Quantum Impurities Out of Equilibrium



Natan Andrei Rutgers

Department of Physics and Astronomy

With collaborators:

- P. Mehta Princeton
- C. Bolech Rice
 - A. Jerez NJIT
- S.-P Chao Rutgers
- G. Palacios Rutgers

Florence, September 2008

Quantum Impurities out-of-Equilibrium

• The quantum impurity - experimentally: Goldhaber-Gordon et al, Conenwett et al, Schmid et al



- Couple impurity to leads with $\mu_1
 eq \mu_2$
- Non-equil steady state (NESS) is established:
 - current's flow is time independent (after transients)
- Measure non-equil current in steady state

$$I = I(V,T), V = \mu_1 - \mu_2$$

- The quantum impurity theoretically:
 - How to compute I = I(V,T)?



Leads = Fermi seas, i=1,2

$$H_{leads} = \sum_{i,k} \epsilon_k c^{\dagger}_{i\vec{k}} c_{i\vec{k}}$$

Non-equilibrium

$$V = \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

Quantum Impurity Hamiltonian $(3d \rightarrow 1d)$



Impurity Hamiltonian (1d): Low-energy universality

$$H^{1d} = -i\sum_{j=1,2} \int \psi_{ja}^{\dagger} \partial \psi_{ja} \, dx + t\sum_{j=1,2} (\psi_{ja}^{\dagger}(0)d_a + h.c.) + H_{imp}$$

The Quantum Impurity:

The Quantum Impurity unfolded

Non-equilibrium: Time-dependent Description

Given H - how to set up the non-equilibrium problem?

- **Keldysh** $\begin{cases} \bullet & t \leq t_o, \text{ leads decoupled, system described by: } \rho_o \\ \bullet & t = t_o, \text{ couple leads to impurity} \end{cases}$

$$t \ge t_o$$
, evolve with $H(t) = H_o + e^{\eta t} H_1$

Description of Nonequilibrium requires two elements: H , ho_o or H , H_o ; Equilibrium requires only H_o

<u>For T > 0:</u>

- 1. initial condition: ρ_o
- 2. evolution: $U(t, t_o) = T\{e^{-i \int_{t_o}^t dt' H(t')}\}$
- 3. density matrix: $\rho(t) = U(t, t_o)\rho_o U^{\dagger}(t, t_o)$
- 4. non equil value: $\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle = Tr\{\rho(t)\hat{O}\}$

<u>For T = 0:</u>

1. initial condition: $|\phi_o, V\rangle$

2. evolution:
$$U(t, t_o) = T\{e^{-i \int_{t_o}^t dt' H(t')}\}$$

3. evolved state:
$$|\psi(t)\rangle_V = U(t, t_o) |\phi_o, V\rangle$$

4. non-equil value: $\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle_V = \langle \psi(t) | \hat{O} | \psi(t) \rangle_V$

The initial condition at T=0: $|\phi_o\rangle = |\phi_o, V\rangle$ $= |bath1\rangle \otimes |bath2\rangle \otimes |\alpha\rangle$ $V = \mu_1 - \mu_2$ LEAD 2

The Steady State (open system limit)

Non-equilibrium steady states (NESS): when do they occur?

• Leads good thermal baths, infinite volume limit - open system

 $\Rightarrow \exists \lim_{t_o \to -\infty}, \text{ no IR divergences, } \frac{1}{L} \ll \frac{1}{|t_0|} \ll \eta \to 0 \quad (\text{B Doyon, NA, } PRB `05)$ (order by order in P.T.)

Open system limit :

- Dissipation mechanism
- Time-reversal sym. breaking
 Steady-state non- eq. currents





The Steady State – time independent description

The open system limit $\frac{1}{L} \ll \frac{1}{|t_o|} \ll \eta \to 0$:

$$|\psi,V
angle_s=U(0,-\infty)|\phi_o,V
angle$$
 a well defined state.

