Axions as Dark Matter Candidates

Andreas Ringwald FNHP 2022 Frontiers in Nuclear and Hadronic Physics Galileo Galilei Institute School Online Event Feb 21, 2022 - Mar 04, 2022



CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE QUANTUM UNIVERSE









- Vacuum Structure in Quantum Chromodynamics
- Strong CP Puzzle



- Vacuum Structure in Quantum Chromodynamics
- Strong CP Puzzle
- The Axion



- Vacuum Structure in Quantum Chromodynamics
- Strong CP Puzzle
- The Axion
- Axion Dark Matter



- Vacuum Structure in Quantum Chromodynamics
- Strong CP Puzzle
- The Axion
- Axion Dark Matter
- Axion Experiments



- Vacuum Structure in Quantum Chromodynamics
- Strong CP Puzzle
- The Axion

Action of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

Action of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

• **QCD:** SU(3) gauge theory with 6 flavours of quarks (u,d,s,c,b,t) in fundamental representation

Action of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

- QCD: SU(3) gauge theory with 6 flavours of quarks (u,d,s,c,b,t) in fundamental representation
- Most general gauge- and Lorentz-invariant renormalizable action:

$$S_{\rm QCD} = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{q} \left(i\gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

[Gross,Wilczek 73;Politzer 73; Fritzsch,Gell-Mann,Leutwyler 73] [Belavin et al. `75;'t Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]

Action of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

- **QCD:** SU(3) gauge theory with 6 flavours of quarks (u,d,s,c,b,t) in fundamental representation
- Most general gauge- and Lorentz-invariant renormalizable action:

$$S_{\rm QCD} = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \bar{q} \left(i\gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

[Gross,Wilczek 73:Politzer 73; Fritzsch,Gell-Mann,Leutwyler 73] [Belavin et al. `75;'t Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]

• Covariant gauge kinetic term, $-\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{a,\mu\nu}$, build from gluonic field strength tensors, $G^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}G^a_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G^a_{\mu} + g_s f^{abc}G^b_{\mu}G^c_{\nu}$, in terms of gluonic gauge fields, G^a_{μ}

Action of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

- **QCD:** SU(3) gauge theory with 6 flavours of quarks (u,d,s,c,b,t) in fundamental representation
- Most general gauge- and Lorentz-invariant renormalizable action:

$$S_{\rm QCD} = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{q} \left(i\gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

[Gross,Wilczek 73:Politzer 73; Fritzsch,Gell-Mann,Leutwyler 73] [Belavin et al. `75;'t Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]

• Covariant gauge kinetic term, $-\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{a,\mu\nu}$, build from gluonic field strength tensors, $G^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}G^a_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G^a_{\mu} + g_s f^{abc}G^b_{\mu}G^c_{\nu}$, in terms of gluonic gauge fields, G^a_{μ} , describes gluons and their interactions

Action of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

- **QCD:** SU(3) gauge theory with 6 flavours of quarks (u,d,s,c,b,t) in fundamental representation
- Most general gauge- and Lorentz-invariant renormalizable action:

$$S_{\rm QCD} = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \bar{q} \left(i\gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q + \theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

• Covariant gauge kinetic term, $-\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{a,\mu\nu}$, build from gluonic field strength tensors, $G^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}G^a_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G^a_{\mu} + g_s f^{abc}G^b_{\mu}G^c_{\nu}$, in terms of gluonic gauge fields, G^a_{μ} , describes gluons and their interactions

• Covariant quark kinetic term, $\bar{q}i\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu}q$, involving covariant derivative $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig_sT^aG^a_{\mu}$

[[]Gross,Wilczek 73;Politzer 73; Fritzsch, Coll Mann Leuturier 73] [Belavin et al. `75; 't Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]

Action of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

- QCD: SU(3) gauge theory with 6 flavours of quarks (u,d,s,c,b,t) in fundamental representation
- Most general gauge- and Lorentz-invariant renormalizable action:

$$S_{\rm QCD} = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \bar{q} \left(i\gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

• Covariant gauge kinetic term, $-\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{a,\mu\nu}$, build from gluonic field strength tensors, $G^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}G^a_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G^a_{\mu} + g_s f^{abc}G^b_{\mu}G^c_{\nu}$, in terms of gluonic gauge fields, G^a_{μ} , describes gluons and their interactions



• Covariant quark kinetic term, $\bar{q}i\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu}q$, involving covariant derivative $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig_s T^a G^a_{\mu}$, describes quarks and their interactions

معمعم

[[]Gross,Wilczek 73;Politzer 73; Fritzsch, Goll-Mann Leuturrier 73] [Belavin et al. `75;'t Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]

Action of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

- QCD: SU(3) gauge theory with 6 flavours of quarks (u,d,s,c,b,t) in fundamental representation
- Most general gauge- and Lorentz-invariant renormalizable action:

$$S_{\rm QCD} = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{q} \left(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q + \theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

• Covariant gauge kinetic term, $-\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{a,\mu\nu}$, build from gluonic field strength tensors, $G^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}G^a_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G^a_{\mu} + g_s f^{abc}G^b_{\mu}G^c_{\nu}$, in terms of gluonic gauge fields, G^a_{μ} , describes gluons and their interactions



00000

• Covariant quark kinetic term, $\bar{q}i\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu}q$, involving covariant derivative $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig_s T^a G^a_{\mu}$, describes quarks and their interactions

What about last term?

