Conformal data of integrable Hamiltonians from non-linear integral equations

Andreas Klümper University of Wuppertal

## Outline

- conformal field theory predictions (1+1d)
- integrability: commuting families of transfer matrices, hamiltonians
  - eigenvalues from non-linear integral equations (NLIE)
  - functional equations for transfer matrices: T-, Y-systems
    - 2d Ising model
    - hard hexagons
    - 2d RSOS models
  - TQ-relations
- finite size L and T = 0, or finite temperature T and  $L = \infty$
- Spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain with non parallel boundary fields

Work in collaboration with H. Frahm, D. Wagner, and with X. Zhang (AvH fellow) within DFG-Forschergruppe 2316 "Correlations in Integrable Quantum Many-Body Systems"

#### Why are finite size data interesting?

Central charge c and conformal weights  $\Delta, \overline{\Delta}$  of the underlying CFT from ground state and low lying excitations

$$E_{0} = Le_{0} - \frac{\pi v}{6L}c + o(1/L)$$
$$E_{x} - E_{0} = \frac{2\pi}{L}v(\Delta + \bar{\Delta}) + o(1/L), \quad P_{x} - P_{0} = \frac{2\pi}{L}(\Delta - \bar{\Delta}) + o(1/L)$$

### Spectral data for integrable quantum spin chains

from commuting family of transfer matrices for 2d classical models satisfying Yang-Baxter

$$T(u)T(v) = T(v)T(u)$$
, for arbitrary  $u, v$ 

Hamiltonian as derivative

$$H = \partial_u \log T(u)$$

From now on focus on T(v).

Note: Thermodynamics from Trotterization and quantum transfer matrix  $T^{QTM}(v)$  vs. Yang-Yang.

### 2d Ising model in zero field

Transfer matrix as function of spectral parameter: commuting family, inversion identity

$$T(v-i)T(v+i) = f(v) \cdot id$$
 with known function  $f(v)$ 

For largest eigenvalue  $T_{max}(v)$  there are no zeros in "physical strip", no poles, hence

$$\log T_{max}(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(v-w) \log f(w) dw, \quad \text{in short}: \ \log T_{max} = s * \log f, \qquad s(v) := \frac{1}{4\cosh \pi v/2}$$

Integral *expression* is of convolution type. Excitations with additional terms.

#### "Hard hexagon" model

After suitable normalization of transfer matrix we have functional equation

$$T(v-i)T(v+i) = id + T(v)$$

For largest eigenvalue  $T = T_{max}$ 

$$\log T(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}v + s * \log(1+T),$$

Integral equation of convolution type. Solution by numerical iterations.

"Full story" for su(2) / q-deformation / RSOS models

Fused transfer matrices  $T_i(u)$  with spin j/2 in auxiliary space, mutually commuting

 $[T_i(u), T_l(v)] = 0,$ 

So-called *T*-system: (bilinear) functional relations for j = 1, 2, 3...AK, Pearce (1992)

$$T_j(v-i)T_j(v+i) = id + T_{j-1}(v)T_{j+1}(v)$$

 $Y_{j}(v) := T_{j-1}(v)T_{j+1}(v), \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Define

*Y*-system: for all j = 1, 2, 3, ...

 $Y_i(v-i)Y_i(v+i) = [1+Y_{i-1}(v)][1+Y_{i+1}(v)],$ 

higher rank: A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi, J. Suzuki (1994) higher rank, discrete Hirota, Bäcklund flow: Krichever, O. Lipan, P. Wiegmann, A. Zabrodin (1997)

AK, Pearce (1992)

**Non-linear integral equations for** *Y***:** For  $Y_1(v)$  the functional equation reads

 $Y_1(v-i)Y_1(v+i) = 1 + Y_2(v) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \log Y_1(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}v + s * \log(1+Y_2)$ 

where we assumed spin-1/2 in the quantum space.

Rest of functional equations turn into (simpler) integral equations

$$\log Y_j(v) = s * [\log(1 + Y_{j-1}) + \log(1 + Y_{j+1})], \ j \ge 2,$$

Solve the NLIEs, then largest **eigenvalue of**  $T_1(v)$  from

$$T_1(v-i)T_1(v+i) = 1 + Y_1(v) \implies \log T_1(v) = L\phi(v) + s * \log(1+Y_1)$$

These equations hold for any finite *L* and numerics are as good for  $L = 10^{10}$  as for L = 2: integral kernel has exponential asymptotics etc.