Properties:

P. Mehta, N.A. PRL 96, '06

- $|\psi, V\rangle_s$ eigenstate of $H = H_0 + H_1$ (Gellman-Low thm)
- Lippmann-Schwinger equation, $|\phi_o, V\rangle$ -boundary condition

$$|\psi, V\rangle_s = z|\phi_o, V\rangle + \frac{1}{E - H_0 + i\eta}H_1|\psi, V\rangle_s$$



- $|\phi_o, V\rangle$: Initial condition \rightarrow boundary condition
- $|\psi, V\rangle_s$ scattering state eigenstate on the infinite line



from Merzbacher: $\psi(x)$ eigenstate of $H = \frac{1}{2m} p^2 + V(x)$ with incoming boundary condition $\psi(x) \to \phi_o(x) = e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}}$ (Both H, H_o enter description)

The Non-equilibrium Steady State

- Non-equilibrium T=0 steady state is described by: $|\psi,V
 angle_s=$ - Non-equilbrium value: $\langle O \rangle_s = \langle \psi, V | O | \psi, V \rangle_s$
 - L-S • For T=0, $|\phi_0, V\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} |\psi, V\rangle_s$ $|\phi_0, V\rangle$ g.s. of $H_0 - \sum_i \mu_i N_i$ • <u>Generally</u>, $|\phi_n, V\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{L-S}} |\psi_n, V\rangle_s$ where $|\phi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_o^{\perp,V}$
 - For T>0, "free leads" boundary conditions: $p_n^o = e^{-\beta E_n^o}/Z_o$

$$\rho_{o} = \sum_{n} p_{n}^{o} |\phi_{n}\rangle \langle \phi_{n}| \longrightarrow \rho_{s} = \sum_{n} p_{n}^{o} |\psi_{n}\rangle \langle \psi_{n}|_{s}$$
and:
$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle_{s} = Tr \rho_{s} \hat{O}$$

In steady state - = "non-thermal" density operator!

cf. Hershfield '93

- In equilibrium: $\rho_0 \rightarrow \rho_s = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H}$ (Keldysh \rightarrow Boltzmann)

Doyon, N.A. '05

Steady-states & Scattering States

Time-dependent (Keldysh) vs. time-independent approach (Scattering)

 $I(V) = \langle \phi_o, V | U^{\dagger}(0, -\infty) \hat{I} U(0, -\infty) | \phi_o, V \rangle \equiv \langle T_c e^{-i \int_{-\infty}^0 H(t') dt'} \hat{I}(0) \rangle$

-Keldysh approach

 $= \langle \psi, V | \hat{I} | \psi, V \rangle_s \quad \longleftarrow \quad \blacksquare$



-Scattering approach

- Scattering approach: $|\psi, V\rangle_s \leftrightarrow$ non-perturbative Keldysh

- The scattering eigenstate $|\psi, V\rangle_s$ describes all aspects of non-equilibrium steady-state physics (NESS):
 - non-equilibrium currents,
- energy dissipation,
- entropy production

Q: How can an eigenstate describe dissipation, entropy production?

A: Scattering eigenstate describes both system and environment (open system)



Entropy production and Dissipation

Non-equilibrium currents dissipate heat into environment:

• Scattering state describes system + environment

$$\delta Q_i = dE_i - \mu_i dN_i$$

- Dissipation mechanism: electrons reaching infinity
- Lost high energy electrons generate entropy (entanglement?)

Entropy is produced quasi-statically:

- currents ~ 1
- leads $\sim L \rightarrow infty$



$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} \equiv \langle \frac{d\hat{E}_1}{dt} \rangle_s = \langle i[\hat{H}, \hat{H}_{01}] \rangle_s = -\langle I_E \rangle_s$$

 $\frac{dN_1}{dt} \equiv \langle \frac{d\hat{N}_1}{dt} \rangle_s = \langle i[\hat{H}, \hat{N}_1] \rangle_s = -\langle I_N \rangle_s$

Entropy production and Dissipation

• "Thermodynamic" approach: (discontinuous system - defined w.r.t. quasi-equil, L ~ infty)

$$\sigma \equiv \frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_1} \frac{\delta Q_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{T_2} \frac{\delta Q_2}{dt} = \left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2}\right) \langle I_E \rangle_s + \left(\frac{\mu_1}{T_1} - \frac{\mu_2}{T_2}\right) \langle I_N \rangle_s$$

No accumulation

in dot: $I_1 + I_2 = 0$

• "Boltzmannian" approach – (distributions)

scattering \rightarrow change of distribution:



$$\sigma = \sum_{i} \int dp \, v_F(f_i^+(p) - f_i^-(p)) \frac{p - \mu_i}{T_i}$$
$$f_i^+(p) = f_i^-(p) |R(p)|^2 + f_{\overline{i}}^-(p) |T(p)|^2$$

