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1. Summary of the results
   (a) New viewpoint for older results (memory effect)  
      Strominger, ⋯
   
   (b) Some new results
      Alok Laddha, A.S.  arXiv:1806.01872
      Biswajit Sahoo, A.S.  arXiv:1808.03288
      Debodirna Ghosh and Biswajit Sahoo  to appear

3. Classical derivation

4. Relation to soft theorem
Results
Consider a classical scattering in space

A set of objects with asymptotic four momenta $p_1', \cdots p_m'$ come together, interact via complicated forces, and disperse as a set of other objects with asymptotic four momenta $p_1, \cdots p_n$.

$$p_i^2 \equiv -(p_i^0)^2 + \vec{p}_i^2 = -m_i^2, \quad p_i'^2 = -m_i'^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

We shall choose the origin of space-time to be in the region where the scattering event takes place

Detector D placed at $I^+ - a$ far way point $\vec{x} - detects$

$h_{\mu \nu} \equiv (g_{\mu \nu} - \eta_{\mu \nu})/2$ around time $t_0$:

$$t_0 = R/c + \text{correction}, \quad R \equiv |\vec{x}|$$

The correction is due to the gravitational drag on the gravitational radiation.
Define retarded time at the detector:

\[ u \equiv t - t_0 \]

Our focus will be on the late and early time tail of the radiation – the value of \( h_{\mu\nu} \) at \( D \) at large positive \( u \) and large negative \( u \).

Define \( e_{\mu\nu} \) via:

\[ e_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} h_{\rho\sigma} \iff h_{\mu\nu} = e_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} e_{\rho\sigma} \]

Up to gauge transformations and corrections of order \( R^{-2} \),

\[
\begin{align*}
    e_{\mu\nu} &= A_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{u} B_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(u^{-2}\ln|u|), \quad \text{for large positive } u \\
    e_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{u} C_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(u^{-2}\ln|u|), \quad \text{for large negative } u
\end{align*}
\]

\( A_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, C_{\mu\nu} \) are given solely by the momenta of the ingoing and outgoing objects without requiring any knowledge of the details of the scattering process.
\[ A^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2G}{Rc^3} \left[ -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^\mu p_i^\nu \frac{1}{n.p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i'^\mu p_i'^\nu \frac{1}{n.p_i'} \right], \quad R \equiv |\vec{x}|, \quad n \equiv (1, \vec{x}/R) \]

\[ B^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{4G^2}{Rc^7} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{p_i.p_j}{\{(p_i.p_j)^2 - m_i^2m_j^2c^4\}^{3/2}} \left\{ \frac{3}{2}m_i^2m_j^2c^4 - (p_i.p_j)^2 \right\} \right. \]

\[ \times \frac{p_i^\mu}{n.p_i} \left( n.p_j p_i'^\nu - n.p_i p_j'^\nu \right) \]

\[ - \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j.n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i.n} p_i^\mu p_i'^\nu - \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j'.n \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i'.n} p_i'^\mu p_i'^\nu \right\} \]

\[ C^{\mu\nu} = \frac{4G^2}{Rc^7} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{p_i'.p_j'}{\{(p_i'.p_j')^2 - m_i'^2m_j'^2c^4\}^{3/2}} \left\{ \frac{3}{2}m_i'^2m_j'^2c^4 - (p_i'.p_j')^2 \right\} \right. \]

\[ \times \frac{p_i'^\mu}{n.p_i'} \left( n.p_j p_i'^\nu - n.p_i p_j'^\nu \right) \]
\[ e_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{u} B_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(u^{-2}\ln|u|), \quad \text{for large positive } u \]

\[ e_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{u} C_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(u^{-2}\ln|u|), \quad \text{for large negative } u \]

\( A_{\mu\nu} \): memory term

– a permanent change in the state of the detector after the passage of gravitational waves

Zeldovich, Polnarev; Braginsky, Grishchuk; Braginsky, Thorne; \ldots

– related to the leading soft graviton theorem

\( B_{\mu\nu}, C_{\mu\nu} \): tail terms

– related to logarithmic terms in the subleading soft graviton theorem

Strominger; \ldots

Laddha, A.S.; Sahoo, A.S.
1. The result is a statement in classical GR, even though it was originally suggested by quantum soft graviton theorem.

