







Axion Couplings

- PQ (shift) symmetry allows only some generic types of interactions


$\mathcal{L}_a = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu a)(\partial^\mu a) + \sum_{f,f'} c_{aff'} [\bar{f}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 f] \frac{\partial_\mu a}{v_a} - N \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \frac{a}{v_a} - Y \frac{\alpha_2}{8\pi} W_{\mu\nu} \tilde{W}^{\mu\nu} \frac{a}{v_a} - B \frac{\alpha_2}{8\pi} B_{\mu\nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu\nu} \frac{a}{v_a}$
 v_F
 $\mathcal{L}_a = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu a)(\partial^\mu a) + \sum_{f,f'} c_{aff'} [\bar{f}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 f] \frac{\partial_\mu a}{v_a} - N \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \frac{a}{v_a} - E \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \frac{a}{v_a}$
 (ϕ_a)
 $\mathcal{L}_a = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu a)(\partial^\mu a) + \sum_{f,f'} c_{aff'} [\bar{f}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 f] \frac{\partial_\mu a}{f_a} - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \frac{a}{f_a} - \frac{E}{N} \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \frac{a}{f_a}$
 Λ_{QCD}
 $(a = \phi_a + \dots) \quad \mathcal{L}_a = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu a)(\partial^\mu a) + \sum_{f,f'} C_{aff'} [\bar{f}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 f] \frac{\partial_\mu a}{f_a} - V(a) - \mathcal{L}_{a-\pi, \dots} - \left(\frac{E}{N} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{4m_u + m_d}{m_u + m_d} \right) \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \frac{a}{f_a}$



Landscape



On the landscape



Experiments

Javier Redondo

GGI School

Florence 18/01/2019



Dep. Theoretical Physics
Universidad de Zaragoza



MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT

MPP Munich

Outline

- Axions: strong CP problem and DM
- Laboratory searches
- Astrophysical and cosmological probes (some)
- Conclusions

New experimental approaches in the search for axion-like particles

Igor G. Irastorza^{1,2} and Javier Redondo^{1,3}

¹Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

²Laboratorio Subterráneo de Canfranc, 22880 Canfranc Estación, Spain




³Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, 80805 München, Germany

May 8, 2018

Abstract

Axions and other very light axion-like particles appear in many extensions of the Standard Model, and are leading candidates to compose part or all of the missing matter of the Universe. They also appear in models of inflation, dark radiation, or even dark energy, and could solve some long-standing astrophysical anomalies. The physics case of these particles has been considerably developed in recent years, and there are now useful guidelines and powerful motivations to attempt experimental detection. Admittedly, the lack of a positive signal of new physics at the high energy frontier, and in underground detectors searching for weakly interacting massive particles, is also contributing to the increase of interest in axion searches. The experimental landscape is rapidly evolving, with many novel detection concepts and new experimental proposals. An updated account of those initiatives is lacking in the literature. In this review we attempt to provide such an update. We will focus on the new experimental approaches and their complementarity, but will also review the most relevant recent results from the consolidated strategies and the prospects of new generation experiments under consideration in the field. We will also briefly review the latest developments of the theory, cosmology and astrophysics of axions and we will discuss the prospects to probe a large fraction of relevant parameter space in the coming decade.



what do we know about fA




Lab

Stars


Cosmos



Lab experiments 2011




including R&D ~2017




Search for Axions : Natural sources

- Naturally produced axions could be quite copious, save production and focus on detection!




Axion DM in the lab



Local Dark Matter density*

$$\rho_{a\text{DM}} = 0.3 \frac{\text{GeV}}{\text{cm}^3}$$




$$\theta_0 = 3.6 \times 10^{-19}$$

Detecting Axion Dark Matter

- $\theta_0 = 3.6 \times 10^{-19}$ is a very small number but, oscillations allow for coherent detection!
- Axion spectrum is not exactly monochromatic, non-zero velocity of DM in the galaxy -> finite width

frequency $\omega \simeq m_a(1 + v^2/2 + \dots)$



$$\delta\omega = \frac{m_a v^2}{2}$$

$$\frac{\delta\omega}{\omega} \sim 10^{-6}$$


coherence time

$$\delta t \sim \frac{1}{\delta\omega} \sim 0.13\text{ms} \left(\frac{10^{-5}\text{eV}}{m_a} \right)$$


coherence length

$$\delta L \sim \frac{1}{\delta p} \sim 20\text{m} \left(\frac{10^{-5}\text{eV}}{m_a} \right)$$


Oscillating EDM




LC-circuit




Cavities




Mirrors



Ferromagnetic resonance




Atomic transitions



CASPER : oscillating EDM with NMR

Mainz, Berkeley


- Oscillating neutron EDM $d_n = -4 \times 10^{-3} \times \theta_0 \cos(m_a t) [\text{e fm}]$



