### Light-ray operators and detector algebra

# Riccardo Gonzo based on hep-th/2012.01406 with A.Pokraka





from Geometry to Experiment



Trinity College Dublin Coláiste na Tríonóide, Baile Átha Cliath The University of Dublin

Image: A math the second se

Hamilton Mathematics Institute – HMI Trinity College Dublin – TCD



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 764850

#### 21 December 2020



Introduction to the problem: Motivation and examples





Riccardo Gonzo (TCD)

メロト メロト メヨトメ

• Interesting non-local operators which arise naturally by integrating Einstein equations at null infinity along the light-cone time ("charge densities")

# Why light-ray operators?

 Interesting non-local operators which arise naturally by integrating Einstein equations at null infinity along the light-cone time ("charge densities")

 Phenomenologically relevant for gravitational physics: similarly to jets in QCD physics, one can define a notion of localized detector, which is collecting quanta of radiation in a direction n



A B > A B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

## Definition of light-ray operators in QFT

We interpret physically the insertion of our light-ray operators as an insertion of a physical detector on the boundary of Minkowski space and we consider only hard modes contributions.

In flat-null coordinates, our family of light-ray operators is defined by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}u \, \lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 T_{uu}(u, r, z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}, \bar{z}_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}), \\ \mathcal{K}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}u \, u \lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 T_{uu}(u, r, z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}, \bar{z}_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}), \\ \mathcal{N}_{z}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}u \, \lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 T_{uz}(u, r, z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}, \bar{z}_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}), \\ \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}u \, \lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 T_{u\bar{z}}(u, r, z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}, \bar{z}_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}). \end{split}$$

We will collectively denote these operators by  $L(\hat{n}) = \{\mathcal{E}(\hat{n}), \mathcal{K}(\hat{n}), \mathcal{N}_{z}(\hat{n}), \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z}}(\hat{n})\}$ .

## Saddle-point evaluation of detector light-ray operators

• Use the Hilbert stress tensor

$$T^{
m matter}_{\mu
u} = -rac{2}{\sqrt{|g|}}rac{\delta S^{
m matter}}{\delta g^{\mu
u}}$$

#### Saddle-point evaluation of detector light-ray operators

• Use the Hilbert stress tensor

$$T^{
m matter}_{\mu
u} = -rac{2}{\sqrt{|g|}}rac{\delta {\cal S}^{
m matter}}{\delta g^{\mu
u}}$$

• Evaluate the light-ray operators by taking the saddle point estimate of the mode expansion of on-shell quantum fields, which is justified at large *r*. Using

$$x^{\mu} = \frac{r}{2} \left( 1 + z\bar{z} + \frac{u}{r}, z + \bar{z}, -i(z - \bar{z}), 1 - z\bar{z} - \frac{u}{r} \right),$$
  
$$p^{\mu} = \frac{\omega_{p}}{2} \left( 1 + w\bar{w}, w + \bar{w}, -i(w - \bar{w}), 1 - w\bar{w} \right).$$

we have

$$ip \cdot x = -irac{\omega_p u}{2} - irac{\omega_p r}{2}|z-w|^2 
ightarrow ext{localize at } (z, ar{z}) = (w, ar{w})$$

In the simplest case of a self-interacting massless real scalar without derivative interactions

 $T_{uu}^{\text{scalar}}(x) = (\partial_u \phi)(\partial_u \phi), \qquad T_{uz}^{\text{scalar}}(x) = (\partial_u \phi)(\partial_z \phi), \qquad T_{u\bar{z}}^{\text{scalar}}(x) = (\partial_u \phi)(\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi).$ 

• • • • • • • • • • •

# In the simplest case of a self-interacting massless real scalar without derivative interactions

$$T_{uu}^{\text{scalar}}(x) = (\partial_u \phi)(\partial_u \phi), \qquad T_{uz}^{\text{scalar}}(x) = (\partial_u \phi)(\partial_z \phi), \qquad T_{u\bar{z}}^{\text{scalar}}(x) = (\partial_u \phi)(\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi).$$

where

$$\phi(u,\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^2)\mathbf{r}} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega_p \left[ b(\omega_p, z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}, \bar{z}_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}) e^{i\frac{\omega_p u}{2}} - b^{\dagger}(\omega_p, z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}, \bar{z}_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}) e^{-i\frac{\omega_p u}{2}} \right],$$
  
$$\partial_z \phi(u,\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^2)\mathbf{r}} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega_p \left[ \partial_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}} b(\omega_p, z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}, \bar{z}_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}) e^{i\frac{\omega_p u}{2}} - \partial_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}} b^{\dagger}(\omega_p, z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}, \bar{z}_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}) e^{-i\frac{\omega_p u}{2}} \right].$$