Now we have a fully classical derivation.

2. $A_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, C_{\mu\nu}$ can be expressed in terms of the momenta of incoming and outgoing objects without knowing what forces operated and how the objects moved during the scattering.

– consequence of soft graviton theorem

3. The result matches explicit known results in special cases.

4. For charged particles there are known corrections to this formula due to long range electromagnetic interactions

Saha, Sahoo, A.S.

Peters; Ciafaloni, Colferai, Veneziano; Addazi, Bianchi, Veneziano
Kosower, Maybee, O’Connell; Manu, Ghosh, Laddha, Athira
5. In the expressions for $A_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, the sum over final state particles $i,j$ includes integration over outgoing flux of radiation, regarded as a flux of massless particles.

For $A_{\mu\nu}$ this gives the ‘non-linear memory’ term

Christodoulou; Thorne; Blanche, Damour; Bieri, Garfinkle; ⋯

Due to some miraculous cancellation, in $B_{\mu\nu}$ the contribution from massless final states can be expressed in terms of massive state momenta.

Drop sum over final state massless particles / radiation and add

$$- \frac{4 G^2}{R c^7} \left[ P_F^\mu P_F^\nu - P_I^\mu P_I^\nu \right]$$

$P_I$: total incoming momentum

$P_F$: total outgoing momentum carried by massive particles
6. Explosion can be regarded as a special case of scattering when the initial state has just one object.

In this case $C_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes and $e_{\mu\nu}$ at late time takes the form:

$$e_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{u} B_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(u^{-2}\ln|u|), \quad \text{for large positive } u$$

Due to the same cancellation mentioned earlier, $B_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes unless there are at least two massive objects in the final state.

Cancellation between

– soft radiation from final state objects accelerating under each others’ gravitational field

– backscattering of the leading order soft radiation (memory) in the gravitational field of the outgoing matter and radiation

$\Rightarrow B_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes for binary black hole merger.
We also have an extension of this result for the $u^{-2} \ln |u|$ terms

- conjectured using soft theorem

- proved by classical analysis
\[ \Delta e_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow u^{-2} \ln |u| F_{\mu\nu} \text{ as } u \rightarrow \infty \]

\[ \rightarrow u^{-2} \ln |u| G_{\mu\nu} \text{ as } u \rightarrow -\infty, \]

\[ F_{\mu\nu} = 2 \frac{G^3}{R c^{11}} \left[ 4 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \cdot n \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} p_{\ell} \cdot n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{p_i \cdot n} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j' \cdot n \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} p_{\ell}' \cdot n \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{p_i' \cdot p_j'}{p_i' \cdot n} \right\} \right. \]

\[ + 4 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} p_{\ell} \cdot n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i \cdot n} \left\{ \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{(p_j \cdot p_j)^2 - p_i^2 p_j^2} \right\} \left\{ 2(p_i \cdot p_j)^2 - 3p_i^2 p_j^2 \right\} \left\{ n \cdot p_i p_j^{\mu} - n \cdot p_i p_j^{\nu} \right\} \left\{ n \cdot p_{\ell} p_{\ell}^{\nu} - n \cdot p_{\ell} p_{\ell}^{\nu} \right\} \]

\[ + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} p_{\ell}' \cdot n \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \neq i}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i' \cdot n} \left\{ \frac{p_i' \cdot p_j}{(p_j' \cdot p_j')^2 - p_i'^2 p_j'^2} \right\} \left\{ 2(p_i' \cdot p_j')^2 - 3p_i'^2 p_j'^2 \right\} \left\{ n \cdot p_i p_i'\mu p_j'^{\nu} - n \cdot p_i p_i'\mu p_j'^{\nu} \right\} \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq \ell}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i \cdot n} \left\{ \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{(p_j \cdot p_j)^2 - p_i^2 p_j^2} \right\} \left\{ 2(p_i \cdot p_j)^2 - 3p_i^2 p_j^2 \right\} \left\{ n \cdot p_i p_j^{\mu} - n \cdot p_i p_j^{\nu} \right\} \left\{ n \cdot p_{\ell} p_{\ell}^{\nu} - n \cdot p_{\ell} p_{\ell}^{\nu} \right\} \]

