



# Long range spin chains from freezing



D. Serban

work in progress w/ J. Lamers

see also J. Lamers's talk and J. Lamers, V. Pasquier, D.S., arXiv:2004.13210

Randomness, Integrability and Universality GGI, May 2, 2022

## Long-range interacting integrable models

- Long range integrable deformations of Heisenberg-like models are important for various applications (e.g. AdS/CFT, 2d CFT, condensed matter, stochastic processes, etc)
- Very few cases are completely understood
- The algebraic structure is rather rigid
- Unified framework for nearest-neighbour and long range interaction (e.g. separation of variables for long range spin chains)?
- Here: a new method to obtain the eigenfunctions of the isotropic Haldane-Shastry Hamiltonian which can be potentially extended to various deformations

### **The isotropic Haldane-Shastry Hamiltonian**

[Haldane, 88; Shastry, 88]

N su(2) spins 1/2 on a circle with periodic boundary conditions  $z_j \mapsto \omega^j = e^{2\pi i j/N}$ 

$$H_{\rm HS} = -\sum_{i \neq j} V(z_i, z_j) P_{ij}$$

$$V(z_i, z_j) = \frac{z_i z_j}{(z_i - z_j)^2} = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \pi (i - j)/N} \qquad P_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_j^a \sigma_k^a + 1 \right) \qquad \text{spin}$$
permutation

also solvable for su(n) spins in fundamental representation

Yangian symmetry and CFT limit:[Haldane, Ha, Talstra, Bernard, Pasquier, 92]algebraic structure:[Bernard, Gaudin, Haldane, Pasquier, 93]Yangian and spinon description of  $su(2)_{k=1}$  CFT:[Bernard, Pasquier, D.S. 94]

### A family of long-range isotropic spin chains

particular limit in a family of long-range potentials V(z) with parameter  $\kappa$ 



 $\wp(z)$  Weierstrass elliptic function with periods N and  $i\pi/\kappa$ interpolation between the spectra of Heisenberg and Haldane-Shastry models

### The spectrum of the Haldane-Shastry Hamiltonian

[Haldane, Ha, Talstra, Bernard, Pasquier, 92]

[Bernard, Gaudin, Haldane, Pasquier, 93]

The model is **Yangian symmetric** (huge degeneracy) and the spectrum is encoded by **motifs**:



bound states in the XXX model evolve into descendants of Haldane-Shastry highest weight states when  $\kappa \to 0$ 

### The hyperbolic limit of the Inozemtsev model

the nice algebraic structure (Yangian symmetry) is lost for the elliptic deformation, but some interesting features are retrieved for infinite length  $N \to \infty$ 

the potential becomes 
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_{N,\pi/\kappa}(z) = \kappa^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \kappa z} + \frac{1}{3} \right)$$

and it can be obtained from the Haldane-Shastry potential by the analytical continuation

$$\omega = e^{2\pi i/N} \to t = e^{-2\kappa}$$

higher Hamiltonians obtained by the same analytical continuation

used to match the first few orders of the long-range deformation of the Heisenberg model appearing in AdS/CFT [D.S., Staudacher, 03, D.S. 12]

up to exponential corrections of order  $e^{-N}$  the spectrum is obtained from the **asymptotic** Bethe Ansatz equations

$$\exp\left(ip_j N\right) = \exp\left(i\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq j}}^M \chi(p_j, p_k)\right)$$

### The hyperbolic limit of the Inozemtsev model

[Inozemtsev, 92]

asymptotic Bethe Ansatz equations:

