LECURES ON STATISTICAL FIELD THEORY RANDOM MATRICES AND STATISTICAL PHYSICS – Solution of Homework 1

Grégory Schehr

7 février 2024

The Wigner semi-circular law via replicas

We recall here the definition of the average density of eigenvalues,

$$\rho(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(x - \lambda_i)\right] , \qquad (1)$$

and the Gaussian identities:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} d\vec{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\vec{x}^T \mathbf{A} \vec{x}} = \frac{(2\pi)^{m/2}}{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{A}}} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} d\vec{x} \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}\vec{x}^T \mathbf{A} \vec{x} + \vec{b}^T \vec{x}} = \frac{(2\pi)^{m/2}}{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{A}}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\vec{b}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \vec{b}} \,, \tag{3}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} d\vec{x} \, x_k x_l \, e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{x}^T \mathbf{A} \vec{x}} = \frac{(2\pi)^{m/2}}{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{A}}} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{kl} . \tag{4}$$

1 Preamble

1.) Using the distributional identity recalled in the text we obtain

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(x - \lambda_i) = \frac{1}{\pi N} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{x - i\epsilon - \lambda_i} \right) = -\frac{1}{\pi N} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - x_{\epsilon}} \right)$$
 (5)

where $x_{\epsilon} = x - i\epsilon$. Therefore, using that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - x_{\epsilon}} = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{J} - x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_N)^{-1} , \qquad (6)$$

where \mathbb{I}_N denotes the identity matrix of size N, together with the definition of $\rho(x)$ in (1) one obtains

$$\rho(x) = -\frac{1}{N\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \operatorname{Im} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{J} - x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_N)^{-1} \right] \right) , \ x_{\epsilon} = x - i\epsilon .$$
 (7)

2.) The N-dimensional Gaussian integral

$$Z_J(x_{\epsilon}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi_1 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi_N \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2}x_{\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^2 + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k,l} J_{kl} \phi_k \phi_l\right]$$
(8)

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\vec{\phi} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\vec{\phi}^T(ix_{\epsilon}\mathbb{I}_N - i\mathbf{J})\vec{\phi}\right]$$
 (9)

is convergent because the absolute value of the integrand is $\exp[-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\sum_k \phi_k^2]$, which is integrable for every $\epsilon > 0$. Taking the derivative of $\ln Z_J(x_{\epsilon})$ with respect to x one obtains

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln Z_J(x_{\epsilon})}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathrm{d}\vec{\phi} \left(-\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^2\right) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \vec{\phi}^T (ix_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_N - i\mathbf{J}) \vec{\phi}\right]}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathrm{d}\vec{\phi} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \vec{\phi}^T (ix_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_N - i\mathbf{J}) \vec{\phi}\right]}$$
(10)

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} ((ix_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{N} - i\mathbf{J})^{-1})_{kk} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} ((\mathbf{J} - x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{N})^{-1})_{kk}$$
 (11)

where, in the second line, we have used the Gaussian identities (2) and (4). This finally leads to

$$Tr(\mathbf{J} - x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I})^{-1} = 2 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \ln Z_J(x_{\epsilon}) .$$
(12)

2 Annealed computation

- 3.) The replacement $\mathbb{E}[\ln Z_J(x_\epsilon)] \approx \ln (\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_\epsilon)])$ is the annealed approximation. In the more general context of disordered systems, this approximation is valid in the large N limit if $Z_J(x_\epsilon)$ does not fluctuate too much, i.e. if it is self-averaging : $Z_J(x_\epsilon) \to \mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_\epsilon)]$ as $N \to \infty$. This is the case when the disorder is weak, or the temperature high.
- 4.) The average "partition function" $\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})]$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\vec{\phi} \, e^{-\frac{i}{2}x_{\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^2} \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{i}{2}J_{kk}\phi_k^2}\right] \prod_{k< l} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{iJ_{kl}\phi_k\phi_l}\right] . \tag{13}$$

