in

Crosscap States Integrable Theories João Caetano

Based on 2111.09901 with Shota Komatsu





Florence 23 May 2022

$z \sim -1/\bar{z}$







- Cut out a disk from a 2d surface + identify points at the boundary of the disk
- The state created by this procedure is the **crosscap state**

• Insert one crosscap state on S^2 : \mathbb{RP}^2



• Insert one crosscap state on S^2 : \mathbb{RP}^2

• Insert two crosscap states on S²: Klein bottle



Non-orientable manifolds





Boundaries & defects are great

- Wilson/'t Hooft loops in gauge theories: order parameter for **confinement**
- In 2D, boundaries and interfaces appear naturally as low energy description of **lattice systems** with impurities (e.g. Kondo effect)

• Strings and holography



In 1+1 D QFTs:

• Fixed points of RG and use 2D CFT techniques

In 1+1 D QFTs:

• Fixed points of RG and use 2D CFT techniques

Systematic construction of conformal boundary conditions:

THE BOUNDARY AND CROSSCAP STATES IN **CONFORMAL FIELD THEORIES**

NOBUYUKI ISHIBASHI

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received 20 June 1988

A method to obtain the boundary states and the crosscap states explicitly in various conformal field theories, is presented. This makes it possible to construct and analyse open string theories in several closed string backgrounds. We discuss the construction of such theories in the case of the backgrounds corresponding to the conformal field theories with SU(2) current algebra symmetry.

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS, FUSION RULES AND THE VERLINDE FORMULA

John L. CARDY

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

Received 27 February 1989

Boundary operators in conformal field theory are considered as arising from the juxtaposition of different types of boundary conditions. From this point of view, the operator content of the theory in an annulus may be related to the fusion rules. By considering the partition function in such a geometry, we give a simple derivation of the Verlinde formula.



In 1+1 D QFTs:

• Use integrable models (∞ conserved charges)

In 1+1 D QFTs:

• Use integrable models (∞ conserved charges)

SUBIR GHOSHAL* and ALEXANDER ZAMOLODCHIKOV¹¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, PO Box 849, Piscataway, NJ 08855-0849, USA

We study integrals of motion and factorizable S matrices in two-dimensional integrable field theory with boundary. We propose the "boundary cross-unitarity equation," which is the boundary analog of the crossing-symmetry condition of the "bulk" S matrix. We derive the boundary S matrices for the Ising field theory with boundary magnetic field and for the boundary sine-Gordon model.

For special boundaries, called integrable boundaries, one can follow their RG flow

BOUNDARY S MATRIX AND BOUNDARY STATE IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL INTEGRABLE QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

Received 29 November 1993



THE BOUNDARY AND CROSSCAP STATES IN CONFORMAL FIELD THEORIES

NOBUYUKI ISHIBASHI

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received 20 June 1988

THE BOUNDARY AND CROSSCAP STATES IN CONFORMAL FIELD THEORIES

NOBUYUKI ISHIBASHI

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received 20 June 1988

- Crosscap states on the worldsheet = orientifolds.
- Common in string compactifications, e.g. de Sitter vacua construction

THE BOUNDARY AND CROSSCAP STATES IN CONFORMAL FIELD THEORIES

NOBUYUKI ISHIBASHI

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received 20 June 1988

- Crosscap states on the worldsheet = orientifolds.
- Common in string compactifications, e.g. de Sitter vacua construction
- Time-reversal anomalies on nonorientable manifolds [Witten'16]

THE BOUNDARY AND CROSSCAP STATES IN CONFORMAL FIELD THEORIES

NOBUYUKI ISHIBASHI

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received 20 June 1988

- Crosscap states on the worldsheet = orientifolds.
- Common in string compactifications, e.g. de Sitter vacua construction
- Time-reversal anomalies on nonorientable manifolds [Witten'16]
- Bootstrap with crosscap states: more restricted structure than boundary States [Giombi, Khanchandani, Zhou'20]

THE BOUNDARY AND CROSSCAP STATES IN CONFORMAL FIELD THEORIES

NOBUYUKI ISHIBASHI

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received 20 June 1988

A method to obtain the boundary states and the crosscap states explicitly in various conformal field theories, is presented. This makes it possible to construct and analyse open string theories in several closed string backgrounds. We discuss the construction of such theories in the case of the backgrounds corresponding to the conformal field theories with SU(2) current algebra symmetry.