$$e^{ip_j N} = \prod_{k;k\neq j} \frac{\varphi(p_j) - \varphi(p_k) + i}{\varphi(p_j) - \varphi(p_k) - i}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \text{ large} \qquad \varphi(p) &= \frac{1}{2}\cot\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n>0} \left[\cot(\frac{p}{2} - i\kappa n) + \cot(\frac{p}{2} + i\kappa n)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\cot\frac{p}{2} + 2\sum_{n>0} \frac{t^n \sin p}{(1 - t^n)^2 + 4t^n \sin^2(p/2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\kappa \text{ small} \qquad \qquad \varphi(p) = \frac{\pi}{2\kappa} \coth \frac{\pi p}{2\kappa} + \frac{\pi}{2\kappa} \sum_{m>0} \left[ \coth \frac{\pi (p - 2\pi m)}{2\kappa} + \coth \frac{\pi (p + 2\pi m)}{2\kappa} \right] - \frac{p}{2\kappa}$$

$$\kappa \to 0 \qquad \qquad \varphi(p) \simeq \frac{\pi - p}{2\kappa}$$

$$p_j N = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j \neq l} \ln \frac{p_j - p_l - 2i\kappa}{p_j - p_l + 2i\kappa} + 2\pi I_j = 2\pi \sum_{j \neq l} \theta(p_j - p_l) + 2\pi I_j$$

solution:  $p_j = 2\pi m_j/N$  with  $m_{j+1} - m_j \ge 2$  (motifs)

#### The hyperbolic limit of the Inozemtsev model

asymptotic Bethe Ansatz equations:  $e^{ip_jN} = \prod_{k;k\neq j} \frac{\varphi(p_j) - \varphi(p_k) + i}{\varphi(p_j) - \varphi(p_k) - i}$ the rapidity  $\varphi(p)$  is quasi-periodic  $\varphi(p + 2\pi) = \varphi(p)$  and  $\varphi(p + 2i\kappa) = \varphi(p) - i$ 

the XXX model has bound states; when  $\kappa$  diminishes their rapidities approach the real axis

M=2 example: two types of bound states [Dittrich, Inozemtsev, 96; Klabbers, Lamers, 20]

type I bound states: $p_1 = \frac{P}{2} + iq$ , $p_2 = \frac{P}{2} - iq$ transition at $q \simeq \kappa$ at small  $\kappa$  $P_{cr} \simeq 5\kappa$ type II bound states: $p_1 = \tilde{p}_1 + i\kappa$ , $p_2 = \tilde{p}_2 - i\kappa$ 

the momentum with smaller real part vanishes at  $\kappa \to 0$  and the state becomes a descendant

#### The solution of the Haldane Shastry Hamiltonian

to solve the Haldane-Shastry model it is useful to solve first the spin Calogero-Sutherland model [Bernard, Gaudin, Haldane, Pasquier, 93]

$$H_{B,F} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (z_j \partial_j)^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} \beta(\beta \mp P_{jk}) \frac{z_j z_k}{(z_j - z_k)(z_k - z_j)}$$

when  $\beta \to \infty$  the positions of the particles **freeze** at their equilibrium positions and the Hamiltonian becomes that of Haldane-Shastry **[Polychronakos, 93]** 

$$z_j \longmapsto \omega^j = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} j/N}$$

the model is solved using the degenerate double affine Hecke algebra (DDAHA) generated by

$$\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N\}$$
, and  $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N\}$   
 $[z_i, z_j] = [d_i, d_j] = 0$ 

Dunkl operators:

$$d_j = z_j \partial_j + \beta \sum_{k>j} \frac{z_j}{z_j - z_k} K_{jk} - \beta \sum_{k< j} \frac{z_k}{z_k - z_j} K_{jk}$$

 $K_{ij} z_i = z_j K_{ij}$ 

coordinate permutation

$$K_{i,i+1} d_k = \begin{cases} d_k K_{i,i+1}, & k \neq i, i+1 , \\ d_{i+1} K_{i,i+1} - \beta, & k = i , \\ d_i K_{i,i+1} + \beta & k = i+1 . \end{cases}$$

#### The solution of the Haldane Shastry Hamiltonian

the spin Calogero-Sutherland model:

 $\widetilde{\Psi} =$ 

$$H_{B,F} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (z_j \partial_j)^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} \beta(\beta \mp P_{jk}) \frac{z_j z_k}{(z_j - z_k)(z_k - z_j)}$$

