Scattering Amplitudes in Effective Gravitational Theories

Stavros Mougiakakos Supervisor: Pierre Vanhove





- N. E. J Bjerrum-Bohr, John F. Donoghue, Pierre Vanhove; arXiv: 1309.0804v2
- N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, Poul H. Damgaard, Guido Festuccia, Ludovic Plante, Pierre Vanhove; arXiv : 1806.04920v2

Based on:

- M. Levi, S. Mougiakakos and M. Vieira; arXiv : 1912.06276
- Upcoming work with P. Vanhove

Journee des theses, 3 December 2019, IPhT-CEA

Observational Window on gravity

The detection of gravitational waves (GW150914) has opened a new window on the physics of our universe:

- For the first time detection and test of GR in the strong gravity coupling regime
- For the first time dynamics of Black holes (not just static object curving space-time)



Observational Window on gravity

The detection of gravitational waves (GW150914) has opened a new window on the physics of our universe:

- For the first time detection and test of GR in the strong gravity coupling regime
- For the first time dynamics of Black holes (not just static object curving space-time)

Gravitational Wave Era has began!



Observational Window on gravity

The detection of gravitational waves (GW150914) has opened a new window on the physics of our universe:

- For the first time detection and test of GR in the strong gravity coupling regime
- For the first time dynamics of Black holes (not just static object curving space-time)

Gravitational Wave Era has began!

What can we learn from the gravitational wave signals?





 $G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$ **1915:**Classical Field Theory of Gravitation





1915:Classical Field Theory of Gravitation

<u>Today</u>: Standard Model (QFT) \rightarrow Natural search for Quantum Theory of Gravity.



$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

1915: Classical Field Theory of Gravitation

Today: Standard Model (QFT) \rightarrow Natural search for Quantum Theory of Gravity. \downarrow

<u>**BUT</u>** Problem with quantization of General Relativity \rightarrow **Non Renormalisable QFT**</u>



$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

1915: Classical Field Theory of Gravitation

Today: Standard Model (QFT) \rightarrow Natural search for Quantum Theory of Gravity. \downarrow

<u>**BUT</u>** Problem with quantization of General Relativity \rightarrow **Non Renormalisable QFT**</u>

Is there a way out???

UV Completion of Gravity

✓ <u>UV Completion of Gravity</u>

- Main candidate: <u>Superstring Theory</u>
- Exciting field of research with many questions left to be answered

✓ <u>UV Completion of Gravity</u>

- Main candidate: <u>Superstring Theory</u>
- Exciting field of research with many questions left to be answered

I will not talk about it...

UV Completion of Gravity

- Main candidate: <u>Superstring Theory</u>
- Exciting field of research with many questions left to be answered

I will not talk about it...

Quantum Gravity as an EFT

John F. Donoghue; arXiv : gr-qc/9512024v1

UV Completion of Gravity

- Main candidate: <u>Superstring Theory</u>
- Exciting field of research with many questions left to be answered

I will not talk about it...

Quantum Gravity as an EFT

John F. Donoghue; arXiv : gr-qc/9512024v1

What is the EFT logic?

<u>Top</u> ↓ Down

If we know the high energy theory:

- Integrate out the high energy degrees of freedom
- The final theory contains the low energy degrees of freedom and the "loss" of the heavy dof's is compensated by additional interactions suppressed by the scale of separation.



If we know the high energy theory:

- Integrate out the high energy degrees of freedom
- The final theory contains the low energy degrees of freedom and the "loss" of the heavy dof's is compensated by additional interactions suppressed by the scale of separation.



If we **don't** know the high energy theory:

 We construct a theory with all the operators allowed by the symmetries of the theory suppressed by the energy scale that we are considering

Toy model:
$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4$$

$$\underbrace{\text{Toy model:}}_{\phi(k) \equiv \begin{cases} \phi(k) & |k| < b\Lambda \\ 0 & |k| \ge b\Lambda \end{cases}} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^{4} \\ \hat{\phi}^{4} = \begin{cases} \phi(k) & |k| < b\Lambda \\ 0 & |k| \ge b\Lambda \end{cases}}, \quad \hat{\phi}(k) \equiv \begin{cases} \phi(k) & b\Lambda \le |k| < \Lambda \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}}$$