Note that in the last factors, the argument of the exponential is indeed $iJ_{kl}\phi_k\phi_l$ and not $(i/2)J_{kl}\phi_k\phi_l$ since the product over k and l is restricted to k < l, while there is no restriction on these indices in Eq. (8). Using the Gaussian integration formulae (2,3) for m = 1, one finds

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{i}{2}J_{kk}\phi_k^2}\right] = e^{-\frac{\phi_k^4}{8}\mathbb{E}[J_{kk}^2]} = e^{-\frac{\phi_k^4}{4N}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N,$$
(14)

and similarly

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iJ_{kl}\phi_k\phi_l}\right] = e^{-\frac{\phi_k^2\phi_l^2}{2}\mathbb{E}[J_{kl}^2]} = e^{-\frac{\phi_k^2\phi_l^2}{2N}}, \quad k < l.$$
 (15)

Injecting these results (14) and (15) in Eq. (13) one finds

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_\epsilon)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\vec{\phi} \, e^{-\frac{i}{2}x_\epsilon \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^2} \, e^{-\frac{1}{4N} \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^4 - \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{k$$

which finally yields

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_\epsilon)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi_1 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi_N \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2} x_\epsilon \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^2 - \frac{1}{4N} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^2\right)^2\right].$$
 (17)

5.) We use the identity (3) with m = 1 to write

$$e^{-\frac{1}{4N}(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\phi_k^2)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \, e^{-Nq^2 + iq \sum_{k=1}^{N}\phi_k^2} \,. \tag{18}$$

Hence $\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})]$ can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})] = \sqrt{\frac{N}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}q \,\mathrm{e}^{-Nq^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathrm{d}\vec{\phi} \,\mathrm{e}^{i(q-\frac{x_{\epsilon}}{2})\sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{N}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}q \,\mathrm{e}^{-Nq^2} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\phi \,\mathrm{e}^{i(q-\frac{x_{\epsilon}}{2})\phi^2} \right]^N. \tag{19}$$

The integral over ϕ can be evaluated using the relation (2) which, specified for m=1, yields here

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi \, e^{i(q - \frac{x_{\epsilon}}{2})\phi^2} = \left[\frac{i\pi}{(q - \frac{x_{\epsilon}}{2})} \right]^{1/2} . \tag{20}$$

Therefore, by substituting (20) in (19) one finds that $\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})]$ can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})] = \sqrt{\frac{N}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \, e^{-N \, \varphi(q, x_{\epsilon})} \, , \, \varphi(q, x_{\epsilon}) = q^2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2\pi}{i(x_{\epsilon} - 2q)} \right) \, .$$
 (21)

6.) By substituting the identity found in (12) in the expression for the density $\rho(x)$ in Eq. (7) one finds

$$\rho(x) = -\frac{2}{N\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \mathbb{E}\left[\ln(Z_J(x_\epsilon))\right] \approx -\frac{2}{N\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \ln(\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_\epsilon)]) , \qquad (22)$$

where the last relation holds in the annealed approximation considered here. We see that we need to evaluate $N^{-1} \ln(\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})])$ in the limit of large N and therefore, anticipating that $\rho(x)$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$, we only need to retain the exponentially large terms in (21).

7.) The saddle point equation $\partial_q \varphi(q, x_{\epsilon}) = 0$ yields the quadratic equation

$$2q + \frac{1}{2q - x_{\epsilon}} = 0 , \qquad 4q^2 - 2x_{\epsilon}q + 1 = 0 , \qquad (23)$$

which admits the two solutions

$$q_{\pm} = \frac{1}{4} \left(x_{\epsilon} \pm \sqrt{x_{\epsilon}^2 - 4} \right) . \tag{24}$$

8.) In the limit of large N the Laplace method applied to (21) yields

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{E}\left[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})\right]}{N} = -\varphi(q_+, x_{\epsilon}) . \tag{25}$$