Oscillating EDM, effects add up,
transverse magnetisation grows

on resonance $m_a = \omega = \mu |\vec{B}_{\text{ext}}|$

SQUID
pickup
loop




D. Budker



S. Rajendran



P. Graham




- EDM + Large E-fields in PbTiO₃
- Scan over frequencies, with B_{ext}
- Mainz (D. Budker's group) & Berkeley
- Phase I starts in 2017, Phase II physics results ...
- Mass range limited by B-field strength

Axion DM in a B-field

- Axion photon coupling in a strong B-field becomes a source of E-field

$$\mathcal{L}_I = -C_{a\gamma} \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \theta(t) \mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$


Source




E-field $E \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-12} \text{V/m}) \frac{|\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}}|}{10 \text{T}} C_{a\gamma} \times \cos(m_a t)$

Power $P/\text{Area} \sim |\mathbf{E}_a|^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-27} \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{5 \text{T}} \frac{C_{a\gamma}}{2} \right)^2 \frac{\text{Watt}}{1 \text{m}^2}$


- Four different techniques:




DM Radio



Cavities



Dielectric haloscope






Dish antenna

DM Radio


- Toroidal axion-induced E-field generates oscillating B-field along z

Sikivie PRL 112 (2014)
Chaudhuri PRD92 (2015)
Kahn PRL 117 (2016)



ABRACADABRA (MIT)
10 cm, 1m , 4m ...


$\frac{\alpha}{2\pi f_a}$
axion coupling



Resonant cavities: haloscopes





- Boost the axion-generated E-field in a tuned resonant cavity



$$P_{\text{out}} \sim Q |\mathbf{E}_a|^2 V m_a$$

- **Cavity quality factor** $Q \sim 10^5$
- **B-fields** $B \sim 10\text{T}$
- **Volume** $\sim 1/m_a^3$ (typically a few liters)
- **Temperature** $T \sim 0.2 - 4\text{ K}$
- **System T ~ Quantum limited (SQUID, JPA)**

Scanning over frequencies



- At high freq. limited by small volume and high noise
- At low freq. by getting a large enough B-field


Cavity experiments

- Physical dimensions $L \sim 1/m_a$

HAYSTAC-Yale
ADMX-Seattle




HAYSTAC-Yale



ADMX-Seattle


ADMX-Fermilab




CAST-CAPP



RADES



CULTASK - CAPP - Korea




ORGAN-UWA Perth



KLASH?

Projected optimistic sensitivities



- Need larger magnet volume


$m_a [\text{eV}]$

- Need >10 T, sub QL detection, $Q \sim 10^6$


- or combine many cavities ...

Dish antenna

- Detect radiated power from a huge ($Am_a^2 \gg 10^6$) magnetised dish
- Broadband, no resonance enhancement; Only detector needs to be at T~mK (high reflectivity dish)
- Magnetise Area with permanent-magnets, photon counting?



$$P/Area \sim |\mathbf{E}_a|^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-27} \left(\frac{B}{5T} \frac{C_{a\gamma}}{2} \right)^2 \frac{\text{Watt}}{1 \text{ m}^2}$$



BRASS @ Hamburg







FUNK experiment (KIT)


Dielectric haloscope : MADMAX

- Hybrid system, large area + multiple emitters + a bit of resonant enhancement



$$\frac{P}{Area} \sim 2 \times 10^{-27} \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m}^2} \left(\frac{c_\gamma}{2} \frac{B_{||}}{5\text{T}} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \beta(\omega) \quad \text{boost factor}$$



Projected sensitivities




1.2 Detecting Solar Axions : Helioscopes




Axions from the Sun

Hadronic axions (KSVZ)



Non hadronic (DFSZ, e-coupling!)




Compton scattering:

$$\gamma + a \rightarrow e + a$$

$$\text{Interaction vertex: } \frac{C_e m_e}{f_a} i \bar{e} \gamma_5 e a$$

$$g_{ae}$$



$$g_{ae} = 10^{-13}$$



$$g_{a\gamma} = 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$$

typical of meV mass axions

Helioscopes

The Sun is a copious emitter of axions!

convert into X-rays focus detect



Conversion probability

$$P(a \leftrightarrow \gamma) = \left(\frac{2g_{a\gamma} B_T \omega}{m_a^2} \right)^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{m_a^2 L}{4\omega} \right)$$

$$P(a \leftrightarrow \gamma) \sim 10^{-20} \left(\frac{B}{3 \text{ T}} \frac{L}{20 \text{ m}} \right)^2 \quad (\text{coherence along L})$$

$$g_{a\gamma} \sim \frac{1}{f_a}$$

Past and the future


CAST (LHC dipole 9.3 m, 9T)




- 1~2 h tracking/day (sunset,dawn)
- 3 Detectors (2 bores)
- X-ray optics
- small aperture




IAXO (proposed toroid) 20 m, 3T




- 12 h tracking/day (sunset,dawn)
- 8 bores (60 cm diam)
- different Detectors
- dedicated X-ray optics
- Collaboration Formed 2017



In more detail





On the landscape




The meV frontier


- Some stars seem to be cooling too fast; could be a sign for axion core emission!