[[]Gross,Wilczek 73;Politzer 73; Fritzsch,Gell-Mann,Leutwyler 73] [Belavin et al. `75:'t Heeft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]

$$S_{\rm QCD} \supset \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

Theta-term in action of QCD

$$S_{\rm QCD} \supset \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

• Involving Hodge dual of gluonic field tensor, $\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{a\,\rho\sigma}$

$$S_{\rm QCD} \supset \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Involving Hodge dual of gluonic field tensor, $\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{a\,\rho\sigma}$
- Integrand is total derivative:

$$\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu \left(G^a_\alpha G^a_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{g_s}{3} f^{abc} G^a_\alpha G^b_\beta G^c_\gamma \right)$$

Theta-term in action of QCD

$$S_{\rm QCD} \supset \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Involving Hodge dual of gluonic field tensor, $\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{a\,\rho\sigma}$
- Integrand is total derivative:

$$\theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu \left(G^a_\alpha G^a_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{g_s}{3} f^{abc} G^a_\alpha G^b_\beta G^c_\gamma \right)$$

Divergence of Chern-Simons current

$$S_{\rm QCD} \supset \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Involving Hodge dual of gluonic field tensor, $\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{a\,\rho\sigma}$
- Integrand is total derivative:

$$\theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu \left(G^a_\alpha G^a_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{g_s}{3} f^{abc} G^a_\alpha G^b_\beta G^c_\gamma \right)$$

- Divergence of Chern-Simons current
- Theta-term does not contribute at the classical level and in perturbation theory

$$S_{\rm QCD} \supset \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Involving Hodge dual of gluonic field tensor, $\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{a\,\rho\sigma}$
- Integrand is total derivative:

$$\theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu \left(G^a_\alpha G^a_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{g_s}{3} f^{abc} G^a_\alpha G^b_\beta G^c_\gamma \right)$$

- Divergence of Chern-Simons current
- Theta-term does not contribute at the classical level and in perturbation theory
 - Depends only on boundary information (`topological')

$$S_{\rm QCD} \supset \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Involving Hodge dual of gluonic field tensor, $\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{a\,\rho\sigma}$
- Integrand is total derivative:

$$\theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu \left(G^a_\alpha G^a_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{g_s}{3} f^{abc} G^a_\alpha G^b_\beta G^c_\gamma \right)$$

- Divergence of Chern-Simons current
- Theta-term does not contribute at the classical level and in perturbation theory
 - Depends only on boundary information (`topological')
 - Does not give rise to new vertices in Feynman rules

$$S_{\rm QCD} \supset \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Involving Hodge dual of gluonic field tensor, $\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{a\,\rho\sigma}$
- Integrand is total derivative:

$$\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, \int d^4x \, \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu \left(G^a_\alpha G^a_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{g_s}{3} f^{abc} G^a_\alpha G^b_\beta G^c_\gamma \right)$$

- Divergence of Chern-Simons current
- Theta-term does not contribute at the classical level and in perturbation theory
 - Depends only on boundary information (`topological')
 - Does not give rise to new vertices in Feynman rules
- But it gives non-perturbative contribution in quantum theory because of the topologically non-trivial vacuum structure of QCD

Theta-term in action of QCD

• To see this, we will use the Euclidean path integral representation of the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude,

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \bar{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}} e^{+i\theta\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2}\int d^4x \,G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

Theta-term in action of QCD

• To see this, we will use the Euclidean path integral representation of the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude,

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \overline{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}} e^{+i\theta\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2}\int d^4x \,G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

• Obtained from real time path integral representation by Wick rotation, $x_0^{(M)} \rightarrow -ix_4^{(E)}, G_0^{a(M)} \rightarrow iG_4^{a(E)}$

Theta-term in action of QCD

• To see this, we will use the Euclidean path integral representation of the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude,

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \overline{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}} e^{+i\theta\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2}\int d^4x \,G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

• Obtained from real time path integral representation by Wick rotation, $x_0^{(M)} \rightarrow -ix_4^{(E)}, G_0^{a(M)} \rightarrow iG_4^{a(E)}$, such that

$$i\int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\} \Rightarrow -\int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - i \, \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

Theta-term in action of QCD

• To see this, we will use the Euclidean path integral representation of the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude,

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \bar{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}} e^{+i\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \,G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

• Obtained from real time path integral representation by Wick rotation, $x_0^{(M)} \rightarrow -ix_4^{(E)}, G_0^{a(M)} \rightarrow iG_4^{a(E)}$, such that

$$i \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\} \Rightarrow -\int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - i \, \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

• Finite Euclidean action requires that the classical gauge fields contributing to the path integral should be such that the field strength tensor vanishes at $|x| \to \infty$.

Theta-term in action of QCD

• To see this, we will use the Euclidean path integral representation of the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude,

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \bar{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}} e^{+i\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \,G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

• Obtained from real time path integral representation by Wick rotation, $x_0^{(M)} \rightarrow -ix_4^{(E)}, G_0^{a(M)} \rightarrow iG_4^{a(E)}$, such that

$$i\int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\} \Rightarrow -\int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - i \, \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

• Finite Euclidean action requires that the classical gauge fields contributing to the path integral should be such that the field strength tensor vanishes at $|x| \to \infty$. This means that the gauge fields should approach a pure gauge form, $G^a_\mu(x)T^a \to \frac{i}{g_s}U(x)\partial_\mu U^{-1}(x), \text{ for } |x| \to \infty, \text{ where } U(x) \in SU(3)$

Theta-term in action of QCD

• To see this, we will use the Euclidean path integral representation of the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude,

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \bar{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}} e^{+i\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \,G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

• Obtained from real time path integral representation by Wick rotation, $x_0^{(M)} \rightarrow -ix_4^{(E)}, G_0^{a(M)} \rightarrow iG_4^{a(E)}$, such that

$$i \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\} \Rightarrow -\int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - i \, \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

Finite Euclidean action requires that the classical gauge fields contributing to the path integral should be such that the field strength tensor vanishes at |x| → ∞. This means that the gauge fields should approach a pure gauge form,

$$G^a_\mu(x)T^a \to \frac{i}{g_s}U(x)\partial_\mu U^{-1}(x), \text{ for } |x| \to \infty, \text{ where } U(x) \in SU(3)$$

