From Inflation to the Physics of Sound (One year at GGI)

Author: Rollo Rocco

17-th December 2021

 Publication: Primordial Non-Gaussianity in Supersolid Inflation, arXiv:2103.10402 (Celoria, Comelli, Pilo and Rollo)



 Publication: Primordial Non-Gaussianity in Supersolid Inflation, arXiv:2103.10402 (Celoria, Comelli, Pilo and Rollo)

Seminar: Cortona Young 11/06/2021

«The fate of long modes in Cosmology»



 Publication: Primordial Non-Gaussianity in Supersolid Inflation, arXiv:2103.10402 (Celoria, Comelli, Pilo and Rollo)

Seminar: Cortona Young 11/06/2021

«The fate of long modes in Cosmology»

• A funny experiment: Organizer of Th-LYR (https://www.ggi.infn.it/journal.pl)



 Publication: Primordial Non-Gaussianity in Supersolid Inflation, arXiv:2103.10402 (Celoria, Comelli, Pilo and Rollo)

Seminar: Cortona Young 11/06/2021

«The fate of long modes in Cosmology»

- A funny experiment: Organizer of Th-LYR (https://www.ggi.infn.it/journal.pl)
- Thesis Supervisor: Giovanni Battista Carollo, student at the University of Padova. Thesis: Wandering in the cosmological consistency relations;





• PART I:

Argument: A controversial issue concerning NG in single-field Inflation; Group: Bartolo, Carollo, Matarrese, Pilo, Rollo.



• PART I:

Argument: A controversial issue concerning NG in single-field Inflation; Group: Bartolo, Carollo, Matarrese, Pilo, Rollo.

• PART II:

Argument: EFT of Inflation- Signatures from Inflation; Group: Comelli, Di Giambattista, Pilo, Rollo.



• PART I:

Argument: A controversial issue concerning NG in single-field Inflation; Group: Bartolo, Carollo, Matarrese, Pilo, Rollo.

• PART II:

Argument: EFT of Inflation- Signatures from Inflation;

Group: Comelli, Di Giambattista, Pilo, Rollo.

• PART III:

Argument: The Physics of Sound; Group: Filipponi, Rollo, Trimarelli.



• What can we measure in Cosmology?



• What can we measure in Cosmology?

 $<\delta T(x_1)\ldots\delta T(x_N)>$





• What can we measure in Cosmology?

 $<\delta T(x_1) \dots \delta T(x_N) >$ $<\delta n_g(x_1) \dots \delta n_g(x_N) >$





• What can we measure in Cosmology?

 $< \delta T(x_1) \dots \delta T(x_N) >$ $< \delta n_g(x_1) \dots \delta n_g(x_N) >$ $< h(x_1) \dots h(x_N) >$





• What can we measure in Cosmology?

 $<\delta T(x_1) \dots \delta T(x_N) >$ $<\delta n_g(x_1) \dots \delta n_g(x_N) >$ $<h(x_1) \dots h(x_N) >$ Today

<u>The Early Universe:</u> <u>INFLATION</u>



• What can we measure in Cosmology?

 $<\phi^{l}(x_{1})\ldots\phi^{m}(x_{N})>, \qquad m=1,2,\ldots$ $< h_{p(rimordial)}(x_{1})\ldots h_{p}(x_{N})>$

 $< \delta T(x_1) \dots \delta T(x_N) >$ $< \delta n_g(x_1) \dots \delta n_g(x_N) >$ $< h(x_1) \dots h(x_N) >$ <u>Today</u>

The Early Universe:



• What can we measure in Cosmology?

$$<\phi^{l}(x_{1})\ldots\phi^{m}(x_{N})>, \qquad m=1,2,\ldots$$
$$< h_{p(rimordial)}(x_{1})\ldots h_{p}(x_{N})>$$

 $<\delta T(x_1) \dots \delta T(x_N) >$ $<\delta n_g(x_1) \dots \delta n_g(x_N) >$ $<h(x_1) \dots h(x_N) >$