Therefore, using this result (25) in Eq. (22) one finds

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \rho(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \varphi(q_+, x_{\epsilon}) .$$
 (26)

9.) To compute $\frac{d}{dx}\varphi(q_+, x_{\epsilon})$ we notice that $\varphi(q_+, x_{\epsilon})$ depends on x through the dependence of q_+ on x (24) as well as through the x-dependence of the function $\varphi(q, x_{\epsilon})$ itself (21). Therefore differentiating using the chain rule one finds

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\varphi(q_+, x_\epsilon) = \frac{\partial q_+}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \varphi(q, x_\epsilon)}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=q_+} + \frac{\partial \varphi(q, x_\epsilon)}{\partial x} \Big|_{q=q_+}.$$
(27)

The first term vanishes since q_+ is a saddle point, solution of $\partial_q \varphi = 0$, and the second term yields

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\varphi(q_+, x_\epsilon) = \frac{\partial\varphi(q, x_\epsilon)}{\partial x}\Big|_{q=q_+} = \frac{1}{2(x_\epsilon - 2q_+)} = q_+ = \frac{1}{4}\left(x_\epsilon + \sqrt{x_\epsilon^2 - 4}\right) , \tag{28}$$

where in the last two equalities we have used (23) and (24). Finally, injecting this result (28) in Eq. (26) one finds

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \rho(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \operatorname{Im} \left[x_{\epsilon} + \sqrt{x_{\epsilon}^2 - 4} \right] , \qquad (29)$$

which leads to the Wigner semi-circle law

$$\rho(x) \underset{N \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{4 - x^2} , |x| < 2 \\ 0, |x| > 2. \end{array} \right.$$
 (30)

3 Quenched computation

10.) By using the replica trick

$$\mathbb{E}[\ln Z_J(x_\epsilon)] = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_\epsilon)^n] \right) . \tag{31}$$

together with the first equality in (22) one obtains

$$\rho(x) = -\frac{2}{N\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{n \to 0} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_\epsilon)^n] \right) . \tag{32}$$

11.) By replicating the system n times to write the n-th power $Z_J(x_{\epsilon})^n$ and performing the averages over the random variables J_{kl} , one has

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{J}(x_{\epsilon})^{n}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{a=1}^{n} d\phi_{k}^{a} e^{-\frac{ix_{\epsilon}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{a=1}^{n} (\phi_{k}^{a})^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k,l} J_{kl} \sum_{a=1}^{n} \phi_{k}^{a} \phi_{l}^{a}}\right]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{a=1}^{n} d\phi_{k}^{a} e^{-\frac{ix_{\epsilon}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{a=1}^{n} (\phi_{k}^{a})^{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{i}{2} J_{kk} \sum_{a=1}^{n} (\phi_{k}^{a})^{2}}\right] \prod_{k

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{a=1}^{n} d\phi_{k}^{a} e^{-\frac{ix_{\epsilon}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{a=1}^{n} (\phi_{k}^{a})^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} (\phi_{k}^{a})^{2} (\phi_{k}^{b})^{2}}$$

$$\times e^{-\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{k
(33)$$$$

which can be written (by inverting the sum over k and the double sum over a, b in the argument of the last two exponentials) as

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})^n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^N \prod_{a=1}^n d\phi_k^a \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2} x_{\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^n (\phi_k^a)^2 - \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^a \phi_k^b\right)^2\right].$$
(36)