, with some UV cutoff Λ and we are looking for the EFT corresponding to the cutoff $b\Lambda$ such that 0 < b < 1.

$$\underline{\mathbf{Toy model:}} \quad \mathcal{L}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \phi \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} m^{2} \phi^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^{4}$$
, with some UV cutoff Λ and we are looking for the EFT corresponding to the cutoff $b\Lambda$ such that $0 < b < 1$.

$$\phi(k) \equiv \begin{cases} \phi(k) & |k| < b\Lambda \\ 0 & |k| \ge b\Lambda \end{cases}, \quad \hat{\phi}(k) \equiv \begin{cases} \phi(k) & b\Lambda \le |k| < \Lambda \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{J}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \hat{\phi} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} m^{2} \hat{\phi}^{2} + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{6} \phi^{3} \hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{4} \phi^{2} \hat{\phi}^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \phi \hat{\phi}^{3} + \frac{1}{4!} \hat{\phi}^{4} \right)$$

 Λ and we are looking

all the contributions where $\,\phi\,$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Toy \ model:} \quad \mathcal{L}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^{4} \\ \phi(k) &\equiv \begin{cases} \phi(k) & |k| < b\Lambda \\ 0 & |k| \ge b\Lambda \end{cases}, \quad \hat{\phi}(k) &\equiv \begin{cases} \phi(k) & b\Lambda \le |k| < \Lambda \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\hat{\phi}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{6}\phi^{3}\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{4}\phi^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\phi\hat{\phi}^{3} + \frac{1}{4!}\hat{\phi}^{4}\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\hat{\phi}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{6}\phi^{3}\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{4}\phi^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\phi\hat{\phi}^{3} + \frac{1}{4!}\hat{\phi}^{4}\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\hat{\phi}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{6}\phi^{3}\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{4}\phi^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\phi\hat{\phi}^{3} + \frac{1}{4!}\hat{\phi}^{4}\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\hat{\phi}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{6}\phi^{3}\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{4}\phi^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\phi\hat{\phi}^{3} + \frac{1}{4!}\hat{\phi}^{4}\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\hat{\phi}\right)^{2} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\phi} \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\phi} \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\phi} \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\phi} \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \,\mathcal{$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\underline{Toy\,model:}} \quad \mathcal{L}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^{4} \\ \text{, with some UV cutoff } \Lambda \text{ and we are looking for the EFT corresponding to the cutoff } b\Lambda \\ \phi(k) &= \begin{cases} \phi(k) & |k| < b\Lambda \\ 0 & |k| \ge b\Lambda \end{cases}, \quad \hat{\phi}(k) &= \begin{cases} \phi(k) & b\Lambda \le |k| < \Lambda \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\hat{\phi}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{6}\phi^{3}\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{4}\phi^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\phi\hat{\phi}^{3} + \frac{1}{4!}\hat{\phi}^{4}\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\hat{\phi}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{6}\phi^{3}\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{4}\phi^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\phi\hat{\phi}^{3} + \frac{1}{4!}\hat{\phi}^{4}\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{6}\phi^{3}\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{4}\phi^{2}\hat{\phi}^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\phi\hat{\phi}^{3} + \frac{1}{4!}\hat{\phi}^{4}\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi}) &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\phi} \exp\left(-\int d^{d}x \mathcal{L}(\phi, \hat{\phi})\right) \\ \mathbf{L}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(m^{2} + \rho\right)\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{4!} \left(\lambda + \zeta\right)\phi^{4} + \frac{1}{4}\eta\phi^{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^{2} + \kappa\phi^{6} + \dots \end{split}$$



Inspiral

Merger

Ringdown



Inspiral

Merger

Ringdown



Inspiral

Merger

Ringdown

A lot of work has already been done in the analytical solution in perturbative GR. T. Damour, L. Blanchet, A. Buonanno et al.



Inspiral

Merger

Ringdown

A lot of work has already been done in the analytical solution in perturbative GR. T. Damour, L. Blanchet, A. Buonanno et al.

Can the particle physics community contribute to this problem?