• Never studied in integrable models!

- Crosscap states on the worldsheet = orientifolds.
- Common in string compactifications, e.g. de Sitter vacua construction
- Time-reversal anomalies on nonorientable manifolds [Witten'16]
- Bootstrap with crosscap states: more restricted structure than boundary states [Giombi, Khanchandani, Zhou'20]

Outline

- RG flow for the p-function
- Crosscap States in Spin Chain
- Outlook

• Exact crosscap overlaps & p-function in Integrable Field Theories

Crosscap overlaps $\langle \mathcal{C} | \Psi \rangle$



Crosscap overlaps $\langle \mathcal{C} | \Psi \rangle$





Crosscap overlaps $\langle \mathcal{C} | \Psi \rangle$





Crosscap overlaps $\langle \mathcal{C} | \Psi \rangle$





Crosscap overlaps $\langle \mathcal{C} | \Psi \rangle$





$$Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L) = \sum_{\Psi_L} e^{-E_{\Psi_L}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Psi_L \right|$$

Crosscap overlaps $\langle \mathcal{C} | \Psi \rangle$





$$Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L) = \sum_{\Psi_L} e^{-E_{\Psi_L}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Psi_L \right|$$

Crosscap overlaps $\langle \mathcal{C} | \Psi \rangle$





$Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L) = \operatorname{Tr}_{2R} \left[\prod e^{-HL/2} \right] = \sum_{\psi_{2R}} e^{-E_{\psi_{2R}}L/2} \langle \psi_{2R} | \Pi | \psi_{2R} \rangle$



Parity operator

$Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L) = \operatorname{Tr}_{2R}\left[\prod_{k} e^{-HL/2}\right] = \sum_{\psi_{2R}} e^{-E_{\psi_{2R}}L/2} \langle \psi_{2R} | \Pi | \psi_{2R} \rangle$



 $Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L) = \operatorname{Tr}_{2R}\left[\prod_{j} e^{-HL/2}\right] = \sum_{\psi_{2R}} e^{-E_{\psi_{2R}}L/2} \langle \psi_{2R} | \Pi | \psi_{2R} \rangle$ Parity operator

Parity eigenstates



Parity eigenvalues ± 1

Loop channel (open string) = Tree channel (closed string)

Loop channel (open string) = Tree channel (closed string)

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left[\sum_{\psi_{2R}} \epsilon_{\psi_{2R}} e^{-E_{\psi_{2R}}L/2} \right] \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega_{L}}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_{L} \rangle \right|^{2}$$

$\langle \mathcal{C} | \Omega_L \rangle$ controls the density of states weighted by the parity ϵ_{ψ}

Loop channel (open string) = Tree channel (closed string)

$F_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv -\lim_{R \to \infty} \log Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R, L) \qquad Parity-weighted free energy$
$$F_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv -\lim_{R \to \infty} \log$$

 $= RE_{\Omega_L} - \log \left[\lim_{R \to \infty} Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L) \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega_L}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right|^2 \right]$

$Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L)$ *Parity-weighted* free energy

$$\left[\left| \left\langle \mathscr{C} \left| \Omega_L \right\rangle \right|^2 \right] + O(1/R)$$

 $= RE_{\Omega_L} - \log \lfloor$

$F_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv -\lim_{R \to \infty} \log Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R, L) \qquad Parity-weighted free energy$

$$\left[\left| \left\langle \mathscr{C} \left| \Omega_L \right\rangle \right|^2 \right] + O(1/R)$$