• "Information Theory" approach – (in the infinite volume limit) :

$$\sigma = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1,2} v_F[(S_i^+ - S_i^-) + v_F D_{KL}(f_i^+ || f_i^-)]$$

mixing relaxation

$$S_{i}^{\pm} = -\sum_{\alpha} f_{i}^{\pm}(p_{\alpha}) \ln f_{i}^{\pm}(p_{\alpha}) - \sum_{\alpha} [1 - f_{i}^{\pm}(p_{\alpha})] \ln [1 - f_{i}^{\pm}(p_{\alpha})]$$
$$D_{KL}(f^{+}||f^{-}) = \sum_{\alpha} f^{+}(p_{\alpha}) \ln \frac{f^{+}(p_{\alpha})}{f^{-}(p_{\alpha})}$$

• Entropy production rate strictly positive, $\sigma > 0$

P. Mehta, N. A. PRL100, '08

Mixing + Relaxation

 $\begin{cases} mixing = \Delta S \\ relaxation = D_{KL}(f^+||f^-) \end{cases}$

Kullback-Leibler divergence: - amount of work obtained when f^+ relaxes to f^-

The Scattering Bethe-Ansatz

Nonequilibrium described by open-system eigenstates

HOW TO CONSTRUCT $|\psi, V\rangle_s$, (for T = 0)? OR ρ_s , (for T > 0)?

- Keldysh perturbation theory - fails in general (IR div)

- RG ? $- |\phi_o, V\rangle$ highly excited

Recent developments: Freq dep-RG TD-DMNRG, TD-DMRG, FRG, Flow-eq

Develop a Bethe Ansatz approach to non-equilibrium:

- Traditional Bethe-Ansatz inapplicable $H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$ (+PBC)
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Closed System: Equilibrium, Thermodynamics
- New technology → Scattering States
- Asymptotic Boundary conditions on the infinite line
- Open System: Non-equilibrium, scattering problems

Scattering (Open) Bethe-Ansatz:

 $H|\psi\rangle_s = E|\psi\rangle_s$ scattering BC on ∞ -line

1. Non-equil Interacting Resonance Level model (Non-equil FES)

2. Non-equil Anderson model (Quantum Dot – Non-equil Kondo effect)

The Interacting Resonance Level model out-of-equilibrium



The 1-d Field Theory

Thermodynamic BA Filyov, Wiegman 80'

$$H_{IRL} = -i\sum_{j} \int \psi_{j}^{\dagger}(x) \partial \psi_{j}(x) + \epsilon_{d} d^{\dagger} d + t \sum_{j} (\psi_{j}^{\dagger}(0)d + h.c.) + U \sum_{j} \psi_{j}^{\dagger}(0)\psi_{j}(0) d^{\dagger} d$$

Diagonalize H via the Open Bethe-Ansatz:

- directly on the infinite line (open system)
- construct 1-particle eigenstates (with boundary conditions)
- construct N-particle eigenstates out of 1-particle states

$$H|F_N\rangle = E_N|F_N\rangle$$
 $N = 1, 2...$

IRL: The Scattering State



Local discontinuity

Impurity amp. $e_p = \frac{t}{p - \epsilon_d + i\Delta}$ Reflec. amp. $R_p = \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{i\delta_p} + 1 \right]$ Trans. amp. $T_p = \frac{1}{2}[e^{i\delta_p} - 1]$ Trans. coeff. $|T_p|^2 = \frac{\Delta^2}{(p - \epsilon_d)^2 + \Delta^2}$

Renormalization prescription

 $\theta(x)\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(x)$

 $s(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq 0 & \text{constant -} \\ \frac{e^{i\delta_p} - 1}{2} & x = 0 & \text{prescription} \end{cases}$

Boundary condition $\alpha_{in}^{\dagger}(x)^{x} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \psi_{in}^{\dagger}(x)$

IRL: The Scattering State

Multi-particle scattering state - N_1 lead-1, N_2 lead-2, $N_i \sim \mu_i$

$$|\{p\}, N_1, N_2\rangle_s = \int dx \, e^{i\sum_j^N p_j x_j} e^{i\sum_{j$$

with

$$e^{2i\Phi(p_i,p_j)} \equiv S(p_i,p_j) = \frac{i - \frac{U}{2} \frac{p_i - p_j}{p_i + p_j - 2\epsilon_d}}{i + \frac{U}{2} \frac{p_i - p_j}{p_i + p_j - 2\epsilon_d}}$$



- $|\{p\}, N_1, N_2\rangle_s$ eigenstate of *H* for any choice of Bethe momenta $\{p\}$.
- <u>Choose distributions</u> $\rho_i(p)$ to impose non-eq BC: - incoming particle arrive from free leads at μ_i
- Distributions $\rho_i(p)$ must satisfy SBA equation.

