# Singular Calabi-Yau threefolds: Springer resolution and quiver gauge theories

Andrea Sangiovanni

University of Trieste

December 21st 2020

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Outline

- F-theory and D7 brane backgrounds
- Higgs mechanism and unconventional Higgs VEVs
- Relation with ADE singularities in Calabi-Yau spaces
- Springer resolution
  - $A_n$  cases
  - Reid Pagoda
  - *D*<sub>4</sub>
- Motivation: gauge theory of branes on ADE singularities

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Consider type IIB limit of F-theory:

• Non-abelian gauge symmetries are realized stacking D7-branes on top of each other



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Example:  $A_1 = SU(2)$  gauge group

#### Higgsing

Give a VEV to the Higgs field in the Cartan of SU(2):

$$<\Phi>=\left( egin{array}{cc} v & 0 \\ 0 & -v \end{array} 
ight)$$

with v a **constant** on the branes worldvolume. Physically:



• Symmetry broken to U(1)

Higgsing

Let  $<\Phi>$  depend on the brane worldvolume coordinates, e.g.:

$$<\Phi>=\left( egin{array}{cc} t & 0 \\ 0 & -t \end{array} 
ight)$$

Physically:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- $t \neq 0$  symmetry broken to U(1)
- on t = 0 enhancement to SU(2)

Take another case:

$$<\Phi>=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1\\ t & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

- Eigenvalues are  $\pm \sqrt{t}$ , they are not single-valued, they are monodromic
- Symmetry is broken to U(1) everywhere
- These backgrounds are also called **T-branes** [Cecotti, Córdova, Heckman, Vafa, 2010]

What is the corresponding geometry? How to extract the corresponding Calabi-Yau space?

For the  $A_n$  case the procedure is known:

$$x^2+y^2=\det\left(z\mathbb{1}-<\Phi>\right)$$

• Non-monodromic case:

$$\implies$$
 Conifold:  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 + t^2$ 

i.e. a **deformation** of the  $A_1$  singularity. A 2-cycle can be blown up.

• Monodromic case:

$$\Longrightarrow x^2+y^2=z^2+t$$

No 2-cycle can be blown up, due to monodromy.

What happens for more general gauge groups, i.e. Higgs fields not valued in the Cartan of some ADE gauge group?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A systematic procedure can be found using the so-called **Grothendieck-Springer resolution** 

All the Higgs vevs (monodromic and non-monodromic) can be classified using **Levi subalgebras** of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Theorems by Springer and Grothendieck show that (suppressing all technical details):

Levi subalgebras of g are in correspondence with (partial) resolutions of singular ADE Calabi-Yau threefolds.

Example: consider  $A_2 = SU(3)$  and choose a Levi subalgebra:

$$L = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} * & 0 & 0\\ 0 & * & 0\\ 0 & 0 & * \end{array}\right)$$

L is in correspondence with the **complete resolution** of the deformed SU(3) singularity, with equation:

$$x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{3} - z(t_{1}^{2} + t_{2}^{2} + t_{1}t_{2}) - t_{1}t_{2}(t_{1} + t_{2}) = 0$$

with  $t_1(t)$  and  $t_2(t)$ .

Example: if we choose a different Levi subalgebra:

$$L_p = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} * & * & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{array}\right)$$

 $L_p$  is in correspondence with the **partial resolution** of the deformed SU(3) singularity, in which only one two-cycle is resolved, with equation:

$$x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{3} - z(\sigma_{1}^{2} - \sigma_{2}) - \sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} = 0$$

with  $\sigma_1(t)$  and  $\sigma_2(t)$ .



### Reid pagoda

Other example: the Reid pagoda can be seen as the (monodromic) deformation of a  $A_3$  singularity:

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^4 + t^2 = 0$$

Only the central node can be resolved.



The corresponding Levi subalgebra giving rise to this geometry is:

$$L_{pagoda} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Until now, essentially only one example of singular threefold with  $D_4$  singularity where only the central node can be resolved is known.

It is Laufer's case [Cachazo, Katz, Vafa, 2001], with equation:

$$x^2 + y^3 + tz^2 + t^3y = 0$$



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

#### A new $D_4$ singular threefold

With the previously mentioned techniques we have found a new singular threefold with these properties:

$$x^{2} + zy^{2} - z^{3} - \frac{a^{3}t^{3}}{16} + \frac{1}{8}a^{2}bt^{4} - \frac{9}{16}a^{2}t^{2}z - \frac{1}{16}ab^{2}t^{5} - \frac{3}{8}abt^{3}z - \frac{3}{2}atz^{2} - \frac{1}{16}b^{2}t^{4}z - \frac{1}{2}bt^{2}z^{2} = 0$$

with a and b constants.

The corresponding Levi subalgebra of SO(8) is:

$$L_{D_4} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### Why do we care about these setups?

We can wrap D5 branes around the resolved 2-cycles:

 $\longrightarrow \mathcal{N}=1$  theory with superpotential, described by a quiver



• Understanding these theories can be useful for large N dualities, as in [Cachazo, Katz, Vafa, 2001]

Using their techniques we have obtained a superpotential for our  $D_4$  example:

$$W = \frac{4}{3a} \left( X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3 \right) - T \left( X + Y + Z + \frac{\sqrt{b}}{4}T \right)$$

with X, Y, Z, T chiral superfields.

#### Recap and outlook

- Monodromic Higgses correspond to geometries where only some 2-cycles can be resolved
- The Grothendieck-Springer resolution allows to describe these geometries precisely
- Superpotentials for the theory of D5 branes wrapped on the resolved cycles can in some cases be computed using known techniques

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Looking at the future:

- Consider further examples in the ADE classification
- Apply the formalism to concrete T-brane settings

## Thank you!

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @