New Developments in Precision LHC Theory: QED⊗QCD Resummation, Shower/ME Matching, IR-Improved DGLAP-CS Theory and Implications for UV Finite Quantum Gravity

B.F.L. Ward^a and **S.** Yost^b

 a Department of Physics, Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA

^bDepartment of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

Outline:

- Introduction
- Review of Exact Amplitude-Based Resummation for QCD
- Extension to QED (QCD and Quantum Gravity
- QED © QCD Threshold Corrections, Shower/ME Matching and IR-Improved DGLAP-CS Theory at the LHC
- Final State of Hawking Radiation
- Conclusions

Papers byB.F.L.W., S. Jadach and B.F.L. Ward, S. Jadach, *et al.*,B.F.L.W. and S. Yost, M. Phys. Lett. A **14** (1999) 491, hep-ph/0205062; *ibid.* **12** (1997) 2425; *ibid.* **19** (2004) 2113; hep-ph/0503189,0508140,0509003,0605054,0607198, arxiv:0704.0294, 0707.2101, 0707:3424

B. F. L. Ward

Motivation

- FNAL/RHIC tī, bb, J/ Ψ PRODUCTION; POLARIZED pp PROCESSES: SOFT n(G) EFFECTS ALREADY NEEDED $\Delta m_t = 1.8$ GeV with SOFT n(G) UNCERTAINTY ~ 1 GeV, ..., ETC.
- FOR THE LHC/TESLA/LC, THE REQUIREMENTS WILL BE EVEN MORE DEMANDING AND OUR QCD SOFT n(G) MC RESUMMATION RESULTS WILL BE AN IMPORTANT PART OF THE NECESSARY THEORY – (YFS)RESUMMED $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)L^n$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s\alpha)L^{n'}$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)L^{n''}$, n = 0, 1, 2, n' =0, 1, 2, n'' = 2, 1, in the presence of showers, on AN EVENT-BY-EVENT BASIS, WITHOUT DOUBLE COUNTING AND WITH EXACT PHASE SPACE.
- HOW RELEVANT ARE QED HIGHER ORDER CORRECTIONS WHEN QCD IS CONTROLLED AT $\sim 1\%$ PRECISION?
- CROSS CHECK OF QCD LITERATURE:
 - 1. PHASE SPACE CATANI, CATANI-SEYMOUR, ALL INITIAL PARTONS MASSLESS

2. RESUMMATION – STERMAN, CATANI ET AL., BERGER ET AL.,

3. NO-GO THEOREMS-Di'Lieto et al., Doria et al., Catani et

al.,Catani

4. IR QCD EFFECTS IN DGLAP-CS THEORY

B. F. L. Ward

- CROSS CHECK OF QED LITERATURE:

 ESTIMATES BY SPIESBERGER, STIRLING, ROTH and WEINZIERL, BLUMLEIN and KAWAMURA – FEW PER MILLE EFFECTS FROM QED CORRECTIONS TO STR. FN.
 EVOLUTION.
 WELL-KNOWN POSSIBLE ENHANCEMENT OF QED CORRECTIONS AT THRESHOLD, ESPECIALLY IN RESONANCE PRODUCTION
 - \Rightarrow HOW BIG ARE THESE EFFECTS AT THE LHC?
- TREAT QED AND QCD SIMULTANEOUSLY IN THE (YFS)
 RESUMMATION TO ASSESS THE ROLE OF THE QED AND
 TO REALIZE AN APPROACH TO SHOWER/ME MATCHING.
- QUANTUM GENERAL RELATIVITY:STILL NO
 PHENOMENOLOGICALLY TESTED THEORY
- OUTSTANDING ISSUES: FINAL STATE OF HAWKING RADIATION, ... – FERTILE GROUND FOR RESUMMATION; SEE ALSO WORK BY REUTER ET AL., LITIM, DONOGHUE ET AL., CAVAGLIA, SOLA ET AL., ETC.

INTRODUCTION

PRELIMINARIES

• WE USE THE GPS CONVENTIONS OF JWW FOR SPINORS; PHOTON-GLUON POLARIZATION VECTORS FOLLOW THEREFROM:

 $\left(\epsilon^{\mu}_{\sigma}(\beta)\right)^{*} = \frac{\bar{u}_{\sigma}(k)\gamma^{\mu}u_{\sigma}(\beta)}{\sqrt{2}\ \bar{u}_{-\sigma}(k)u_{\sigma}(\beta)}, \quad \left(\epsilon^{\mu}_{\sigma}(\zeta)\right)^{*} = \frac{\bar{u}_{\sigma}(k)\gamma^{\mu}u_{\sigma}(\zeta)}{\sqrt{2}\ \bar{u}_{-\sigma}(k)u_{\sigma}(\zeta)}, \quad (1)$

REPRESENTATIVE PROCESSES $pp \rightarrow V + n(\gamma) + m(g) + X \rightarrow \overline{\ell}\ell' + n'(\gamma) + m(g) + X$, where $V = W^{\pm}$, Z, and $\ell = e, \mu, \ \ell' = \nu_e, \nu_{\mu}(e, \mu)$ respectively for $V = W^+(Z)$, and $\ell = \nu_e, \nu_{\mu}, \ \ell' = e, \mu$ respectively for $V = W^-$.

Quantum Gravity Loop Corrections to Elementary Particle Propagators

Review of Exact Amplitude-Based Resummation for QCD

QED CASE – S. Jadach et al., YFS2, YFS3, BHLUMI, BHWIDE, KORALZ, KKMC, YFSWW3, YFSZZ, KoralW For $e^+(p_1)e^-(q_1) \rightarrow f(p_2)f(q_2) + n(\gamma)(k_1, \cdot, k_n)$, renormalization group improved YFS theory (PRD36(1987)939) gives precision preidtcions which are in agreemnet with LEPI&II precision data. QCD CASE- In hep-ph/0210357(ICHEP02), Acta Phys.Polon.B33,1543-1558,2002, Phys.Rev.D52(1995)108; ibid. 66 (2002) 019903(E);PLB342 (1995) 239, we have extended the YFS theory to QCD:

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{exp} = \sum_{n} d\hat{\sigma}^{n}$$

$$= e^{SUM_{IR}(QCD)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d^{3}k_{j}}{k_{j}} \int \frac{d^{4}y}{(2\pi)^{4}} e^{iy \cdot (P_{1}+P_{2}-Q_{1}-Q_{2}-\sum k_{j})+D_{QCD}}$$

$$* \tilde{\beta}_{n}(k_{1},\ldots,k_{n}) \frac{d^{3}P_{2}}{P_{2}^{0}} \frac{d^{3}Q_{2}}{Q_{2}^{0}}$$
(2)

B F L Ward

where the new hard gluon residuals $ilde{areta}_n(k_1,\ldots,k_n)$ defined by

$$\tilde{\bar{\beta}}_n(k_1,\ldots,k_n) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\bar{\beta}}_n^{(\ell)}(k_1,\ldots,k_n)$$

are free of all infrared divergences to all orders in $\alpha_s(Q)$.