A particular focus on N=3: Non-Gaussianity

 $<\Phi_{k_1}\Phi_{k_2}>=(2\pi)^3 P(k_1)\,\delta^{(3)}(k_1+k_2), \qquad \Phi:\text{Newtonian Potential};$ $<\Phi_{k_1}\Phi_{k_2}\Phi_{k_3}>=(2\pi)^3 B(k_1,k_2,k_3)\,\delta^{(3)}(k_1+k_2+k_3)$ $B(k_1,k_2,k_3)\Big|_{k_1\ll k_2\approx k_3}\to \frac{5}{6}\,f_{NL}\,P(k_1)\,P(k_2)$

Long PS	Short
---------	-------

PS



• What can we measure in Cosmology?

$$<\phi^{l}(x_{1})\ldots\phi^{m}(x_{N})>, \qquad m=1,2,\ldots$$
$$< h_{p(rimordial)}(x_{1})\ldots h_{p}(x_{N})>$$

 $< \delta T(x_1) \dots \delta T(x_N) >$ $< \delta n_g(x_1) \dots \delta n_g(x_N) >$ $< h(x_1) \dots h(x_N) >$

A particular focus on N=3: Non-Gaussianity

 $< \Phi_{k_1} \Phi_{k_2} > = (2 \pi)^3 P(k_1) \, \delta^{(3)}(k_1 + k_2), \qquad \Phi: \text{Newtonian Potential};$ $< \Phi_{k_1} \Phi_{k_2} \Phi_{k_3} > = (2 \pi)^3 B(k_1, k_2, k_3) \, \delta^{(3)}(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)$ $B(k_1, k_2, k_3) \Big|_{k_1 \ll k_2 \approx k_3} \rightarrow \frac{5}{6} \, f_{NL} \, P(k_1) \, P(k_2)$ MODEL DEPENDENT!



Single field Inflation prediction:

$$f_{NL} = -\frac{5}{12}(n_s - 1);$$

 $P_{\Phi}(k) k^3 \propto k^{n_s-1}$

arXiv: 0209156 arXiv: 0210603



Single field Inflation prediction:

$$f_{NL} = -\frac{5}{12}(n_s - 1);$$

$$P_{\Phi}(k) \ k^3 \propto k^{n_s - 1}$$

arXiv: 0209156 arXiv: 0210603

- Gravitons, Graviton-Scalars correlators

ттт	$< h_{k_L}^{(s)} h_{k_S}^{(r)} h_{k_S}^{(o)} >$	$f_{TTT} = \frac{B_{TTT}}{P_h(k_L)P_h(k_S)}$	$\sim O(\epsilon^0) \varepsilon^s_{ij}(k_L) k^i_S k^j_S$
TTS	$< h_{k_S}^{(s)} h_{k_S}^{(r)} \Phi_{k_L} >$	$f_{TTS} = \frac{B_{TTS}}{P_h(k_S)P_{\Phi}(k_L)}$	$\sim O(\epsilon) \varepsilon_{ij}^s(k_S) \varepsilon_{ij}^p(k_S)$
TSS	$< h_{k_L}^{(s)} \Phi_{k_S} \Phi_{k_S} >$	$f_{TSS} = \frac{B_{TSS}}{P_h(k_L)P_{\Phi}(k_S)}$	$\sim O(\epsilon) \varepsilon_{ij}^{s}(k_L) k_S^{i} k_S^{j}$



• The common lore in the literature:

$$x^i \to x^{i'} = \lambda x^i + \omega^i_j x^j$$

• The common lore in the literature:

$$x^{i} \rightarrow x^{i'} = \lambda x^{i} + \omega_{j}^{i} x^{j}$$

$$\Phi' = \Phi - \lambda$$

$$h'_{ij} = h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji})$$



The common lore in the literature:





The common lore in the literature:





The common lore in the literature:

 $\begin{aligned}
x^{i} \rightarrow x^{i'} &= \lambda x^{i} + \omega_{j}^{i} x^{j} \\
x^{i} \rightarrow x^{i'} &= \lambda x^{i} + \omega_{j}^{i} x^{j} \\
arX \\
arX \\
arX \\
arX \\
arX \\
arX \\
FE \\
h_{ij}^{i} &= h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji}) \\
& P_{\Phi}(k \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0 \\
& P_{h}(k \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0 \\
& f_{NL} \rightarrow 0 \\
& f_{T..} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$

arXiv:1612.00033 arXiv:1305.0824 arXiv:1502.02011 arXiv:1504.00351 FERMI COORDINATES