Conformal data can be obtained without numerics: dilog trick

Fateev, Wiegmann 1981,..., AK, Pearce 1992

# **Explicit finite size corrections in terms of dilogarithm integrals**

Eigenvalue of  $T_1$  in scaling limit by use of symmetry of the kernel leads to explicit dilogarithms

$$2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-x} \log(1+Y_1(x)) = \sum_{q=1}^{r-3} L\left(\frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{r-1}\right)}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{r-1}q\right)}\right) - \sum_{q=1}^{r-3} L\left(\frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{r}\right)}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{r}(q+1)\right)}\right)$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{6}{r(r-1)}\right) \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

Arguments of dilogarithms are asymptotics of *Y*-functions. That generalizes! (Morin-Duchesne, AK, Pearce 2017)

#### **Excited states**

- (1) similar equations with additional driving terms
- (2) dilogarithms with non standard contours, towers from circling the singularities of the integrand.

## **Thermodynamics of RSOS quantum chains**

(1) structure of **TBA equations** known from Yang-Yang thermodynamics + Takahashi-Suzuki
(2) algebraic approach to thermodynamics via Trotter+QTM

(M. Suzuki 85; M. Suzuki, M. Inoue 87; T. Koma 87; J. Suzuki, Akutsu, Wadati 90; Takahashi 91; J. Suzuki, Nagao, Wadati 92; AK 92; Destri, de Vega 92...)

Specific heat (×5) and (inverse) length of the leading magnetic correlation corresponding to the conformal weight  $\Delta_{2,2} = 3/80$  resp.  $\Delta_{2,2} = 1/40$  and  $\Delta_{3,3} = 1/15$  (AK 1992)



# **Spin-1/2** *XXX* **chain: periodic boundary**

Periodic boundary success story

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \vec{\sigma}_{j} \vec{\sigma}_{j+1}, \qquad (\sigma_{N+1}^{x,y,z} = \sigma_{1}^{x,y,z})$$

- Yang-Baxter: infinite number of conserved charges  $Q_n = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \log T(x)$ ,  $H = Q_1$
- magnetization  $\sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{z}$  commutes with *H* and  $Q_{n}$ .

. . .

$$\log Y_1(v) = N \log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4} v + s * \log(1 + Y_2)$$
  

$$\log Y_2(v) = 0 + s * [\log(1 + Y_1) + \log(1 + Y_3)],$$
  

$$\log Y_3(v) = 0 + s * [\log(1 + Y_2) + \log(1 + Y_4)],$$

**Non-diagonal boundary** System with arbitrary boundary fields  $h_1$ ,  $h_N$  can be written as

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \vec{\sigma}_j \vec{\sigma}_{j+1} + h_1^z \cdot \sigma_1^z + h_N^z \cdot \sigma_N^z + h_N^x \cdot \sigma_N^x$$

parameters of later use:  $p := 1/h_1^z$ ,  $q := 1/h_N^z$  and  $\xi := \frac{h_N^x}{h_N^z}$ . We have Yang-Baxter, reflection matrix/equation

- infinite number of conserved charges for any  $p,q,\xi$ :  $Q_n = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \log T(x)$ ,  $H = Q_1$
- for  $\xi \neq 0$  the magnetization  $\sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{z}$  does not commute with *H* and  $Q_{n}$ .

$$\log Y_1(v) = d_1(v) + s * \log(1 + Y_2)$$
  
$$\log Y_2(v) = d_2(v) + s * [\log(1 + Y_1) + \log(1 + Y_3)],$$
  
$$\log Y_3(v) = d_3(v) + s * [\log(1 + Y_2) + \log(1 + Y_4)],$$

with non-trivial driving terms in each line: not so useful (Frahm et al. 2008)

. . .

## **Finite size data from** *TQ* **relation and alternative NLIE**

**Periodic boundaries:** Getting rid of  $\infty$  many NLIEs Bethe ansatz or similar yields TQ relation

$$T_1(v)q(v) = \phi(v-i)q(v+2i) + \phi(v+i)q(v-2i) \qquad (\phi(v) = v^L)$$

with polynomial q(v) with zeros satisfying the Bethe ansatz equations.

Functional equations may be rewitten as NLIE for two auxiliary functions  $\mathfrak{a}, \overline{\mathfrak{a}}$ 

1 . 1

# Spin-1/2 XXX chain: general integrable boundary conditions

Integrability is proven by the Yang-Baxter equation and Sklyanin's reflection algebra Several methods of solution have been applied

- TQ relations in case of roots of unity, special boundary terms (Nepomechie 2002/04)
- Fusion (Frahm, Grelik, Seel, Wirth 2008)
- Separation of variables (Frahm, Seel, Wirth 2008; Nicolli 2012; Faldella, Kitanine, Niccoli 2013; Kitanine, Maillet, Niccoli, Terras 2018)
- Off-diagonal Bethe ansatz: Commuting transfer matrices + inversion identities (J. Cao, W.-L. Yang, K. Shi, Y. Wang 2013, R.I. Nepomechie 2013, Li, Cao, Yang, Shi, Wang 2014)
- Modified Bethe ansatz (Belliard 2015; Belliard, Pimenta 2015; Crampé N; Avan, Belliard, Grosjean, Pimenta 2015; Belliard, Rodrigo A Pimenta, Slavnov 2021)
- parallel field case: Alcaraz, Barber, Batchelor, Baxter, Quispel 1987