- We stress that the arguments in (DeLaney *et al.* PRD52(1995)108, PLB342(1995)239) are not really sufficient to derive eq.(2)– they did not expose the compensation between the left over genuine non-Abelian IR virtual and real singularities between $\int dPh\bar{\beta}_n$ and $\int dPh\bar{\beta}_{n+1}$ respectively that allows to isolate $\tilde{\beta}_j$ and distinguishes QCD from QED, where no such compensation occurs.
- Our exponent corresponds to the N = 1 term in the exponent in Gatheral's non-Abelian eikonal formula (PLB133(1983)90), wherein everything that does not eikonalize and exponentiate is dropped our result (2) is exact.
- We can include all of Gatheral's formula in (2) as desired.

Extension to QED (QCD and Quantum Gravity

Simultaneous exponentiation of QED and QCD higher order effects, hep-ph/0404087,

gives

$$B_{QCD}^{nls} \to B_{QCD}^{nls} + B_{QED}^{nls} \equiv B_{QCED}^{nls},$$

$$\tilde{B}_{QCD}^{nls} \to \tilde{B}_{QCD}^{nls} + \tilde{B}_{QED}^{nls} \equiv \tilde{B}_{QCED}^{nls},$$

$$\tilde{S}_{QCD}^{nls} \to \tilde{S}_{QCD}^{nls} + \tilde{S}_{QED}^{nls} \equiv \tilde{S}_{QCED}^{nls}$$
(3)

which leads to

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{\exp} = e^{\text{SUM}_{\text{IR}}(\text{QCED})} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \int \prod_{j_1=1}^{n} \frac{d^3 k_{j_1}}{k_{j_1}}$$
$$\prod_{j_2=1}^{m} \frac{d^3 k'_{j_2}}{k'_{j_2}} \int \frac{d^4 y}{(2\pi)^4} e^{iy \cdot (p_1 + q_1 - p_2 - q_2 - \sum k_{j_1} - \sum k'_{j_2}) + D_{\text{QCED}}}$$
$$\tilde{\bar{\beta}}_{n,m}(k_1, \dots, k_n; k'_1, \dots, k'_m) \frac{d^3 p_2}{p_2^0} \frac{d^3 q_2}{q_2^0}, \tag{4}$$

where the new YFS residuals

 $ar{eta}_{n,m}(k_1,\ldots,k_n;k_1',\ldots,k_m')$, with n hard gluons and m hard photons,

B. F. L. Ward

represent the successive application of the YFS expansion first for QCD and subsequently for QED.

The infrared functions are now

$$SUM_{IR}(QCED) = 2\alpha_s \Re B_{QCED}^{nls} + 2\alpha_s \tilde{B}_{QCED}^{nls}$$
$$D_{QCED} = \int \frac{dk}{k^0} \left(e^{-iky} - \theta (K_{max} - k^0) \right) \tilde{S}_{QCED}^{nls}$$
(5)

where K_{max} is a dummy parameter – here the same for QCD and QED.

Infrared Algebra(QCED):

$$\begin{split} x_{avg}(QED) &\cong \gamma(QED)/(1+\gamma(QED)) \\ x_{avg}(QCD) &\cong \gamma(QCD)/(1+\gamma(QCD)) \\ \gamma(A) &= \frac{2\alpha_A \mathcal{C}_A}{\pi} (L_s-1), A = QED, QCD \\ \mathcal{C}_A &= Q_f^2, C_F, \text{ respectively, for } A = QED, QCD \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow QCD dominant corrections happen an order of magnitude earlier than those for QED.

 \Rightarrow Leading $\tilde{\bar{\beta}}_{0,0}^{(0,0)}$ -level gives a good estimate of the size of the effects we study.

B. F. L. Ward

Oct. 4, 2007

RADCOR07

RESUMMED QUANTUM GRAVITY

APPLY (4) TO QUANTUM GENERAL RELATIVITY:

$$i\Delta_F'(k)|_{\text{resummed}} = \frac{ie^{B_g''(k)}}{(k^2 - m^2 - \Sigma_s' + i\epsilon)} \tag{6}$$

III-3

Oct. 4, 2007

FOR

 \Rightarrow

$$B_g''(k) = -2i\kappa^2 k^4 \frac{\int d^4\ell}{16\pi^4} \frac{1}{\ell^2 - \lambda^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(\ell^2 + 2\ell k + \Delta + i\epsilon)^2}$$
(7)

THIS IS THE BASIC RESULT.

NOTE THE FOLLOWING:

• Σ_s' starts in $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^2),$ so we may drop it in calculating one-loop effects.

B. F. L. Ward

• EXPLICIT EVALUATION GIVES, FOR THE DEEP UV REGIME,

$$B_g''(k) = \frac{\kappa^2 |k^2|}{8\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{m^2}{m^2 + |k^2|}\right),\tag{8}$$

III-4

Oct. 4, 2007

 \Rightarrow THE RESUMMED PROPAGATOR FALLS FASTER THAN ANY POWER OF $|k^2|!$

• IF *m* VANISHES, USING THE USUAL $-\mu^2$ NORMALIZATION POINT WE GET $B_g''(k) = \frac{\kappa^2 |k^2|}{8\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{|k^2|}\right)$ WHICH AGAIN VANISHES FASTER THAN ANY POWER OF $|k^2|$!

THIS MEANS THAT ONE-LOOP CORRECTIONS ARE FINITE! INDEED, ALL QUANTUM GRAVITY LOOPS ARE UV FINITE(MPLA17(2002)2371)!



QED \otimes **QCD** Threshold Corrections,

Shower/ME Matching & IRI-DGLAP-CS Theory at LHC

We shall apply the new simultaneous QED \otimes QCD exponentiation calculus to the single Z production with leptonic decay at the LHC (and at FNAL) to focus on the ISR alone, for definiteness. See also the work of Baur *et al.*, Dittmaier and Kramer, Zykunov for exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ results and Hamberg *et al.*, van Neerven and Matsuura and Anastasiou *et al.* for exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ results.

For the basic formula

B. F. L. Ward

$$d\sigma_{exp}(pp \to V + X \to \bar{\ell}\ell' + X') = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_i dx_j F_i(x_i) F_j(x_j) d\hat{\sigma}_{exp}(x_i x_j s),$$
(9)

we use the result in (4) here with semi-analytical methods and structure functions from Martin *et al.*.

A MC realization will appear elsewhere.