\[ G_{\mu\nu} = -2 \frac{G^3}{R c^{11}} \left[ 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} p_{\ell} \cdot n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i \cdot n} \left\{ \frac{p_i' \cdot p_{\ell}'}{(p_{\ell} \cdot p_{\ell})^2 - p_i'^2 p_{\ell}^2} \right\} \left\{ 2(p_i' \cdot p_{\ell}')^2 - 3p_i'^2 p_{\ell}'^2 \right\} \right. \]

\[ \left\{ n \cdot p_j p_i'\mu p_j'^{\nu} - n \cdot p_j p_i'\mu p_j'^{\nu} \right\} \]

\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \neq i}^{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \sum_{j \neq \ell}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i' \cdot n} \left\{ \frac{p_i' \cdot p_j'}{(p_j' \cdot p_j')^2 - p_i'^2 p_j'^2} \right\} \left\{ 2(p_i' \cdot p_j')^2 - 3p_i'^2 p_j'^2 \right\} \left\{ n \cdot p_i p_i'\mu p_j'^{\nu} - n \cdot p_i p_i'\mu p_j'^{\nu} \right\} \left\{ n \cdot p_{\ell} p_{\ell}^{\nu} - n \cdot p_{\ell} p_{\ell}^{\nu} \right\} \]
1. The ‘miraculous cancellation’ continues

– in the sum over final states in the expression for $F^\mu\nu$, we can drop the contribution from massless states, at the cost of adding a term that depends only on the massive state momenta:

$$
\frac{8 G^3}{R c^{11}} \left[ n.P_I P_I^\mu P_I^\nu - n.P_F P_F^\mu P_F^\nu \right]

+ \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\tilde{p}_i \cdot \tilde{p}_j}{\left( \tilde{p}_i \cdot \tilde{p}_j \right)^2 - \tilde{p}_i^2 \tilde{p}_j^2} \left\{ 2(\tilde{p}_i \cdot \tilde{p}_j)^2 - 3\tilde{p}_i^2 \tilde{p}_j^2 \right\} \left\{ n.\tilde{p}_j \tilde{p}_i^{(\nu)} - n.\tilde{p}_i \tilde{p}_j^{(\nu)} \right\} \left( P_I - P_F \right)^{\mu}
$$

$P_I = \sum_{i=1}^m p'_i$: Total initial state momentum

$\tilde{p}_i$: momenta of massive final state particles

$P_F = \sum_i \tilde{p}_i$: total momentum carried by the massive final state particles
2. For explosion, $F^{\mu\nu}$ vanishes unless there are at least two massive objects in the final state

– includes the case of binary black hole merger

3. So far in our formulæ there is no spin dependence.

It is expected to arise at $u^{-2}$ order, but at that order there are also non-universal terms

– e.g. coming from the additive term in $\log u$.

The spin dependent terms at order $G^2/u^2$ are unambiguous and can be predicted.

Ghosh, Sahoo, to appear
Classical derivation
1. We divide the space-time into the scattering region $S$ where complicated interactions take place and the asymptotic region $F$ where the particles interact via long range gravitational force.

2. We iteratively solve the coupled equation of matter and gravity in the asymptotic region $F$

- matter equations are evolved forward for incoming particles and backward for outgoing particles since initial and final momenta are known (includes hard radiation emitted from $S$)

- gravitational equations in $F$ are always evolved forward using retarded Green’s function
We write
\[ g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + 2 h_{\mu\nu}, \quad e_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} h_{\rho\sigma}, \quad e^{\mu\nu} \equiv \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} e_{\alpha\beta} \]
and rewrite Einstein’s equation in de Donder gauge \( \partial^\mu e_{\mu\nu} = 0 \), as
\[ \Box e^{\mu\nu} = -8 \pi G T^{\mu\nu}(x), \quad \Box \equiv \eta^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma \quad T^{\mu\nu} \equiv T^{X\mu\nu} + T^{h\mu\nu} \]

\( T^{X\mu\nu} \): matter stress tensor

\( T^{h\mu\nu} \) captures all terms quadratic and higher order in \( h_{\rho\sigma} \) on the left hand side of Einstein’s equation.