• The theta-term can then be evaluated as

$$\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} = \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu \left(G^a_\alpha G^a_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{g_s}{3} f^{abc} G^a_\alpha G^b_\beta G^c_\gamma \right)$$

Theta-term in action of QCD

• To see this, we will use the Euclidean path integral representation of the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude,

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \bar{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}} e^{+i\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \,G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

• Obtained from real time path integral representation by Wick rotation, $x_0^{(M)} \rightarrow -ix_4^{(E)}, G_0^{a(M)} \rightarrow iG_4^{a(E)}$, such that

$$i \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\} \Rightarrow -\int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - i \, \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

Finite Euclidean action requires that the classical gauge fields contributing to the path integral should be such that the field strength tensor vanishes at |x| → ∞. This means that the gauge fields should approach a pure gauge form,

$$G^a_\mu(x)T^a \to \frac{i}{g_s}U(x)\partial_\mu U^{-1}(x), \text{ for } |x| \to \infty, \text{ where } U(x) \in SU(3)$$

• The theta-term can then be evaluated as

$$\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} = \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu \left(G^a_\alpha G^a_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{g_s}{3} f^{abc} G^a_\alpha G^b_\beta G^c_\gamma \right) \\ = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^\infty} d\sigma_\mu \, \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr} \left([U\partial_\alpha U^{-1}] [U\partial_\beta U^{-1}] [U\partial_\gamma U^{-1}] \right)$$

Theta-term in action of QCD

• To see this, we will use the Euclidean path integral representation of the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude,

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \bar{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}} e^{+i\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \,G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

• Obtained from real time path integral representation by Wick rotation, $x_0^{(M)} \rightarrow -ix_4^{(E)}, G_0^{a(M)} \rightarrow iG_4^{a(E)}$, such that

$$i \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\} \Rightarrow -\int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} - i \, \theta \, \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right\}$$

Finite Euclidean action requires that the classical gauge fields contributing to the path integral should be such that the field strength tensor vanishes at |x| → ∞. This means that the gauge fields should approach a pure gauge form,

$$G^a_\mu(x)T^a \to \frac{i}{g_s}U(x)\partial_\mu U^{-1}(x), \text{ for } |x| \to \infty, \text{ where } U(x) \in SU(3)$$

• The theta-term can then be evaluated as

$$\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} = \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu \left(G^a_\alpha G^a_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{g_s}{3} f^{abc} G^a_\alpha G^b_\beta G^c_\gamma \right) \\ = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^\infty} d\sigma_\mu \, \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr} \left([U\partial_\alpha U^{-1}] [U\partial_\beta U^{-1}] [U\partial_\gamma U^{-1}] \right) \\ = Q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^{\infty}} d\sigma_{\mu} \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr}\left([U\partial_{\alpha}U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\beta}U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\gamma}U^{-1}] \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

The Axion

Theta-term in action of QCD

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^{\infty}} d\sigma_{\mu} \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr} \left([U\partial_{\alpha} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\beta} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\gamma} U^{-1}] \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

• First consider $U \in SU(2) \subset SU(3)$

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^{\infty}} d\sigma_{\mu} \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr} \left([U\partial_{\alpha} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\beta} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\gamma} U^{-1}] \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- First consider $U \in SU(2) \subset SU(3)$
 - Provides a mapping $S_3^\infty \to SU(2) \simeq S_3$

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^{\infty}} d\sigma_{\mu} \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr} \left([U\partial_{\alpha} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\beta} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\gamma} U^{-1}] \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- First consider $U \in SU(2) \subset SU(3)$
 - Provides a mapping $S_3^{\infty} \rightarrow SU(2) \simeq S_3$
 - Pontryagin index Q counts the number of times S_3^{∞} is wrapped around the group manifold $SU(2) \sim S_3$
$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^{\infty}} d\sigma_{\mu} \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr} \left([U\partial_{\alpha} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\beta} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\gamma} U^{-1}] \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- First consider $U \in SU(2) \subset SU(3)$
 - Provides a mapping $S_3^{\infty} \rightarrow SU(2) \simeq S_3$
 - Pontryagin index Q counts the number of times S_3^∞ is wrapped around the group manifold $SU(2) \sim S_3$
 - Pontryagin index aka winding number aka topological charge

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^{\infty}} d\sigma_{\mu} \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr} \left([U\partial_{\alpha} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\beta} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\gamma} U^{-1}] \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- First consider $U \in SU(2) \subset SU(3)$
 - Provides a mapping $S_3^{\infty} \rightarrow SU(2) \simeq S_3$
 - Pontryagin index Q counts the number of times S_3^∞ is wrapped around the group manifold $SU(2)\sim S_3$
 - Pontryagin index aka winding number aka topological charge
- In Euclidean space, SU(2) field configurations contributing to the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude fall in homotopy classes of different topological charge

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^{\infty}} d\sigma_{\mu} \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr}\left([U\partial_{\alpha}U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\beta}U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\gamma}U^{-1}] \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- First consider $U \in SU(2) \subset SU(3)$
 - Provides a mapping $S_3^{\infty} \rightarrow SU(2) \simeq S_3$
 - Pontryagin index Q counts the number of times S_3^{∞} is wrapped around the group manifold $SU(2) \sim S_3$
 - Pontryagin index aka winding number aka topological charge
- In Euclidean space, SU(2) field configurations contributing to the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude fall in homotopy classes of different topological charge
- It is not possible to continuously deform a field configuration into another of different winding number while maintaining the action finite

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^{\infty}} d\sigma_{\mu} \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr} \left([U\partial_{\alpha} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\beta} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\gamma} U^{-1}] \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- First consider $U \in SU(2) \subset SU(3)$
 - Provides a mapping $S_3^{\infty} \rightarrow SU(2) \simeq S_3$
 - Pontryagin index Q counts the number of times S_3^{∞} is wrapped around the group manifold $SU(2) \sim S_3$
 - Pontryagin index aka winding number aka topological charge
- In Euclidean space, SU(2) field configurations contributing to the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude fall in homotopy classes of different topological charge
- It is not possible to continuously deform a field configuration into another of different winding number while maintaining the action finite
- General SU(3) gauge field configurations can be classified in the same SU(2) homotopy classes