SHOWER/ME MATCHING

- Note the following: In (9) WE DO NOT ATTEMPT *HERE* TO REPLACE HERWIG and/or PYTHIA – WE INTEND *HERE* TO COMBINE OUR EXACT YFS CALCULUS, $d\hat{\sigma}_{exp}(x_ix_js)$, WITH HERWIG and/or PYTHIA BY USING THEM/IT TO GENERATE A PARTON SHOWER STARTING FROM (x_1, x_2) AT FACTORIZATION SCALE μ AFTER THIS POINT IS PROVIDED BY $\{F_i\}$: THERE ARE TWO APPROACHES TO THE MATCHING UNDER STUDY, ONE BASED ON p_T -MATCHING AND ONE BASED ON SHOWER-SUBTRACTED RESIDUALS $\{\hat{\vec{\beta}}_{n,m}(k_1, \ldots, k_n; k'_1, \ldots, k'_m)\}$, WHEREIN THE SHOWER FORMULA AND THE $QED \otimes QCD$ EXPONENTIATION FORMULA CAN BE EXPANDED IN PRODUCT AND REQUIRED TO MATCH THE GIVEN EXACT RESULT TO THE SPECIFIED ORDER – SEE hep-ph/0509003.
- THIS COMBINATION OF THEORETICAL CONSTRUCTS CAN BE SYSTEMATICALLY IMPROVED WITH EXACT RESULTS ORDER-BY-ORDER IN α_s, α , WITH EXACT PHASE SPACE.
- THE RECENT ALTERNATIVE PARTON EVOLUTION ALGORITHM BY JADACH and SKRZYPEK, Acta. Phys. Pol.B35, 745 (2004), CAN ALSO BE USED.

• LACK OF COLOR COHERENCE \Rightarrow ISAJET NOT CONSIDERED HERE.

B. F. L. Ward

With this said, we compute , with and without QED, the ratio

 $r_{exp} = \sigma_{exp} / \sigma_{Born}$

to get the results (We stress that we *do not* use the narrow resonance approximation here.)

 $r_{exp} = \begin{cases} 1.1901 & , \text{QCED} \equiv \text{QCD+QED}, \text{ LHC} \\ 1.1872 & , \text{QCD}, \text{ LHC} \\ 1.1911 & , \text{QCED} \equiv \text{QCD+QED}, \text{ Tevatron} \\ 1.1879 & , \text{QCD}, \text{ Tevatron} \end{cases}$ (10)

 \Rightarrow

***QED IS AT .3% AT BOTH LHC and FNAL.**

*** THIS IS STABLE UNDER SCALE VARIATIONS.**

* WE AGREE WITH BAUR ET AL., HAMBERG ET AL., van NEERVEN and ZIJLSTRA.

*QED EFFECT SIMILAR IN SIZE TO STR. FN. RESULTS.

*DGLAP-CS SYNTHESIZATION HAS NOT COMPROMISED THE

NORMALIZATION.

B. F. L. Ward

Oct. 4, 2007

IR-Improved DGLAP-CS Theory

Exponentiation of QCD higher order effects: Where to apply? hep-ph/0508140,

consider

$$\frac{dq^{NS}(x,t)}{dt} = \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} q^{NS}(y,t) P_{qq}(x/y) \tag{11}$$

where the well-known result for the kernel $P_{qq}(z)$ is, for z < 1,

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_F \frac{1+z^2}{1-z},$$
(12)

 $t = \ln \mu^2 / \mu_0^2$ for some reference scale μ_0 . \Rightarrow

Unintegrable singularity at z=1, usually regularized by

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)} \to \frac{1}{(1-z)_+}$$
 (13)

with $\frac{1}{(1-z)_+}$ such that

$$\int_{0}^{1} dz \frac{f(z)}{(1-z)_{+}} = \int_{0}^{1} dz \frac{f(z) - f(1)}{(1-z)}.$$
(14)

B. F. L. Ward

with the understanding that $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.

Require

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)_{+}} = \frac{1}{(1-z)}\theta(1-\epsilon-z) + \ln\epsilon\,\delta(1-z)$$
(15)

$$\int_{0}^{1} dz P_{qq}(z) = 0,$$
(16)

 \Rightarrow add virtual corrections to get

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_F\left(\frac{1+z^2}{(1-z)_+} + \frac{3}{2}\delta(1-z)\right).$$
(17)

Observations

• Smooth, divergent 1/(1-z) behavior as $z \to 1$ replaced with a mathematical artifact: the regime $1 - \epsilon < z < 1$ now has no probability at all; at z = 1 we have a large negative integrable contribution \Rightarrow a finite (zero) value for the total integral of $P_{qq}(z)$

• LEP1,2 experience: such mathematical artifacts, while correct, impair precision.

Why set $P_{qq}(z)$ to 0 for $1 - \epsilon < z < 1$ where it actually has its largest values?

• USE EXPERIENCE FROM LEP1,2: $\frac{1}{(1-z)_+}$ SHOULD BE EXPONENTIATED –SEE CERN YELLOW-BOOKS, CERN-89-08., YIELDING FROM (2) THE REPLACEMENT

$$P_{BA} = \frac{1}{2}z(1-z)\overline{\sum_{spins}} \frac{|V_{A\to B+C}|^2}{p_{\perp}^2}$$

 \Rightarrow

B. F. L. Ward

IV-6

$$P_{BA} = \frac{1}{2}z(1-z)\overline{\sum_{spins}} \frac{|V_{A\to B+C}|^2}{p_{\perp}^2} z^{\gamma_q} F_{YFS}(\gamma_q) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_q}$$

WHERE A = q, B = G, C = q and $V_{A \to B+C}$ is the lowest order amplitude for $q \to G(z) + q(1-z)$.



B. F. L. Ward

 $\int_{k_0} dz/z = C_0 - \ln k_0$

 $\int_{k_0} dz / z^{1-\gamma} = C'_0 - k_0^{\gamma} / \gamma.$

is experimentally distinguishable from

where

$$\gamma_q = C_F \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} t = \frac{4C_F}{\beta_0} \tag{20}$$

$$\delta_q = \frac{\gamma_q}{2} + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} (\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{1}{2})$$
(21)

and

$$F_{YFS}(\gamma_q) = \frac{e^{-C_E \gamma_q}}{\Gamma(1+\gamma_q)}.$$

Note:

B. F. L. Ward

Oct. 4, 2007

(22)

• NORMALIZATION CONDITION (16) \Rightarrow :

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_F F_{YFS}(\gamma_q) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_q} \left[\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} (1-z)^{\gamma_q} - f_q(\gamma_q) \delta(1-z) \right]$$
(23)

RADCOR07

where

$$f_q(\gamma_q) = \frac{2}{\gamma_q} - \frac{2}{\gamma_q + 1} + \frac{1}{\gamma_q + 2}.$$
 (24)

• THIS IS OUR IR-IMPROVED $P_{qq} \ {\rm DGLAP-CS} \ {\rm KERNEL}.$

 \Rightarrow STANDARD DGLAP-CS THEORY:

for z < 1, we have

B. F. L. Ward

$$P_{Gq}(z) = P_{qq}(1-z) = C_F F_{YFS}(\gamma_q) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_q} \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} z^{\gamma_q}.$$
 (25)

 \Rightarrow TEST OF NEW THEORY – QUARK MOMENTUM SUM RULE:

$$\int_{0}^{1} dz z \left(P_{Gq}(z) + P_{qq}(z) \right) = 0.$$
(26)

Oct. 4, 2007

IV-9

IV-10

\Rightarrow CHECK VANISHING OF

$$I = \int_0^1 dz z \left(\frac{1 + (1 - z)^2}{z} z^{\gamma_q} + \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z} (1 - z)^{\gamma_q} - f_q(\gamma_q) \delta(1 - z) \right).$$
(27)

NOTE,

$$\frac{z}{1-z} = \frac{z-1+1}{1-z} = -1 + \frac{1}{1-z}.$$
(28)

 \Rightarrow

B. F. L. Ward

$$I = \int_0^1 dz \{ (1 + (1 - z)^2) z^{\gamma_q} - (1 + z^2)(1 - z)^{\gamma_q} + \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z} (1 - z)^{\gamma_q} - f_q(\gamma_q) \delta(1 - z) \}$$

= 0

QUARK MOMENTUM SUM RULE IS SATISFIED.