12.) We write the exponentials containing quartic terms in Eq. (36) as

$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{4N}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\phi_{k}^{a}\phi_{k}^{b}\right)^{2}\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4N}\sum_{a=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}(\phi_{k}^{a})^{2}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2N}\sum_{1\leq a< b\leq n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\phi_{k}^{a}\phi_{k}^{a}\right)^{2}\right]$$
(37)

and we use n(n+1)/2 times the Gaussian identity (3) to rewrite it as

$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{4N}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\phi_{k}^{a}\phi_{k}^{b}\right)^{2}\right] = \prod_{a=1}^{n}\left(\sqrt{\frac{N}{\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dQ_{aa}e^{-NQ_{aa}^{2}+iQ_{aa}\sum_{k=1}^{N}(\phi_{k}^{a})^{2}}\right) \times \prod_{1\leq a< b\leq n}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2N}{\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dQ_{ab}e^{-2NQ_{ab}^{2}+2iQ_{ab}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\phi_{k}^{a}\phi_{k}^{b}}\right)$$
(38)

which can be re-written as

$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{4N}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\phi_{k}^{a}\phi_{k}^{b}\right)^{2}\right] = 2^{n(n-1)/4}\left(\frac{N}{\pi}\right)^{n(n+1)/4}$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{1\leq a\leq b\leq n}dQ_{ab}\exp\left[-N\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}(Q_{ab})^{2}+i\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}Q_{ab}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\phi_{k}^{a}\phi_{k}^{b}\right],$$
(39)

with the convention, in the argument of the exponential, that $Q_{ab} = Q_{ba}$ if a > b. Therefore, substituting this identity (39) in (36) one obtains

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{J}(x_{\epsilon})^{n}] = A_{N,n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{a=1}^{n} d\phi_{k}^{a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq a \leq b \leq n} dQ_{ab}$$

$$\times \exp \left[-N \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} (Q_{ab})^{2} - \frac{i}{2} x_{\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{a=1}^{n} (\phi_{k}^{a})^{2} + i \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} Q_{ab} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \phi_{k}^{a} \phi_{k}^{b} \right]$$
(40)

where $A_{N,n} = 2^{n(n-1)/4} \left(\frac{N}{\pi}\right)^{n(n+1)/4}$.

13.) We observe that the *n*-dimensional vectors $\{\phi_k^1, \phi_k^2, \cdots, \phi_k^n\}$, for different values of $k = 1, \cdots, N$, are now independent in (40). Therefore one has

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})^n] = A_{N,n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{1 \le a \le b \le n} dQ_{ab} e^{-N \sum_{a,b=1}^n (Q_{ab})^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{a=1}^n d\phi^a e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \phi^a (ix_{\epsilon} \delta_{ab} - 2iQ_{ab}) \phi^b} \right)^N . \tag{41}$$

The multiple integral over the ϕ^a 's can be explicitly evaluated using (2) with m=n, which yields

$$\left| \mathbb{E}[Z_J(x_{\epsilon})^n] = A_{N,n} \prod_{1 \le a \le b=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dQ_{ab} \exp\left[-N\Phi(\mathbf{Q}, x_{\epsilon})\right] \right|$$
 (42)

where **Q** is the (symmetric) overlap matrix, of size $n \times n$, with matrix elements Q_{ab} for $a \leq b$ (and $Q_{ab} = Q_{ba}$ for a > b), and

$$\Phi(\mathbf{Q}, x_{\epsilon}) = \text{Tr}[\mathbf{Q}^2] - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\det \left(\frac{2\pi}{i} \left(x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_n - 2\mathbf{Q} \right)^{-1} \right) \right] ,$$
(43)

where \mathbb{I}_n denotes the identity matrix matrix of size n.

14.) Expliciting the independent parameters in \mathbf{Q} we rewrite Φ as

$$\Phi(\mathbf{Q}, x_{\epsilon}) = \sum_{a=1}^{n} Q_{aa}^{2} + 2 \sum_{a < b} Q_{ab}^{2} - \ln \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{a=1}^{n} d\phi^{a} e^{-\frac{i}{2}x_{\epsilon} \sum_{a} (\phi^{a})^{2} + i \sum_{a} Q_{aa} (\phi^{a})^{2} + 2i \sum_{a < b} Q_{ab} \phi^{a} \phi^{b}} \right) . \tag{44}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to one diagonal element Q_{cc} or one off-diagonal element Q_{cd} with c < d yields

