

# Pure Spinor Action in Hamiltonian Form

Dennis Zavaleta<sup>1</sup>  
IFT-UNESP/ICTP-SAIFR

April 9, 2019

---

<sup>1</sup>dennis.zavaleta@unesp.br

## Previous results

- Berkovits and Howe (2001)

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{PS} = \int d^2z \left[ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial} Z^N \partial Z^M (G_{MN} + B_{MN}) + \bar{\partial} Z^M E_M^\alpha d_\alpha + \partial Z^M E_M^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{d}_{\hat{\alpha}} \right. \\
 + \bar{\partial} Z^M \Omega_{M\alpha}{}^\beta \lambda^\alpha \omega_\beta + \partial Z^M \hat{\Omega}_{M\hat{\alpha}}{}^{\hat{\beta}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\beta}} + \omega_\alpha \bar{\partial} \lambda^\alpha + \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\alpha}} \partial \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} \\
 \left. + C_\alpha^{\beta\hat{\beta}} \lambda^\alpha \omega_\beta \hat{d}_{\hat{\beta}} + \hat{C}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\beta}\beta} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\beta}} d_\beta + S_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}}^{\beta\hat{\beta}} \lambda^\alpha \omega_\beta \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\beta}} + P^{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} d_\alpha \hat{d}_{\hat{\alpha}} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

BRST currents  $j_B = \lambda^\alpha d_\alpha$  and  $\tilde{j}_B = \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{d}_{\hat{\alpha}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 j_B &= \lambda^\alpha E_\alpha{}^M \left( P_M - (\lambda \Omega_M \omega) - (\hat{\lambda} \hat{\Omega}_M \hat{\omega}) - \partial_\sigma Z^N B_{NM} \right) \\
 \tilde{j}_B &= \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} E_{\hat{\alpha}}{}^M \left( P_M - (\lambda \Omega_M \omega) - (\hat{\lambda} \hat{\Omega}_M \hat{\omega}) - \partial_\sigma Z^N B_{NM} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Nilpotency and holomorphicity implies Type II SUGRA constraints

# What are we trying to solve?

- ▶ Straightforward computation, no clear geometrical meaning
- ▶ Goal: Look for more symmetrical formulation between matter and ghosts
- ▶ In some backgrounds (e.g. AdS) there are transformations between matter and ghosts
- ▶ How to proceed? Second order formalism? Too restrictive
- ▶ Approach: Hamiltonian formalism (or first order formulation)

## Pure Spinor action

- ▶ Assume the  $RR$  background  $P^{\alpha\hat{\alpha}}$  is invertible and solve for  $d_\alpha$  and  $\hat{d}_{\hat{\alpha}}$

$$d_\alpha = \left( \partial Z^M E_M^{\hat{\alpha}} + (\lambda C \omega)^{\hat{\alpha}} \right) P_{\hat{\alpha}\alpha}^{-1}$$
$$\hat{d}_{\hat{\alpha}} = -P_{\hat{\alpha}\alpha}^{-1} \left( \bar{\partial} Z^M E_M^\alpha + (\hat{\lambda} \hat{C} \omega)^\alpha \right)$$

- ▶ Action and BRST currents become dependent on  $P_{\hat{\alpha}\alpha}^{-1}$
- ▶ When  $S_{PS}$  is expressed in Hamiltonian form

$$P_M = \frac{\delta S_{PS}}{\delta \partial_t Z^M}$$

all these terms depending on  $P_{\hat{\alpha}\alpha}^{-1}$  cancel!