• For $P_{qG}(z), P_{GG}(z)$, we get, with the replacement $C_F \to C_G$ in the IR algebra, that the usual results

$$P_{GG}(z) = 2C_G(\frac{1-z}{z} + \frac{z}{1-z} + z(1-z))$$

$$P_{qG}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z^2 + (1-z)^2)$$
(29)

become

$$P_{GG}(z) = 2C_G F_{YFS}(\gamma_G) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_G} \{ \frac{1-z}{z} z^{\gamma_G} + \frac{z}{1-z} (1-z)^{\gamma_G} + \frac{1}{2} (z^{1+\gamma_G} (1-z) + z(1-z)^{1+\gamma_G}) - f_G(\gamma_G) \delta(1-z) \}, \quad (30)$$

$$P_{qG}(z) = F_{YFS}(\gamma_G) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_G} \frac{1}{2} \{ z^2 (1-z)^{\gamma_G} + (1-z)^2 z^{\gamma_G} \}, \quad (31)$$

Oct. 4, 2007

B. F. L. Ward

IV-11

where

B. F. L. Ward

$$\gamma_G = C_G \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} t = \frac{4C_G}{\beta_0} \tag{32}$$

$$\delta_G = \frac{\gamma_G}{2} + \frac{\alpha_s C_G}{\pi} (\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{1}{2}), \tag{33}$$

$$f_G(\gamma_G) = \frac{n_f}{C_G} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma_G)(2+\gamma_G)(3+\gamma_G)} + \frac{2}{\gamma_G(1+\gamma_G)(2+\gamma_G)}$$
(34)
$$+ \frac{1}{1}$$
(35)

$$+ \frac{1}{(1+\gamma_G)(2+\gamma_G)} + \frac{1}{2(3+\gamma_G)(4+\gamma_G)}$$
(35)
+ $\frac{1}{(36)}$

$$+ \frac{1}{(2+\gamma_G)(3+\gamma_G)(4+\gamma_G)}.$$
(30)

THE GLUON MOMENTUM SUM RULE HAS BEEN USED.

• THIS DEFINES THE NEW IR-IMPROVED DGLAP-CS THEORY.

IR-IMPROVED DGLAP-CS KERNELS

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_F F_{YFS}(\gamma_q) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_q} \left[\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} (1-z)^{\gamma_q} - f_q(\gamma_q) \delta(1-z) \right], \quad (37)$$

$$P_{Gq}(z) = C_F F_{YFS}(\gamma_q) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_q} \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} z^{\gamma_q}, \quad (38)$$

$$P_{GG}(z) = 2C_G F_{YFS}(\gamma_G) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_G} \{ \frac{1-z}{z} z^{\gamma_G} + \frac{z}{1-z} (1-z)^{\gamma_G} + \frac{1}{2} (z^{1+\gamma_G} (1-z) + z(1-z)^{1+\gamma_G}) - f_G(\gamma_G) \delta(1-z) \}, \quad (39)$$

$$P_{qG}(z) = F_{YFS}(\gamma_G) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_G} \frac{1}{2} \{ z^2 (1-z)^{\gamma_G} + (1-z)^2 z^{\gamma_G} \}.$$
 (40)

B. F. L. Ward

Oct. 4, 2007

IV-13

Higher Order DGLAP-CS Kernels

Connection with the exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ kernel results of Curci, Furmanski and Petronzio, Floratos et al., Moch et al., etc., is immediate: For example, non-singlet case, using standard notation,

$$P_{ns}^{+} = P_{qq}^{\nu} + P_{q\bar{q}}^{\nu} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^{n+1} P_{ns}^{(n)+}$$
(41)

where at order $\mathcal{O}(lpha_s)$ we have

$$P_{ns}^{(0)+}(z) = 2C_F \left\{ \frac{1+z^2}{(1-z)_+} + \frac{3}{2}\delta(1-z) \right\}$$
(42)

 $\Rightarrow P_{ns}^{(0)+}(z)$ agrees with the unexponentiated result for P_{qq} except for an overall factor of 2. Floratos et al., etc., have exact result for $P_{ns}^{(1)+}(z)$, and Moch et al. have

Oct. 4, 2007

B. F. L. Ward

IV-15

exact results for
$$P_{ns}^{(2)+}(z)$$
. Applying (2) to $q \to q + X$, $\bar{q} \to q + X'$, we get
 $P_{ns}^{+,exp}(z) = (\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi})2P_{qq}^{exp}(z) + F_{YFS}(\gamma_q)e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_q}\left[(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi})^2\{(1-z)^{\gamma_q}\bar{P}_{ns}^{(1)+}(z) + \bar{B}_2\delta(1-z)\} + (\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi})^3\{(1-z)^{\gamma_q}\bar{P}_{ns}^{(2)+}(z) + \bar{B}_3\delta(1-z)\}\right]$
(43)

where $P_{qq}^{exp}(z)$ is given above and the resummed residuals $\bar{P}_{ns}^{(i)+}$, i = 1, 2 are related to the exact results for $P_{ns}^{(i)+}$, i = 1, 2, as follows:

$$\bar{P}_{ns}^{(i)+}(z) = P_{ns}^{(i)+}(z) - B_{1+i}\delta(1-z) + \Delta_{ns}^{(i)+}(z)$$
(44)

where

$$\Delta_{ns}^{(1)+}(z) = -4C_F \pi \delta_1 \{ \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - f_q \delta(1-z) \}$$

$$\Delta_{ns}^{(2)+}(z) = -4C_F (\pi \delta_1)^2 \{ \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - f_q \delta(1-z) \}$$

$$-2\pi \delta_1 \bar{P}_{ns}^{(1)+}(z)$$
(45)

B. F. L. Ward

and

$$\bar{B}_2 = B_2 + 4C_F \pi \delta_1 f_q$$

$$\bar{B}_3 = B_3 + 4C_F (\pi \delta_1)^2 f_q - 2\pi \delta_1 \bar{B}_2.$$
(46)