 $F_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv -\lim_{R \to \infty} \log Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R, L) \qquad Parity-weighted free energy$





 $F_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv -\lim_{R \to \infty} \log Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R, L)$ *Parity-weighted* free energy

$$\left[\left| \left\langle \mathscr{C} \left| \Omega_L \right\rangle \right|^2 \right] + O(1/R) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}(1) \text{ piece}$$

• Same structure as the thermal free energy of a system with boundaries • In that case, $\mathcal{O}(1)$ piece defines the **boundary entropy** or **g-function**



• Similarly, we define **crosscap entropy** or **p-function**:

$$s_{\mathscr{C}} = \log|p|$$

• We will study this quantity in integrable models

p-function

$$p \equiv \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle$$

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}_{2R} \left[\Pi e^{-\hat{H}L/2} \right] \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right|^2$$

Large volume partition function

Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz + $\mathcal{O}(1)$ fluctuation

 \leftrightarrow (in integrable models)



$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}_{2R} \left[\Pi e^{-\hat{H}I} \right]$$

• **Single type** of particle (massive) (e.g sinh-Gordon model)

 $\left| \frac{1}{2} \right| \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \left\langle \mathscr{C} \right| \Omega_L \right\rangle \right|^2$

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}_{2R} \left[\Pi e^{-\hat{H}L/2} \right] \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right|^2$$

- **Single type** of particle (massive) (e.g sinh-Gordon model)
- Energy eigenstates for $R \to \infty \leftrightarrow M$ excitations labelled by $|\{p_i\}\rangle$

 $1 = e^{2ip_j}$

$$\sum_{\substack{j \neq j}} S(p_j, p_k)$$

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}_{2R} \left[\Pi e^{-\hat{H}L/2} \right] \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right|^2$$

- **Single type** of particle (massive) (e.g sinh-Gordon model)
- Energy eigenstates for $R \to \infty \leftrightarrow M$ excitations labelled by $|\{p_i\}\rangle$

 $1 = e^{2ip_j}$

• $\Pi | \{p_i\} \rangle \propto | \{-p_i\} \rangle$

$$\sum_{\substack{j \neq j}} S(p_j, p_k)$$

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}_{2R} \left[\Pi e^{-\hat{H}L/2} \right] \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right|^2$$

- **Single type** of particle (massive) (e.g sinh-Gordon model)
- Energy eigenstates for $R \to \infty \leftrightarrow M$ excitations labelled by $|\{p_i\}\rangle$

 $1 = e^{2ip_j}$

- $\Pi | \{p_i\} \rangle \propto | \{-p_i\} \rangle$
- For Bethe states with standard normalization: $\Pi |\{p_i\}\rangle = \mathbf{1} |\{-p_i\}\rangle$

$$\sum_{\substack{k \neq j}} S(p_j, p_k)$$



$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}_{2R} \left[\Pi e^{-\hat{H}L/2} \right] \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right|^2$$

• States whose momenta are **not invariant** under the sign flip **do not** contribute in the parity-weighted trace:

 $\langle \{p_j\} \mid \Pi \mid \{p_j\} \rangle = \langle \{p_j\} \mid \{p$

$$-p_j\}\rangle = 0 \qquad \text{if } \{p_j\} \neq \{-p_j\}$$

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}_{2R} \left[\Pi e^{-\hat{H}L/2} \right] \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right|^2$$

• States whose momenta are **not invariant** under the sign flip **do not** contribute in the parity-weighted trace:

$$\langle \{p_j\} \mid \Pi \mid \{p_j\} \rangle = \langle \{p_j\} \mid \{-p_j\} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if } \{p_j\} \neq \{-p_j\}$$