 $|i_1, \cdots, i_M\rangle \approx \sigma_{i_1}^- \cdots \sigma_{i_M}^- |\uparrow \cdots \uparrow\rangle$ 

is diagonalised on functions completely (anti)symmetric by permutations of spins and coordinates

$$\Psi = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^{\beta} \widetilde{\Psi} \qquad K_{ij} P_{ij} \widetilde{\Psi} = \pm \widetilde{\Psi}$$
$$\sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_M} (-1)^{\sigma_I} \Psi(z_{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_M\}}, \overline{z}_{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_M\}}) | i_1, i_2, \dots, i_M \rangle \rangle$$

partially (anti)symmetric in both groups of variables

$$z_{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_M\}} \equiv \{z_{i_1}, z_{i_2} \dots z_{i_M}\}$$
$$\bar{z}_{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_M\}} = \{z_1, z_2 \dots z_N\} \setminus \{z_{i_1}, z_{i_2} \dots z_{i_M}\}$$

on this space of functions we can define a projection  $\pi_{B,F}(\ldots K_{ij}) = \pm \pi_{B,F}(\ldots P_{ij})$ 

$$H_{B,F} = \pi_{B,F} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} d_j^2\right)$$

### The algebraic structure of the Haldane Shastry Hamiltonian

algebraic structure of the spin Calogero-Sutherland model:

[Bernard, Gaudin, Haldane, Pasquier, 93; Uglov, 97]

$$T_a(u) \equiv \pi_F(\widehat{T}_a(u)) , \qquad \widehat{T}_a(u) = \prod_{j=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{\beta P_{ja}}{u+d_j}\right)$$

$$\pi_F(\ldots K_{ij}) = -\pi_F(\ldots P_{ij})$$

the long range nature of the interaction is contained in the dynamical inhomogeneities  $d_j$ 

the integrals of motion are generated by the quantum determinant

qDet 
$$T_a(u) = \pi_F\left(\prod_{i=1}^N \frac{u+d_i+\beta}{u+d_i}\right)$$
  $H_k = \pi_F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_i^k\right)$ 

and they commute with the elements of  $T_a(u)$  (Yangian symmetry)

### Basis of antisymmetric states (wedges) [Uglov, 97]

su(n) chain in fundamental representation: at each site we use the basis

 $\begin{array}{c|ccc} u_{k}=z^{\bar{k}}\left|\underline{k}\right\rangle, & k\in\mathbb{Z}, & \text{with } \bar{k}, \underline{k} \text{ determined by } & k=\underline{k}+\bar{k}\,n\,, & 0\leq\underline{k}\leq n-1 \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \text{coordinate} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ u_{2n}=z^{2}\left|0\right\rangle & \vdots & & \\ & & & \\ u_{n}=z\left|0\right\rangle & & & \\ u_{n+1}=z\left|1\right\rangle & \cdots & & \\ u_{2n-1}=z\left|n-1\right\rangle \\ & & & \\ u_{0}=\left|0\right\rangle & & & \\ u_{1}=\left|1\right\rangle & \cdots & & \\ u_{n-1}=z^{-1}|n-1\rangle \\ & & \\ & & \vdots & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array}$ 

wedges (Slater determinants):

$$\hat{u}_{k} \equiv u_{k_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{k_{N}} \equiv \sum_{\sigma \in S_{N}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) u_{k_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \ldots \otimes u_{k_{\sigma(N)}}$$

 $k_1 > \ldots > k_N$ ; at most *n* consecutive  $\bar{k}$ 's can be equal

### **Basis of antisymmetric states (wedges)**

On the wedge basis the action of the Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian is triangular:

$$H_{\beta,n} \ \hat{u}_k = E_k \ \hat{u}_k + 2\beta \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} h_{ij} \ \hat{u}_k$$

$$E_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \bar{k}_j^2 + \beta \sum_{j=1}^{N} (N+1-2j) \bar{k}_j + \beta^2 \frac{N(N^2-1)}{12}$$

and 
$$h_{ij} u_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{k_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{k_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{k_N}$$
  