 $x^i \rightarrow x^{i'} = \lambda x^i + \omega^i_j x^j$

The common lore in the literature:

arXiv:1612.00033 arXiv:1305.0824 arXiv:1502.02011 arXiv:1504.00351

FERMI COORDINATES

• Our first publication (07/2020) arXiv:2007.08877 $x^i \rightarrow x^{i'} = \lambda(x) x^i + \omega_i^i(x) x^j$

 $\Phi' = \Phi - \lambda$ $h'_{ij} = h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji})$ $P_{\Phi}(k \to 0) \to 0$ $f_{NL} \to 0$ $f_{T..} \to 0$



 $x^i \to x^{i'} = \lambda x^i + \omega^i_j x^j$

 $x^i \rightarrow x^{i'} = \lambda(x) x^i + \omega_i^i(x) x^j$

The common lore in the literature:

 $\Phi' = \Phi$

arXiv:1612.00033 arXiv:1305.0824 arXiv:1502.02011 arXiv:1504.00351

FERMI COORDINATES

 $\Phi' = \Phi - \lambda$ $h'_{ij} = h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji}) \longrightarrow P_{\Phi}(k \to 0) \to 0 \longrightarrow f_{NL} \to 0$ $P_{h}(k \to 0) \to 0 \longrightarrow f_{T..} \to 0$ $F_{T..} \to 0$ $F_{T..} \to 0$ $F_{T..} \to 0$

 $x^i \rightarrow x^{i'} = \lambda x^i + \omega_j^i x^j$

The common lore in the literature:

arXiv:1612.00033 arXiv:1305.0824 arXiv:1502.02011 arXiv:1504.00351

FERMI COORDINATES

 $\Phi' = \Phi - \lambda$ $h'_{ij} = h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji})$ $P_{\Phi}(k \to 0) \to 0$ $f_{NL} \to 0$ $f_{T..} \to 0$ $F_{T..} \to 0$ $F_{T..} \to 0$



 $x^i \rightarrow x^{i'} = \lambda x^i + \omega^i_j x^j$

The common lore in the literature:

arXiv:1612.00033 arXiv:1305.0824 arXiv:1502.02011 arXiv:1504.00351

FERMI COORDINATES

 $\Phi' = \Phi - \lambda$ $h'_{ij} = h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji}) \longrightarrow P_{\Phi}(k \to 0) \to 0 \longrightarrow f_{NL} \to 0$ $P_{h}(k \to 0) \to 0 \longrightarrow f_{T..} \to 0$ • Our first publication (07/2020) arXiv:2007.08877

 $x^i \rightarrow x^{i'} = \lambda(x) x^i + \omega^i(x) x^j$



 $x^i \to x^{i'} = \lambda x^i + \omega_j^i x^j$

The common lore in the literature:

arXiv:1612.00033 arXiv:1305.0824 arXiv:1502.02011 arXiv:1504.00351

FERMI COORDINATES

Our first publication (07/2020) arXiv:2007.08877

 $\Phi' = \Phi - \lambda$ $h'_{ij} = h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji}) \qquad \qquad P_{\Phi}(k \to 0) \to 0$ $P_{h}(k \to 0) \to 0$

IN PROGRESS !

 $\begin{array}{c} & f_{NL} \to 0 \\ & f_{T..} \to 0 \end{array}$

 $x^i \rightarrow x^{i'} = \lambda(x) x^i + \omega_i^i(x) x^j$



• The (spontaneus) breaking pattern:

$$\phi^{0} = \varphi(t) + \pi_{0}$$

$$\phi^{l} = x^{l} + \partial_{l} \pi_{L}$$
SPACE - TIME diff. SHIFT SYM. AND SO(3) ROT.

The (spontaneus) breaking pattern:

 $\phi^{0} = \varphi(t) + \pi_{0}$ $\phi^{l} = x^{l} + \partial_{l} \pi_{L}$ SPACE - TIME diff. SHIFT SYM. AND SO(3) ROT.

• Media classification: $L = L(\vartheta(\pi_0), \vartheta(\pi_L), \vartheta(\pi_0, \pi_L))$

$$\phi^0 = \varphi(t) + \pi_0 \longrightarrow \text{FLUID}$$



• The (spontaneus) breaking pattern:

$$\phi^{0} = \varphi(t) + \pi_{0}$$

$$\phi^{l} = x^{l} + \partial_{l} \pi_{L}$$
SPACE - TIME diff. SHIFT SYM. AND SO(3) ROT.