• So only states with the set of momenta

$$\{p_1, \dots, p_M, -p_M, \dots, -p_1\}$$

 $\{p_1, \ldots, p_M, 0, -p_M, \ldots, -p_1\}$ or



$$e^{-\frac{L}{2}\sum_{j}E(p_{j})} \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \left\langle \mathscr{C} \mid \Omega_{L} \right\rangle \right|^{2}$$



$$e^{-\frac{L}{2}\sum_{j}E(p_{j})} \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \left\langle \mathscr{C} \mid \Omega_{L} \right\rangle \right|^{2}$$

Standard thermal sum with the constraint $\{p_i\} = \{-p_i\}$



$$e^{-\frac{L}{2}\sum_{j}E(p_{j})} \simeq e^{-E_{\Omega}R} \left| \left\langle \mathscr{C} \mid \Omega_{L} \right\rangle \right|^{2}$$

Standard thermal sum

with the constraint $\{p_i\} = \{-p_i\}$

Apply standard TBA techniques to compute the saddle point and its fluctuations

 $e^{-\frac{L}{2}\sum_{j}E(p_{j})}$ $\{p_j\} = \{-p_j\}$



 $e^{-\frac{L}{2}\sum_{j}E(p_{j})}$ **S**: $\{p_j\} = \{-p_j\}$ **T**:



 $\{p_1, \dots, p_M, -p_M, \dots, -p_1\}$ or $\{p_1, \dots, p_M, 0, -p_M, \dots, -p_1\}$

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k),$$

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) S(p_j, 0) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k).$$

Zero momentum particle



 $\sum e^{-\frac{L}{2}\sum_{j}E(p_{j})}$ $\{p_j\} = \{-p_j\}$ $= \sum_{a} e^{-L\sum_{p_{j}>0} E(p_{j})} + e^{-\frac{mL}{2}} \sum_{a} e^{-L\sum_{p_{j}>0} E(p_{j})}$

S:

T:



{ $p_1, \dots, p_M, -p_M, \dots, -p_1$ } or { $p_1, \dots, p_M, 0, -p_M, \dots, -p_1$ }

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k),$$

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) S(p_j, 0) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k).$$

Zero momentum particle



S:

T:

 $e^{-\frac{L}{2}\sum_{j}E(p_{j})}$ $\{p_j\} = \{-p_j\}$ $= \sum e^{-L\sum_{p_j>0} E(p_j)} + e^{-\frac{mL}{2}} \sum e^{-L\sum_{p_j>0} E(p_j)}$

Formally similar to a system with 2 identical boundaries:





{ $p_1, \dots, p_M, -p_M, \dots, -p_1$ } or { $p_1, \dots, p_M, 0, -p_M, \dots, -p_1$ }

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k),$$

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) S(p_j, 0) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k).$$

Zero momentum particle



S:

T:

 $e^{-\frac{L}{2}\sum_{j}E(p_{j})}$ $\{p_j\} = \{-p_j\}$ $= \sum e^{-L\sum_{p_j>0} E(p_j)} + e^{-\frac{mL}{2}} \sum e^{-L\sum_{p_j>0} E(p_j)}$

Formally similar to a system with 2 identical boundaries:





 $\{p_1, \dots, p_M, -p_M, \dots, -p_1\}$ or $\{p_1, \dots, p_M, 0, -p_M, \dots, -p_1\}$

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k),$$

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) S(p_j, 0) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k).$$

Zero momentum particle

$$= e^{2ip_j R} \left(R(p_j) \right)^2 \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k)$$



S:

T:

 $e^{-\frac{L}{2}\sum_{j}E(p_{j})}$ $\{p_{j}\} = \{-p_{j}\}$ $= \sum e^{-L\sum_{p_{j}>0} E(p_{j})} + e^{-\frac{mL}{2}} \sum e^{-L\sum_{p_{j}>0} E(p_{j})}$

Formally similar to a system with 2 identical boundaries:





 $\{p_1, \dots, p_M, -p_M, \dots, -p_1\}$ or $\{p_1, \dots, p_M, 0, -p_M, \dots, -p_1\}$