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{k}_i - \bar{k}_j - 1} (\bar{k}_i - \bar{k}_j - r) u_{k_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{k_i - nr} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{k_j + nr} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{k_N}$$
squeezing

freezing keeps only the part linear in  $\beta$  in the Hamiltonian and evaluates the positions at N-th roots of unity  $ev_{\omega} z_j \equiv \omega^j$ 

$$H_{HS} = ev_{\omega} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{z_i z_j}{z_{ij} z_{ji}} (1 + P_{ij}) \qquad E_{HS,k} = \sum_{j=1}^N (N + 1 - 2j) \ \bar{k}_j$$

### Wedges in the frozen limit

When the coordinates are evaluated at roots of unity only a finite number of eigenstates survive; we use a collection of identities:

$$\operatorname{ev}_{\omega} \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{z_j z_k}{z_{jk} z_{kj}} = \frac{N(N^2 - 1)}{12}$$

$$\operatorname{ev}_{\omega} u_{nN+k} \simeq \operatorname{ev}_{\omega} u_k$$

ground state energy of the CS model

maximum degree of polynomial in each variable should be N-1

symmetric sums: 
$$p_k(z) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^N z_j^k$$

and elementary symmetric functions:

$$e_k(z) \equiv \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le N} z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_k}$$

$$\operatorname{ev}_{\omega} p_k(z) = N \,\delta_{k,0 \mod N} \qquad \qquad \operatorname{ev}_{\omega} e_k(z) = \delta_{k,0} + (-1)^{N-1} \delta_{k,N}$$

or  $\operatorname{ev}_{\omega}\left(p_k(z_{\alpha}) + p_k(z_{\bar{\alpha}})\right) = N\delta_{n,0}, \quad k < N$ 

### Wedges in the frozen limit

specialise to su(2) for simplicity, and split the integers  $\overline{k}_j$  into two groups depending on the value of  $\underline{k}_j = 0, 1$ 

$$\overline{k}_{1}^{(0)} > \ldots > \overline{k}_{N-M}^{(0)} , \qquad \overline{k}_{1}^{(1)} > \ldots > \overline{k}_{M}^{(1)}$$

and define the partitions  $\lambda$ ,  $\nu$  with parts

 $\lambda_i = \bar{k}_i^{(1)} - M + i , \qquad \nu_i = \bar{k}_i^{(0)} - N + M + i$ 

Schur polynomials

the wedges can be written as

$$\widehat{u}_k = \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \prod_{j < k \in \alpha} (z_j - z_k) S_{\lambda}(z_{\alpha}) \prod_{j < k \in \bar{\alpha}} (z_j - z_k) S_{\nu}(z_{\bar{\alpha}}) |\alpha\rangle\rangle$$

 $z_{\alpha} \equiv \{z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_M}\}, \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = \{i_1, \dots, i_M\},$  $1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_M \le M, \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{k \in \alpha} k$ 

upon evaluation:

$$W_{\bar{\alpha}} \equiv \prod_{j < k \in \bar{\alpha}} (\omega^j - \omega^k) = C_N \prod_{\alpha} \omega^j W_{\alpha}$$

and 
$$\operatorname{ev}_{\omega} S_{\nu}(z_{\bar{\alpha}}) = j_{\nu} \operatorname{ev}_{\omega} S_{\nu'}(z_{\alpha})$$

### Wedges in the frozen limit

upon freezing: 
$$\widehat{u}_{k} = \operatorname{const} \sum_{\alpha} \prod_{j < k \in \alpha} (z_{j} - z_{k})^{2} \prod_{j \in \alpha} z_{j} S_{\lambda}(z_{\alpha}) S_{\nu'}(z_{\alpha}) |\alpha\rangle\rangle$$

since  $S_{\lambda}(z_{\alpha}) S_{\nu'}(z_{\alpha}) = S_{\lambda+\nu'}(z_{\alpha}) + \text{lower}$  one can assume  $\nu = \emptyset$ hence the integers  $\overline{k}_{j}^{(0)}$  are compactly packed and not affected by squeezing  $\overline{k}_{j}^{(0)} = N - M - j$ 