• Media classification: $L = L(\vartheta(\pi_0), \vartheta(\pi_L), \vartheta(\pi_0, \pi_L))$

$$\phi^{0} = \varphi(t) + \pi_{0} \longrightarrow \text{FLUID}$$

$$\phi^{l} = x^{l} + \partial_{l} \pi_{L} \longrightarrow \text{SOLID}$$



The (spontaneus) breaking pattern:

 $\phi^{0} = \varphi(t) + \pi_{0}$ $\phi^{l} = x^{l} + \partial_{l} \pi_{L}$ SPACE - TIME diff. SHIFT SYM. AND SO(3) ROT.

• Media classification: $L = L(\vartheta(\pi_0), \vartheta(\pi_L), \vartheta(\pi_0, \pi_L))$

$$\phi^{0} = \varphi(t) + \pi_{0} \longrightarrow FLUID$$

$$\phi^{l} = x^{l} + \partial_{l} \pi_{L} \longrightarrow SOLID$$

$$SUPERSOLID$$

ArXiv: 1704.00322 ArXiv: 1605.05304



Part II: Beyond single-field

Searching for a different signature:

-1) $\Omega_{GW} \propto P_h(k) k^3 \propto k^{n_T - 1}$





Part II: Beyond single-field

Searching for a different signature:

- -1) $\Omega_{GW} \propto P_h(k) k^3 \propto k^{n_T 1}$
- -2) What about primordial NG?
 - f_{NL} is inside CMB constraints,
 - f_{TTT} , f_{TSS} , f_{TTS} enhancement





Part II: Beyond single-field

Searching for a different signature:

- -1) $\Omega_{GW} \propto P_h(k) k^3 \propto k^{n_T 1}$
- -2) What about primordial NG?
 - f_{NL} is inside CMB constraints,
 - f_{TTT} , f_{TSS} , f_{TTS} enhancement

These are the points we stressed in arXiv:2010.02023 and arXiv:2103.10402.


Part II: The next step

• Interactions that give a boosted f_T :

$$H_{I} = g a^{n} D(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}) \begin{cases} h_{k_{1}} \pi_{k_{2}} \pi_{k_{3}} \\ h_{k_{1}} h_{k_{2}} \pi_{k_{3}} \end{cases}$$

Part II: The next step

Interactions that give a boosted f_T:

$$H_{I} = g a^{n} D(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}) \begin{cases} h_{k_{1}} \pi_{k_{2}} \pi_{k_{3}} \\ h_{k_{1}} h_{k_{2}} \pi_{k_{3}} \end{cases}$$

• A source of loop-corrections:

-Dyson formula expansion

$$< h(t)^{2} > = < 0 | \left(e^{-i \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt' H_{I}(t')} \right)^{+} h(t)^{2} e^{-i \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt' H_{I}(t')} | 0 >$$

$$h_{ij}^{\prime\prime} - \frac{2}{t} h_{ij}^{\prime} + k^{2} h_{ij} = \int dq T_{ij}^{lm} g D(k,q,k-q) \pi_{q} \pi_{k-q}$$

-Results on ArXiv ASAP ;)



• How can we classify musical instruments?



• How can we classify musical instruments?

Fixed the spatial dimension D we have two classes:

- 1) -Instruments under tension
- 2) -Elastic instruments



• How can we classify musical instruments?

Fixed the spatial dimension D we have two classes:

- 1) -Instruments under tension
- 2) -Elastic instruments
- The simplest case D=1:
 - 1) -Instruments under tension: The string

(guitar, violin, viola, piano etc.)



• How can we classify musical instruments?

Fixed the spatial dimension D we have two classes:

- 1) -Instruments under tension
- 2) -Elastic instruments
- The simplest case D=1:
 - 1) -Instruments under tension: The string

(guitar, violin, viola, piano etc.)

$$\omega_n = n \, \omega_0, \qquad \omega_0 \propto \frac{1}{L}$$



• The simplest case D=1:

2) -Elastic instruments: The xilophone, marimba bars, the metal rod of a

bridge ..