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k),$$

$$1 = e^{2ip_j R} S(p_j, -p_j) S(p_j, 0) \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k).$$

Zero momentum particle

$$= e^{2ip_j R} \left(R(p_j) \right)^2 \prod_{k \neq j} S(p_j, p_k) S(p_j, -p_k)$$

$$\left(R(p_i)\right)^2 \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} S(p_j, -p_j) & : S \\ S(p_i, -p_j) & : S \end{cases}$$

$$(P_j) \longrightarrow \int S(p_j, -p_j)S(p_j, 0) : \mathbf{T}$$



Result: "Simplest" g-function



S-sector

$$+\sqrt{\frac{Y(0)}{1+Y(0)}} \frac{\det\left[1-\hat{G}_{-}\right]}{\det\left[1-\hat{G}_{+}\right]}$$

T-sector

Result: "Simplest" g-function







$$+\sqrt{\frac{Y(0)}{1+Y(0)}} \frac{\det\left[1-\hat{G}_{-}\right]}{\det\left[1-\hat{G}_{+}\right]}$$

$$|p| = \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right| = \sqrt{\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{Y(0)}{1 + Y(0)}} \right) \frac{\det \left[1 - \hat{G}_- \right]}{\det \left[1 - \hat{G}_+ \right]}}$$



$$|p| = \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right| = \sqrt{\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{Y(0)}{1 + Y(0)}} \right) \frac{\det \left[1 - \hat{G}_- \right]}{\det \left[1 - \hat{G}_+ \right]}}$$



$0 = LE(u) + \log Y(u) - \log(1 + Y) \star \mathscr{K}_{+}(u)$ Dispersion relation $\mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u,v) = \frac{1}{\cdot}\partial_{u} \left[\log S(u,v) \pm \log S(u,-v) \right]$

$$|p| = \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right| = \sqrt{\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{Y(0)}{1 + Y(0)}} \right) \frac{\det \left[1 - \hat{G}_- \right]}{\det \left[1 - \hat{G}_+ \right]}}$$



$$0 = LE(u) + \log Y(u) - \log(1 + Y) \star \mathscr{K}_{+}(u)$$

Dispersion relation
$$\mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u, v) = \frac{1}{i} \partial_{u} \left[\log S(u, v) \pm \log S(u, v) \right]$$



$$|p| = \left| \langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega_L \rangle \right| = \sqrt{\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{Y(0)}{1 + Y(0)}} \right) \frac{\det \left[1 - \hat{G}_- \right]}{\det \left[1 - \hat{G}_+ \right]}}$$

Fredholm determinants:

Y-function

$$0 = LE(u) + \log Y(u) - \log(1 + Y) \star \mathscr{K}_{+}(u)$$
Dispersion relation

$$\mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u, v) = \frac{1}{i} \partial_{u} \left[\log S(u, v) \pm \log S(u, v) \right]$$

$$\hat{G}_{\pm} \cdot f(u) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{2\pi} \frac{\mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u, v)}{1 + 1/Y(v)} f(v)$$



• Can be generalized for any excited state $|\langle \mathscr{C} | \Psi_L \rangle|$ using analytic continuation of this formula, similar to Dorey-Tateo trick.

$$|\langle \mathscr{C} | \Psi_L \rangle| = \sqrt{\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{Y(0)}{1 + Y(0)}}\right)} \frac{\det\left[1 - \hat{G}_{-}^{\bullet}\right]}{\det\left[1 - \hat{G}_{+}^{\bullet}\right]}$$

$$\hat{G}_{\pm}^{\bullet} \cdot f(u) = \sum_{k} \frac{i\mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u, u_{k})}{\partial_{u}\log Y(\tilde{u}_{k})} f(\tilde{u}_{k}) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{2\pi} \frac{\mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u, v)}{1 + 1/Y(v)} f(v)$$

