

Lie Superalgebra Cohomology: new insights from pseudoforms

Carlo Alberto Cremonini

Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics

Dec 17th, 2021

Motivations

- Construction of Lagrangians
- Higher Form Terms: Brane Scan
- Classification of Invariants
- Free Differential (super)Algebras Extensions: Lie n -Superalgebras?
- Pseudoforms
- ...

Outline

- Forms on Supermanifolds (Berezin, Bernstein, Leites, Manin, Penkov, Witten, etc.)
- Lie Algebra Cohomology (Chevalley-Eilenberg, Koszul, Hochschild-Serre, etc.)
- Lie Superalgebra Cohomology: what was known (Kac, Fuks, etc.)
- Lie Superalgebra Cohomology: what was not known

Forms on Supermanifolds

On a supermanifold \mathcal{SM} of dimension $\dim \mathcal{SM} = (m|n)$, superforms, i.e., $(\Omega^{(\bullet|0)}(\mathcal{SM}, C_{\mathbb{R}^m}^\infty[\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n]), d)$, are unbounded from above:

$$0 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{SM}}^{(0|0)} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{SM}}^{(1|0)} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{SM}}^{(m|0)} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

as a consequence of the commutation relations

$$dx^i dx^j = -dx^j dx^i, \quad dx^i d\theta^\alpha = d\theta^\alpha dx^i, \quad d\theta^\alpha d\theta^\beta = d\theta^\beta d\theta^\alpha.$$

Top form \rightarrow Berezinian space, the super-analogue of the Determinant space.

Berezinian \rightarrow integral forms:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{\mathcal{SM}}^{(0|n)} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{SM}}^{(m-1|n)} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{SM}}^{(m|n)} \xrightarrow{d} 0.$$

The top form can be realised as a generalised function:

$$\omega^{(m|n)} = \omega(x, \theta) dx^1 \dots dx^m \delta(d\theta^1) \dots \delta(d\theta^n).$$

These distributions satisfy the relations

$$\delta(d\theta^\alpha)\delta(d\theta^\beta) = -\delta(d\theta^\beta)\delta(d\theta^\alpha), \quad d\theta^\alpha\delta(d\theta^\alpha) = 0, \quad \delta(\lambda d\theta^\alpha) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\delta(d\theta^\alpha).$$

We omit the function in front of the Berezinian (we are considering trivial modules), so that we can define

$$\omega^{(m|n)} \equiv \omega_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{top}} := \mathcal{V}^1 \dots \mathcal{V}^n \delta(\psi^1) \dots \delta(\psi^m).$$

Non-zero and non-maximal number of deltas \rightarrow *pseudoforms*:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{\mathcal{SM}}^{(0|q)} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{SM}}^{(m-1|q)} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{SM}}^{(m|q)} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

These forms are related to *sub-superspaces*.

Lie Algebra Cohomology

Let the supermanifold is substituted with a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} :

$$[X_i, X_j] = f_{ij}^k X_k .$$

We can use the structure constants to define the *Maurer-Cartan differential* on forms $\Omega^p(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$dV^i = -\frac{1}{2} f_{jk}^i V^j V^k , \quad d = -\frac{1}{2} f_{jk}^i V^j V^k \iota_i ,$$

and the nilpotence $d^2 = 0$ follows from Jacobi identities.

The *Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology* groups $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ are defined as

$$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) = \{ \omega \mid d\omega = 0, \omega \neq d\eta \} .$$

Some facts:

- cohomology classes are given by scalars
- ring structure: products of classes are still classes
- Poincaré Duality: if ω^p is a class, then ω^{m-p} is a class
- Cartan Theorem: if G is a compact, connected Lie group with algebra \mathfrak{g} , its de Rham cohomology is isomorphic to the CE cohomology of the algebra

Many ways to calculate cohomology:

- brute force
- Weyl formula (localisation)
- spectral sequences (Koszul, Hochschild-Serre)

Lie Superalgebra Cohomology: what was known

The previous definitions and results hold in the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ a *Lie superalgebra*: let us denote with $\mathcal{Y}^{*A} := \{\mathcal{Y}^i | \psi^\alpha\}$ the basis of forms, we have

$$[\mathcal{Y}_B, \mathcal{Y}_C] = f_{BC}^A \mathcal{Y}_A \implies d = -\frac{1}{2} f_{BC}^A \mathcal{Y}^{*B} \wedge \mathcal{Y}^{*C} \iota_{\mathcal{Y}_A} ,$$

$$d(\omega \wedge \eta) = (d\omega) \wedge \eta + (-1)^{|\omega||\eta|} \omega \wedge (d\eta) ,$$

where now $[\cdot, \cdot]$ are *supercommutators*.

Key point: if we realise integral forms as generalised functions with their distributional rules, the differential for integral forms *is the same*.

Side remark: integral forms are used to define an invariant integration on supergroups \rightarrow *Haar Berezinian*.

Superform cohomology of classical Lie superalgebras was classified by Fuks:

Theorem

If $m \geq n$, the natural inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{gl}(m) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)_0 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) , \\ \mathfrak{sl}(m) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)_0 \subset \mathfrak{sl}(m|n) , \end{aligned}$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology with trivial coefficients.

Theorem

$$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{osp}(m|n)) = \begin{cases} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{so}(m)) , & \text{if } m \geq 2n , \\ H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sp}(n)) , & \text{if } m < 2n . \end{cases}$$

\implies a part of the bosonic sub-algebra (hence some invariants) is lost.