• The simplest case D=1:

2) -Elastic instruments: The xilophone, marimba bars, the metal rod of a

bridge ..







• The simplest case D=1:

2) -Elastic instruments: The xilophone, marimba bars, the metal rod of a bridge ..





• The simplest case D=1:

2) -Elastic instruments: The xilophone, marimba bars, the metal rod of a bridge ..





• The simplest case D=1:

2) -Elastic instruments: The xilophone, marimba bars, the metal rod of a bridge ..



• The simplest case D=1:

2) -Elastic instruments: The xilophone, marimba bars, the metal rod of a





• The simplest case D=1:

2) -Elastic instruments: The xilophone, marimba bars, the metal rod of a





- Even more involved.. D=2:
 - 1) -Instruments under tension: the drum!





• Even more involved.. D=2:

1) -Instruments under tension: the drum!

$$z = R(r) \Phi(\theta) T(t)$$

$$R(r) \propto J_m \left(\frac{\omega_n}{v} r\right)$$
NOT HAP

NOT HARMONIC !!!



Z• Even more involved.. D=2: 1) -Instruments under tension: the drum! $z = R(r) \Phi(\theta) T(t)$ NOT HARMONIC !!! $R(r) \propto J_m\left(\frac{\omega_n}{n} r\right)$ 1.0 $\epsilon = \frac{R}{\omega_n v} \left| \frac{\omega_n R}{v} - \left[n \pi + \frac{\pi}{2} \left(m - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \right|$ 0.8 0.6 -m=3 0.4 High freq. Approx. -m=2 0.2 m=0 -m=1 2 10 n



• Even more involved.. D=2:

1) -Instruments under tension: the drum!

$$z = R(r) \Phi(\theta) T(t)$$
$$R(r) \propto J_m \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\nu} r\right)$$

NOT HARMONIC !!!



2) -Elastic object: Metallic gong!

IN PROGRESS !!!



Projects:

- A pedagogical paper: Teaching Quantum Mechanics through music



- A paper more focused on the physics of sound:

- Solving some experimental discrepancies for the drum;
- Solving the gong sound spectrum.



Thank you!!!



Backup slides



Recall of Cosmology

- Cosmological principle: Our Universe results to be homogeneous and Isotropic at sufficiently large scales.
- <u>Idea:</u> Homogeneous and isotropic background+ small perturbations

-Background
$$ds^2 = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \frac{\delta_{ij}}{(1 - x^2 \chi)^2} dx^i dx^j$$

-Perturbations
$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(t) + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(t,x)...$$

$$g_{00} = -e^{2\Psi}$$
, $g_{0i} = a \partial_i F$, $g_{ij} = a^2 \left[e^{\frac{2\Phi}{\Phi}} \delta_{ij} + \partial_{ij} E + \partial_j C_i + \partial_i C_j + h_{ij} \right]$;

-Curvature perturbations:

$$R = -\Phi + H v, \qquad \zeta = -\Phi + H \frac{\delta \rho}{\partial_t \rho}, \dots$$



Statistical properties of Cosmological Perturbations

• At the linear level we have no phase-correlation among different modes...

<u>Gaussianity</u>

$$< R(x_1)..R(x_{2n}) > = \sum_{Perm. Pairs} \prod_{Pairs} < R(x_i) R(x_j) >,$$

 $< R(x_1)..R(x_{2n+1}) > = 0.$

• At the non-linear level we get phase-correlation: <u>Non-Gaussianity</u>

$$< R(x_1) ... R(x_{2n+1}) > \neq 0.$$

 $< R(x_1) ... R(x_{2n}) > - < R(x_1) ... R(x_{2n}) > \Big|_G \neq$

0.