• Can be generalized for any excited state $|\langle \mathscr{C} | \Psi_L \rangle|$ using analytic continuation of this formula, similar to Dorey-Tateo trick.

$$|\langle \mathscr{C} | \Psi_L \rangle| = \sqrt{\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{Y(0)}{1 + Y(0)}}\right)} \frac{\det\left[1 - \hat{G}_{-}^{\bullet}\right]}{\det\left[1 - \hat{G}_{+}^{\bullet}\right]}$$

$$\hat{G}_{\pm}^{\bullet} \cdot f(u) = \sum_{k} \frac{i\mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u, u_{k})}{\partial_{u}\log Y(\tilde{u}_{k})} f(\tilde{u}_{k}) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{2\pi} \frac{\mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u, v)}{1 + 1/Y(v)} f(v)$$

• Asymptotic limit

$$\left| \left\langle \mathscr{C} \mid \Psi_L \right\rangle \right| \stackrel{L \to \infty}{=} \sqrt{\frac{\det G_+}{\det G_-}}$$
$$\left(G_{\pm} \right)_{1 \le i, j \le \frac{M}{2}} = \left[L\partial_u p(u_i) + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{M}{2}} \mathscr{K}_+(u_i, u_k) \right] \delta_{ij} - \mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u_i, u_j)$$

RG flow of p-function

RG flow of p-function

• Goal: use previous result to study how p-function evolves under RG
- Goal: use previous result to study how p-function evolves under RG
- Use staircase model of Al. Zamolodchikov

- Goal: use previous result to study how p-function evolves under RG
- Use staircase model of Al. Zamolodchikov
- Start with sinh-Gordon model (integrable)

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm shG} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Phi)^2 - \frac{m^2}{b^2} \cosh(b\Phi)$$

- Goal: use previous result to study how p-function evolves under RG
- Use staircase model of Al. Zamolodchikov
- Start with sinh-Gordon model (integrable)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm shG} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Phi)^2 - \frac{m^2}{b^2} \cosh(b\Phi)$$

• Exact S-matrix

 $S(u - v) = \frac{\sinh}{\sinh}$

$$\frac{h(u-v) - i\sin\gamma}{h(u-v) + i\sin\gamma} \sum_{\gamma = \frac{\pi b^2}{8\pi + b^2}}$$

$S(u - v) = \frac{\sinh u}{\sinh u}$

$$\frac{h(u-v) - i\sin\gamma}{h(u-v) + i\sin\gamma} \sum_{\gamma = \frac{\pi b^2}{8\pi + b^2}}$$

$$S(u - v) = \frac{\sinh(u - v) - i\sin\gamma}{\sinh(u - v) + i\sin\gamma} \sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{1}{8\pi}$$

 $\frac{\pi b^2}{8\pi + b^2}$

$$S(u - v) = \frac{\sinh(u - v) - i\sin\gamma}{\sinh(u - v) + i\sin\gamma} \sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{1}{8\pi}$$

- $\frac{\pi b^2}{\pi + b^2}$

S-matrix **invariant** under: $\gamma \rightarrow \pi - \gamma \Leftrightarrow$ weak-strong coupling duality

$$S(u - v) = \frac{\sinh(u - v) - i\sin\gamma}{\sinh(u - v) + i\sin\gamma} \sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{1}{8\pi}$$

Al. Zamolodchikov said:

- $\frac{\pi b^2}{\pi + b^2}$

S-matrix **invariant** under: $\gamma \rightarrow \pi - \gamma \Leftrightarrow$ weak-strong coupling duality



$$S(u - v) = \frac{\sinh(u - v) - i\sin\gamma}{\sinh(u - v) + i\sin\gamma} \sum_{\gamma = \frac{1}{8\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}}$$

Al. Zamolodchikov said:

Al. Zamolodchikov said:

- $\frac{\pi b^2}{\pi + b^2}$
- S-matrix **invariant** under: $\gamma \rightarrow \pi \gamma \Leftrightarrow$ weak-strong coupling duality



Resulting S-matrix still physical (Real analytic, unitary, crossing symmetric)

Lagrangian description not so clear



 $\gamma =$

$$=\frac{\pi}{2}\pm i\theta_0$$

Take θ_0 to infinity and compute the effective central charge (i.e. ground state energy)



 $\gamma =$

Take θ_0 to infinity and compute the effective central charge (i.e. ground state energy)



$$=\frac{\pi}{2}\pm i\theta_0$$



 $\gamma =$

Take θ_0 to infinity and compute the effective central charge (i.e. ground state energy)

		•••••		
-250	-200	-150	-100	-50
	a.a.(<i>A</i>)	$aa(\Lambda)$	
		$A) \rightarrow A$		in

$$=\frac{\pi}{2}\pm i\theta_0$$



 $\mathscr{M}_{m}^{(A)} \to \mathscr{M}_{m-1}^{(A)}$ induced by the least relevant operator ϕ_{13}







Orange lines determined from the CFT



 $|\langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega \rangle| = \left(\sum_{a} n_{a,a} S_{a,l}\right)^{2}$ irreducible representation of the Virasoro algebra degeneracy of states in therepresentation*a*for thechiral and anti-chiral part

Orange lines determined from the CFT



Specifiying for $\mathcal{M}_m^{(A)}$: $|p| = |\langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega$

Orange lines determined from the CFT

 $|\langle \mathscr{C} | \Omega \rangle| =$ $\sum n_{a,a} S_{a,I}$ modular S-matrix a and irreducible identity representation representation of the degeneracy of states in the Virasoro algebra representation *a* for the chiral and anti-chiral part

$$|2\rangle| = \left(\frac{2}{m(m+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\cot\frac{\pi}{2m}\cot\frac{\pi}{2(m+1)}}$$



• A-series contain \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry

- A-series contain \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry
- D-series = gauging \mathbb{Z}_2 (\mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold of A-series)
- Non diagonal, also contains an emergent \mathbb{Z}_2

- A-series contain \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry
- D-series = gauging \mathbb{Z}_2 (\mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold of A-series)
- Non diagonal, also contains an emergent \mathbb{Z}_2

- This amounts to:

 - 1. Add twisted sector, e.g. $\phi(\sigma + 2\pi) = -\phi(\sigma)$ 2. Restrict Hilbert space to \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant states

- A-series contain \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry
- D-series = gauging \mathbb{Z}_2 (\mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold of A-series)
- Non diagonal, also contains an emergent \mathbb{Z}_2

- This amounts to:

 - 1. Add twisted sector, e.g. $\phi(\sigma + 2\pi) = -\phi(\sigma)$ 2. Restrict Hilbert space to \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant states
- Bethe Ansatz counterpart

 - 1. Allow particles to be also anti-periodic (twisted sector) $-1 = e^{2ip_j R} \prod S(p_j, p_k)$ 2. States with even number of particles



• Bethe Ansatz counterpart

1. Allow particles to be also anti-periodic (twisted sector) 2. States with even number of particles

- Bethe Ansatz counterpart
 - 1. Allow particles to be also anti-periodic (twisted sector)
 - 2. States with even number of particles

- Bethe Ansatz counterpart
 - 1. Allow particles to be also anti-periodic (twisted sector)
 - States with even number of particles 2.

Redoing TBA, with this Bethe Ansatz as a starting point:

 \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold :



- Bethe Ansatz counterpart
 - Allow particles to be also anti-periodic (twisted sector) 1.
 - States with even number of particles 2.