The constants $B_i,\ i=2,3$ are given by

$$B_{2} = 4C_{G}C_{F}\left(\frac{17}{24} + \frac{11}{3}\zeta_{2} - 3\zeta_{3}\right) - 4C_{F}n_{f}\left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + 4C_{F}^{2}\left(\frac{3}{8} - 3\zeta_{2} + 6\zeta_{3}\right)$$

$$B_{3} = 16C_{G}C_{F}n_{f}\left(\frac{5}{4} - \frac{167}{54}\zeta_{2} + \frac{1}{20}\zeta_{2}^{2} + \frac{25}{18}\zeta_{3}\right)$$

$$+ 16C_{G}C_{F}^{2}\left(\frac{151}{64} + \zeta_{2}\zeta_{3} - \frac{205}{24}\zeta_{2} - \frac{247}{60}\zeta_{2}^{2} + \frac{211}{12}\zeta_{3} + \frac{15}{2}\zeta_{5}\right)$$

$$+ 16C_{G}^{2}C_{F}\left(-\frac{1657}{576} + \frac{281}{27}\zeta_{2} - \frac{1}{8}\zeta_{2}^{2} - \frac{97}{9}\zeta_{3} + \frac{5}{2}\zeta_{5}\right)$$

$$+ 16C_{F}n_{F}^{2}\left(-\frac{17}{144} + \frac{5}{27}\zeta_{2} - \frac{1}{9}\zeta_{3}\right)$$

$$+ 16C_{F}^{2}n_{F}\left(-\frac{23}{16} + \frac{5}{12}\zeta_{2} + \frac{29}{30}\zeta_{2}^{2} - \frac{17}{6}\zeta_{3}\right)$$

$$+ 16C_{F}^{3}\left(\frac{29}{32} - 2\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3} + \frac{9}{8}\zeta_{2} + \frac{18}{5}\zeta_{2}^{2} + \frac{17}{4}\zeta_{3} - 15\zeta_{5}\right).$$
(47)

B. F. L. Ward

IV-17

Contact with Wilson Expansion

N-th moment of the invariants $T_{i,\ell}$, i = L, 2, 3, $\ell = q, G$, of the forward Compton amplitude in DIS:(Gorishni et al.)

$$\mathcal{P}_{N} \equiv \left[\frac{q^{\{\mu_{1}}\cdots q^{\mu_{N}}\}}{N!}\frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial p^{\mu_{1}}\cdots \partial p^{\mu_{N}}}\right]|_{p=0},\tag{48}$$

 $x_{Bj} = Q^2/(2qp)$ in the standard DIS notation – Projects the coefficient of $1/(2x_{Bj})^N$. Terms which we resum here \Leftrightarrow Formally γ_q -dependent anomalous dimensions associated with the respective coefficient, not in Wilson's expansion by usual definition.:

LARGE λ NOT ALL ON TIP OF LIGHTCONE.

COMMENTS

(*) IRI-DGLAP-CS RESUMS IR SINGULAR ISR;BY FACTORIZATION THIS IS NOT CONTAINED IN ANY RESUMMATION OF HARD SHORT-DISTANCE COEFFICIENT FN CORRECTIONS AS IN THE STERMAN, CATANI-TRENTADUE, COLLINS ET AL. FORMULAS

(**) WE DO NOT CHANGE THE PREDICTED HADRON CROSS SECTION:

$$\sigma = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 dx_2 F_i(x_1) F_j(x_2) \hat{\sigma}(x_1 x_2 s)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 dx_2 F'_i(x_1) F'_j(x_2) \hat{\sigma}'(x_1 x_2 s)$$
(49)

ORDER BY ORDER IN PERTURBATION THEORY.

 $\{P^{exp}\} \text{ factorize } \hat{\sigma}_{\text{unfactorized}} \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}'$ $\{P\} \text{ factorize } \hat{\sigma}_{\text{unfactorized}} \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}$

(* * *) QUARK NUMBER CONSERVATION AND CANCELLATION OF IR SINGULARITIES IN XSECTS: Quaranteed by fundamental quantum field theoretic principles: Global Gauge Invariance, Unitarity – Everybody may use these principles.

B. F. L. Ward

Effects on Parton Distributions

Moments of kernels \Leftrightarrow Logarithmic exponents for evolution

$$\frac{dM_n^{NS}(t)}{dt} = \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} A_n^{NS} M_n^{NS}(t)$$
(50)

where

$$M_n^{NS}(t) = \int_0^1 dz z^{n-1} q^{NS}(z,t)$$
(51)

and the quantity ${\cal A}_n^{NS}$ is given by

$$A_n^{NS} = \int_0^1 dz z^{n-1} P_{qq}(z),$$

= $C_F F_{YFS}(\gamma_q) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta_q} [B(n,\gamma_q) + B(n+2,\gamma_q) - f_q(\gamma_q)]$ (52)

where B(x,y) is the beta function given by

$$B(x,y) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)/\Gamma(x+y)$$

B. F. L. Ward

Compare the usual result

$$A_n^{NS^o} \equiv C_F \left[-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n+1)} - 2\sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{j} \right].$$
 (53)

• ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR: IR-improved goes to a multiple of $-f_q$, consistent with $\lim_{n\to\infty} z^{n-1} = 0$ for $0 \le z < 1$; usual result diverges as $-2C_F \ln n$.

• Different for finite n as well: for n = 2 we get, for example, for $\alpha_s \cong .118$,

$$A_2^{NS} = \begin{cases} C_F(-1.33) &, \text{ un-IR-improved} \\ C_F(-0.966) &, \text{ IR-improved} \end{cases}$$
(54)

B. F. L. Ward

• For completeness we note

$$\bar{a}_{n} = \frac{2C_{F}}{\beta_{0}} F_{YFS}(\gamma_{q}) e^{\frac{\gamma_{q}}{4}} [B(n,\gamma_{q}) + B(n+2,\gamma_{q}) - f_{q}(\gamma_{q})]$$

$$\bar{a'}_{n} = \bar{a}_{n} \left(1 + \frac{\delta_{1}}{2} \frac{(\alpha_{s}(t_{0}) - \alpha_{s}(t))}{\ln(\alpha_{s}(t_{0})/\alpha_{s}(t))} \right)$$
(56)

with

$$\delta_1 = \frac{C_F}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Compare with un-IR-improved result where last line in eq.(55) holds exactly with $\bar{a'}_n = 2A_n^{NS^o}/\beta_0$.

B. F. L. Ward

• For n=2, taking $Q_0=2$ GeV and evolving to Q=100GeV, with

 $\Lambda_{QCD} \cong .2 GeV$ and $n_f = 5$ for illustration,

(55,56) \Rightarrow a shift of evolved NS moment by $\sim~5\%$,

of some interest in view of the expected HERA precision

(see for example, T. Carli et al., Proc. HERA-LHC Wkshp, 2005).

Introduction of IR-Improved DGLAP-CS kernels in HERWIG and PYTHIA in progress.