Particle Physicist's Point of View on the gravitational 2-body problem

EFT of Post-Newtonian Gravity

W.D Goldberger, I. Rothstein, R. Porto , M. Levi et al

- Classical computation
- Takes advantage of QFT toolbox
- Non relativistic computation
- Can deal effectively with spin effects
- <u>State of the art:</u> 4-PN without spins 4,5-PN(S^3)

Scattering Amplitudes and Post-Minkowskian

- Z. Bern, N. Arkani-Hamed, P. Vanhove, N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. Donoghue, D. Kosower et al.
- Quantum computation
- Takes advantage of modern methods for on-shell scattering amplitudes (BCJ relations/double copy)
- Fully relativistic computation
- Active work for inclusion of spin effects arXiv:1709.04891, arXiv:1812.08752
- State of the art: 3-PM without spins

$$S\left[g_{\mu\nu}\right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{g}R.$$

$$S[g_{\mu
u}]=-rac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{g}R$$
. we decompose the metric $g_{\mu
u}\equiv g^s_{\mu
u}+ar{g}_{\mu
u}$ and integrate out $g^s_{\mu
u}$

$$\begin{split} S\left[g_{\mu\nu}\right] &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{g}R. \quad \text{we decompose the metric } g_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^S_{\mu\nu} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \text{ and integrate out } g^S_{\mu\nu} \\ & \int S_{\text{eff}} \left[y^{\mu}, e^{\mu}_A, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{\bar{g}}R \left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right] + \underbrace{\sum_{i} C_i \int d\sigma O_i(\sigma)}_{S_{pp} \equiv \text{point particle action}} \\ & \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} + \underbrace{H_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{orbital}} + \underbrace{\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{radiation}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} S\left[g_{\mu\nu}\right] &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{g}R. \quad \text{we decompose the metric } g_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^s_{\mu\nu} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \text{ and integrate out } g^s_{\mu\nu} \\ &\downarrow \\ S_{\text{eff}}\left[y^{\mu}, e^{\mu}_A, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{\bar{g}}R\left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right] + \underbrace{\sum_i C_i \int d\sigma O_i(\sigma)}_{i} \\ \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} + \underbrace{H_{\mu\nu}}_{i} + \underbrace{\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}}_{i} \quad \text{In the following we consider the conservative sector, so the radiation modes} \quad S_{pp} \equiv \text{point particle action} \end{split}$$

are absent.

radiation

orbital

$$\begin{split} S\left[g_{\mu\nu}\right] &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{g}R. \quad \text{we decompose the metric } g_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^S_{\mu\nu} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \text{ and integrate out } g^S_{\mu\nu} \\ & \\ S_{\text{eff}}\left[y^{\mu}, e^{\mu}_{A}, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{\bar{g}}R\left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right] + \sum_{i} C_i \int d\sigma O_i(\sigma) \\ \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} + \underbrace{H_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{orbital}} + \underbrace{\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{radiation}} & \text{In the following we consider the conservative sector, so the radiation modes} \\ S_{\text{eff}}\left[y^{\mu}_{1}, y^{\mu}_{2}, e_{(1)}^{\mu}_{A}, e_{(2)}^{\mu}_{A}, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{\bar{g}}R\left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\right] + S_{(1)\text{PP}} + S_{(2)\text{PP}} \\ \text{where} \quad S_{\text{PP}} = \int d\sigma \left[-m\sqrt{u^2} - \frac{1}{2}S_{\mu\nu}\Omega^{\mu\nu} + L_{\text{SI}}\left[u^{\mu}, S_{\mu\nu}, g_{\mu\nu}\left(y^{\mu}\right)\right] \right] \end{split}$$

$$S_{\text{eff}} \left[y_{1}^{\mu}, y_{2}^{\mu}, e_{(1)}{}_{A}^{\mu}, e_{(2)}{}_{A}^{\mu}, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{\bar{g}} R \left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \right] + S_{(1)\text{pp}} + S_{(2)\text{pp}}$$

where $S_{\text{pp}} = \int d\sigma \left[-m\sqrt{u^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} + L_{\text{SI}} \left[u^{\mu}, S_{\mu\nu}, g_{\mu\nu} \left(y^{\mu} \right) \right] \right]$
and $L_{\text{SI}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2n)!} \frac{C_{ES^{2n}}}{m^{2n-1}} D_{\mu_{2n}} \cdots D_{\mu_{3}} \frac{E_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}}{\sqrt{u^{2}}} S^{\mu_{1}} S^{\mu_{2}} \cdots S^{\mu_{2n-1}} S^{\mu_{2n}}$
 $+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2n+1)!} \frac{C_{BS^{2n+1}}}{m^{2n}} D_{\mu_{2n+1}} \cdots D_{\mu_{3}} \frac{B_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}}{\sqrt{u^{2}}} S^{\mu_{1}} S^{\mu_{2}} \cdots S^{\mu_{2n-1}} S^{\mu_{2n}} S^{\mu_{2n+1}}$