(Free baths Fermi-Dirac $ho_i = f_i$ in Fock basis. Here – free baths in Bethe basis)



The Boundary Conditions II

The boundary conditions become OBA equations for: ρ_1, ρ_2

$$2\rho(p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} - \int_{-D}^{B_2} 2\rho(k)\mathcal{K}(k,p)dk - \int_{B_2}^{B_1} \rho(k)\mathcal{K}(k,p)dk$$

$$\rho_2(p) = \rho(p) \quad p \le B_2$$

$$\rho_1(p) = \rho(p) \quad p \le B_1$$

$$\mathcal{K}(p,k) = \frac{U}{\pi} \frac{k - \epsilon_d}{(p+k-2\epsilon_d)^2 + \frac{U^2}{4}(p-k)^2}$$

Bethe chemical potentials B_1, B_2 determined from μ_1, μ_2 minimizing:

$$F = \int_{-D}^{B_1} dp \, (p - \mu_1) \rho_1(p) + \int_{-D}^{B_2} dp \, (p - \mu_2) \rho_2(p)$$

For U=0 distributions reduce to Fermi-Dirac distributions

These are **OBA** eqns for: $\epsilon_d \geq B_j$ (in **co-tunneling regime**)

- otherwise, eqns more complicated – include complex solutions (Non-equil FES)

Current and Dot Occupation

The scattering state $|\{p\}\rangle_{s}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}$ is determined in terms of ρ_{1}, ρ_{2}

• Current and dot-occupation:

$$\hat{I} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} t \sum_{j=1,2} (-1)^j (\psi_j^{\dagger}(0)d - h.c)$$
$$\hat{n}_d = d^{\dagger}d$$

• Expectation values: \hat{I}, \hat{n}_d in Scattering State: $|\{p\}\rangle_{L\to\infty}^{\mu_1,\mu_2}$

Hvbridization

width

 $\frac{\Delta = t^2/2}{\text{Hybridization}} \quad \langle I \rangle_s^{\mu_1,\mu_2} = \int dp \left[\rho_1(p) - \rho_2(p) \right] \frac{\Delta^2}{(p - \epsilon_d)^2 + \Delta^2}$ $\langle n_d \rangle_s^{\mu_1,\mu_2} = \int dp \left[\rho_1(p) + \rho_2(p) \right] \frac{\Delta}{(p-\epsilon_d)^2 + \Delta^2}$

For U=0, Landauer-Buttiker formulas $\rho_i(p) \rightarrow f_i(p)$

- For U>0, in the Bethe-basis, expressions look "simple": - excitations undergo phase shifts only
 - $\rho_i(p)$ incorporate interactions and boundary conditions



1. Perturbative RG Borda, Zawadowski 06' 2. Perturbative expansion Doyon 07' 3. DMRG Scmitteckert 07'

4. Model at self-dual point (U=2) → BSG: BA + dressed Landauer: Boulat, Saleur (08') cf Fendley, Ludwig, Saleur 95'

The Quantum Dot - equilibrium



Kondo effect - zero bias (equilibrium): filling of odd valleys of Coulomb Blockade at low T



- Can control number of electrons on dot using gate voltage
- For odd number of electronsquantum dot acts as **quantum impurity**
- New collective behaviours, e.g. Kondo effect
 - formation of narrow peak at the Fermi surface as T→ 0





The Quantum Dot - nonquilibrium



• Nonequilibrium Anderson model: $\delta \ge U, V; T_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{2U\Gamma} e^{\pi \epsilon_d (\epsilon_d + U)/(2U\Gamma)} \ll \Theta_D$

$$H = -i\sum_{j=1,2} \int \psi_{ja}^{\dagger} \partial \psi_{ja} \, dx + \epsilon_d d_a^{\dagger} d_a + t\sum_{j=1,2} (\psi_{ja}^{\dagger}(0)d_a + h.c.) + Un_{d\uparrow}n_{d\downarrow}$$