- Di'Lieto et al.(NPB183(1981)223), Doria et al.(*ibid*.168(1980)93), Catani et al.(*ibid*.264(1986)588;Catani(ZPC37(1988)357): IN ISR, BLOCH-NORDSIECK CANCELLATION FAILS AT $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ for $m_q \neq 0$.
- For $q+q' \rightarrow q''+q'''+V+X$, they get

$$\operatorname{flux} \frac{d\sigma}{d^3 Q} = \frac{-g^4 \bar{H}}{(d-4)32\pi^2} \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}) - 2\right)$$
(57)

• HERE, \bar{H} IS THE HARD PROCESS DRESSED AS

$$F_1 = C_2(G) H_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}(T_i)^{\beta\alpha}(T_i)_b a$$
(58)

FOR

$$f_{ijk}f_{ijl} = C_2(G)\delta_{kl}$$
$$(T_iT_i)_{ab} = C_2(F)I_{ab}.$$

THEY EVALUATE THE GRAPHS IN FIG.1 USING MUELLER'S THM.

B. F. L. Ward



Figure 1. Graphs evaluated in Ref. [2] (see the first paper therein especially) in arriving at the result in (3) using Mueller's theorem for the respective cross section. The usual Landau-Bjorken-Cutkosky (LBC) [10] rules obtain so that a slash puts the line on-shell and a dash changes the iɛ-prescription; and, graphs that have cancelled or whose contributions are implied by those in the figure are not shown explicitly.

- SINCE BN VIOLATION VANISHES FOR $m_q \to 0$, MUST SET $m_q = 0$ IN ISR for $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^n), n \ge 2$: NOTE, $m_b \cong 5$ GeV.
- SOURCE OF BN-VIOLATION: LOOK AT CONTRIBUTION OF DIAGRAMS (q-o) IN FIG.1:

 $A_{q-o} = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \int \frac{d^3k d^3k' 2k_z}{(k_z + k'_z + i\epsilon)(\beta^2 k_z^2 - \mathbf{k}^2)(\beta^2 k_z^2 - \mathbf{k'}^2 + i\epsilon)(k_z^2 + \epsilon^2)}$ (59)UV-REGULATED RESULT: USE THE REGULATOR $e^{-\mathbf{k}^2/\Lambda^2}$. \Rightarrow $A_{q-o}|_{UV-reg} = \frac{4\pi^{n+1}(\Lambda^2)^{n-3}}{\beta^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{(n-3)^2} + \frac{1}{2(n-3)} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) \right\}.$ \Rightarrow $F_{nbn} = \frac{(1-\beta)(\ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - 2\beta)}{\ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)}$ (61) B. F. L. Ward Oct. 4, 2007

IV-24



- LANDAU-BJORKEN-CUTKOSKY ANALYSIS: INTEGRATE OVER k'_z IN (59) \Rightarrow TWO POLES BELOW REAL AXIS $k'_z = -k_z - i\epsilon$, $k'_z = -\sqrt{\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2 + i\epsilon}$ WHERE ENERGY OF k'-gluon $= -\beta k_z$ BY LBC RULES. ONLY THE LATTER POLE GIVES ON-SHELL k' RADIATION: $\Re = \{0 \le k'_{\perp}^2 \le \beta^2 k_z^2\}$ IS ON-SHELL k'-gluon REGIME.
- WE GET THERE

B. F. L. Ward

$$A_{q-o}|_{\Re} = \Re \frac{1}{\beta^2} \int d^3k \int_0^{\beta^2 k_z^2} \pi d(k'_{\perp}^2) \frac{-2\pi i}{-(-2)\sqrt{\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2}}$$
(62)
$$\frac{1}{k_z - \sqrt{\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2} + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{\beta^2 k_z^2 - \mathbf{k}^2} \frac{2k_z}{k_z^2 + \epsilon^2}.$$

WE NEED THE REAL PART:

$$A_{q-o}|_{\Re} = \Re \frac{-\pi i}{\beta^2} \int d^3k \int_0^{\beta^2 k_z^2} \pi d(k'_{\perp}^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2}} \\ \frac{k_z + i\epsilon + \sqrt{\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2}}{(k_z + i\epsilon)^2 - (\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2)} \frac{1}{\beta^2 k_z^2 - \mathbf{k}^2} \frac{2k_z}{k_z^2 + \epsilon^2} \\ = \Re \frac{-\pi i}{\beta^2} \int d^3k \int_0^{\beta^2 k_z^2} \pi d(k'_{\perp}^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2}} \\ \frac{k_z + i\epsilon}{(k_z + i\epsilon)^2 - (\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2)} \frac{1}{\beta^2 k_z^2 - \mathbf{k}^2} \frac{2k_z}{k_z^2 + \epsilon^2} \\ = \Re \frac{-\pi i}{\beta^2} \int d^3k \int_0^{\beta^2 k_z^2} \pi d(k'_{\perp}^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k_z + i\epsilon - \sqrt{\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2}} + \frac{1}{k_z + i\epsilon - \sqrt{\beta^2 k_z^2 - k'_{\perp}^2}} \right) \\ \frac{1}{\beta^2 k_z^2 - \mathbf{k}^2} \frac{2k_z}{k_z^2 + \epsilon^2} \\ = \Re \frac{-i\pi^2}{\beta^2} \int d^3k \left(-\ln(k_z + i\epsilon - \beta |k_z|) + \ln(k_z + i\epsilon + \beta |k_z|) \right) \\ \frac{1}{\beta^2 k_z^2 - \mathbf{k}^2} \frac{2k_z}{k_z^2 + \epsilon^2}, \end{cases}$$

B. F. L. Ward

IV-27

WHERE ON-SHELL REGIME ACTUALLY HAS $k_0' = -\beta k_z < 0$, real radiative contribution, by the standard lbc methods, has $k_z > 0$.

• FOR INTEGRATION OVER $k_z > \sqrt{\epsilon}$, RHS OF THE LAST EQUATION HAS NO REAL PART AS $\epsilon \to 0$. THE REAL EMISSION PART OF (63) ARISES FROM $0 \le k_z \le \sqrt{\epsilon}$. **BRANCH CUTS FOR THE LOGS: JOIN THEM BETWEEN** $k_{z1}=-i\epsilon/(1-\beta)$ and $k_{z2}=-i\epsilon/(1+\beta)$; AND WE CLOSE THE CONTOUR BELOW THE REAL AXIS AS SHOWN IN **Fig. 2** \Rightarrow $\oint_C dk_z \left(-\ln(k_z + i\epsilon - \beta k_z) + \ln(k_z + i\epsilon + \beta k_z) \frac{1}{\beta^2 k_z^2 - \mathbf{k}^2} \frac{2k_z}{k_z^2 + \overline{\epsilon}^2} = 0,\right)$ (64)

B. F. L. Ward



Figure 2. The contour C used in the complex k_z -plane to evaluate the real emission part of the contribution of diagrams (q-o) in Fig. 1 to the RHS of (3). See the text for further discussion.

ssion.