$$S_{\text{eff}} \left[y_{1}^{\mu}, y_{2}^{\mu}, e_{(1)}{}_{A}^{\mu}, e_{(2)}{}_{A}^{\mu}, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{\bar{g}} R \left[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \right] + S_{(1)\text{pp}} + S_{(2)\text{pp}}$$

where $S_{\text{pp}} = \int d\sigma \left[-m\sqrt{u^{2}} - \frac{1}{2}S_{\mu\nu}\Omega^{\mu\nu} + L_{\text{SI}} \left[u^{\mu}, S_{\mu\nu}, g_{\mu\nu} \left(y^{\mu} \right) \right] \right]$
and $L_{\text{SI}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2n)!} \frac{C_{ES^{2n}}}{m^{2n-1}} D_{\mu_{2n}} \cdots D_{\mu_{3}} \frac{E_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}}{\sqrt{u^{2}}} S^{\mu_{1}} S^{\mu_{2}} \cdots S^{\mu_{2n-1}} S^{\mu_{2n}}$
 $+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2n+1)!} \frac{C_{BS^{2n+1}}}{m^{2n}} D_{\mu_{2n+1}} \cdots D_{\mu_{3}} \frac{B_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}}{\sqrt{u^{2}}} S^{\mu_{1}} S^{\mu_{2}} \cdots S^{\mu_{2n-1}} S^{\mu_{2n}} S^{\mu_{2n+1}}$

We can make a Kaluza-Klein reduction over the time dimension to decompose the metric, as we are working in the NR limit and the orbital modes are instantaneous at leading order:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} \equiv e^{2\phi}(dt - A_i dx^i)^2 - e^{-2\phi}\gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j$$

Finally, we are left with the 3 NRG fields and we can compute the Feynman-like rules for the couplings of the worldlines and the metric fields in order to integrate out the orbital field modes and obtain the EFT of the composite particle.

$$e^{iS_{\text{eff}}[\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu},(y_c)^{\mu},(e_c)^{\mu}]} \equiv \int \mathcal{D}H_{\mu\nu} e^{iS_{\text{eff}}[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu},y_1^{\mu},y_2^{\mu},e_{1A}^{\mu},e_{2A}^{\mu}]}$$

Length scales:

When we include spin:

$$r_s \sim Gm \ r_s \sim rv^2 \ Gm/r \sim v$$

$$S \lesssim m^2 \sim r_s^2$$



State of the art computation

- Spin-less:4 PN arXiv:1607.04252.
- Spin-less:5 PN- <u>static piece</u> arXiv:1902.11180
- Spin-Orbit at NNLO (3.5 PN) arXiv:1506.05794
- Spin-Orbit at NNLO (4 PN) arXiv:1506.05794
- Cubic in Spin interactions at NLO (4.5 PN)-M. Levi, S.M. et all arXiv : 1912.06276

John F. Donoghue; arXiv : gr-qc/9512024v1

- Non-renormalizability can be handled order by order
- Long-range infra-red contributions can be calculated in this framework since they depend only on the structure of the low-energy fields and the classical background

$$\mathcal{L}_{g} = \sqrt{g} \left\{ \frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} R + c_{1} R^{2} + c_{2} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(R^{3}) \right\}$$

Loops give classical contributions!

$$\mathcal{M}_{n-loop} = \frac{M^3}{\hbar\sqrt{-s^3}} (r_S\sqrt{-s})^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (\lambda\sqrt{-s})^k$$

here
$$\lambda=rac{\hbar}{M}$$

W

Loops give classical contributions!

$$\mathcal{M}_{n-loop} = \frac{M^3}{\hbar\sqrt{-s^3}} (r_S\sqrt{-s})^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (\lambda\sqrt{-s})^k$$

where
$$\lambda=rac{\hbar}{M}$$

<u>WHY?!</u>

Loops give classical contributions!