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S_3^{\infty}} d\sigma_{\mu} \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathrm{tr} \left([U\partial_{\alpha} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\beta} U^{-1}] [U\partial_{\gamma} U^{-1}] \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- First consider $U \in SU(2) \subset SU(3)$
 - Provides a mapping $S_3^{\infty} \rightarrow SU(2) \simeq S_3$
 - Pontryagin index Q counts the number of times S_3^{∞} is wrapped around the group manifold $SU(2) \sim S_3$
 - Pontryagin index aka winding number aka topological charge
- In Euclidean space, SU(2) field configurations contributing to the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude fall in homotopy classes of different topological charge
- It is not possible to continuously deform a field configuration into another of different winding number while maintaining the action finite
- General SU(3) gauge field configurations can be classified in the same SU(2) homotopy classes
 - The reason being that any mapping from S3 into any simple Lie group G can be deformed into a mapping to a SU(2) subgroup of G in a continuous way, hence with no change of homotopy class.

Theta-term in action of QCD

• Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude can be written in terms of Fourier series

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \sum_{Q=-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_Q \exp[i\theta Q] \equiv Z(\theta)$$

of Euclidean path integrals over gauge fields with fixed topological charge,

$$Z_Q = \int_Q \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \overline{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}}$$

Theta-term in action of QCD

• Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude can be written in terms of Fourier series

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \sum_{Q=-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_Q \exp[i\theta Q] \equiv Z(\theta)$$

of Euclidean path integrals over gauge fields with fixed topological charge,

$$Z_Q = \int_Q \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \overline{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}}$$

• Theta is an angular parameter, $-\pi \le \theta \le \pi$

Theta-term in action of QCD

• Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude can be written in terms of Fourier series

$$\langle 0_+|0_-\rangle = \sum_{Q=-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_Q \exp[i\theta Q] \equiv Z(\theta)$$

of Euclidean path integrals over gauge fields with fixed topological charge,

$$Z_Q = \int_Q \mathcal{D}G \,\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \,\mathcal{D}q \,e^{-\int d^4x \left\{\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} - \overline{q}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu - \mathcal{M})q\right\}}$$

- Theta is an angular parameter, $-\pi \le \theta \le \pi$
- Ordinary perturbative QCD resides in topologically trivial sector

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

• Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude related to vacuum energy density via

$$\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} e^{-\epsilon_0 \, \mathcal{V}_4}$$

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

• Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude related to vacuum energy density via

$$\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} e^{-\epsilon_0 \, \mathcal{V}_4}$$

• Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln Z(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln \left[\sum_{Q = -\infty}^{+\infty} Z_Q e^{i\theta Q} \right]$$

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

• Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude related to vacuum energy density via

$$\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} e^{-\epsilon_0 \, \mathcal{V}_4}$$

• Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln Z(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln \left[\sum_{Q=-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_Q e^{i\theta Q} \right]$$

• Vacuum energy density is periodic: $\epsilon_0(\theta) = \epsilon_0(\theta + 2\pi)$

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

• Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude related to vacuum energy density via

$$\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} e^{-\epsilon_0 \, \mathcal{V}_4}$$

• Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln Z(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln \left[\sum_{Q = -\infty}^{+\infty} Z_Q e^{i\theta Q} \right]$$

- Vacuum energy density is periodic: $\epsilon_0(\theta) = \epsilon_0(\theta + 2\pi)$
- Vacuum energy density has a minimum for vanishing theta: $\epsilon_0(0) \le \epsilon_0(\theta)$ [Vafa,Witten `84]

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

• Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude related to vacuum energy density via

$$\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} e^{-\epsilon_0 \, \mathcal{V}_4}$$

• Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln Z(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln \left[\sum_{Q=-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_Q e^{i\theta Q} \right]$$

- Vacuum energy density is periodic: $\epsilon_0(\theta) = \epsilon_0(\theta + 2\pi)$
- Vacuum energy density has a minimum for vanishing theta: $\epsilon_0(0) \le \epsilon_0(\theta)$ [Vafa,Witten `84]
 - Relies on the fact that Z_Q is positive definite for a vector-like theory as QCD, which implies $Z(\theta) \le Z(0)$

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

• Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude related to vacuum energy density via

$$\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} e^{-\epsilon_0 \, \mathcal{V}_4}$$

• Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln Z(\theta) = -\lim_{\mathcal{V}_4 \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_4} \ln \left[\sum_{Q=-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_Q e^{i\theta Q} \right]$$

- Vacuum energy density is periodic: $\epsilon_0(\theta) = \epsilon_0(\theta + 2\pi)$
- Vacuum energy density has a minimum for vanishing theta: $\epsilon_0(0) \le \epsilon_0(\theta)$

- [Vafa,Witten `84]
- Relies on the fact that Z_Q is positive definite for a vector-like theory as QCD, which implies $Z(\theta) \le Z(0)$
- Important for axion physics: axion field corresponds to space-time dependent theta parameter, field-dependence of axion potential is then given by theta-dependence of vacuum energy density. Result implies, axion has vanishing vacuum expectation value.

DESY. | Axions as Dark Matter Candidates | Andreas Ringwald, Online School on Frontiers in Nuclear and Hadronic Physics, Galileo Galilei Institute, Feb 21 - Mar 04, 2022

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

• Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - Consider first unrealistic case of one light flavour called u.