Let us define the “Berezinian complement” map \star as

$$\begin{aligned} \star : \Omega_{diff}^p(\mathfrak{g}) &\rightarrow \Omega_{int}^{m-p}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \omega &\mapsto \star\omega^{(p)} = (\star\omega)^{(m-p)} := \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \iota_{\gamma_{A_i}} \right) \omega_{\mathfrak{g}}^{top}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\prod_{i=1}^p \iota_{\gamma_{A_i}} \right) \omega = 1$. This map induces a cohomology isomorphism:

$$\star : H_{diff}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{int}^{m-\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The proof of the isomorphism relies on the existence of a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} , hence it holds e.g. for “basic Lie superalgebras”.

R.Catenacci, CAC, P.A.Grassi, S.Noja

Lie Superalgebra Cohomology: what was not known

Our claim is about “lost” invariants: they are smeared in the other form complexes.

Example

$\mathfrak{u}(1) \times \mathfrak{u}(1)$ vs $\mathfrak{u}(1|1)$

$$\begin{aligned} dU = 0, dW = 0 & \iff dU = 0, dW = \psi^+ \psi^- \\ H^1(\mathfrak{u}(1) \times \mathfrak{u}(1)) = \{U, W\} & \iff H^{(1|0)}(\mathfrak{u}(1|1)) = \{U\} \end{aligned}$$

BUT

$$dW \delta(\psi^+) \delta(\psi^-) = 0 \rightarrow H^{(1|2)}(\mathfrak{u}(1|1)) = \{W \delta(\psi^+) \delta(\psi^-)\}$$

The “lost” abelian factor is found among integral forms!

In general, we expect to find invariants in all form complexes \rightarrow we need a way to calculate pseudoform cohomology classes.

Problem: pseudoforms are not well defined objects.

Example

$$\psi^1 \mapsto \psi^1 + \psi^2 \implies \delta(\psi^1) \mapsto \delta(\psi^1 + \psi^2) = \delta(\psi^1) + \psi^2 \delta'(\psi^1) + \frac{1}{2} \delta''(\psi^1) + \dots$$

Remark: this problem does not emerge for integral forms.

Intuition: pseudoforms seem to be related to *sub-superspaces*.

Spectral Sequences

Idea: reconstruct the cohomology of a Lie (super)algebra starting from the (eventually known) cohomology of substructure.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{k} , \quad \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} , \quad \mathfrak{h} \text{ sub-algebra.}$$

$$[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h} , \quad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{k} , \quad [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{k} .$$

The idea is to calculate the cohomology via *approximations*: split the differential d as

$$d = d_0 + d_1 + d_2 + \dots$$

then, calculate the cohomology w.r.t. d_0 , then d_1 , then d_2 etc., up to *convergence*.

Theorem (Koszul, Hochschild-Serre)

$$\mathcal{E}_2 = H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes H^\bullet(\mathfrak{k}) .$$

Key point: we can use the same technique, but *for each picture number*.

1st step: generalisation.

In the bosonic setting, forms are built starting from 1, then multiplying by dx , up to the volume form. In the super setting, we have two inequivalent ways to construct forms: start from 1, then multiply by $dx, d\theta$ or start from ω^{top} , then act with contractions.

$$\implies \mathcal{E}_p = E_p \oplus \tilde{E}_p .$$

2nd step: idea.

Choose as sub-algebra \mathfrak{h} a *sub-superalgebra* \implies Pseudoforms are introduced as *integral forms of sub-structures* $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k})$.

Remark 1: these are *well-defined objects*.

Remark 2: easy to calculate \rightarrow superforms are known, integral forms are obtained from Berezinian complement map \star .

3rd step: calculation.

Theorem

$$\mathcal{E}_2 = H^{\bullet|q}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes H^{\bullet|0}(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus H^{\bullet|0}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes H^{\bullet|q}(\mathfrak{k}) .$$

Remark 1: if one restricts to “known” sectors of superforms or integral forms \rightarrow correct result.

Remark 2: if one restricts to bosonic algebras, \mathcal{E}_2 simplifies to the one by KHS.

Result:

- non-trivial extension of bosonic theorems
- completion of cohomology to every picture number
- “lost” invariants are found

Side result:

- pseudoforms are introduced rigorously, independently from their distributional realisation \rightarrow hint to correctly define pseudoforms in a more general context

Example ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(2|2)$)

4 bosons, 4 fermions

$$H^{(\bullet|0)}(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)) = H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sp}(2)) = \{1, \omega^{(3)}\} .$$

The abelian factor $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ is the lost part. We can choose

$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$, 3 bosons, 2 fermions \implies picture 2 integral forms;

$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, 1 boson, 2 fermions \implies picture 2 integral forms.

One finds

$$H^{(\bullet|2)}(\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)) = \{\omega^{(0|2)}, \omega^{(1|2)}, \omega^{(3|2)}, \omega^{(4|2)}\} ,$$

$\omega^{(1|2)}$ encodes the abelian factor.

There are other ways to approach the problem:

- brute force; but pseudoforms live in infinite dimensional spaces, computations may be arbitrarily difficult
- Molien-Weyl integral: it is possible to extend the bosonic formula to the super setting. Pseudoforms are not standard representations \rightarrow infinite-dimensional representations \rightarrow extremely rich, almost unexplored land

The best way is to complement every method with the other, to have a complete understanding of the problems and the results.

General Philosophy

- new point of view to approach old problems, e.g., self-duality in Physics \implies possibility of introducing new objects, fields, pairings etc.
- generation of new problems and generalisation of known results, constructions, e.g., FDA, Lie- n theory etc.