A falling magnetic monopole as a holographic local quench

Nicolò Zenoni





Based on a work in progress in collaboration with R. Auzzi, S. Caggioli, M. Martinelli and G. Nardelli

Cortona Young June 9th-11th, 2021

AdS/CFT



Holographic local quench

[M. Nozaki, T. Numasawa and T. Takayanagi, 2013]



Monopole in global AdS

Bulk action:
$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + \frac{6}{L^2} \right) + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \phi^a D^\mu \phi^a + \frac{1}{L^2} \phi^a \phi^a \right]$$

matter action for massive scalar and SU(2) gauge field

No backreaction:

$$\frac{G}{L^2} \to 0 \qquad \qquad ds^2 = L^2 \left(-(1+r^2)d\tau^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) \right) \qquad \text{global AdS}_4$$

We consider a generalization of **t'Hooft-Polyakov ansatz** in spherical coordinates:

 $\phi^{a} = \frac{1}{L}H(r)n^{a}, \qquad A_{l}^{a} = F(r)r \epsilon^{aik}n^{k}\partial_{l}(n^{i})$ $r \to 0 \quad : \qquad H(r) \propto r, \qquad F(r) \propto r \qquad \text{Smooth solution}$ $r \to \infty \quad : \qquad H(r) \approx \frac{\alpha_{H}}{r} + \frac{\beta_{H}}{r^{2}} + \dots, \qquad F(r) \approx \frac{\alpha_{F}}{r} + \frac{\beta_{F}}{r^{2}} + \dots \qquad \text{No explicit breaking of SU(2)}$ symmetry in the boundary CFT

Backreaction on the metric

Ansatz for the metric with backreaction [A. Esposito, S. Garcia-Saenz, A. Nicolis and R. Penco, 2017]:

$$ds^{2} = L^{2} \left(-(1+r^{2})h(r)g(r)dt^{2} + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)}\frac{dr^{2}}{1+r^{2}} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}) \right)$$

$$r \to \infty$$
 : $h(r) \approx 1 + \frac{h_2}{r^2} + \frac{h_3}{r^3} + \dots$, $g(r) \approx 1 + \frac{g_2}{r^2} + \frac{g_3}{r^3} + \dots$

Schwarzschild black hole in global AdS₄

$$h(r) = 1 \,, \qquad g(r) = \frac{1 + r^2 - \frac{M}{r}}{1 + r^2}$$

$$\implies g_2 = h_2 = h_3 = 0, \qquad g_3 = -M$$

Vanishing matter fields

Magnetic monopole in global AdS₄

First order perturbative solution:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{G}{L^2}$$

$$h(r) = 1 + \varepsilon h_{\varepsilon}(r) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2})$$
$$g(r) = 1 + \varepsilon g_{\varepsilon}(r) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2})$$

Monopole numerical solutions

Unperturbed profile functions with $\alpha_H = 1.5$, $\beta_H = -2.26$, $\beta_F = 0.47$



Nicolò Zenoni (UniCatt and KU Leuven) - June 9th-11th, 2021

Free falling particle in AdS



Boundary conditions of fields

Near the AdS boundary:

$$r = \frac{a(t,x)}{z} + b(t,x) \ z + \mathcal{O}\left(z^3\right)$$

The **boundary expansions** of the profile functions become:

$$H(t, z, x) \approx \frac{\alpha_{H}}{a} z + \frac{\beta_{H}}{a^{2}} z^{2} + \dots, \qquad F(t, z, x) \approx \frac{\alpha_{I}}{a} z + \frac{\beta_{F}}{a^{2}} z^{2} + \dots$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{H} \qquad \tilde{\beta}_{H} \qquad \text{Still no explicit} \qquad \text{Expectation value} \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{H} \qquad \tilde{\beta}_{H} \qquad \text{Still no explicit} \qquad \text{symmetry breaking} \qquad \text{Expectation value} \\ \tilde{\beta}_{H} = \kappa(\tilde{\alpha}_{H})^{2}, \qquad \kappa = \frac{\beta_{H}}{\alpha_{H}^{2}} \qquad \Delta S_{CFT} = \frac{\kappa}{3} \int d^{3}x \mathcal{O}_{1}^{3} \qquad \text{Triple-trace} \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{H} \qquad \tilde{\alpha}_{H} \qquad \tilde{\alpha}_{H} \qquad \tilde{\alpha}_{H} \qquad \tilde{\alpha}_{H} \qquad \text{Still no explicit} \qquad \text{Still no explicit} \qquad \text{Expectation value} \\ \tilde{\beta}_{H} = \kappa(\tilde{\alpha}_{H})^{2}, \qquad \kappa = \frac{\beta_{H}}{\alpha_{H}^{2}} \qquad \tilde{\alpha}_{H} \qquad \tilde{\alpha}_{H}$$

Holographic energy-momentum tensor

✓ Fefferman-Graham gauge: $ds^2 = L^2 \left(\frac{d\hat{z}^2}{\hat{z}^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{z}^2} g_{ab}(\hat{z}, \hat{x}^a) d\hat{x}^a d\hat{x}^b \right)$



✓ Holographic energy-stress tensor
for
$$J_D = \tilde{\alpha}_H$$
, $\langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle = \tilde{\beta}_H$: $T_{ij}^{(D)} = \frac{L}{8\pi G} \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{1}{z} \left(K_{ij} - \gamma_{ij} K - \frac{2}{L} \gamma_{ij} - \frac{4\pi G}{L} \gamma_{ij} \phi^a \phi^a \right)$
✓ Change of boundary conditions
✓ Triple-trace: $T_{ij} = T_{ij}^{(D)} - \eta_{ij} \frac{\kappa}{3} \tilde{\alpha}_H^3$

20

$$T_{ij} = T_{ij}^{(BH)} \left(\frac{16G\pi\alpha_H\beta_H - 3L^2g_3}{3L^2M} \right)$$
$$\partial^i T_{ij} = 0, \qquad T_i^i = 0$$

A = M = L = 1

Holographic entanglement entropy



First law of entanglement entropy

Consider two nearby states, one of which is the vacuum: $\sigma = \rho_0$, $\rho = \rho_0 + \epsilon \rho_1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$

For a spherical entangling region in a CFT: $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma} = 2\pi \int_{|\vec{x}| < l} d^2x \, \frac{l^2 - x^2}{2l} \, T_{tt}(\vec{x})$ Modular Hamiltonian

At first order in the perturbation parameter:

$$\Delta \langle \mathcal{K}_{\sigma} \rangle = \Delta S$$

First law of entanglement entropy



Magnetic monopole $\Delta \langle \mathcal{K}_{\sigma} \rangle > 0$, $\Delta S < 0$ FLEE is violated in the presence of scalar operators acquiring an expectation value

[D. D. Blanco, H. Casini, L. Y. Hung and R. C. Myers, 2013]

For small size I [M. Nozaki, T. Numasawa and T. Takayanagi, 2013]

Conclusions and open questions



Holographic entanglement entropy for different subregions

Interpretation of the results from the CFT point of view

Thank you for your attention!