#### Relaxing the Cosmological Moduli Problem by Low-scale Inflation



Shu-Yu Ho

(Tohoku University, Japan)



In collaboration with F. Takahashi (Tohoku. U.) and Y. Wen (KAIST)

Based on 1901.01240



21 Jan 2019, Student Seminar Talk GGI Lectures on the Theory of Fundamental Interactions

## **Modulus Field**

- String theory predicts many light scalar moduli fields through compactification.
- In SUSY, a modulus forms a chiral supermultiplet, X.

$$X = r + i\phi$$
 Axion



## **Dynamics of Modulus Field**

#### • After inflation ends modulus is frozen $H(t) \gg m$ by Hubble friction modulus $\phi_{\rm ini} \neq 0$ $(H_{inf} \gg m)$ H(t) : Hubble parameter *m* : modulus mass

### **Dynamics of Modulus Field**

#### • After inflation ends



$$\rho_{
m mod} \approx m^2 M_G^2$$
 or  $m^2 f^2$ 

The energy density of modulus may dominate the Universe.

#### Moduli Abundance

• We consider only one (string) axion  $\phi$  with a potential

$$V(\phi) \simeq \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^2 \phi^2$$

$$At \ H(t_{\text{osc}}) \approx m_{\phi} \longrightarrow \rho_{\phi, \text{ini}} \simeq \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^2 \phi_{\text{ini}}^2$$

$$\Omega_{\phi} h^2 = \frac{\rho_{\phi, \text{ini}} s_0}{\rho_c s} h^2 \simeq \begin{cases} 3.0 \times 10^{10} \left(\frac{g_{\star, \text{osc}}}{106.75}\right)^{-1/4} \left(\frac{m_{\phi}}{0.1 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\phi_{\text{ini}}}{10^{16} \text{ GeV}}\right)^2 & \Gamma_{\text{inf}} > m_{\phi} \\ 2.5 \times 10 \left(\frac{T_{\text{RH}}}{20 \text{ MeV}}\right) \left(\frac{\phi_{\text{ini}}}{10^{16} \text{ GeV}}\right)^2 & \Gamma_{\text{inf}} < m_{\phi} \end{cases}$$

The axion abundance  $\Omega_\phi$  can be suppressed if  $\phi_{
m ini}$  is sufficiently small.

# **Cosmological Moduli Problem (CMP)**

- If the modulus is stable on a cosmological scale.
   ✓ Its abundance may exceed the observed DM density.
- If the modulus is unstable and can decay into photons.
  - It may spoil the success of big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) due to the photo-dissociation of the light elements.
  - ✓ It may overproduce X-ray or gamma-ray fluxes.

## moduli problem in cosmology

## **Simple Solutions to Moduli Problem**

Entropy production (e.g. thermal inflation)

Yamamoto '86 Lyth & Stewart '96

- → dilutes baryon asymmetry
- Adiabatic suppression -> not so efficient

Linde '96 K. Nakayama et al. 2011

• Very low scale inflation with  $\,H_{
m inf} \ll \,m_{\phi}$ 

Randall & Thomas '95

#### Bunch-Davies (BD) distribution

Graham & Scherlis (1805.07362) and Takahashi, Wen & Guth (1805.08763) applied to the QCD axion

#### **Astrophysical & Cosmological Constraints**



## **Bunch-Davies Distribution**

Bunch & Davies `78

- Suppose that the axion already acquires its mass (or potential) during inflation.
- The quantum diffusion prevents the axion from falling into the potential minimum.





## The Axion Abundance with BD Distribution

The energy density of the axion with BD distribution

$$\phi_{\rm ini} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi^2}} \frac{H_{\rm inf}^2}{m_{\phi}} \longrightarrow \rho_{\phi, \rm ini} \simeq \frac{3}{16\pi^2} H_{\rm inf}^4 \quad H(t_{\rm osc}) \approx m_{\phi}$$

• The axionic moduli problem is relaxed if  $H_{
m inf} \ll \sqrt{m_{\phi} f_{\phi}}$  .

$$\Omega_{\phi}h^{2} \simeq \begin{cases} 1.1 \times 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{GeV} \left(\frac{g_{\star,\mathrm{osc}}}{106.75}\right)^{-1/4} \left(\frac{m_{\phi}}{0.1 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{-3/2} \left(\frac{H_{\mathrm{inf}}}{\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{4} & \Gamma_{\mathrm{inf}} > m_{\phi} \\ 9.6 \times 10^{-31} \,\mathrm{GeV} \left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{RH}}}{20 \,\mathrm{MeV}}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\phi}}{0.1 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{H_{\mathrm{inf}}}{\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{4} & \Gamma_{\mathrm{inf}} < m_{\phi} \end{cases}$$

One can suppress  $\Omega_{\phi}$  by low inflation scale

### **Upper Bound on** *H***inf for Solving CMP**



## Upper Bound on $H_{inf}$ with Different $f_{\phi}$



### **Summary**

• We have shown that the cosmological moduli problem can be significantly relaxed by low-scale inflation even if the Hubble parameter during inflation is much bigger than the scalar mass. This is because the value of the scalar field follows the BD distribution if the inflation lasted sufficiently long.

#### Thanks for your attention!!