$$\mathcal{M}_{n-loop} = \frac{M^3}{\hbar\sqrt{-s^3}} (r_S\sqrt{-s})^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (\lambda\sqrt{-s})^k$$

where
$$\lambda=rac{\hbar}{M}$$

BECAUSE

<u>Restoring units in Klein-Gordon eq:</u>

$$\frac{\Box}{\hbar^2} (\Box + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2})\phi(x) = 0$$

Loops give classical contributions!

$$\mathcal{M}_{n-loop} = \frac{M^3}{\hbar\sqrt{-s^3}} (r_S\sqrt{-s})^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (\lambda\sqrt{-s})^k$$

here
$$\lambda=rac{\hbar}{M}$$

W

BECAUSE

<u>Restoring units in Klein-Gordon eq:</u>

 $\frac{1}{\hbar^2} (\Box + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2})\phi(x) = 0$

When we have massless+massive particles, the powercounting gives us the above.

The problem of getting a Post-Minkowskian expansion of the potential comes down to computing Loop diagrams.

$$V(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{G}{|\boldsymbol{r}|}\right)^n c_n(\boldsymbol{p}^2)$$

The problem of getting a Post-Minkowskian expansion of the potential comes down to computing Loop diagrams.

$$V(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{G}{|\boldsymbol{r}|}\right)^n c_n(\boldsymbol{p}^2)$$

WHICH TOPOLOGIES ARE WE LOOKING FOR?



...

 $\frac{\hbar^n G^{n+1} m_1 m_2}{r^{2n+1}}$







•2-loops: (both classical and quantum contributions)



We know which diagrams we are looking for <u>and</u> what contributions they give.

We know which diagrams we are looking for <u>and</u> what contributions they give. **<u>BUT</u>** graviton amplitudes are really hard!!

We know which diagrams we are looking for <u>and</u> what contributions they give. <u>**BUT**</u> graviton amplitudes are really hard!!

DOUBLE COPY!!

gravity \sim (gauge theory) \times (gauge theory).

We know which diagrams we are looking for <u>and</u> what contributions they give. **<u>BUT</u>** graviton amplitudes are really hard!!

DOUBLE COPY!!

gravity \sim (gauge theory) \times (gauge theory).

KLT relation of string amplitudes

 \rightarrow

BCJ relations/ double copy

spinor helicity formalism

Generalized Unitarity

METRIC PROBLEM: perfect playground to utilize our tools because we know the solution

METRIC PROBLEM: perfect playground to utilize our tools because we know the solution

BUT we can't use the previous methods because we have off-shell diagrams.

METRIC PROBLEM: perfect playground to utilize our tools because we know the solution

<u>BUT</u> we can't use the previous methods because we have off-shell diagrams.

Nevertheless, the problem is slightly easier because we have good control of the contributing topologies. We can use the Feynman rules and compare with the already known solution of Schwarzschild (Kerr) metric.



METRIC PROBLEM: perfect playground to utilize our tools because we know the solution

<u>BUT</u> we can't use the previous methods because we have off-shell diagrams.

Nevertheless, the problem is slightly easier because we have good control of the contributing topologies. We can use the Feynman rules and compare with the already known solution of Schwarzschild (Kerr) metric.



<u>Aim</u>: exploit higher loop effects (currently at 3-loops)- [to appear on arXiv]



State of the art computation

- Spin-less: 3 PM (2 loops) arXiv:1908.01493v1.
- Spin effects: 2 PM (1 loop) arXiv:1812.08752v3

Outline

EFT of Post-Newtonian Gravity

By extending the computation to higher PN orders and spins:

- Dissipative effects entering at 5 PN. Information about microphysics of internal structure
- Extension to radiation modes and non conservative sector
- Issues of IR divergences
- <u>Supplementary computation</u> to the Post-Minkowskian expansion

Outline

Scattering Amplitudes and Post-Minkowskian expansion

- By utilizing the powerfull **on-shell techniques** (spinor-helicity formalism, generalized unitarity, BCJ relations etc), we can calculate both classical and quantum corrections:
- Higher order calculation of Post-Minkowskian expansion (needed for LIGO)
- Constraints on the EFT extensions of GR
- Inclusion of spin effects
- Consistency check using metric computation [to appear P. Vanhove, S. M.]
- Long-range quantum effects
- <u>Supplementary computation</u> to the Post-Newtonian expansion

Thank you for your attention