Single-field Inflation

г

1

A

Action:
$$S = M_{pl}^2 \int dx^4 \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + V(\phi) \right]$$

• SR limit:
$$\epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \ll 1 \rightarrow p \approx -\rho, \qquad \eta = \frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{\epsilon H} \ll 1,$$

Scalar PS
$$\left(\frac{q}{H} \ll 1\right)$$
: $< R_p R_q > = 4 \pi q^3 \mathcal{P}_R(q) \delta^{(3)}(q+p),$
 $\mathcal{P}_R(q) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(q) = \cdots; \quad \mathcal{P}(q) = \mathcal{P}_{SF} q^{n_s-1} \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{SF} = \frac{H^2}{8\pi^2 M_{pl}^2 \epsilon} & (2.4 \ 10^{-9}), \\ n_s - 1 = -2\epsilon - \eta & (0.9652). \end{cases}$



Tensor PS $\left(\frac{q}{H} \ll 1\right)$: $h_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{ij}^{(s)} h_k^{(s)}$, $< h_p^{(s)} h_q^{(r)} > = 4 \,\delta_s^r \,\pi \left[q^3 \mathcal{P}_{\rm h}(q)\right] \delta^{(3)}(q+p)$,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{h}(q) = r \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{SF} \, q^{n_{T}-1} \begin{cases} r = 8 \, \epsilon \ (\leq 0.06), \\ n_{T} - 1 = -2\epsilon \ (\pm 0.6). \end{cases}$$



Single-field Inflation NG

Scalar 3-point functions:

$$< R_{k}R_{p}R_{q} >= (2\pi)^{3}B_{R}(k,p,q) \ \delta^{(3)}(k+q+p),$$
$$B_{R} \sim f_{NL}\frac{5}{6} \left(P_{R}(k)P_{R}(q) + P_{R}(p)P_{R}(q) + P_{R}(k)P_{R}(p) \right)$$

Validity of the consistency relation

$$B_R(k_1 = k_L \ll k_2 \sim k_3) = -\frac{1}{2}(n_s - 1)P_R(k_L)P_R(k_S),$$

equilateral,

$$f_{NL}=-4+/-45$$

 $f_{NL}=-26+/-21$
 $f_{NL}=-0.8+/-5$
 $f_{NL}=-0.8+/-5$

$$f_{NL}^{sq} = -\frac{5}{12}(n_s - 1)$$

Gravitons, Graviton-Scalars correlators:

TTT<
$$h_p^{(s)} h_q^{(r)} h_k^{(o)} >$$
 $f_{TTT} = \frac{B_{TTT}}{P_h(p)P_h(k)}$ **SQ:** 300 ± 200 **TTS**< $h_p^{(s)} h_q^{(r)} \Phi_k >$ $f_{TTS} = \frac{B_{TTS}}{P_h(p)P_{\Phi}(k)}$??**TSS**< $h_p^{(s)} \Phi_q \Phi_k >$ $f_{TSS} = \frac{B_{TSS}}{P_h(p)P_{\Phi}(k)}$ **SQ:** 90 ± 40



 f_{NL} detection...

•
$$< \frac{\delta T}{T} \frac{\delta T}{T} \frac{\delta T}{T} >$$
 Improving CMB (LiteBIRD) ...

- Galaxy clustering.. The scale dependent halo bias

$$\delta g = \frac{n_g(x,z) - \bar{n}_g(z)}{\bar{n}_g(z)} \sim b_0 \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho}$$

$$\delta g \sim \left(b_0 + (\dots) \frac{f_{\delta}^{sq}}{k^2} [a] + (\dots) f_{TSS}^{sq} \varepsilon_{ij}^{(s)} \frac{k^i k^j}{k^2} [b,c] \right) \delta$$

Detection of GWs background [d] and local non-linear corrections of tensor PS [e][f]

$$\Omega_{GW} \sim k^{n_T - 1} \qquad < h^2 > \Big|_{\text{Non-Lin.}} \sim [1 + (...) R_L f_{TTS}^{sq}] < h^2 >$$

[a] Verde, Matarrese, 2009. Detectability of the effect of Inflationary non-Gaussianity on halo bias

[b] Jeong, Kamionkowski 2012. Clustering Fossils frome the Early-Universe.

[c] Akhshik, 2015. Clustering fossils in Solid Inflation

- [d]: Bartolo et al., 2016. Probing inflation with gravitational waves.
- [e]: Malhotra, Dimastrogiovani, Fasiello, Shiraishi, 2020. Cross-correlations as a Diagnostic Tool For PGWs.

[f]: Adshead et al., 2020. Multimessanger Cosmology: Correlating CMB and SGWB measurements.



k=o world

• **FUNDAMENTAL** : Let us apply a dilatation, we can impose a gauge redundancy!