Giannotti 2015



- Axion interpretation suggests axion-electron coupling (DFSZ?)



Giannotti 2017




Isocontours show:

- Best region fitting the anomaly (1,2,3,4s)
- Includes SN constraint !!!
- Dashed lines limit perturbativity of Yukawas


Clear preference for $m_A \sim 6$ meV

- Detectable by IAXO!

Experiments




1.3 - Purely lab experiments




the ANY-Light-Particle-Search

Light shining through walls



Resonant regeneration in the receiving cavity




Exp.	Photon flux (1/s)	Photon E (eV)	B (T)	L (m)	B·L (Tm)	PB reg.cav.	Sens. (rel.)
ALPS I	$3.5 \cdot 10^{21}$	2.3	5.0	4.4	22	1	0.0003
ALPS II	$1 \cdot 10^{24}$	1.2	5.3	106	468	40,000	1
"ALPS III"	$3 \cdot 10^{25}$	1.2	13	400	5200	100,000	27

Experiment	status	B (T)	L (m)	Input power (W)	β_P	β_R	$g_{a\gamma} [\text{GeV}^{-1}]$
ALPS-I [427]	completed	5	4.3	4	300	1	5×10^{-8}
CROWS [429]	completed	3	0.15	50	10^4	10^4	$9.9 \times 10^{-8} (*)$
OSQAR [428]	ongoing	9	14.3	18.5	-	-	3.5×10^{-8}
ALPS-II [430]	in preparation	5	100	30	5000	40000	2×10^{-11}
ALPS-III [431]	concept	13	426	200	12500	10^5	10^{-12}
STAX1 [432]	concept	15	0.5	10^5	10^4	-	5×10^{-11}
STAX2 [432]	concept	15	0.5	10^6	10^4	10^4	3×10^{-12}

STAX, ALPS III and beyond

ALPS with optical lasers, STAX with Microwave cavities ... not so good for QCD...




Long-range forces

Wilzcek '84, Geraci 14



Long-range forces between macroscopic bodies

p-p forces are spin-spin ... very hard to measure!




In some case a tiny s-coupling can lead to a larger effect

s-p forces are number-spin ... much easier



ARIADNE reach

Arvanitaki, Geraci 14




Flavoured axions

- Axions related to flavour/family symmetries induce Flavour violating decays

$$\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a) \simeq \frac{m_K}{64\pi} g_{aff'}^2$$

$$BR(\pi^+ a) < 7.3 \times 10^{-11} \quad (E787, E949)$$

(NA62, ORKA, KOTO improvement by ~ 70 on BR)




$$f_a \gtrsim \frac{\kappa_{sd}}{N} \times 7.5 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ GeV},$$

model dependent coefficient

$$BR(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ a) < 10^{-8} \sim 10^{-6} \quad (Belle2?)$$



1 - Experiments




Pure gravitational phenomenology

Axion DM is
different




Scenario B: miniclusters


- Axion DM inhomogeneous at \sim pc scales \rightarrow first structures form at $z \gtrsim z_{\text{eq}} \sim 4000$





On the landscape



Microlensing




- Subaru HSC dedicated search of Primordial Planck hole events along M31
- 10^7 stars, $t \sim 2$ min sampling rate




Niihara 2017

- Axion MCs are fluffy (less signal, more duration) but could be detected!




Marsh, Fairbairn, Quevillon 2017




Axion photon conversion ...

Radio signals from DM axions falling into neutron stars



RX J0806.4-4123




- Isolated
- **Nearby** (250 pc)
- Radio-quiet
- Non-pulsed

Hypothetical NS's
in M54




- 21 kpc away, but...
- **High DM density**
- **Lots of NS emissions** within angular res.


SJR J1745-2900



- **Magnetar!** $B_0 \approx 10^{10}$ T
- 0.1 pc from GC
- Potentially **enormous** DM density



A sort of landscape



Conclusions

- Axions might be hinted by the tiny EDM of hadrons (strong CP problem!)
- Some axion dark matter is unavoidable
- Laboratory tests:
 - Direct DM experiments: cavities in the GHz (microeV)
 - Solar axions with IAXO
 - Long range forces
 - Flavour
- Cosmological probes
 - Microlensing, decay
- New experimental techniques, loads of R&D