

# Collaborators

N. Brizio, G. Coppa, M. Caselle, A. Cavaglià, R. Conti, D. Fioravanti, F.Giordano, F. Gliozzi, L. Iannella, S. Negro, J. Romano, P. Ceschin, I. Szécsényi

JHEP 1307 (2013) 071, JHEP 1610 (2016) 112, JHEP11(2018)007, JHEP 1902 (2019), JHEP 1911 (2019)

F. A. Smirnov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, On space of integrable quantum field theories, Nucl. Phys. B915 (2017)

A. Cavaglià, S.Negro, I. Szécsényi, R. Tateo, *TT*-deformed quantum field theories, JHP 16190 (2016) 112

L. McGough, M. Mezei, H. Verlinde, Moving the CFT into the bulk with  $T\overline{T}$ , JHEP 1804 (2018) 010

O. Aharony, S. Datta, A. Giveon, Y. Jiang, D. Kutasov, Modular invariance and uniqueness of TT deformed CFT, JHEP 01 (2019) 086

A. Dei, A. Sfondrini, Integrable spin chain for stringy Wess-Zumino-Witten models, JHEP 1807 (2018) 109

> G. Bonelli, N. Doroud, M. Zhu,  $T\overline{T}$ - deformations in closed form, JHEP 1807 (2018) 109

J. Cardy, The *TT* deformation of quantum field theory as random geometry, [arXiv:1801.06895]

A.J. Tolley TT deformations, massive gravity and non-critical strings, JHEP 06 (2020) 050

S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko and M.Mirbabayi, Asymptotic fragility, near AdS2 holography and  $T\overline{T}$ , JHEP 09 (2017) 136

L. Santilli, R. J. Szabo, M. Tierz, *TT*-deformation of q-Yang-Mills Theory, [arXiv:2009.00657]

# Main motivation:

# **Understanding the Space of Quantum Field Theories**



#### CFT:

**Operator and state content** 

#### Critical exponents and correlation functions

#### **Massive integrable CFT perturbations:**

#### **Exact S-matrix**

#### Finite-Size spectrum (Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz)

**Correlation Functions** (Form-Factors)





#### **Massless integrable CFT perturbations:**

#### **Exact S-matrix**

#### Finite-Size spectrum (Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz)

#### **IR** leading attracting operators

#### In a CFT

$$T_{xx} = -T_{yy} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}(\bar{T}+T)$$

$$T_{yx} = T_{xy} = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\bar{T} - T)$$

#### and

$$T\bar{T}(z,\bar{z}) = T(z)\bar{T}(\bar{z})$$

$$(z = x + iy, \, \overline{z} = z - iy)$$

# Can we reverse the renormalisation group trajectory?



# Let us try with the $T\bar{T}$ perturbation ...



# We need the correct definition of $T\overline{T}$ outside a CFT fixed point:

$$T_{xx} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}(\bar{T} + T - 2\Theta), \ T_{yy} = \frac{1}{2\pi}(\bar{T} + T + 2\Theta), \ T_{xy} = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\bar{T} - T).$$

# Sasha Zamolodchikov (2004):

 $T\bar{T}(z,\bar{z}) := \lim_{(z,\bar{z})\to(z',\bar{z}')} T(z,\bar{z})\bar{T}(z',\bar{z}') - \Theta(z,\bar{z})\Theta(z',\bar{z}') + \text{total derivatives}$ 

# Therefore, up to total derivatives



$$\pi^2 \det(T_{\mu\nu}(z,\bar{z}))$$

## At first order

# $T\bar{T}$ Lagrangian and Hamiltonian flow equations:

 $\partial_{\tau} \mathscr{L}(\tau) = \det(T_{\mu\nu}(\tau)), \qquad T_{\mu\nu}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

# $\mathscr{L}(x, y; \tau) = \mathscr{L}(x, y; 0) + \tau \det(T_{\mu\nu}(x, y; 0))$

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}(\tau)}{\partial g},$$

 $\partial_{\tau} \mathcal{H}(\tau) = \det(T^{\mu\nu}(\tau))$ 

(Euclidean space-time)