Equilibrium BA: Wiegmann & Tsvelik, Kawakami & Okiji '80-'83

• Solve:

Previous attempt - Konik, Ludwig, Saleur '02 - valid only close to equilibrium Approach: Landauer + dressed BA, developed by Fendley Ludwig Saleur '95

- Based on dressed excitations: holon, spinon. But voltage in leads acts on bare electrons
- **Approximation**: electron ~ spinon + holon. No spinon-antispinons, no holon-antiholons.
- Approximation invalid in general except for for V=0, (cf N.A. '82)

Anderson Model of the single-level Quantum Dot

Anderson model out equilibrium: Open Bethe Ansatz (H=0 T=0)

- Similar construction of scattering eigenstates
- Bethe momenta complex strings $k_{\pm}(\lambda) = x(\lambda) \pm y(\lambda)$

$$x(\lambda) = \epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} - \left[\frac{\lambda + (\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2})^2 + ((\lambda + (\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2})^2)^2 + U^2 \Gamma^2)^{1/2}}{2}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$y(\lambda) = \left[\frac{-(\lambda + (\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2})^2) + ((\lambda + (\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2})^2)^2 + U^2 \Gamma^2)^{1/2}}{2}\right]^{1/2}$$

Satisfying

$$e^{2ix(\lambda_{\alpha})L} = \prod_{\beta} \frac{\lambda_{\alpha} - \lambda_{\beta} + i2U\Gamma}{\lambda_{\alpha} - \lambda_{\beta} - i2U\Gamma}$$



- Four types of momentum-strings: 11, 12, 21, 22 described by distributions $\sigma_{11}(\lambda), \sigma_{22}(\lambda), \sigma_{12}(\lambda), \sigma_{21}(\lambda)$
- Distributions determined by SBA-eqn : free leads in Bethe basis

$$4\sigma(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dx(\lambda)}{d\lambda} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{B_2}^{\infty} d\lambda' 4\sigma(\lambda') \frac{2U\Gamma}{(2U\Gamma)^2 + (\lambda - \lambda')^2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{B_1}^{B_2} d\lambda' \sigma(\lambda') \frac{2U\Gamma}{(2U\Gamma)^2 + (\lambda - \lambda')^2}$$
$$\sigma_{12}(\lambda) = \sigma_{21}(\lambda) = \sigma_{22}(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda), \qquad B_2 \le \lambda \le \infty$$
$$B_1 \le A \le \infty \qquad B_1 \le B_2 = B_{12} = B_{21} \le \infty$$

• Bethe chemical potentials: B_1, B_2 determined by physical potentials μ_1, μ_2 , minimizing $F = \int_{B_1}^{\infty} 2(x(\lambda) - \mu_1)\sigma_{11}(\lambda)d\lambda + \int_{B_{12}}^{\infty} (2x(\lambda) - \mu_1 - \mu_2)(\sigma_{12}(\lambda) + \sigma_{21}(\lambda))d\lambda + \int_{B_2}^{\infty} 2(x(\lambda) - \mu_2)\sigma_{22}(\lambda)d\lambda$

Conductance in and out of equilibrium



• Conductance $G(V = 0, \epsilon_d)$ vs. gate voltage ϵ_d

 $\Gamma=0.125,\,0.05,\,\mathrm{and}\;0.005$ for blue, brown, and purple.



- Direct calculation from current.
- Verifies Friedel SR
- TBA vs SBA



Von Delft, notes



• Conductance $G(V, \epsilon_d, \Gamma)$ vs. bias voltage V (preliminary)



• The Kondo effect forms as ϵ_d is decreased, destroyed as the bias voltage is increased

Entropy Production: Effects of Correlations

How does the Kondo effect manifest itself?



- The RLM describes the Kondo model at Strong coupling
- Stronger correlations suppress entropy production
- To measure: perform spectroscopy of emerging electrons

Traditional vs Scattering BA

The construction of $|\psi\rangle_s$ is an example of the SBA approach:

	SBA	TBA
System	Infinite	Finite
Boundary condition	asymptotic (open)	periodic
Wavefunctions	used explicitly	not used
Thermodynamics	difficult	easy
Scattering Properties	possible	not possible
Nonequilibrium Generalization	Yes	No

More applications:

- Scattering S-matrix of electrons off magnetic impurities
 - elastic and inelastic cross sections
- Calculation single particle Green's functions, spectral functions
 - finite temperature resistivity (resistance minimum)

Conclusions

• Showed:

Scattering eigenstates with non-eq BC – Steady States

- Computed: Steady state current, entropy production rate
- Many Generalizations and applications:

Non-equilibrium Impurity

- Non-equilibrium in other impurity models Multichannel versions
- Non-equilibrium at $T>0, T_1 \neq T_2$, thermal currents
- More leads: non-equilibrium DOS (Lebanon&Schiller)

Non-equilibrium Wire

- The Luttinger liquid (e.g. nanotubes)
- AB Interferometers (with/without impurities)

Scattering

- Inclusive, exclusive scattering amplitudes
- Elastic, inelastic scattering amplitudes T>0

Quantum full counting statistics, Entropy fluctuations, noise, Onsager relations

Bethe basis vs. Fock basis

- To the left of the impurity $|\{p\}\rangle$ is eigenstate of $H_o \sum_i \mu_i N_i$ in the Bethe basis
- Choose momenta so incoming state consists of two free Fermi seas in the Bethe - basis



• How to determine $\rho_i(p)$, i.e. what is the ground state of $H_o - \sum_i \mu_i N_i$ in the Bethe basis? • Not a scattering problem! Solve on the ring \longrightarrow Bethe Anzatz equations.

The Boundary Conditions III

How to choose the momenta $\{p\}$ so as to have the ground state?

Auxiliary problem: in \mathcal{H}_o find the ground state in Bethe basis on a ring of length L:

$$e^{ip_jL} = \prod_{l=1}^N S(p_j, p_l)$$

Or:

$$p_j = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \ln S(p_j, p_l) + \frac{2\pi}{L} I_j$$

• The BA eqns describe the free leads on a ring (in the Bethe basis) • For the ground state choose: $I_{j+1} = I_j + 1$

The Boundary Conditions I

Why not choose Fermi-Dirac distribution for the momenta?

- Fermi-Dirac distributions describe free leads in the **Fock basis**, - plane waves $F_{\text{fock}}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = e^{i \sum_j^N p_j x_j}$ eigenstates of leads $H_o = -i \sum_j \partial_j$
- The wave functions on the left of the impurity (using $\alpha_{ip}(x) \rightarrow \psi_i(x)$)

 $F_{\text{bethe}}(x_1, \cdots, x_N) = e^{i\Sigma_j^N p_j x_j} e^{i\Sigma_{s<t}^N \Phi(p_s, p_t) sgn(x_s - x_t)}$

- also eigestates of $H_o = -i \sum_j \partial_j$ written in the **Bethe Basis**

Why different bases?

- All fermions are right-movers with same velocity $\Rightarrow E = k_1 + k_2 = (k_1 + q) + (k_2 q)$.
- Energy levels infinitely degenerate.
- Scattering matrix S corresponds to a choice of basis in degenarate space.
- $e^{ik_1x_1+ik_2x_2}[A\theta(x_1-x_2)+(SA)\theta(x_2-x_1)]$ is eigenstate of $H_o = -i(\partial_1 + \partial_2)$ for any S
- S = 1 corresponds to the **Fock basis**
- $S = e^{2i\Phi}$ corresponds to the **Bethe basis** -the natural basis to turn on the interaction
 - cf. degenerate perturbation theory

Quantum Impurities: strong correlations out-of-equilibrium

Experimentally well studied : Goldhaber-Gordon et al, Cronenwett et al, Schmid et al Theoretically - example of interplay of strong correlation and nonequilibrium

Nonequilibrium - poorly understood



- Non-equilibrium systems are all different- it is unclear what if anything they all have in common.
 - No unifying theory such as Boltzmann's statistical mechanics
- Many of our standard physical ideas and concepts are not applicable (Scaling? RG? Universality?)
- New inherently non-equilibrium phenomena:
 - e.g. entropy production, dissipation

Strong correlations - poorly understood

Perturbative approaches fail

- New degrees of freedom emerge at low energy
- New collective behavior e.g. Kondo effect in and out of equilibrium

Can fully discuss issues – in quantum impurity context