^{1/2}$ 

 $\max_{1 \le i \le n} \operatorname{var} (a_i) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int \sup_{a_i} \left| \frac{\partial X}{\partial a_i} \right|^2 d\mathbb{P} \right)^{1/2} \left( \int \sup_{a_i} \left| \frac{\partial Y}{\partial a_i} \right|^2 d\mathbb{P} \right)^{1/2}$ where  $\sup_{a_i} \left| \frac{\partial Z}{\partial a_i} \right|$  denotes the supremum of

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial a_i}(a_1,\ldots,a_{i-1},a_i,a_{i+1},\ldots,a_n)$$

of Z with respect to the variable  $a_i$ , for Z = X, Y.

The theorem below will allow us to pass from results for the annealed measure to results for the quenched measure.

Komlos (1967): If (ζ<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n∈N</sub> is a sequence of real-valued random variables with lim inf<sub>n→∞</sub> E(|ζ<sub>n</sub>|) < ∞, then there exists a subsequence {θ<sub>n</sub>}<sub>n∈N</sub> of the sequence {ζ<sub>n</sub>}<sub>n∈N</sub> and an integrable random variable θ such that for any arbitrary subsequence {θ̃<sub>n</sub>}<sub>n∈N</sub> of the sequence {θ̃<sub>n</sub>}, we have almost surely that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\tilde{\theta}_1+\tilde{\theta}_2+\ldots+\tilde{\theta}_n}{n}=\theta$$

#### Sketch of proof

### We will first prove:

#### Theorem

Fix  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let for all  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ 

$$E_{\alpha} := \{ \eta \mid \lim_{|\Lambda| \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \eta(b_{x,\alpha}) = u_{\alpha} \},$$

along the sequence with  $b_{x,\alpha} := (x + e_{\alpha}, x) \in \chi$ . Then there exists a unique shift-covariant random gradient Gibbs measure  $\xi \to \mu[\xi]$  which satisfies for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every  $\xi$ 

$$\mu[\xi](E_{\alpha}) = 1, \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}.$$

Moreover,  $\mu[\xi]$  satisfies the integrability condition

$$\mathbb{E}\int \mu[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)(\eta(b))^2 < \infty \text{ for all bonds } b \in \chi.$$

### Ergodicity of the unique averaged measure:

• Let  $\mathcal{F}_{inv}(\chi)$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of shift-invariant events on  $\chi$ . Let

$$\mu_{av} = \left(\int \mathbb{P}(d\xi)\mu[\xi]\right) (\,\mathrm{d}\eta).$$

We need to show that for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{inv}(\chi)$ , we have  $\mu_{av}(A) = 0$  or  $\mu_{av}(A) = 1$ . We will show that this holds by contradiction.

 Suppose that there exists A ∈ F<sub>inv</sub>(χ) such that 0 < μ<sub>av</sub>(A) < 1. Then, for P-almost all ξ we have 0 < μ[ξ](A) < 1. We define now for all ξ the *distinct* measures on χ

$$\mu_A[\xi](B) := rac{\mu[\xi](B \cap A)}{\mu[\xi](A)} \; ext{ and } \; \mu_{A^c}[\xi](B) := rac{\mu[\xi](B \cap A^c)}{\mu[\xi](A^c)}, \; orall B \in \mathcal{T},$$

where we denoted by  $\mathcal{T} := \sigma(\{\eta_b : b \in \chi\})$  the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\chi$  generated by all the edges in  $\chi$ .

Sketch of proof

## THANK YOU!