- ▶ Action is describing the general case again

## Pure Spinor action

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PS} = & \int \partial_t Z^M P_M + \omega_\alpha \bar{\partial} \lambda^\alpha + \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\alpha}} \partial \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma Z^N \partial_\sigma Z^M \left( G_{MN} - B_{ML} A^{LP} B_{PN} - E_{(M|}^{\hat{\alpha}} E_{\hat{\alpha}}^P B_{P|N)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + E_{(M|}^\alpha E_\alpha^P B_{P|N)} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} (P_M - \lambda \Omega_M \omega - \hat{\lambda} \hat{\Omega}_M \hat{\omega}) A^{MN} (P_N - \lambda \Omega_N \omega - \hat{\lambda} \hat{\Omega}_N \hat{\omega}) \\
& + (\lambda C \omega^{\hat{\alpha}} E_{\hat{\alpha}}^M + \hat{\lambda} \hat{C} \hat{\omega}^{\hat{\alpha}} E_{\hat{\alpha}}^M) (P_M - \lambda \Omega_M \omega - \hat{\lambda} \hat{\Omega}_M \hat{\omega}) \\
& + \partial_\sigma Z^N \left( B_{NP} A^{PM} + E_N^{\hat{\alpha}} E_{\hat{\alpha}}^M - E_N^\alpha E_\alpha^M \right) \\
& \quad \times (P_M - \lambda \Omega_M \omega - \hat{\lambda} \hat{\Omega}_M \hat{\omega}) \\
& + \partial_\sigma Z^N (\lambda C \omega)^{\hat{\alpha}} E_{\hat{\alpha}}^M B_{MN} + \partial_\sigma Z^N (\hat{\lambda} \hat{C} \hat{\omega})^{\hat{\alpha}} E_{\hat{\alpha}}^M B_{MN} \\
& + \partial_\sigma Z^M (\hat{\lambda} \hat{\Omega}_M \hat{\omega}) - \partial_\sigma Z^M (\lambda \Omega_M \omega) + (\lambda \hat{\lambda} S)^{\alpha \hat{\alpha}} \omega_\alpha \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}$$

## Pure Spinor action

Consider  $(Z^i) = (Z^M, \lambda^\alpha, \widehat{\lambda}^{\widehat{\alpha}})$  and  $(\mathbb{P}_i) = (P_M, \omega_\alpha, \widehat{\omega}_{\widehat{\alpha}})$

$$S_{PS} = \int \left\{ \partial_t Z^i \mathbb{P}_i - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\sigma Z^i \mathbb{P}_i) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_{ij} & \mathbb{A}_i{}^j \\ \mathbb{A}^i{}_j & \mathbb{A}^{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\sigma Z^j (-)^j \\ \mathbb{P}_j \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

where the matrix  $\mathbb{A}$  is

$$\mathbb{A}_{PS} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \mathbb{A}_{MN} & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{A}_M{}^N & \mathbb{A}_M{}^\beta & \mathbb{A}_M{}^{\widehat{\beta}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_\alpha{}^\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\delta_{\widehat{\alpha}}{}^{\widehat{\beta}} \\ \hline \mathbb{A}^M{}_N & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{A}^{MN} & \mathbb{A}^{M\beta} & \mathbb{A}^{M\widehat{\beta}} \\ \mathbb{A}^\alpha{}_N & \delta^{\alpha\beta} & 0 & \mathbb{A}^{\alpha N} & \mathbb{A}^{\alpha\beta} & \mathbb{A}^{\alpha\widehat{\beta}} \\ \mathbb{A}^{\widehat{\alpha}}{}_N & 0 & -\delta^{\widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\beta}} & \mathbb{A}^{\widehat{\alpha}N} & \mathbb{A}^{\widehat{\alpha}\beta} & \mathbb{A}^{\widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\beta}} \end{array} \right)$$

## Simple example: bosonic string

- ▶ Sigma model with target space  $M$

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \partial x^m \bar{\partial} x^n (G_{mn} + B_{mn})$$

- ▶ Transformation  $\delta x^m = V^m(x)$  is symmetry if

$$\mathcal{L}_V G = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_V B = dF$$

- ▶ Noether current  $(j_z, j_{\bar{z}})$

$$j_z = \frac{1}{2} \partial x^n (G_{nm} + B_{nm}) V^m + \frac{1}{2} \partial x^n F_n$$
$$j_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial} x^n (G_{mn} + B_{mn}) V^m - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial} x^n F_n$$

for some one-form  $F_m$

## Simple example: bosonic string

- ▶ In Hamiltonian form

$$S = \int \partial_t x^m p_m - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\sigma x^m p_m) \begin{pmatrix} A_{mn} & A_m^n \\ A^m_n & A^{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\sigma x^n \\ p_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} (G - BG^{-1}B)_{mn} & -(BG^{-1})_m^n \\ (G^{-1}B)^m_n & (G^{-1})^{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ The components of the current

$$j_z = \frac{1}{2} (V \ F) [\mathbb{I} + U_A] \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \partial_\sigma x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$j_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} (V \ F) [\mathbb{I} - U_A] \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \partial_\sigma x \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$U_A := A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -BG^{-1} & G - BG^{-1}B \\ G^{-1} & G^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$



## Generalized metric

- ▶ (Fiber-wise) symmetric bilinear form

$$A : (TM \oplus T^*M) \times (TM \oplus T^*M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