J. Cao, W.-L. Yang, K. Shi, Y. Wang derived the following ansatz for a polynomial T(u) that satisfies a couple of discrete functional equations:

$$\begin{split} T(u) = & \frac{2(u+1)^{2N+1}}{2u+1} (u+p) [(1+\xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}u+q] \frac{Q_1(u-1)}{Q_2(u)} \\ &+ \frac{2u^{2N+1}}{2u+1} (u-p+1) [(1+\xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(u+1)-q] \frac{Q_2(u+1)}{Q_1(u)} \\ &+ 2[(-1)^N - (1+\xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \frac{[u(u+1)]^{2N+1}}{Q_1(u)Q_2(u)} \end{split}$$

where  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are polynomials

$$Q_1(u) = \prod_{l=1}^N (u - \mu_l) \qquad \qquad Q_2(u) = (-1)^N \prod_{l=1}^N (u + \mu_l + 1)$$

with zeros  $\mu_j$  to be determined by analyticity conditions. There are N of them, they are complex valued...

Almost any established method yields the correct bulk O(N) and boundary  $O(N^0)$  terms of the ground state. The  $O(N^{-1})$  terms are unknown.

## **Functional equations: Definition of auxiliary functions**

We shift the arguments of the functions

$$q_{1}(x) := Q_{1}\left(\frac{i}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \qquad q_{2}(x) := Q_{2}\left(\frac{i}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
$$t(x) = T\left(\frac{i}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}\right) = \underbrace{\Phi_{1}(x)\frac{q_{1}(x+2i)}{q_{2}(x)}}_{\lambda_{1}(x)} + \underbrace{\Phi_{2}(x)\frac{1}{q_{1}(x)q_{2}(x)}}_{\lambda_{2}(x)} + \underbrace{\Phi_{3}(x)\frac{q_{2}(x-2i)}{q_{1}(x)}}_{\lambda_{3}(x)}$$

and find that the following auxiliary functions have useful properties:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{a} &:= \frac{\lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)}{\lambda_1(x)}, & 1 + \mathfrak{a} = \frac{\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)}{\lambda_1(x)}, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{a}} &:= \frac{\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x)}{\lambda_3(x)}, & 1 + \overline{\mathfrak{a}} = \frac{\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)}{\lambda_3(x)}, \\ \mathfrak{c} &:= \frac{\lambda_2(x) \left[\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)\right]}{\lambda_1(x)\lambda_3(x)}, & 1 + \mathfrak{c} = \frac{\left[\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x)\right] \left[\lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)\right]}{\lambda_1(x)\lambda_3(x)}, \end{split}$$

tJ model like ansatz of suitable auxiliary functions (Jüttner, AK 97)

Factorization into "elementary factors" yields integral equations for logs.

# **Non-linear integral equations**

3 non-linear integral equations take the compact form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \log \mathfrak{a} \\ \log \overline{\mathfrak{a}} \\ \log \mathfrak{c} \end{pmatrix} = d + K * \begin{pmatrix} \log(1+\mathfrak{a}) \\ \log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) \\ \log(1+\mathfrak{c}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad K = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & -\kappa & k \\ -\kappa & \kappa & k^* \\ k^* & k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k(x) := -\frac{i}{x - i0 + i}$$

where  $\kappa(x)$  was introduced before and

$$d := \begin{pmatrix} (2N+1)\log \operatorname{th}(x) + \gamma(x-x_0) + \gamma(x+x_0) + \dots \\ (2N+1)\log \operatorname{th}(x) + \tilde{\gamma}(x-x_0) + \tilde{\gamma}(x+x_0) + \dots \\ \log[x^2(x^2 - x_0^2)] + \log c_{\infty} + \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\gamma(.)$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}(.)$  and ... denote terms containing O(1) expressions of type

$$\log \frac{\Gamma(\text{cst.} - \text{i}x/4)}{\Gamma(\text{cst.} + \text{i}x/4)}$$

Warning: auxiliary functions show windings

# Numerical solution to NLIE: ground-state

Solution for  $p = -0.6, q = -0.3, \xi = 0.1$  and N = 10



Notice the kinks, otherwise functions are rather boring.