A D F A A F F A

### Scalar light-ray detector operators (2)

• The explicit structure of the scalar light-ray operators is

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int \widetilde{d^{3}p} \,\omega_{p} \,: b^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}})b(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) : \delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{p}}), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= (-i)\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{-}}{2(2\pi)^{3}}\delta^{(1)}(\omega_{-})\int_{\max\{-\omega_{-},\omega_{-}\}}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega_{+} \left((\omega_{+})^{2} - (\omega_{-})^{2}\right) \\ &\times : \left[b^{\dagger}\left((\omega_{+} + \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}}\right)b\left((\omega_{+} - \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}}\right) + h.c.\right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= i\int \widetilde{d^{3}p}\,\delta^{2}\left(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{p}}\right) : \left[b^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}}b(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}})\right] :. \end{split}$$

.

### Scalar light-ray detector operators (2)

• The explicit structure of the scalar light-ray operators is

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\omega_{p} \,:\, b^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) b(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) :\, \delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= (-i) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{-}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \delta^{(1)}(\omega_{-}) \int_{\max\{-\omega_{-},\omega_{-}\}}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega_{+} \left((\omega_{+})^{2} - (\omega_{-})^{2}\right) \\ &\times : \left[ b^{\dagger} \left((\omega_{+} + \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}}\right) b\left((\omega_{+} - \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}}\right) + h.c.\right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= i \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\delta^{2} \left(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}\right) : \left[ b^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}} b(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :. \end{split}$$

 $\bullet$  Note: the action of  $\mathcal{E}_{scalar}(\hat{n})$  on an on-shell state is

$$\mathcal{E}_{ ext{scalar}}(\hat{ ext{n}}) \ket{p_1....p_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \omega_i 
ight) \delta^2 (z_{\hat{ ext{n}}} - z_{\hat{ ext{p}}_i}) \ket{p_1....p_n}.$$

Connection with energy event shapes!

Riccardo Gonzo (TCD)

#### The scalar light-ray algebra is

$$\begin{split} [\mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] &= 0, \\ [\mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] &= 0, \\ [\mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] &= -2i\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}), \\ [\mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] &= -2i\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\partial_{z}\mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}) \\ &+ 2i\partial_{z}\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}), \\ [\mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] &= -2i\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\partial_{z}\mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}) \\ &+ 2i\partial_{z}\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}), \\ [\mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z},\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] &= +2i\partial_{z_{\hat{n}_{1}}}\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\mathcal{N}_{\bar{z},\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}) \\ &- 2i\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})(\partial_{z_{\hat{n}_{2}}}\mathcal{N}_{\bar{z},\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}) - \partial_{\bar{z}_{\hat{n}_{2}}}\mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})). \end{split}$$

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・

#### The scalar light-ray algebra is

$$\begin{split} & [\mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] = 0, \\ & [\mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] = -2i\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}), \\ & [\mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] = -2i\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\partial_{z}\mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}), \\ & [\mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] = -2i\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\partial_{z}\mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}) \\ & + 2i\partial_{z}\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\mathcal{E}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}), \\ & [\mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] = -2i\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\partial_{z}\mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}) \\ & + 2i\partial_{z}\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\mathcal{K}_{\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}), \\ & [\mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{1}), \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z},\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})] \\ & = +2i\partial_{z_{\hat{n}_{1}}}\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{n}_{1}} - z_{\hat{n}_{2}})\mathcal{N}_{\bar{z},\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2}) - 2i\partial_{\bar{z}_{\hat{n}_{2}}}\mathcal{N}_{z,\text{scalar}}(\hat{n}_{2})). \end{split}$$

Consistent with complexified Cordova-Shao algebra!