# **Massless boson field theories**

 $\mathcal{L}(0) = \partial$ 

# $\mathscr{L}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left( -1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\tau \partial \vec{\phi}} \right)$



$$\overrightarrow{\phi} \cdot \overline{\partial} \overrightarrow{\phi} \qquad (\text{In complex coordinates: } \partial = \partial_z, \overline{\partial} =$$

$$\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{\phi} - 4\tau^2 \mathscr{B} = -\frac{1}{2\tau} + \mathscr{L}_{NG}^{static}(\tau)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\bar{\partial}\phi_{i}\right)^{2} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial\phi_{i}\bar{\partial}\phi_{i}\right)^{2}$$



# **The Nambu-Goto model**

$$\mathscr{A} = \int dA = \int d^2 x \, \mathscr{L}_{NG} = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int \sqrt{\det\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu}(x)\right)^2} dx$$

# in the static gauge

# $X^1 \to x^1$ , $X^2 \to x^2$ , $X^i \to \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{i-2}$ , (i = 3,...D)

#### we have

 $\mathcal{L}_{NG} \to \mathcal{L}_{NG}^{static}$ 





# **Boson field theories with generic potential**

 $\mathscr{L}^{V}(0) = \mathscr{L}(0) - V$ 

# $\mathscr{L}^{V}(\tau) = \frac{-V}{1+\tau V} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\tau}} \left( -1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\bar{\tau}\mathscr{L}(0) - 4\bar{\tau}^{2}\mathscr{B}} \right)$

with

 $\bar{\tau} = \tau \left( 1 + \tau V \right)$ 

 $\mathscr{L}(0) = \partial \overrightarrow{\phi} \cdot \overline{\partial} \overrightarrow{\phi}, \ V = V(\overrightarrow{\phi})$ 

# **The sine-Gordon model**

$$\mathscr{L}_{sG}(\phi,\tau) = \frac{-V}{1+\tau V} + \frac{-1+S(\phi)}{2\tau(1+\tau V)}$$



 $V = 2 \frac{m^2}{\beta^2}$ 

 $\partial \left(\frac{\bar{\partial}\phi}{S}\right) + \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{S}\right) = -\frac{V'}{4S}\left(\frac{S+1}{1+\tau V}\right)^2$ 

$$S(\phi) = \sqrt{1 + 4\tau (1 + \tau V) \partial \phi \bar{\partial} \phi}$$

### with

$$(1 - \cos(\beta \phi))$$



# and EoM

$$V' = 2\frac{m^2}{\beta}\sin(\beta\phi)$$

# A local change of coordinates

 $\mathcal{J}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_w z \ \partial_w \bar{z} \\ \partial_{\bar{w}} z \ \partial_{\bar{w}} \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} =$ 

 $\phi^{(\tau)}(\mathbf{z}) = \phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z})) , \quad \mathbf{z} = (z, \bar{z}), \quad \mathbf{w} = (w, \bar{w})$ 



(Pseudo-spherical solitonic surface: the kink and the breather)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+\tau V & -\tau \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial w}\right)^2 \\ -\tau \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial \bar{w}}\right)^2 & 1+\tau V \end{pmatrix} \qquad (z=x^1+ix^2, \bar{z}=x^1-ix) \\ (w=y^1+iy^2, \bar{w}=y^1-iy) \\ \downarrow$$





## The deformed kink solution





 $\tau = 0$ 

$$\phi_{1-\text{kink}}^{(0)}(\mathbf{w}) = 4 \arctan\left(e^{\frac{m}{\beta}\left(aw + \frac{1}{a}\bar{w}\right)}\right) , \ a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1}}$$



 $\tau > 0$ 



# The deformed sine-Gordon breather



 $\tau = 0$ 





|4



# Generic $T\bar{T}$ -deformed models

$$\mathcal{J}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_w z \ \partial_w \bar{z} \\ \partial_{\bar{w}} z \ \partial_{\bar{w}} \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \tau V & -\tau \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial w}\right)^2 \\ -\tau \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{w}}\right)^2 & 1 + \tau V \end{pmatrix} \qquad \longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \tau \Theta(\mathbf{w}) & -\tau \bar{T}(\mathbf{w}) \\ -\tau T(\mathbf{w}) & 1 - \tau \Theta(\mathbf{w}) \end{pmatrix}$$