• For instance:

Initial gauge: comoving E=v=0

$$x^i \rightarrow e^{\lambda} x^i$$

Final gauge: comoving
 $g_{00} = -e^{2\Psi}$, $g_{0i} = a \partial_i F$, $g_{ij} = a^2 e^{-2R} \delta_{ij}$.



k=o world

• Redundancy: $\Delta E = E'(x) - E(x) = 0, \quad \Delta v = v'(x) - v(x) = 0,$ $\Delta g_{ij} = (e^{-2\lambda} - 1)\delta_{ij} \approx -2 a^2 \lambda \delta_{ij}, \quad \delta R_{\lambda} = \lambda.$

• Intuitive argument: $ds^{(3)} = 2 a^2 e^{2R} dx^i dx^j \rightarrow 2 e^{2\lambda} a^2 e^{-2(R+\lambda)} dx^i dx^j$,

Local scale factor a'

• Formally: Sym. Breaking pattern $so(4,1) \rightarrow rotations + translations$.

Applications: -The Weinberg Theorem;

-The Consistency Relation.



The Consistency Relation

[g]: Creminelli, Norena, Simonovich. Conformal consistency relation for single-field inflation. 2012.[h]: Hui et al. An Infinite Set of Ward Identities for Adiabatic Modes in Cosmology. 2014.[i]: Hui et al. Conformal Symmetries Adiabatic Modes in Cosmology. 2012.

Single-field: Spontaneous breaking of so(4,1) global symmetries [g][h][i]

de Sitter: so(4,1) \rightarrow rotations + translations.

- 1) Dilatation is a symmetry non-linearly realized. This implies a Norther current and charge: $Q = \int dx^3 \{P_R, \delta R_\lambda\};$
- 2) Using Ward identities, one can extract the consistency relation[q]:

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \langle R_k R_{k_1} R_{k_2} \rangle = \frac{5}{12} P(k) \begin{bmatrix} 3 + \sum_{a=1}^2 k_a \partial_{k_a} \end{bmatrix} \langle R_{k_1} R_{k_2} \rangle$$
3) Applying a second dilatation:

$$R_k \to R_k - \lambda = 0$$



Deformed dilatation

• A standard gauge transformation: $\Delta g_{ij} = -a^2 [2\lambda \delta_{ij} + x^j \partial_i \lambda + x^i \partial_j \lambda]$ Instead of $\Delta g_{ij} = -a^2 [2\lambda \delta_{ij}]$...

Basic element

$$x^{i}\partial_{j}\lambda = \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\int dk^{3} e^{ikx}\partial_{k}(k^{j}\lambda_{k}) + BT. \longrightarrow \lambda_{k}$$

Final result

$$\Delta g_{ij} = 2a^2 \frac{k^i k^j}{k} \partial_k \lambda_k$$

$$\Delta R_k = 0,$$

$$\Delta E_k = \frac{-2}{k} \partial_k \lambda_k$$
A gauge change!

A DISCONTINUITY IN THE GRADIENT EXPANSION!



Non-linear deformed dilatation: $\Delta B_R = 0$?

We give two independent demonstrations:

-1) Using the in-in formalism;

-2) Using field redefinitions.

 $\Rightarrow \Delta B = < R'(x)^3 > - < R(x)^3 > \equiv \mathbf{BT} = \mathbf{0}.$

Goal: We solved the halo bias scale dependence [l][m]

Such effects are physical and observable in principle by future high-sensitivity experiments!

[I]: Cabass, Pajer, Schmidt, 2018. Imprints of oscillatory Bispectra on Galaxy Clustering.[m]: de Putter, Dorè, Green, 2015. Is there scale-dependent bias in single-field inflation?



Non-linear deformed dilatation: $\Delta B_R = 0$?

In-In Formalism demonstration:

$$< O_{k_{1}}O_{k_{2}}O_{k_{3}} >= < 0 | \left(e^{-i \int_{t_{0}(1+i\epsilon)}^{t} dt' H_{I}(t')} \right)^{+} O_{k_{1}}O_{k_{2}}O_{k_{3}} e^{-i \int_{t_{0}(1+i\epsilon)}^{t} dt' H_{I}(t')} | 0 >$$
$$\approx -i \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt_{1} < 0 | \left[H_{I}(t_{1}), O_{k_{1}}O_{k_{2}}O_{k_{3}} \Big|_{t} \right] | 0 >$$

1.) O_{k_j} operators are first order in perturbation theory, they are gauge invariant (we have the extension for GWS)!