From now on all indices will be raised and lowered by the flat metric \( \eta \) and we shall set \( c=1 \)

Note: We are not assuming weak gravity at this stage.
\( e^{\mu\nu} = -8 \pi G T^{\mu\nu} \) can be ‘solved’ as:

\[
e^{\mu\nu}(x) = -8 \pi G \int d^4y \, G_r(x, y) \, T^{\mu\nu}(y)
\]

\( G_r(x, y) \): retarded Green’s function in flat space-time

Using explicit form of \( G_r \) one finds that for large \( R \equiv |\vec{x}| \),

\[
\tilde{e}^{\mu\nu}(\omega, \vec{x}) = \frac{2 G}{R} e^{i \omega R} \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(k), \quad k = \omega (1, \hat{n}), \quad \hat{n} \equiv \vec{x}/R
\]

\[
\tilde{e}^{\mu\nu}(\omega, \vec{x}) = \int dt \, e^{i \omega t} \, e^{\mu\nu}(t, \vec{x}), \quad \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(k) \equiv \int d^4x \, e^{-i k \cdot x} \, T^{\mu\nu}(x)
\]

The memory, \( u^{-1} \) and \( u^{-2} \ln u \) terms in \( e^{\mu\nu} \) arise from \( 1/\omega, \ln \omega \) and \( \omega (\ln \omega)^2 \) terms in \( \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} \).
\[ \tilde{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu}(\omega, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{2G}{R} e^{i\omega R} \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}), \quad \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \int d^4x e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} T^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) \]

We shall divide the integration region over \( x \) into two parts:

1. Scattering region \( S \): A region of large size \( L \) around \( x=0 \).
2. Asymptotic region \( F \): Complement of \( S \)

Since our goal is to compute terms in \( \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} \) that are non-analytic as \( \omega \to 0 \), we can ignore the contribution from the finite region \( S \) in \( \int d^4x \).

In the asymptotic region, we can regard \( T^{X_{\mu\nu}} \) as due to the incoming and outgoing object trajectories, moving under each others’ long range gravitational field.
\[ T^{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \int_0^\infty d\tau \, \delta^{(4)}(x - X_i(\tau)) \frac{dX_i^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dX_i^\nu}{d\tau} \]
\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{m} m_i' \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\tau \, \delta^{(4)}(x - X'_i(\tau)) \frac{dX'_i^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dX'_i^\nu}{d\tau} + \cdots , \]

\[ T^{\mu\nu}(x) = T^{X^{\mu\nu}}(x) + T^{h^{\mu\nu}}(x) , \]

\[ \square e^{\mu\nu} = -8 \pi G T^{\mu\nu} , \]

\[ \frac{d^2 X_i^\mu}{d\tau^2} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}(X(\tau)) \frac{dX_i^\nu}{d\tau} \frac{dX_i^\rho}{d\tau} , \quad \frac{d^2 X'_i^\mu}{d\tau^2} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}(X'(\tau)) \frac{dX'_i^\nu}{d\tau} \frac{dX'_i^\rho}{d\tau} , \]

Boundary conditions:

\[ X_i^\mu(\tau = 0) = c_i^\mu, \quad \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{dX_i^\mu}{d\tau} = V_i^\mu = \frac{1}{m_i} p_i^\mu , \]

\[ X'_i^\mu(\tau = 0) = c'_i^\mu, \quad \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} \frac{dX'_i^\mu}{d\tau} = V'_i^\mu = \frac{1}{m'_i} p'_i^\mu . \]

– difference from earlier approach

Goldberger, Ridgway; Kosower, Maybee, O'Connell; ...
We solve these equations iteratively, starting with the solution:

\[ e_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad X_\mu^\mu(\tau) = c_i^\mu + V_i^\mu \tau = c_i^\mu + \frac{1}{m_i} p_i^\mu \tau, \]

\[ X_i'^\mu(\tau) = c_i'^\mu + V_i'^\mu \tau = c_i'^\mu + \frac{1}{m_i'} p_i'^\mu \tau. \]

This generates a series expansion in GM\( \omega \), possibly with corrections involving \( \ln \omega \) factors.

In order to get \( \omega^{-1} \) and \( \ln \omega \) terms, it is enough to do one iteration.

Saha, Sahoo, A.S.

For \( \omega^2 \ln \omega \) term, we need one more iteration.