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - Consider first unrealistic case of one light flavour called u.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\tilde{G}} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for a phase of the quark mass

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - Consider first unrealistic case of one light flavour called u.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \left(e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\tilde{G}}\right)\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for a phase of the quark mass
 - Therefore, the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude and thus the energy density depends on the quark mass and the theta parameter only through the product $m_u e^{i\theta}$:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = f(m_u e^{i\theta})$$

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - Consider first unrealistic case of one light flavour called u.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \left(e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\bar{G}}\right)\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for a phase of the quark mass
 - Therefore, the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude and thus the energy density depends on the quark mass and the theta parameter only through the product $m_u e^{i\theta}$:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = f(m_u e^{i\theta})$$

• The spectrum of the theory does not contain massless particles in the chiral limit. Expansion in powers of mass does therefore not give rise to infrared divergencies, i.e. is an ordinary Taylor expansion, [Leutwyler, Smilga `92]

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = \epsilon_0(0) - \Sigma m_u \cos \theta + \mathcal{O}(m_u^2)$$

where $\Sigma = \sqrt{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 + \langle \bar{q}i\gamma_5q \rangle^2}|_{m_u=0}$

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - Consider first unrealistic case of one light flavour called u.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \left(e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\tilde{G}}\right)\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for a phase of the quark mass
 - Therefore, the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude and thus the energy density depends on the quark mass and the theta parameter only through the product $m_u e^{i\theta}$:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = f(m_u e^{i\theta})$$

• The spectrum of the theory does not contain massless particles in the chiral limit. Expansion in powers of mass does therefore not give rise to infrared divergencies, i.e. is an ordinary Taylor expansion, [Leutwyler, Smilga `92]

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = \epsilon_0(0) - \Sigma m_u \cos \theta + \mathcal{O}(m_u^2)$$

where $\Sigma = \sqrt{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 + \langle \bar{q}i\gamma_5q \rangle^2}|_{m_u=0}$

• Vacuum energy has absolute minimum at vanishing theta angle

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - Consider first unrealistic case of one light flavour called u.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \left(e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\bar{G}}\right)\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for a phase of the quark mass
 - Therefore, the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude and thus the energy density depends on the quark mass and the theta parameter only through the product $m_u e^{i\theta}$:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = f(m_u e^{i\theta})$$

• The spectrum of the theory does not contain massless particles in the chiral limit. Expansion in powers of mass does therefore not give rise to infrared divergencies, i.e. is an ordinary Taylor expansion, [Leutwyler, Smilga `92]

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = \epsilon_0(0) - \Sigma m_u \cos \theta + \mathcal{O}(m_u^2)$$

where $\Sigma = \sqrt{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 + \langle \bar{q}i\gamma_5q \rangle^2}|_{m_u=0}$

- Vacuum energy has absolute minimum at vanishing theta angle
- Vacuum energy independent of theta if quark mass zero

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - In realistic case of two light flavours, say u and d.

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - In realistic case of two light flavours, say u and d.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a common chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \left(e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\tilde{G}}\right)\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for an overall phase of the quark mass

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - In realistic case of two light flavours, say u and d.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a common chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \left(e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\tilde{G}}\right)\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for an overall phase of the quark mass
 - Therefore, the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude and thus the energy density depends on the quark mass and the theta parameter only through the product:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = f(\mathcal{M}e^{i\theta/2})$$

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - In realistic case of two light flavours, say u and d.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a common chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \left(e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\tilde{G}}\right)\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for an overall phase of the quark mass
 - Therefore, the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude and thus the energy density depends on the quark mass and the theta parameter only through the product:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = f(\mathcal{M}e^{i\theta/2})$$

 However, in this case the spectrum of the theory does contain massless particles in the chiral limit, namely three pseudo-scalar Nambu-Goldstone bosons, the pions, originating from spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry by light quarks.

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - In realistic case of two light flavours, say u and d.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a common chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \left(e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\tilde{G}}\right)\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for an overall phase of the quark mass
 - Therefore, the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude and thus the energy density depends on the quark mass and the theta parameter only through the product:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = f(\mathcal{M}e^{i\theta/2})$$

- However, in this case the spectrum of the theory does contain massless particles in the chiral limit, namely three pseudo-scalar Nambu-Goldstone bosons, the pions, originating from spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry by light quarks.
- Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude for realistic QCD at large four volume and small quark masses should be well described by the effective field theory (EFT) of pions

Theta-dependence of vacuum energy density in QCD

- Further progress can be made in the chiral limit, $\mathcal{M} \to 0$
 - In realistic case of two light flavours, say u and d.
 - Exploiting the chiral anomaly, that is the non-invariance of the fermionic measure in the path integral under a common chiral transformation, $q \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha}q$, $\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \to \left(e^{-i\alpha \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \, G\tilde{G}}\right)\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q}$, one may trade the theta parameter for an overall phase of the quark mass
 - Therefore, the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude and thus the energy density depends on the quark mass and the theta parameter only through the product:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = f(\mathcal{M}e^{i\theta/2})$$

- However, in this case the spectrum of the theory does contain massless particles in the chiral limit, namely three
 pseudo-scalar Nambu-Goldstone bosons, the pions, originating from spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry
 by light quarks.
- Vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude for realistic QCD at large four volume and small quark masses should be well described by the effective field theory (EFT) of pions
- Exploiting chiral EFT, one finds

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = \epsilon_0(0) - m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z\cos\theta}}{1 + z}$$

DESY. | Axions as Dark Matter Candidates | Andreas Ringwald, Online School on Frontiers in Nuclear and Hadronic Physics, Galileo Galilei Institute, Feb 21 - Mar 04, 2022

[Di Vecchia, Veneziano `80; Leutwyler, Smilga `92]

 $z \equiv m_u/m_d \approx 1/2$

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) = \epsilon_0(0) - m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z\cos\theta}}{1 + z}$$



Neutron electric dipole moment

• Theta-term violates both T and P, and thus CP

- Theta-term violates both T and P, and thus CP
 - This can be understood by expressing theta term in terms of color electric and color magnetic fields,

$$\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \frac{g_s^2}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \, \mathbf{E}^a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a$$