2.) After boring manipulations $H' = H + \int d^3 x \, \partial_i \left[S(x) \left(\lambda \, x^i + \omega_i^i \, x^j \right) \right]$

$$< O_{k_1}O_{k_2}O_{k_3} > ' = < O_{k_1}O_{k_2}O_{k_3} > +BT.$$



EFT of Inflation

- Single-field inflationary models: time diff. Breaking $\tau \rightarrow \tau + \pi^0(x, \tau)$,
- Solid inflation: spatial diff. Breaking $x^i \rightarrow x^i + \pi^i(x, \tau)$,
- Let us break both : Supersolid inflation

$$\Phi^{0} = \varphi^{0}(\tau) + \pi^{0}(x,\tau), \qquad \qquad \text{Two scalar DoF}$$

$$\Phi^{i} = x^{i} + \partial^{i}\pi_{L}(x,\tau) + \pi^{i}_{T}(x,\tau), \qquad \qquad \text{Vector}$$

Global symmetries: 1.) $\Phi^{\mu} \rightarrow \Phi^{\mu} + C^{\mu}$ 2.) $\Phi^{l} \rightarrow R_{j}^{l} \Phi^{j}$



EFT of Inflation

• Basic operators: $C^{AB} = g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\Phi^{A}\partial_{\nu}\Phi^{A}$, $B^{Im} = g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\Phi^{I}\partial_{\nu}\Phi^{m}$, $W^{\mathrm{lm}} = \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{lm}} + \frac{C^{0l}C^{0m}}{C^{00}}$ • Lagrangian: $S = \frac{M_{pl}^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} U(b, y, \chi, \tau_Y, \tau_Z, w_Y, w_Z),$ $b = \sqrt{Det[B]}, \qquad y = u^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \Phi^{0}, \qquad \chi = \sqrt{-C^{00}}, \qquad \tau_{Y} = \frac{Tr[B^{2}]}{Tr[B]^{2}}, \qquad \tau_{Z} = \frac{Tr[B^{3}]}{Tr[B]^{3}}, \qquad w_{Y} = \frac{Tr[W^{2}]}{Tr[W]^{2}}, \qquad w_{Z} = \frac{Tr[W^{3}]}{Tr[W]^{3}},$ π_0 -dependent π^i -dependent (π_0, π^i) -dependent



Effective media and symmetries

Symmetries imply a medium-classification

Fluids: U(b, y), invariance under VsDiff. and $\Phi^0 \rightarrow \Phi^0 + f(\Phi^a)$,

S-Fluids: $U(b, y, \chi)$, invariance under VsDiff. (entropy prop.)

Solids: $U(b, y, \tau_Y, \tau_Z)$, the most general Lag. with only Φ^l present

S-Solids: $U(b, y, \chi, \tau_Y, \tau_Z, w_Y, w_Z)$, the most general Lag. with 2 sclar DoF (entropy prop.)

 $T_{ij} = p g_{ij} + (\rho + p) u_i u_j + \alpha \partial_{ij} \pi_L$



In-In formalism and Gws backreaction

In-In formalism and De Sitter:

$$< h(t)^{2} > = < 0 | \left(e^{-i \int_{t_{0}(1+i\epsilon)}^{t} dt' H_{I}(t')} \right)^{+} h(t)^{2} e^{-i \int_{t_{0}(1+i\epsilon)}^{t} dt' H_{I}(t')} | 0 >$$

$$\sim i^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt_2 \int_{t_0}^{t_2} dt_1 \left[H_I(t_1), [H_I(t_2), h(t)^2] \right]$$

- Gws backreaction: -1) You need the commutators structure for the matching;
 - -2) Finite terms at infinity never taken into account;
 - -3) A pure quantum term is missing;
 - -4) Spurious divergencies.



Euler and Timoshenko rod

• String equation: $[\partial_t^2 + c^2 \partial_x^2]y(x,t) \equiv 0$


Euler-Bernoulli and the quantum analogy







Improvement: Using Helium

$$Z_{He} = 2 < Z_{Air} \in [7, 8]$$