IV-28

WHERE WE USE THE INTRINSIC FREEDOM IN THE FEYNMAN $i\epsilon$ -prescription to take each such infinitesimal parameter INDEPENDENTLY TO 0 FROM ABOVE AND THE CURVE C is given in FIG. 2. WE TAKE HERE $k_{\perp} > \sqrt{\epsilon}, \ \bar{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}$

• BY CAUCHY'S THEOREM,

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\epsilon}} dk_{z} \left(-\ln(k_{z} + i\epsilon - \beta^{2}|k_{z}|) + \ln(k_{z} + i\epsilon + \beta|k_{z}|) \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta^{2}k_{z}^{2} - \mathbf{k}^{2}} \frac{2k_{z}}{k_{z}^{2} + \bar{\epsilon}^{2}}$$

$$= -\sum_{i=2}^{7} I_{i}.$$
(65)

B.F.

• TREAT EACH INTEGRAL IN TURN:

FOR I_2 , USE THE CHANGE OF VARIABLE $k_z = \sqrt{\epsilon}e^{i\theta}$, FOR $0 \ge \theta \ge -\frac{\pi}{2}$. THEN, WE GET

$$I_{2} = \int_{0}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} i d\theta k_{z} \left(-\ln(k_{z} + i\epsilon - \beta k_{z}) + \ln(k_{z} + i\epsilon + \beta k_{z}) \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta^{2} k_{z}^{2} - \mathbf{k}^{2}} \frac{2k_{z}}{k_{z}^{2} + \bar{\epsilon}^{2}}$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} i d\theta \left(-\ln(1 - \beta) + \ln(1 + \beta) \right) \frac{1}{-\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}$$

$$= -i\pi \ln\left(\frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta}\right) \frac{1}{(-\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2})}.$$
(66)

• For I_3 , USE THE CHANGE OF VARIABLE $k_z = -iy$: IT IS PURE REAL SO THAT IT WILL NOT CONTRIBUTE THE THE IMAGINARY PART OF I_1 VIA (64).

B. F. L. Ward

• FOR I_4 WE SEE FROM PASSING AROUND THE LOWER BRANCH POINT IN FIG. 2 THAT THE RESPECTIVE IMAGINARY CONTRIBUTION IS

$$i\Im I_{4} = \int_{\frac{-i\epsilon}{1-\beta}}^{\frac{-i\epsilon}{1+\beta}} dk_{z} \left(-\pi i\right) \frac{1}{-\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}} \frac{2k_{z}}{k_{z}^{2} + \bar{\epsilon}^{2}}$$

$$= 2\pi i \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) \frac{1}{\left(-\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}\right)}.$$
(67)

- FOR I_5 , WE SEE BY THE CHANGE OF VARIABLE $k_z = -iy$ that it too is pure real and does not contribute to the imaginary part of I_1 via (64).
- For I_6 , WE GET THE RESULT

$$I_6 = \pi i Res(-i\overline{\epsilon}) = 0 \tag{68}$$

SINCE $\bar{\epsilon}/\epsilon \to 0$ when $\epsilon \to 0$.

B. F. L. Ward

- FOR I_7 THE CHANGE OF VARIABLE $k_z = -iy$ shows that it too is pure real and does not contribute to the imaginary part of I_1 via (64).
- NET RESULT:

 \Rightarrow

$$i\Im I_{1} = -\{2\pi i - \pi i\} \frac{-1}{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\pi i}{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right).$$
(69)

$$A_{q-o}|_{\mathfrak{R},\mathsf{real rad.}} = \frac{2\pi^3}{\beta^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)\right) \int \frac{d^2k_{\perp}}{\mathbf{k_{\perp}}^2},\tag{70}$$

Oct. 4, 2007

B. F. L. Ward

IV-31



B. F. L. Ward

IV-33

 \Rightarrow REAL EMISSION IN A_{q-o} SATURATES SINGLE IR POLE.

• THUS, WE WRITE

flux
$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^3Q} = \frac{-g^4\bar{H}}{64\pi^6} F_{nbn}A_{q-o}|_{\Re,\text{real rad., IR pole part}},$$
 (73)

WHERE FROM (72) WE HAVE

$$A_{q-o}|_{\mathfrak{R},\mathsf{real rad., IR pole part}} = \frac{4\pi^4}{\beta^2} \left(\frac{1}{2(n-3)} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)\right).$$
(74)

• APPLY QCD RESUMMATION TO REAL EMISSION IN $A_{q-o}|_{\Re}$: APPLY IT TO THE FRACTION F_{nbn} ; REMAINING $1 - F_{nbn}$ CANCELLED BY VIRTUAL CORRECTIONS

IV-34

• USING

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{\exp} = e^{\text{SUM}_{\text{IR}}(\text{QCD})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d^{3}k_{j}}{k_{j}} \int \frac{d^{4}y}{(2\pi)^{4}} e^{iy \cdot (p_{1}+q_{1}-p_{2}-q_{2}-p_{X}-\sum k_{j})} \\ * e^{D_{\text{QCD}}} \tilde{\bar{\beta}}_{n}(k_{1},\dots,k_{n}) \frac{d^{3}p_{2}}{p_{2}^{0}} \frac{d^{3}q_{2}}{q_{2}^{0}} \frac{d^{3}p_{X}}{p_{X}^{0}}$$
(75)

WE GET

B. F. L. Ward

$$F_{nbn}A_{q-o}|_{\mathfrak{R},\text{real rad., resummed}} = F_{nbn}\mathfrak{R}\frac{-i\pi^2}{\beta^2}\int d^2k_{\perp}\int_{0}^{\sqrt{\epsilon}} dk_z F_{YFS}(\bar{\gamma}_q)e^{\bar{\delta}_q/2}$$
$$(\beta k_z)^{\bar{\gamma}_q}\left(-\ln(k_z+i\epsilon-\beta k_z)+\ln(k_z+i\epsilon+\beta k_z)\right)$$
$$\frac{1}{\beta^2 k_z^2 - \mathbf{k}^2}\frac{2k_z}{k_z^2 + \epsilon^2},$$
(76)

WHERE WE HAVE DEFINED

$$\bar{\gamma}_q = 2C_F \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} (\ln(s/m^2) - 1)$$
 (77)

$$\bar{\delta}_q = \frac{\bar{\gamma}_q}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} (\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{1}{2}).$$
(78)

• USING THE SUBSTITUTION $k_z=\sqrt{\epsilon}\bar{k}_z$, we have

$$F_{nbn}A_{q-o}|_{\mathfrak{R},\mathsf{real rad., resummed}} = F_{nbn}\mathfrak{R}\frac{-i\pi^{2}\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma_{q}}{2}}}{\beta^{2}}\int d^{2}k_{\perp}\int_{0}^{1}d\bar{k}_{z}F_{YFS}(\bar{\gamma}_{q})e^{\bar{\delta}_{q}/2}$$

$$(\beta\bar{k}_{z})^{\gamma_{q}}\left(-\ln(\bar{k}_{z}+i\sqrt{\epsilon}-\beta\bar{k}_{z})+\ln(\bar{k}_{z}+i\sqrt{\epsilon}+\beta\bar{k}_{z})\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{-(1-\beta^{2})\epsilon\bar{k}_{z}^{2}-\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}\frac{2\bar{k}_{z}}{\bar{k}_{z}^{2}+\epsilon}.$$
(79)

THE RHS OF THIS LAST EQUATION VANISHES AS $\epsilon \to 0$, REMOVING THE VIOLATION OF BLOCH-NORDSIECK CANCELLATION IN (57).