Neutron electric dipole moment

- Theta-term violates both T and P, and thus CP
 - This can be understood by expressing theta term in terms of color electric and color magnetic fields,

$$\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \frac{g_s^2}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \, \mathbf{E}^a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a$$

• Electric fields are even under T and odd under P: $\mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},-t), \quad \mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\mathbf{E}^{a}(-\mathbf{x},t)$

- Theta-term violates both T and P, and thus CP
 - This can be understood by expressing theta term in terms of color electric and color magnetic fields,

$$\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \frac{g_s^2}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \, \mathbf{E}^a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a$$

- Electric fields are even under T and odd under P: $\mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},-t), \quad \mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\mathbf{E}^{a}(-\mathbf{x},t)$
- Magnetic fields are odd under T and even under P: $\mathbf{B}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\mathbf{B}^{a}(\mathbf{x},-t), \quad \mathbf{B}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{B}^{a}(-\mathbf{x},t)$

- Theta-term violates both T and P, and thus CP
 - This can be understood by expressing theta term in terms of color electric and color magnetic fields,

$$\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \frac{g_s^2}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \, \mathbf{E}^a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a$$

- Electric fields are even under T and odd under P: $\mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},-t), \quad \mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\mathbf{E}^{a}(-\mathbf{x},t)$
- Magnetic fields are odd under T and even under P: $\mathbf{B}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\mathbf{B}^{a}(\mathbf{x},-t), \quad \mathbf{B}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{B}^{a}(-\mathbf{x},t)$
- Electric fields are odd under C, with the consequence that they are even under CP

- Theta-term violates both T and P, and thus CP
 - This can be understood by expressing theta term in terms of color electric and color magnetic fields,

$$\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} = \theta \frac{g_s^2}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \, \mathbf{E}^a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a$$

- Electric fields are even under T and odd under P: $\mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},-t), \quad \mathbf{E}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\mathbf{E}^{a}(-\mathbf{x},t)$
- Magnetic fields are odd under T and even under P: $\mathbf{B}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\mathbf{B}^{a}(\mathbf{x},-t), \quad \mathbf{B}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{B}^{a}(-\mathbf{x},t)$
- Electric fields are odd under C, with the consequence that they are even under CP
- Magnetic fields are odd under C, with the consequence that they are odd under CP

Neutron electric dipole moment

• Most sensitive probe of T and P violation in flavor conserving interactions: electric dipole moment d_n of neutron, corresponding to effective electric dipole interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}} \supset -rac{i}{2} d_n \, \overline{\Psi}_n \sigma_{\mu
u} \gamma_5 \Psi_n F^{\mu
u}$$

 $d_n = C_{\text{nEDM}} e \theta$

[Crewther, Di Vecchia, Veneziano, Witten 79]


Neutron electric dipole moment

• Most sensitive probe of T and P violation in flavor conserving interactions: electric dipole moment d_n of neutron, corresponding to effective electric dipole interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \supset -\frac{i}{2} d_n \,\overline{\Psi}_n \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_n F^{\mu\nu}$$

 $d_n = C_{ ext{nEDM}} \, e \, heta$ [Crewther,Di Vecchia,Veneziano,Witten 79]

• Educated guess: $C_{\rm nEDM} \sim \frac{m_*}{m_n^2} \sim 10^{-16} \, {\rm cm}$ $m_* = \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{$$

Neutron electric dipole moment

• Most sensitive probe of T and P violation in flavor conserving interactions: electric dipole moment d_n of neutron, corresponding to effective electric dipole interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \supset -\frac{i}{2} d_n \,\overline{\Psi}_n \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_n F^{\mu\nu}$$

 $d_n = C_{ ext{nEDM}} \, e \, heta$ [Crewther,Di Vecchia,Veneziano,Witten 79]

- Educated guess: $C_{\rm nEDM} \sim \frac{m_*}{m_n^2} \sim 10^{-16} \, {\rm cm}$ $m_* = \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d}$
- Currently best theoretical determination:

$$C_{\rm nEDM} = 2.4(1.0) \times 10^{-16} \, {\rm cm}$$
 [Pospelov,Ritz 00]



Neutron electric dipole moment

• Most sensitive probe of T and P violation in flavor conserving interactions: electric dipole moment d_n of neutron, corresponding to effective electric dipole interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \supset -\frac{i}{2} d_n \,\overline{\Psi}_n \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_n F^{\mu\nu}$$

 $d_n = C_{ ext{nEDM}} \, e \, heta$ [Crewther,Di Vecchia,Veneziano,Witten 79]

- Educated guess: $C_{\rm nEDM} \sim \frac{m_*}{m_n^2} \sim 10^{-16} \, {\rm cm}$ $m_* = \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d}$
- Currently best theoretical determination:

$$C_{\rm nEDM} = 2.4(1.0) \times 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{cm}$$

[Pospelov,Ritz 00]

Experiment:

 $|d_n| < 1.8 \times 10^{-26} \, e \, \mathrm{cm}$

[Abel et al. 20]

Neutron electric dipole moment

• Most sensitive probe of T and P violation in flavor conserving interactions: electric dipole moment d_n of neutron, corresponding to effective electric dipole interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \supset -\frac{i}{2} d_n \,\overline{\Psi}_n \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_n F^{\mu\nu}$$

 $d_n = C_{ ext{nEDM}} \, e \, heta$ [Crewther,Di Vecchia,Veneziano,Witten 79]

- Educated guess: $C_{\text{nEDM}} \sim \frac{m_*}{m_n^2} \sim 10^{-16} \text{ cm}$ $m_* = \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d}$
- Currently best theoretical determination:

$$C_{\rm nEDM} = 2.4(1.0) \times 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{cm}$$

[Pospelov,Ritz 00]

• Experiment:

 $|d_n| < 1.8 \times 10^{-26} \, e \, \mathrm{cm}$

[Abel et al. 20]



Promote theta parameter to a dynamical field

• Add to the Standard Model a Nambu-Goldstone field, $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a \in [-\pi, \pi]$, respecting, apart from an effective interaction with the gluonic topological charge density

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \theta(x) q(x) \equiv \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

Promote theta parameter to a dynamical field

• Add to the Standard Model a Nambu-Goldstone field, $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a \in [-\pi, \pi]$, respecting, apart from an effective interaction with the gluonic topological charge density

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \theta(x) q(x) \equiv \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

a non-linearly realized $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry $(\theta(x) \rightarrow \theta(x) + \text{const.})$.