Observations:

- The position of the kinks is difficult to understand "intuitively". For large arguments all driving terms take "flat values". And somewhere the functions a and  $\overline{a}$  encircle -1.
- For small  $\xi$  the "kinks" in  $\log \mathfrak{a}(x)$  are far from the origin.
- The kinks disappear to infinity for  $\xi \to 0$  (parallel boundary fields) which also enforces  $\mathfrak{c} \to 0$ . Then only two NLIEs for two functions are left.

CFT data for  $\xi = 0$ :

The finite size data for the ground-state energy can be obtained by the dilog-trick.

Two cases to distinguish:

(i) The left or right boundary field is zero (or both): parameter  $x_0 = \infty$ (ii) generic case: parameter  $x_0$  finite, but scales like  $\frac{2}{\pi} \log N$ 

$$E_N - Ne_0 - f_s = -\frac{\pi v}{24N} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & \text{vanishing boundary field(s)} \\ 1 - 6 = -5, & \text{non-vanishing boundary fileds} \end{cases}$$

compare Alcaraz, Barber, Batchelor, Baxter, Quispel 1987; Asakawa, Suzuki 1995

# **Numerical solution to NLIE: increasing** N

Solution for  $p = -0.6, q = -0.3, \xi = 0.2$  and N = 1000.

Shown are real and imaginary parts of log(1 + a), and the real valued log(1 + c)



Functions are still boring. However, for increasing *N* the two characteristics,  $x_0$  and kink, move out to larger arguments and closer to each other  $\rightarrow$  instability.

## Numerical solution to NLIE: initial transition too low

Solution for  $p = -0.6, q = -0.3, \xi = 0.2$  and N = 1000.

Shown are real and imaginary parts of  $\log(1 + a)$ , and the real valued  $\log(1 + c)$  after every 10 steps of in total 100 iterations.



General integrable boundary conditions - p.19/25

# Numerical solution to NLIE: initial transition too high

Solution for  $p = -0.6, q = -0.3, \xi = 0.2$  and N = 1000.

Shown are real and imaginary parts of  $\log(1 + a)$ , and the real valued  $\log(1 + c)$  after every 10 steps of in total 100 iterations.



General integrable boundary conditions - p.20/25

## **Thermodynamic limit**

Positions of kinks  $\leftrightarrow$  special roots. The location of the kinks must not be larger than  $x_0$ . Parameter  $x_0$  is of order  $\frac{2}{\pi} \log N$ . We impose that the kinks do not increase, i.e. are  $O(N^0)$ . The scaling limit of the equations is – we rescale x and  $x_0$  by  $\frac{2}{\pi} \log N$ :

$$\log a(x) = \log \tilde{a}_{\infty} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + a(x)}{1 + \bar{a}(x)} - \frac{i}{x - i\epsilon} * \log(1 + c), \text{ for } x \notin [-1, 1]; \text{ else } a(x) = 0$$
$$\log c(x) = \log \left( \tilde{c}_{\infty} \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2} \right) + \frac{i}{x + i\epsilon} * \log(1 + a) - \frac{i}{x - i\epsilon} * \log(1 + \bar{a})$$

Iterations converge



# Finite size data

The dilog-trick is applicable. The finite size data are given by dilogarithms evaluated at the asymptotics of the auxiliary functions.

The numerical results for finite boundary fields indicate

$$E_N - Ne_0 - f_s = -\frac{\pi v}{24N} \left( 1 - 6\left(1 - \frac{\phi}{\pi}\right)^2 \right)$$

with  $\phi = 2\xi$  for small  $\xi$ , and possibly for arbitrary values of  $\xi$  we have

$$\cos\phi = \frac{1-\xi^2}{1+\xi^2}$$

# **Location of zeros and poles for** 1 + (x) **and** c(x)

Solution for p = -0.6, q = -0.3,  $\xi = 1.2$  and N = 12. Shown are zeros (blue) and poles (red) of 1 + a(x) and c(x)



Question about the thermodynamic limit: Where do the poles go?

# **Location of zeros and poles for** 1 + a(x) **for** N = 10 **and** 12

Solution for  $p = -0.6, q = -0.3, \xi = 1.2$ .

Shown are zeros (blue) and poles (red) of 1 + a(x) for N = 10, 12



Results:

- presentation of three (!) non-linear integral equations for the Heisenberg chain with broken conservation of magnetization
- potentially much more powerful than usual numerics (direct Bethe ansatz, Lanczos)
- direct iterative treatment of NLIE suffers from instabilities
- calculations in conjectured scaling limit work
- finite size data depend on orientation of boundary fields

To do:

- check of conjectured scaling limit
- other integrable systems exist with difficult kernels etc.:

the  $3 \times \overline{3}$  network model with sl(2|1) symmetry

strongly staggered six-vertex model