then the rank of the wedges can be reduced by 1

on the new wedge basis the HS acts like a dynamical CS with parameter  $~~\beta\,=\,1$ 

$$H_{HS} \ \hat{u}_{\tilde{k}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \tilde{k}_{j}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} (M+1-2j)\tilde{k}_{j} - N\sum_{j=1}^{M} \tilde{k}_{j} + \frac{M(M^{2}-1)}{12} + E_{HS}^{F}\right) \ \hat{u}_{\tilde{k}}$$
$$+ 2\sum_{r=1}^{\tilde{k}_{i}-\tilde{k}_{j}-1} (\bar{k}_{i}-\bar{k}_{j}-r) \ u_{\tilde{k}_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{\tilde{k}_{i}-r} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{\tilde{k}_{j}+r} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{\tilde{k}_{M}} .$$

### **Eigenfunctions of the Haldane Shastry Hamiltonian**

$$\begin{split} \text{recap:} & \widetilde{\Psi} = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_M} \Psi(z_{\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M\}}, \overline{z}_{\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M\}}) \mid i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M \rangle \rangle \\ & \Psi(z_{\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M\}}, \overline{z}_{\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M\}}) \longrightarrow \psi(z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}, \ldots, z_{i_M}) \quad \text{using} \quad \sum_{j=1}^N z_j^n = N \, \delta_{n,0 \text{ mod } N} \\ & \psi_{\lambda}(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_M) = \prod_{m < n} (z_m - z_n)^2 \, P_{\lambda}^{\beta = 2}(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_M) \quad \text{[BGHP, 93;} \\ & \text{Bernard, Pasquier, D.S., 93]} \\ & \text{symmetric Jack polynomials labelled by partitions} \quad N - 2M + 1 \ge \lambda_1 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_M \ge 1 \end{split}$$

this construction works for the highest weight states

when extra magnons are excited  $\nu \neq \emptyset$  and the maximum degree of  $\psi(z)$ ,  $D_{\max} = 2M + 1 + \nu'_1 + \lambda_1$ , reaches N; the corresponding states are Yangian descendants (no closed form)

## **Comments and outlook**

similar result at higher rank: su(n) HS Hamiltonian equivalent to dynamical su(n-1) CS Hamiltonian with  $\beta = 1$ 

wedges are well-adapted for the infinite length, low-energy limit (CFT); the antiferromagnetic state is particularly simple in this language

we expect a similar description for the q-deformed Haldane-Shastry Hamiltonian [Lamers, Pasquier, D.S., 20] in terms of q-wedges [Kashiwara, Miwa, Stern, 95]

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_{\lambda}(z_1,\cdots,z_M) \coloneqq \left(\prod_{m< n}^{M} (\mathsf{q}\, z_m - \mathsf{q}^{-1} z_n) \, (\mathsf{q}^{-1} z_m - \mathsf{q}\, z_n)\right) P_{\lambda}^{\star}(z_1,\cdots,z_M)$$

 $P_{\lambda}^{\star}$  is a Macdonald polynomial with parameters  $q^{*} = (t^{*})^{1/2} = q$ 

**q roots of unity**, e.g. q=i? (the spectrum is extremely degenerate)

# **Comments and outlook**

Separation of variables: in the traditional approach à la Sklyanin one needs the transfer matrix/ B operator to generate the spectrum/eigenvectors

define a hybrid model starting from the CS monodromy matrix by taking as generating function of the twisted transfer matrix

 $t_x(u) = xA(u) + x^{-1}D(u)$ 

for zero/infinite twist the eigenvectors are the same as for CS; what happens upon freezing?

the eigenvalues of the separated variables are given by the eigenvalues of the Dunkl operators; they can and do occur at degenerate values  $d_j \sim d_{j+1} + \beta$ 

[in progress with G. Ferrando, J. Lamers, F. Levkovich-Maslyuk]