• In analogy to pion physics, f_a dubbed `decay constant'

Promote theta parameter to a dynamical field

• Add to the Standard Model a Nambu-Goldstone field, $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a \in [-\pi, \pi]$, respecting, apart from an effective interaction with the gluonic topological charge density

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \theta(x) q(x) \equiv \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

- In analogy to pion physics, f_a dubbed `decay constant'
- f_a of order the breaking scale of the global $U(1)_{\mathrm{PQ}}$ symmetry

Promote theta parameter to a dynamical field

• Add to the Standard Model a Nambu-Goldstone field, $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a \in [-\pi, \pi]$, respecting, apart from an effective interaction with the gluonic topological charge density

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \theta(x) q(x) \equiv \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

- In analogy to pion physics, f_a dubbed `decay constant'
- f_a of order the breaking scale of the global $U(1)_{
 m PQ}$ symmetry
- Dimension 5 interaction; theory breaks down at scales of order f_a ; needs UV completion at scales above this scale

Promote theta parameter to a dynamical field

• Add to the Standard Model a Nambu-Goldstone field, $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a \in [-\pi, \pi]$, respecting, apart from an effective interaction with the gluonic topological charge density

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \theta(x) q(x) \equiv \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

- In analogy to pion physics, f_a dubbed `decay constant'
- f_a of order the breaking scale of the global $U(1)_{
 m PQ}$ symmetry
- Dimension 5 interaction; theory breaks down at scales of order f_a ; needs UV completion at scales above this scale
- Exploiting the shift symmetry and this effective interaction allows us to eliminate the QCD $\overline{\theta}$ -parameter by the shift: $\theta(x) \rightarrow \theta(x) \overline{\theta}$

Promote theta parameter to a dynamical field

• Add to the Standard Model a Nambu-Goldstone field, $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a \in [-\pi, \pi]$, respecting, apart from an effective interaction with the gluonic topological charge density

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \theta(x) q(x) \equiv \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

- In analogy to pion physics, f_a dubbed `decay constant'
- f_a of order the breaking scale of the global $U(1)_{
 m PQ}$ symmetry
- Dimension 5 interaction; theory breaks down at scales of order f_a ; needs UV completion at scales above this scale
- Exploiting the shift symmetry and this effective interaction allows us to eliminate the QCD $\overline{\theta}$ -parameter by the shift: $\theta(x) \rightarrow \theta(x) \overline{\theta}$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \overline{\theta} \, \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x) + \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

Promote theta parameter to a dynamical field

• Add to the Standard Model a Nambu-Goldstone field, $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a \in [-\pi, \pi]$, respecting, apart from an effective interaction with the gluonic topological charge density

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \theta(x) q(x) \equiv \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

- In analogy to pion physics, f_a dubbed `decay constant'
- f_a of order the breaking scale of the global $U(1)_{\mathrm{PQ}}$ symmetry
- Dimension 5 interaction; theory breaks down at scales of order f_a ; needs UV completion at scales above this scale
- Exploiting the shift symmetry and this effective interaction allows us to eliminate the QCD $\overline{\theta}$ -parameter by the shift: $\theta(x) \rightarrow \theta(x) \overline{\theta}$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \overline{\theta} \, \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x) + \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

Promote theta parameter to a dynamical field

• Add to the Standard Model a Nambu-Goldstone field, $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a \in [-\pi, \pi]$, respecting, apart from an effective interaction with the gluonic topological charge density

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \theta(x) q(x) \equiv \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

a non-linearly realized $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry ($\theta(x) \rightarrow \theta(x) + \text{const.}$).

- In analogy to pion physics, f_a dubbed `decay constant'
- f_a of order the breaking scale of the global $U(1)_{
 m PQ}$ symmetry
- Dimension 5 interaction; theory breaks down at scales of order f_a ; needs UV completion at scales above this scale
- Exploiting the shift symmetry and this effective interaction allows us to eliminate the QCD $\overline{\theta}$ -parameter by the shift: $\theta(x) \rightarrow \theta(x) \overline{\theta}$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \overline{\theta} \, \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x) + \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$$

• QCD theta parameter promoted to dynamical field

Peccei-Quinn mechanism [Peccei,Quinn 77]

• Dynamics of $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a$, at energy scales below f_a , but above $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, described by $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{f_a^2}{2} \partial_\mu \theta(x) \partial^\mu \theta(x) + \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$

Peccei-Quinn mechanism [Peccei,Quinn 77]

- Dynamics of $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a$, at energy scales below f_a , but above $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, described by $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{f_a^2}{2} \partial_\mu \theta(x) \partial^\mu \theta(x) + \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$
- At energies below $~\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{f_a^2}{2} \partial_\mu \theta(x) \partial^\mu \theta(x) - m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z \cos \theta}}{1 + z} \qquad z \equiv m_u/m_d \approx 1/2$$

Peccei-Quinn mechanism [Peccei,Quinn 77]

- Dynamics of $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a$, at energy scales below f_a , but above $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, described by $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{f_a^2}{2} \partial_\mu \theta(x) \partial^\mu \theta(x) + \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$
- At energies below $~\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{f_a^2}{2} \partial_\mu \theta(x) \partial^\mu \theta(x) - m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z \cos \theta}}{1 + z} \qquad z \equiv m_u/m_d \approx 1/2$$