• • • • • • • • • •

## Yang mills (spin 1) light-ray operators

The light-ray spin 1 family is given by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{gluon}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\,\omega_{p} \,\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{p}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) a_{\sigma}^{a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{K}_{gluon}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= (-i) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{-}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \delta^{(1)}(\omega_{-}) \int_{\max\{-\omega_{-},\omega_{-}\}}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega_{+} \left( (\omega_{+})^{2} - (\omega_{-})^{2} \right) \\ &\times \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger a} \left( (\omega_{+} + \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}} \right) a_{\sigma}^{a} \left( (\omega_{+} - \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}} \right) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,gluon}^{orb}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= i \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{p}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}} a_{\sigma}^{a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,gluon}^{spin}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= i \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\partial_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}} \delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{p}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) a_{\sigma}^{a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :. \end{split}$$

## Yang mills (spin 1) light-ray operators

The light-ray spin 1 family is given by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{gluon}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\,\omega_{p} \,\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) a_{\sigma}^{a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{K}_{gluon}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= (-i) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{-}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \delta^{(1)}(\omega_{-}) \int_{\max\{-\omega_{-},\omega_{-}\}}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega_{+} \left( (\omega_{+})^{2} - (\omega_{-})^{2} \right) \\ &\times \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger a} \left( (\omega_{+} + \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}} \right) a_{\sigma}^{a} \left( (\omega_{+} - \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}} \right) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,gluon}^{orb}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= i \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}} a_{\sigma}^{a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,gluon}^{spin}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= i \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\partial_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}} \delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) a_{\sigma}^{a}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :. \end{split}$$

Consistent with the point particle particle structure of the stress tensor!

#### Linearized gravity light-ray operators

The light-ray definition in linearized gravity comes from Einstein equations solved near null infinity and in the PSZ convention are

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{\text{GR}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int \widetilde{d^{3}p} \,\,\omega_{p} \,\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{p}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) a_{\sigma}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{K}_{\text{GR}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= (-i) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega_{-}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \delta^{(1)}(\omega_{-}) \int_{\max\{-\omega_{-},\omega_{-}\}}^{+\infty} d\omega_{+} \left( (\omega_{+})^{2} - (\omega_{-})^{2} \right) \\ &\times \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \left( (\omega_{+} + \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}} \right) a_{\sigma} \left( (\omega_{+} - \omega_{-})\hat{\mathbf{n}} \right) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,\text{GR}}^{\text{PSZ,orb}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= i \int \widetilde{d^{3}p} \,\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{p}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}} a_{\sigma}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,\text{GR}}^{\text{PSZ,spin}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= i \int \widetilde{d^{3}p} \,\partial_{z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}} \delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} - z_{\hat{p}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) a_{\sigma}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] :. \end{split}$$

Image: A math the second se

#### Linearized gravity light-ray operators

The light-ray definition in linearized gravity comes from Einstein equations solved near null infinity and in the PSZ convention are

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{GR}}(\hat{\mathsf{n}}) &= \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\,\omega_{p} \,\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathsf{n}}} - z_{\hat{\mathsf{p}}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathsf{n}}) a_{\sigma}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathsf{n}}) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{GR}}(\hat{\mathsf{n}}) &= (-i) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_{-}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \delta^{(1)}(\omega_{-}) \int_{\max\{-\omega_{-},\omega_{-}\}}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega_{+} \left( (\omega_{+})^{2} - (\omega_{-})^{2} \right) \\ &\times \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \left( (\omega_{+} + \omega_{-})\hat{\mathsf{n}} \right) a_{\sigma} \left( (\omega_{+} - \omega_{-})\hat{\mathsf{n}} \right) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,\mathsf{GR}}^{\mathsf{PSZ},\mathsf{orb}}(\hat{\mathsf{n}}) &= i \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathsf{n}}} - z_{\hat{\mathsf{p}}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathsf{n}}) \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_{z_{\hat{\mathsf{n}}}} a_{\sigma}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathsf{n}}) \right] :, \\ \mathcal{N}_{z,\mathsf{GR}}^{\mathsf{PSZ},\mathsf{spin}}(\hat{\mathsf{n}}) &= i \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} \,\partial_{z_{\hat{\mathsf{n}}}} \delta^{2}(z_{\hat{\mathsf{n}}} - z_{\hat{\mathsf{p}}}) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma : \left[ a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathsf{n}}) a_{\sigma}(\omega_{p}\hat{\mathsf{n}}) \right] :. \end{split}$$

Curious fact: the orbital-spin algebra seems problematic!

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

- By interpreting light-ray operators as localized detectors, we have provided explicit expressions for the hard mode contributions as operators acting on on-shell particle states
- The algebra we found is consistent with the complexified Cordova-Shao algebra, but more analysis is needed on the orbital-spin contribution
- We have defined gravitational energy event shapes from the saddle point estimate of the gravitational ANEC operator at infinity  $\mathcal{E}_{GR}(\hat{n})$

Image: A math the second se