Sahoo

Taking Fourier transform we recover the results quoted earlier.
Relation to soft theorem
Soft theorems give the result for an amplitude with $M$ soft gravitons in terms of the amplitude without the soft gravitons.

This is simplest in $D \geq 5$ where the $S$-matrix is free from IR divergence.

**General structure**

$$A_{\text{soft} + \text{hard}} = S_M A_{\text{hard}}$$


The classical limit is taken by taking the

- hard particles to have mass $\gg M_{\text{pl}}$, and
- by replacing the orbital and spin angular momentum operators by classical spin and orbital angular momenta of external states.
In the classical limit, the soft theorem simplifies to:

\[ A_{\text{soft+hard}} = \left\{ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} S_{\alpha} \right\} \times A_{\text{hard}} \]

with \( S_{\alpha} \) depending only on the quantum number of the \( \alpha \)-th soft particle and those of the hard particles.

We can now find the conditional probability of emitting \( M \) soft gravitons of certain quantum number for given set of ‘classical’ hard incoming and outgoing particles

\[ \frac{1}{M!} \left| S \right|^{2M} \times (\text{phase space factor})^{M} \times \left| A_{\text{hard}} \right|^{2} \]

By maximizing this with respect to \( M \), we find the classical number of soft gravitons with given quantum numbers.

This can be used to determine the (Fourier transform of the) gravitational wave-form up to a phase.
In $D \geq 5$, this formula has been tested in many examples.

Soft factor gives the gravitational wave-form without any additional phase.

However in $D=4$ there are two subtleties.

1. $S_\alpha$ depends on the angular momenta of the hard particles.

$$J^{\mu\nu}_i = x_i^{\mu} p_i^{\nu} - x_i^{\nu} p_i^{\mu} + S^{\mu\nu}_i, \quad S^{\mu\nu}_i : \text{spin}$$

Due to the long range gravitational force of the other particles, $x_i^{\mu}$ has logarithmic correction to its trajectory:

$$x_i^{\mu} = p_i^{\mu} \tau/m_i + c_i^{\mu} \ln \tau + \cdots$$

$J^{\mu\nu}_i$ diverges as $\tau \to \infty$.

We use the wave-length of the gravitational wave as an ad hoc infra-red cut-off on $\tau$. 
2. Due to long range gravitational force on the soft gravitons, they have a Coulomb phase:

\[ \exp[i \omega R] \Rightarrow \exp[i \omega \{ R - C \ln R \}] \]

We replace \( \ln R \) by \( \ln(R \omega) \) with the intuition that the Coulomb drag on a wave of wavelength \( \lambda \) acts over the distance \( \lambda \) to \( R \) – correctly captures the effect of gravitational backscattering

Peters, Blanchet, Goldberger, Ross, Rothstein, · · ·

With these two ansatz on cut-off, we get the results for the gravitational wave-form quoted earlier after taking a Fourier transform.
In D=4, the classical results from soft theorem should be regarded as conjectures rather than derivations.

Nevertheless, soft theorem leads to the correct conjectures!

More importantly, it teaches us what questions might have universal answers independent of the details of the scattering process, generalizing the memory effect.

e.g. we get universal results by asking for soft radiation for given initial and final hard particles, instead of just for given initial data.
Possible relation to Price’s theorem

– questions raised by Thibault Damour and Luc Blanchet during the workshop at AEI, Potsdam

In the original form, Price’s theorem is a statement on late time fall off of gravitational field fluctuation around a black hole at fixed radial distance.

Possible extension of this theorem to $I^+$ for massless scalars has been explored with linear perturbation and numerical techniques.  

Our results for $h_{\mu\nu}$ at large $u$ do not seem to be related to some known version of Price’s theorem at $I^+$.

We could turn this around and regard our results at large $u$ as precise version of Price’s theorem at $I^+$.  

Gundlach, Price, Pullin
For the future:

Power law decay in $u$ comes from non-analytic function in frequency space

– arise from IR divergent terms and should therefore be determined by soft physics.

1. Can we develop a systematic procedure for computing all higher order terms in the large $u$ expansion?

2. Does the magical independence of the result on the final state massless particle data continue to hold?

3. Do all such terms vanish for the black hole merger problem?