## Notice that



$$\mathcal{A}[\phi] = \int dz \, d\bar{z} \, \mathcal{L}^{(\tau)}(\mathbf{z}) = \int dw \, d\bar{w} \, \left| \det \left( \mathcal{J}^{-1} \right) \right| \, \mathcal{L}^{(\tau)} \left( \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{w}) \right)$$
$$= \int dw \, d\bar{w} \, \left( \mathcal{L}^{(0)}(\mathbf{w}) + \tau \, \mathrm{T}\bar{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}(\mathbf{w}) \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{
ho}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \partial_{\mu} \mathbf{T}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = 0$$

# Quantum $T\bar{T}$ -deformations on infinite cylinder of circumference R

 $\partial_{\tau} \mathcal{H}(\tau) = \det(T_{\mu\nu}(\tau)) \to \partial_{\tau} \langle n | \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}(\tau) \rangle$ 

# $\langle n | \det(T_{\mu\nu}(\tau)) | n \rangle = \langle n | T_{11} | n \rangle \langle n | T_{22} | n \rangle -$

 $P(R,\tau) = P$ 

$$\mathcal{H}(\tau) | n \rangle = \langle n | \det(T_{\mu\nu}(\tau)) | n \rangle$$

$$- \langle n | T_{12} | n \rangle \langle n | T_{21} | n \rangle$$
 [Zamolodchikov 200

# $E_n(R,\tau) = -R \langle n | T_{22} | n \rangle , \ \partial_R E_n(R,\tau) = - \langle n | T_{11} | n \rangle , \ P_n(R) = -iR \langle n | T_{12} | n \rangle$

$$P(R) = \frac{2\pi k}{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$





# The inviscid Burgers equation for the quantum spectrum

# $\partial_{\tau} E_n(R,\tau) = E_n(R,\tau) \partial_R E_n(R,\tau) + \frac{P_n^2(R)}{R}$

# $P_n = 0 \rightarrow E_n(R, \tau) = E_n(R + \tau)$



$$\tau E_n(R,\tau),0)$$





(Typical  $\tau = 0$  finite-volume spectrum)

E(R,

where,  $c_{eff} = c - 24\Delta$  is the "effective central charge" of the UV CFT state.

$$0) \sim -\pi \frac{c_{\text{eff}}}{6 R}, \quad R \sim 0,$$



# For $c_{eff} > 0$ (i.e. the ground-state energy) we have a "wave-breaking" phenomena

# For $c_{eff} < 0$ (i.e. generic excited state) the branch points move off, along the imaginary axis







$$E(R,\tau) = E^{(+)}(R,\tau) + E^{(-)}(R,\tau)$$
  
=  $-\frac{R}{2\tau} + \sqrt{\frac{R^2}{4\tau^2} + \frac{2\pi}{\tau} \left(n_0 + \bar{n}_0 - \frac{c_{\text{eff}}}{12}\right) + \left(\frac{2\pi(n_0 - \bar{n}_0)}{R}\right)^2}$ 

# which matches the form of the (D=26, $c_{eff} = 24$ ) Nambu Goto spectrum, for a generic CFT.

# The CFT case

# The total energy:

Dubovsky-Flauger-Gorbenko 2012 Caselle-Gliozzi-Fioravanti-Tateo 2013

 $c_{eff} = c - 25\Delta$  (primary)





From the point of view of a QFT at finite temperature T = 1/R, this critical point is consequence of an exponential growing of the degeneracy of the energy levels at large energy E

Consider the degeneracy of a free (massless) fermionic system on a circle, with c = 1/2 and circumference  $L \rightarrow \infty$ 

Notice that there are spectral singularities connecting the two branches. The most evident being the tachyonic critical point at





$$\rho(n) = 3\left(\frac{\pi T_H}{3E}\right)^3 e^{E/T_H} = \rho(E)\frac{dE}{dn}, \qquad T_H = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi\tau}}, \qquad E(n) \simeq \sqrt{4\pi n/\tau}$$

$$\delta S(E) = \frac{\delta E}{T} \to T(E) = \frac{1}{\partial_E S(E)}, \quad S = \log \rho(E)$$

Indeed,  $T_H$  coincides with the upper limit temperature of the system:

Comparing this result with the tachyonic singularity at  $R_{cr}$  we obtain:

The asymptotic behaviour of the level degeneracy for large  $n_0 = \bar{n}_0 = n$  is

 $T_H = \sup(T(E))$ 

 $R_{cr} = 1/T_H.$ 



# Thank you for your attention!