- ▶ Satisfies  $O(d, d)$  condition

$$A^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which implies that

$$(U_A)^2 = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad U_A = A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e.  $P_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} \pm U_A)$  are projectors onto  $(\pm 1)$ -eigenspaces of  $U_A$

## Pure Spinor action

Target space: supermanifold  $M$  parametrized  $(Z^i) = (Z^M, \lambda^\alpha, \widehat{\lambda}^{\widehat{\alpha}})$

$$S_{PS} = \int \left\{ \partial_t Z^i \mathbb{P}_i - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\sigma Z^i \mathbb{P}_i) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_{ij} & \mathbb{A}_{i'}^j \\ \mathbb{A}_{i'}^j & \mathbb{A}_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\sigma Z^j (-)^j \\ \mathbb{P}_j \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

where  $\mathbb{A}_{PS}$  satisfies

- ▶  $\mathbb{A}_{PS}$  is a bilinear (super)symmetric
- ▶ Satisfies the  $OSp(d, d|2s)$  condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_{ik} & \mathbb{A}_{i'}^k \\ \mathbb{A}_{i'}^k & \mathbb{A}_{ik} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_k^l \\ \delta_k^l & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_{lj} & \mathbb{A}_{l'}^j \\ \mathbb{A}_{l'}^j & \mathbb{A}_{lj} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_i^j \\ \delta_i^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ The  $OSp(d, d|2s)$  condition guarantees that the action still possesses the  $SO(1, 1)$  worldsheet symmetry (not manifestly)

# Current

- ▶ Given a section  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{F})$ , define current  $(j_z, j_{\bar{z}})$

$$j_z := (\mathbb{V}^i \mathbb{F}_i) \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{A}}) \right] \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{P}_j \\ \partial_\sigma \mathbb{Z}^j (-)^j \end{array} \right)$$

$$j_{\bar{z}} := (\mathbb{V}^i \mathbb{F}_i) \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{A}}) \right] \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{P}_j \\ \partial_\sigma \mathbb{Z}^j (-)^j \end{array} \right)$$

- ▶ Current conservation  $\bar{\partial} j_z + \partial j_{\bar{z}} = 0$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{V}} \mathbb{A} = (d\mathbb{F}) \cdot \mathbb{A} &\implies (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{V}} \mathbb{A})_{ij} = -(d\mathbb{F})_{(i|k} \mathbb{A}^k{}_{|j)} \\ &(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{V}} \mathbb{A})_i{}^j = -(d\mathbb{F})_{ik} \mathbb{A}^{kj} \\ &(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{V}} \mathbb{A})^{ij} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

# Holomorphicity

- ▶ If the section  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{F})$  is a  $(+1)$ -eigenvector of  $U_{\mathbb{A}}$

$$j_z = \mathbb{V}^i \mathbb{P}_i + \mathbb{F}_i \partial_\sigma \mathbb{Z}^i (-)^i, \quad j_{\bar{z}} = 0$$

If the section  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{F})$  is a  $(-1)$ -eigenvector of  $U_{\mathbb{A}}$

$$j_z = 0, \quad j_{\bar{z}} = \mathbb{V}^i \mathbb{P}_i + \mathbb{F}_i \partial_\sigma \mathbb{Z}^i (-)^i$$

- ▶ In any case, the current conservation conditions become the holomorphicity  $\bar{\partial} j_z = 0$  or antiholomorphicity  $\partial j_{\bar{z}} = 0$  conditions

# Nilpotency

- ▶ Given a current  $j_{(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{F})} := \mathbb{V}^i \mathbb{P}_i + \mathbb{F}_i \partial_\sigma \mathbb{Z}^i(-)^i$

$$\{j_{(\mathbb{V}_1, \mathbb{F}_1)}(\sigma_1), j_{(\mathbb{V}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)}(\sigma_2)\}_{PB} = j_{[(\mathbb{V}_1, \mathbb{F}_1), (\mathbb{V}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)]} \delta(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) - \langle (\mathbb{V}_1, \mathbb{F}_1), (\mathbb{V}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \rangle \delta'(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)$$

- ▶ For a fermionic current, nilpotency  $\{j_{(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F})}, j_{(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F})}\}_{PB} = 0$  implies

$$[(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F}), (\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F})] = 0 \quad (2)$$

or in components  $[\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}] = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{F} + \iota_{\mathbb{Q}} d\mathbb{F} = 0$

## BRST currents

- ▶ Exist two sections  $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F})$  and  $(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{\mathbb{F}})$

$$(\mathbb{Q}^i) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^\alpha E_\alpha^M \\ -\lambda^\beta E_\beta^M (\lambda \Omega_M)^\alpha \\ -\lambda^\beta E_\beta^M (\hat{\lambda} \hat{\Omega}_M)^{\hat{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mathbb{F}_i) = \begin{pmatrix} Q^N B_{NM} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Same for  $(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{\mathbb{F}})$  with hatted expressions.

- ▶ These are  $(+1)$  and  $(-1)$  eigenvectors of  $\mathbb{U}_{(\mathbb{A}_{PS})}$

$$(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F}) : \quad j_z = j_B, \quad j_{\bar{z}} = 0$$

$$(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{\mathbb{F}}) : \quad j_z = 0, \quad j_{\bar{z}} = \tilde{j}_B$$

## Type II SUGRA constraints

► Nilpotency

$$\lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta T_{\alpha\beta}{}^C = \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta \lambda^\gamma R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^\sigma = \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta\hat{\gamma}}{}^{\hat{\sigma}} = \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta H_{\alpha\beta C} = 0$$

► Holomorphicity

$$T_{\alpha(bc)} = H_{\alpha bc} = H_{\alpha\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}} = T_{\alpha\beta c} + H_{\alpha\beta c} = T_{\alpha\hat{\beta}c} - H_{\alpha\hat{\beta}c} = 0$$

$$T_{\alpha b}{}^\gamma + T_{\alpha\hat{\gamma}b} P^{\gamma\hat{\gamma}} = \dots = T_{\alpha\hat{\beta}}{}^{\hat{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2} H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} P^{\gamma\hat{\gamma}} = T_{\alpha\hat{\beta}}{}^{\hat{\gamma}} = 0$$

$$C_\alpha^{\beta\hat{\beta}} + \nabla_\alpha P^{\beta\hat{\beta}} - T_{\alpha\rho}{}^\beta P^{\rho\hat{\beta}} = \hat{R}_{a\beta\hat{\gamma}}{}^{\hat{\sigma}} + T_{\beta\rho a} \hat{C}_{\hat{\gamma}}{}^{\hat{\sigma}\rho} = \dots = 0$$

$$S_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}}^{\beta\hat{\beta}} + \hat{R}_{\alpha\hat{\gamma}\hat{\alpha}}{}^{\hat{\beta}} P^{\beta\hat{\gamma}} + \nabla_\alpha \hat{C}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\beta}\beta} - T_{\alpha\gamma}{}^\beta \hat{C}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\beta}\gamma} = 0$$

$$\lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta \left( R_{a\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma + T_{\alpha\hat{\beta}a} C_{\hat{\beta}}^{\gamma\hat{\beta}} \right) = \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta R_{\hat{\beta}\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma = 0$$

$$\lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta \left( \nabla_\alpha C_{\hat{\beta}}^{\gamma\hat{\gamma}} - R_{\alpha\sigma\hat{\beta}}{}^\gamma P^{\sigma\hat{\gamma}} \right) = \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta \left( \nabla_\alpha S_{\hat{\beta}}^{\gamma\hat{\gamma}} - \dots \right) = 0$$

## Conclusions

- ▶ Obtained an action that treats matter and ghosts on the same footing
- ▶ Translation of holomorphicity and nilpotency of BRST currents into context of generalized geometry

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{A} = (d\mathbb{F}) \cdot \mathbb{A} \quad (\text{holomorph.})$$

$$\mathbb{[[}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F}), (\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F})\mathbb{]]} = 0 \quad (\text{nilpotency})$$

- ▶ Rederived Type II SUGRA constraints



# What is it good for?

- ▶ Special cases where there is an honest first order formalism

$$S = \int p_M \bar{\partial} z^M + \bar{p}_{\bar{M}} \partial \bar{z}^{\bar{M}} + \omega_\alpha \bar{\partial} \lambda^\alpha + \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\alpha}} \partial \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} (p_M \ \omega_\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} A^{M\bar{M}} & A^{M\hat{\alpha}} \\ A^{\alpha\bar{M}} & A^{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{p}_{\bar{M}} \\ \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ Pure Spinor on a CY background

$$S = \int \frac{1}{2} (g + b)_{mn} \partial x^m \bar{\partial} x^n + \omega_\alpha \bar{\partial} \lambda^\alpha + \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\alpha}} \partial \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} + R(\theta^\alpha p_\alpha + \lambda^\alpha \omega_\alpha) (\hat{\theta}^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{p}_{\hat{\alpha}} + \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}})$$

Thanks!