

Boundary terms in the action (Gibbons-Hawking-York)

sábado, 6 de marzo de 2021 14:32

If we start from The Einstein-Hilbert action (setting $16\pi G=1$)

$$I_g = \int_M \sqrt{-g} R$$

and vary the metric $g^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g^{\mu\nu} + \delta g^{\mu\nu}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta I_g &= \int_M \sqrt{-g} \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R \right) \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \int_M \sqrt{-g} \nabla_\mu \sigma^\mu \\ &= \int_M \sqrt{-g} \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R \right) \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \int_{\partial M} \sqrt{h} n_\mu \sigma^\mu \end{aligned}$$

$h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \pm n_\mu n_\nu$: metric induced at ∂M
 n^μ : outward normal to ∂M

where

$$\sigma_\mu = g^{\nu\rho} (\nabla_\rho \delta g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \delta g_{\rho\nu})$$

We want to recover The Einstein equations by imposing Dirichlet bc's on the metric, $\delta g_{\mu\nu}|_{\partial M} = 0$

(actually we only need to require that $\delta h_{ab} = 0$: we can always redefine coordinates such that $\delta h_{ab} = 0$ implies $\delta g_{ab}|_{\partial M} = 0$)

BUT This boundary condition doesn't eliminate the boundary term.

Let's analyze this term in more detail:

$$\int_M \sqrt{-g} \nabla_\mu \sigma^\mu = \int_{\partial M} \sqrt{h} n_\mu \sigma^\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned} n^\mu \sigma_\mu &= n^\mu g^{\nu\rho} (\nabla_\rho \delta g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \delta g_{\rho\nu}) = (n^\mu h^{\nu\rho} \pm n^\mu n^\nu n^\rho) \nabla_{[\rho} \delta g_{\mu]\nu} \\ &\quad \leftarrow = 0 \text{ by symmetry} \\ &= n^\mu h^{\nu\rho} (\nabla_\rho \delta g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \delta g_{\rho\nu}) = -n^\mu h^{\nu\rho} \nabla_\mu \delta g_{\rho\nu} \\ &\quad \leftarrow = 0 \text{ since } h^{\nu\rho} \nabla_\rho \delta g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We have a derivative of a normal variation of the metric, projected onto ∂M .

We have a derivative of a normal variation of the metric, projected onto ∂M . This reminds us of the extrinsic curvature:

$$K_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu}^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} n_{\nu} \quad K = h_{\mu}^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} n^{\mu} = h_{\mu}^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} n^{\mu} + h_{\mu}^{\nu} \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} n^{\rho}$$

If we fix $g_{\mu\nu}$ at ∂M , then $h_{\mu\nu}$ and n_{μ} are fixed. But even if we only fix $h_{\mu\nu}$, the variations of n_{μ} can be gauged away w/ a diffeo.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta K &= h_{\mu}^{\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} n^{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} n^{\rho} \overbrace{h_{\mu}^{\nu} g^{\mu\sigma}}^{h^{\nu\sigma}} (\nabla_{\nu} \delta g_{\rho\sigma} + \nabla_{\rho} \delta g_{\nu\sigma} - \nabla_{\sigma} \delta g_{\nu\rho}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} n^{\rho} h^{\nu\sigma} (\nabla_{[\nu} \delta g_{\sigma]\rho} + \nabla_{\rho} \delta g_{\nu\sigma}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} n^{\rho} h^{\nu\sigma} \nabla_{\rho} \delta g_{\nu\sigma} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} n^{\mu} \sigma_{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta I_G = \int_M \sqrt{-g} (R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R) \delta g^{\mu\nu} - 2 \int_{\partial M} \sqrt{h} \delta K \quad \text{when } \delta g_{\mu\nu}|_{\partial M} = 0$$

In order to eliminate the last term and have a well defined variational problem w/ Dirichlet b.c.'s, we fix the action by adding to it an extra boundary term:

$I_G = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_M \sqrt{-g} R + \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\partial M} \sqrt{h} K$
$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$
Einstein-Hilbert Gibbons-Hawking-York

The GHY Term is crucial whenever the gravitational action (instead of the gravitational equations) is used. For instance, when

- defining asymptotic conserved energies and momenta
- computing the action, for instance, for black hole thermodynamics, or for holographic complexity
- doing any semiclassical quantum gravity analysis
- boundaries are important

Why did we have to introduce it? For a scalar or vector field, we didn't need that. The reason is that the Lagrangian has R , which has 2nd derivatives of the metric (since $R_{\text{Riemann}} \sim \partial\Gamma + \Gamma\Gamma$ and $\partial\Gamma \sim \partial^2 g$) whereas usually Lagrangians only have first derivatives: $\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi$
 $(\partial_\mu A_\nu)(\partial^\mu A^\nu)$

Consider as a Toy model

$$I = -\frac{1}{2} \int dt \, q \ddot{q} \quad q \rightarrow q + \delta q \quad \dot{q} \rightarrow \dot{q} + \delta \dot{q} \quad \ddot{q} \rightarrow \ddot{q} + \delta \ddot{q}$$

$$\delta I = - \int_I dt \, \dot{q} \delta q + \frac{1}{2} \int_I dt \, \frac{d}{dt} (-q \delta \dot{q} + \dot{q} \delta q)$$

$$= - \int_I dt \, \dot{q} \delta q + \frac{1}{2} (-q \delta \dot{q} + \dot{q} \delta q) \Big|_{\partial I}$$

$$\text{and if we impose } \delta q \Big|_{\partial I} = 0$$

Then we don't cancel the term $\delta \dot{q} \Big|_{\partial I}$

We fix it by adding an extra bdy term:

$$\tilde{I} = I + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} q \dot{q}}_{\text{GHY}} \Big|_{\partial I} = -\frac{1}{2} \int dt \, q \ddot{q} + \frac{1}{2} \int dt \, \frac{d}{dt} (q \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \, \dot{q}^2 \quad : \text{ so we recover the usual action, w/ only first derivatives}$$

$$\delta \tilde{I} = - \int_I \ddot{q} \delta q + \dot{q} \delta \dot{q} \Big|_{\partial I}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \delta q \Big|_{\partial I} = 0$$

$$\frac{\delta \tilde{I}}{\delta q} = -\ddot{q} \quad : \text{ we obtain the correct eqn w/ Dirichlet b.c.s}$$