• Field dependence of effective potential coincides with theta-dependence of vacuum energy in QCD



Peccei-Quinn mechanism [Peccei,Quinn 77]

- Dynamics of $\theta(x) \equiv a(x)/f_a$, at energy scales below f_a , but above $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, described by $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{f_a^2}{2} \partial_\mu \theta(x) \partial^\mu \theta(x) + \theta(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}(x)$
- At energies below $~\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{f_a^2}{2} \partial_\mu \theta(x) \partial^\mu \theta(x) - m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \frac{\sqrt{1+z^2+2z\cos\theta}}{1+z} \qquad z \equiv m_u/m_d \approx 1/2$$

• Field dependence of effective potential coincides with theta-dependence of vacuum energy in QCD



• There is no strong CP violation: $\langle \theta \rangle_0 = 0$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a(x) \partial^{\mu} a(x) - m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z \cos\left(\frac{a(x)}{f_a}\right)}}{1 + z}$$



PQ mechanism predicts pseudo Nambu-Goldstone boson [Weinberg 78; Wilczek 78]

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a(x) \partial^{\mu} a(x) - m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z \cos\left(\frac{a(x)}{f_a}\right)}}{1 + z}$$



• There is a particle excitation of the field a(x), which was dubbed `axion'

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a(x) \partial^{\mu} a(x) - m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z \cos\left(\frac{a(x)}{f_a}\right)}}{1 + z}$$

- There is a particle excitation of the field a(x), which was dubbed `axion'
 - Cleans up a problem associated with an axial current





$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a(x) \partial^{\mu} a(x) - m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z \cos\left(\frac{a(x)}{f_a}\right)}}{1 + z}$$

- There is a particle excitation of the field a(x), which was dubbed `axion'
 - Cleans up a problem associated with an axial current
- The axion mass determined by the second derivative of the effective potential: $m_a \simeq \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z} \, \frac{m_\pi \, f_\pi}{f_z}$





$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a(x) \partial^{\mu} a(x) - m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z \cos\left(\frac{a(x)}{f_a}\right)}}{1 + z}$$

- There is a particle excitation of the field a(x), which was dubbed `axion'
 - Cleans up a problem associated with an axial current
- The axion mass determined by the second derivative of the effective potential: $m_a \simeq \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z} \, \frac{m_\pi \, f_\pi}{f_\pi}$
 - Parametrically suppressed by decay constant: axion very light if decay constant large: $m_a \approx 6 \text{ meV}\left(\frac{10^9 \text{ GeV}}{f_a}\right)$





PQ mechanism predicts pseudo Nambu-Goldstone boson [Weinberg 78; Wilczek 78]

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a(x) \partial^{\mu} a(x) - m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + z^2 + 2z \cos\left(\frac{a(x)}{f_a}\right)}}{1 + z}$$

- There is a particle excitation of the field a(x), which was dubbed `axion'
 - Cleans up a problem associated with an axial current
- The axion mass determined by the second derivative of the effective potential: $m_a \simeq \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z} \, \frac{m_\pi \, f_\pi}{f_*}$
 - Parametrically suppressed by decay constant: axion very light if decay constant large: $m_a \approx 6 \text{ meV}\left(\frac{10^9 \text{ GeV}}{f_a}\right)$
 - Precise calculation, by including $O(\alpha)$ QED corrections and NNLO corrections in chiral perturbation theory: [Gorghetto et al. 18]

$$m_a = 5.691(51) \left(\frac{10^9 \,\text{GeV}}{f_a}\right) \,\text{meV}$$

DESY. | Axions as Dark Matter Candidates | Andreas Ringwald, Online School on Frontiers in Nuclear and Hadronic Physics, Galileo Galilei Institute, F





Coupling to the nucleon EDM

Axion has a model-independent coupling to the • EDM of the nucleon:

$$\mathcal{L}_{aN\gamma} = -\frac{i}{2} g_{aN\gamma} a \overline{\Psi}_N \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_N F^{\mu\nu}$$
$$g_{an\gamma} = -g_{ap\gamma} = e \frac{C_{\text{EDM}}}{f_a}$$
$$C_{\text{nEDM}} = 2.4(1.0) \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}$$
[Pospelov,Ritz 00]

This is phenomenologically important for experi-• ments searching for axion dark matter via oscillating nucleon electric dipole moments such as nEDM and CASPEr-electric



[AR,Rosenberg,Rybka in: 2021 Update of Review of Particle Physics]

[adapted from https://github.com/cajohare/AxionLimits]

Ċ1

Coupling to photons

From mixing with the pion, the axion inherits at • energy scales below the QCD scale, a modelindependent contribution to its coupling with two photons:

$$\mathcal{L}_{a\gamma\gamma} \supset \frac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma}^{(\mathrm{mi})} a F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$
$$g_{a\gamma}^{(\mathrm{mi})} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi f_a} C_{a\gamma}^{(\mathrm{mi})}$$

LO chiral perturbation theory:

$$C_{{
m a}\gamma}^{({
m mi})}\simeq -rac{2}{3}rac{4+z}{1+z}pprox -2$$
 [Kaplan 85;Srednicki `85]

Precise NLO determination

 $C_{a\gamma}^{(\rm mi)} = -1.92(4)$ [Grilli di Cortona et al. `16]

Phenomenologically important for experiments ٠ searching for axions via coupling to photons

DESY. | Axions as Dark Matter Candidates | Andreas Ringwald, Online School on Frontiers in Nuclear and Hadronic Physics, Galileo Galilei Institute, Feb 21 - Mar 04, 2022

[GeV



[AR,Rosenberg,Rybka in: 2021 Update of Review of Particle Physics]

[adapted from https://github.com/cajohare/AxionLimits]