CONCLUSION:

• RESUMMATION CURES LACK OF BN CANCELLATION IN MASSIVE QCD

B. F. L. Ward

FINAL STATE OF HAWKING RADIATION

CONSIDER THE GRAVITON PROPAGATOR IN THE THEORY OF GRAVITY COUPLED TO A MASSIVE SCALAR(HIGGS) FIELD(Feynman). WE HAVE THE GRAPHS



Figure 1: The graviton((a),(b)) and its ghost((c)) one-loop contributions to the

graviton propagator. q is the 4-momentum of the graviton.

B. F. L. Ward



B. F. L. Ward

USING THE RESUMMED THEORY, WE GET THAT THE NEWTON POTENTIAL BECOMES

$$\Phi_N(r) = -\frac{G_N M}{r} (1 - e^{-ar}),$$
(80)

FOR

$$a \cong 0.210 M_{Pl}$$
.

Oct. 4, 2007

V-3

CONTACT WITH AYMPTOTIC SAFETY APPROACH

• OUR RESULTS IMPLY

$$G(k) = G_N / (1 + \frac{k^2}{a^2})$$

 \Rightarrow FIXED POINT BEHAVIOR FOR

 $k^2
ightarrow \infty$,

IN AGREEMENT WITH THE PHENOMENOLOGICAL ASYMPTOTIC SAFETY APPROACH OF BONNANNO & REUTER IN PRD62(2000) 043008.

B. F. L. Ward



NO HORIZON WHICH ALSO AGREES WITH BONNANNO'S & REUTER'S

RESULT THAT A BLACK HOLE WITH A MASS LESS THAN

 $M_{cr} \sim M_{Pl}$

HAS NO HORIZON.

BASIC PHYSICS:

G(k) vanishes for $k^2 \to \infty$.

B. F. L. Ward

Oct. 4, 2007

A FURTHER "AGREEMENT": FINAL STATE OF HAWKING RADIATION OF AN **ORIGINALLY VERY MASSIVE BLACKHOLE BECAUSE OUR VALUE OF THE COEFFICIENT,** $\frac{1}{a^2}$, OF k^2 in the denominator of G(k)AGREES WITH THAT FOUND BY BONNANNO & REUTER(B-R), IF WE USE THEIR PRESCRIPTION FOR THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN k and rIN THE REGIME WHERE THE LAPSE FUNCTION VANISHES, WE GET THE SAME HAWKING RADIATION PHENOMEMNOLOGY AS THEY DO: THE BLACK HOLE EVAPORATES IN THE B-R ANALYSIS UNTIL IT REACHES A MASS

 $M_{cr} \sim M_{Pl}$

AT WHICH THE BEKENSTEIN-HAWKING TEMPERATURE VANISHES,

LEAVING A PLANCK SCALE REMNANT.

• FATE OF REMNANT? IN hep-ph/0503189 \Rightarrow OUR QUANTUM LOOP EFFECTS COMBINED WITH THE G(r) OF B-R IMPLY HORIZON OF THE PLANCK SCALE REMNANT IS OBVIATED – CONSISTENT WITH RECENT RESULTS OF HAWKING.

TO WIT, IN THE METRIC CLASS

$$ds^{2} = f(r)dt^{2} - f(r)^{-1}dr^{2} - r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$
(82)

THE LAPSE FUNCTION IS, FROM B-R,

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2G(r)M}{r} = \frac{B(x)}{B(x) + 2x^2}|_{x = \frac{r}{G_N M}},$$
(83)

WHERE

$$B(x) = x^3 - 2x^2 + \Omega x + \gamma \Omega \tag{84}$$

FOR

$$\Omega = \frac{\tilde{\omega}}{G_N M^2} = \frac{\tilde{\omega} M_{Pl}^2}{M^2}.$$
(85)

B. F. L. Ward

AFTER H-RADIATING TO REGIME NEAR $M_{cr} \sim M_{Pl}$, quantum loops allow us to replace G(r) with $G_N(1 - e^{-ar})$ in the lapse function for $r < r_>$, the outermost solution of

$$G(r) = G_N(1 - e^{-ar}).$$
 (86)

IN THIS WAY, WE SEE THAT THE INNER HORIZON MOVES TO NEGATIVE r and the outer horizon moves to r=0 at the new critical mass $\sim 2.38 M_{Pl}.$

NOTE: M. BOJOWALD *et al.*, gr-qc/0503041, – LOOP QG CONCURS WITH GENERAL CONCLUSION.

PREDICTION: ENERGETIC COSMIC RAYS AT $E \sim M_{Pl}$ due the decay of such a remnant.

Conclusions

YFS-TYPE METHODS (EEX AND CEEX) EXTEND TO NON-ABELIAN GAUGE THEORY AND ALLOWS SIMULTANEOUS RESMN OF QED AND QCD WITH PROPER SHOWER/ME MATCHING BUILT-IN.

FOR QED \otimes QCD

- FULL MC EVENT GENERATOR REALIZATION OPEN.
- SEMI-ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR QED (AND QCD) THRESHOLD EFFECTS AGREE WITH LITERATURE ON Z PRODUCTION; CHECK WITH W-PROD. IMMINENT
- AS QED IS AT THE .3% LEVEL, IT IS NEEDED FOR 1% LHC THEORY PREDICTIONS.

• A FIRM BASIS FOR THE COMPLETE $O(\alpha_s^2, \alpha \alpha_s, \alpha^2)$ MC RESULTS NEEDED FOR THE FNAL/LHC/RHIC/TESLA/LC PHYSICS HAS BEEN DEMONSTRATED AND ALL THE LATTER IS IN PROGRESS, WITH M. Kalmykov, S. Majhi, S. Yost and S. Joseph.– SEE JHEP0702(2007)040,arxiv:0707.3654,0803 NEW RESULTS FOR HO F-Int's,etc. –no time to discuss here

THE THEORY ALLOWS A NEW APPROACH TO QUANTUM GENERAL RELATIVITY:

- **RESUMMED QG UV FINITE**
- MANY CONSEQUENCES: BLACK HOLES EVAPORATE TO FINAL MASS $\sim M_{Pl}$ with no horizon

 $\Rightarrow E \sim M_{Pl}$ COSMIC RAYS, · · · – EXPT'S IN PROGRESS.