Redoing TBA, with this Bethe Ansatz as a starting point:

 \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold :













A-series



original staircase orbifolded staircase

 $Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L) \stackrel{R,L\gg 1}{\sim} \begin{cases} 1 \\ 2 \end{cases}$

A-series

RG flow of p-function (D-series) A-series log

-250

 $\frac{1}{2}\log 2$



original staircase orbifolded staircase

 $Z_{\mathbb{K}}(R,L) \stackrel{R,L\gg1}{\sim} \begin{cases} 1 \\ 2 \end{cases}$



-150

-100

-50

-200




- Along the D-series, *p*-function is monotonically decreasing.
- It increases in the deep IR in a symmetry breaking phase.

• XXX SU(2) spin chain

 $H_{\text{SU}(2)} \propto \sum_{j} \overrightarrow{S}_{j} \overrightarrow{S}_{j+1}$

- H_{SU(2} • XXX SU(2) spin chain





(2)
$$\propto \sum_{j} \overrightarrow{S}_{j} \overrightarrow{S}_{j+1}$$

• Mimic the definition in field theory: identify states on antipodal sites of the chain:

 $|c\rangle\rangle_{j} \equiv |\uparrow\rangle_{j} \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_{j+\frac{L}{2}} + |\downarrow\rangle_{j} \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_{j+\frac{L}{2}}$

- XXX SU(2) spin chain
- \bullet







Mimic the definition in field theory: identify states on antipodal sites of the chain:

 $|c\rangle\rangle_{j} \equiv |\uparrow\rangle_{j} \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_{j+\frac{L}{2}} + |\downarrow\rangle_{j} \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_{j+\frac{L}{2}}$



- H_{SU(2} • XXX SU(2) spin chain



• One can show:



(2)
$$\propto \sum_{j} \overrightarrow{S}_{j} \overrightarrow{S}_{j+1}$$

• Mimic the definition in field theory: identify states on antipodal sites of the chain:

 $|c\rangle\rangle_{j} \equiv |\uparrow\rangle_{j} \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_{j+\frac{L}{2}} + |\downarrow\rangle_{j} \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_{j+\frac{L}{2}}$

$$|\mathscr{C}\rangle \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{L}{2}} \left(|c\rangle\rangle_{j} \right)^{\bigotimes} (As of j)$$

Long-range entangled pposed to the short-range entangled in spin chain boundary state)

 $\left(T(u) - T(-u)\right) \left|\mathscr{C}\right\rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q_{2n+1} \left|\mathscr{C}\right\rangle = 0$ (∞ many conserved charges)





 $|\mathscr{C}\rangle \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{L}{2}} \left(|c\rangle\rangle_{j} \right)^{\bigotimes}$



 $|\mathscr{C}\rangle \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{L}{2}} \left(|c\rangle\rangle_{j} \right)^{\otimes}$ \mathbf{C}





Bethe state

 $\det G_+$ $\det G_-$

 $\mathscr{K}_{\pm}(u,v) = \frac{1}{i} \partial_{u} \left[\log S(u,v) \pm \log S(u,-v) \right]$



$\frac{\langle \mathscr{C} | \mathbf{u} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{u} \rangle}} = \sqrt{\frac{\det G_+}{\det G_-}}$

Boundary overlap: $\frac{\langle \mathscr{B} | \mathbf{u} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{u} \rangle}} = (\text{non-universal factor}) \times \sqrt{\frac{\det G_+}{\det G_-}}$

Conclusions

- Studied crosscap states in integrable models: integrability is preserved
- Exactly computed crosscap overlaps
- Observed monotonically decrease of p-function under RG for A-series.
- Generalized staircase to the D-series (also discussed in the paper: generalization to fermionic integrable models)
- In the D-series it also decreases, except in the deep IR in a symmetry breaking phase, where the theory becomes massive.

Outlook

- Study further the behaviour of the p-function under RG: is there a p-theorem under certain assumptions?
- Crosscap state as a initial state for a quantum quench?
- Generalize overlap formula to more general theories, such as theories with bound states and theories with non-diagonal scatterings
- Setup in AdS/CFT realizing crosscap states

THANK YOU