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Q_{cc}} = 2Q_{cc} - i \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{a=1}^{n} d\phi^{a} (\phi^{c})^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} \phi^{a} (ix_{\epsilon} \delta_{ab} - 2iQ_{ab}) \phi^{b}}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{a=1}^{n} d\phi^{a} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} \phi^{a} (ix_{\epsilon} \delta_{ab} - 2iQ_{ab}) \phi^{b}}} = 2Q_{cc} - i ((ix_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{n} - 2i\mathbf{Q})^{-1})_{cc} , \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Q_{cd}} = 4Q_{cd} - 2i \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{a=1}^{n} d\phi^{a} \phi^{c} \phi^{d} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} \phi^{a} (ix_{\epsilon} \delta_{ab} - 2iQ_{ab}) \phi^{b}}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{a=1}^{n} d\phi^{a} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} \phi^{a} (ix_{\epsilon} \delta_{ab} - 2iQ_{ab}) \phi^{b}}} = 4Q_{cd} - 2i ((ix_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{n} - 2i\mathbf{Q})^{-1})_{cd}, (46)$$

where we used the Gaussian integrals (2,4). Simplifying the factors i gives the saddle-point equation of the text,

$$2 Q_{ab} - ((x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_n - 2\mathbf{Q})^{-1})_{ab} = 0 , \quad \forall \ a, b = 1, \dots, n .$$
(47)

Alternatively one can use the identity $\partial_z(\det \mathbf{Y}) = (\det \mathbf{Y}) \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\partial_z \mathbf{Y})$, hence $\partial_z \ln(\det \mathbf{Y}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\partial_z \mathbf{Y})$ applied to $\mathbf{Y} = x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_n - 2\mathbf{Q}$ and $z = Q_{ab}$; one finds

$$\frac{\partial \ln \det(x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_n - 2\mathbf{Q})}{\partial Q_{ab}} = \sum_{c,d=1}^n ((x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_n - 2\mathbf{Q})^{-1})_{cd} \frac{\partial (x_{\epsilon} \delta_{cd} - 2Q_{cd})}{\partial Q_{ab}} = -2((x_{\epsilon} \mathbb{I}_n - 2\mathbf{Q})^{-1})_{ab} , \qquad (48)$$

which yields the same saddle-point equations (47) when applied to the expression (43) of Φ .

15.) The replica symmetric ansatz $Q_{ab} = q \, \delta_{ab}$ corresponds to $\mathbf{Q} = q \mathbb{I}_n$; the saddle point equations (47) are then equivalent to

$$2q - \frac{1}{x_{\epsilon} - 2q} = 0 , (49)$$

which is precisely the equation found in the annealed approximation in (23). It admits the two solutions $q = q_{\pm}$ as given in (24).

16.) Assuming that $Q_{ab} = q_+ \delta_{ab}$ is the correct saddle point solution, one finds, from Eq. (42) that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\ln \left(\mathbb{E}[Z(x_{\epsilon})^n] \right)}{N} = -\Phi(\mathbf{Q} = q_+ \mathbb{I}_n, x_{\epsilon}) = -n\varphi(q_+, x_{\epsilon}) , \qquad (50)$$

where we have used the explicit expression of $\Phi(\mathbf{Q}, x_{\epsilon})$ in (43) together with the expression of the function $\varphi(q, x_{\epsilon})$ found before in the annealed approximation and given in (21). Substituting this result (50) in Eq. (32) one obtains (assuming that the limit $N \to \infty$ and $n \to 0$ can be exchanged)

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \rho(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \varphi(q_+, x_{\epsilon}) , \qquad (51)$$

which coincides with the result obtained above in the annealed approximation (26) and therefore this quenched computation also yields the Wigner semi-circle (30) for the average density $\rho(x)$ in the limit of large N.