

# Hopf algebroids, Atiyah sequences and noncommutative gauge theories

Giovanni Landi

Trieste

Emergent Geometries from Strings and Quantum Fields

Galileo Galilei Institute - Arcetri

18 July 2023

recent papers

Paolo Aschieri, GL, Chiara Pagani,

Xiao Han, GL, Yang Liu,

Xiao Han, GL,

## Background

A (commutative) Hopf Algebroid is somehow the dual of a groupoid  
( like Hopf algebras vs groups )

Extension of scalars

( similarly to the passage from Hilbert space to Hilbert module ):

the ground field  $k$  ( the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  )  
gets replaced by a (noncommutative) algebra  $B$

so a Hopf algebra over a noncommutative base algebra

Not all structures survive:

(dual) source and target maps, (partial) coproduct, a counit

but in general there is no antipode or there are more than one

(dual) bisections make sense ( a version of **gauge transformations** )

## Abstract

Try to work out a gauge algebroid for a noncommutative principal bundle

Try to get a suitable class of ( infinitesimal ) gauge transformations

some natural structures

braiding Lie algebras to get bigger classes

a sequence of braided Lie algebras; its splitting as a connection

Weil algebra

Chern–Weil homomorphism and braided Lie algebra cohomology

upgrade it to Hopf algebra cyclic cohomology

## The classical gauge groupoid

$\pi : P \rightarrow M$  a  $G$ -principal bundle over  $M$

The diagonal action of  $G$  on  $P \times P$   $(u, v)g := (ug, vg)$ ;

$[u, v]$  is the orbit of  $(u, v)$  and  $\Omega = P \times_G P$  the collection of orbits

$\Omega$  is a groupoid over  $M$ , — the **gauge** or **Ehresmann groupoid** of the bundle

Source and target projections''

$$s([u, v]) := \pi(v), \quad t([u, v]) := \pi(u).$$

the object inclusion  $M \rightarrow P \times_G P$ :

$$m \mapsto \text{id}_m := [u, u], \quad u \in \pi^{-1}(m)$$

Partial multiplication  $[u, v'] \cdot [v, w]$ , defined when  $\pi(v') = \pi(v)$  :

$$[u, v] \cdot [v', w] = [u, wg], \quad v = v'g$$

with inverse  $[u, v]^{-1} = [v, u]$ .

A **bisection**: a map  $\sigma : M \rightarrow \Omega$ , which is right-inverse to the source projection,  $s \circ \sigma = \text{id}_M$ , and such that  $t \circ \sigma : M \rightarrow M$  is a diffeo of  $M$

The collection of bisections,  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ , form a group

$$\sigma_1 * \sigma_2(m) := \sigma_1((t \circ \sigma_2)(m))\sigma_2(m), \quad \text{for } m \in M.$$

The identity is the object inclusion  $m \mapsto \text{id}_m$ , with inverse

$$\sigma^{-1}(m) = (\sigma((t \circ \sigma)^{-1}(m)))^{-1};$$

$(t \circ \sigma)^{-1}$  as a diffeomorphism of  $M$ ; the second inversion is the one in  $\Omega$ .

The subset  $\mathcal{B}_{P/G}(\Omega)$  of **vertical** bisections, the ones that are right-inverse to the target projection as well,  $t \circ \sigma = \text{id}_M$ , form a subgroup of  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ .

There is a group isomorphism between  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  and the group of principal ( $G$ -equivariant) bundle automorphisms of the principal bundle,

$$\text{Aut}_G(P) := \{\varphi : P \rightarrow P; \varphi(pg) = \varphi(p)g\},$$

while  $\mathcal{B}_{P/G}(\Omega)$  is isomorphic to the subgroup of gauge transformations, principal bundle automorphisms which are vertical,

$$\text{Aut}_{P/G}(P) := \{\varphi : P \rightarrow P; \varphi(pg) = \varphi(p)g, \pi(\varphi(p)) = \pi(p)\}.$$

The classical sequences      Atiyah 1957

$\pi : P \rightarrow M$  a  $G$ -principal bundle over  $M$

at level of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{P/G}(P) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_G(P) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow 1$$

at level of derivations

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(P)_G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow 0$$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{X}(P)_G^{ver}$  : vertical and invariant; infinitesimal gauge transformation

a splitting of this sequence is a way to give a **connection**

( horizontal lift or a vertical projection )

an obstruction:  $H^1(M, \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega^1(M))$

## Noncommutative principal bundles

- $H$  a Hopf algebra
  - $A$  a right  $H$ -comodule algebra with coaction  $\delta^A : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ ;  $\delta(a) = a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$
- $\Rightarrow$  the subalgebra of coinvariant elements

$$B := A^{coH} = \{b \in A \mid \delta^A(b) = b \otimes 1_H\}$$

The extension  $B \subseteq A$  is  $H$ -Hopf-Galois if the **canonical Galois map**

$$\chi : A \otimes_B A \longrightarrow A \otimes H, \quad a' \otimes_B a \mapsto a' a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$$

is an isomorphism

$\chi$  is left  $A$ -linear, its inverse is determined by the restriction  $\tau := \chi|_{1_A \otimes H}^{-1}$

$$\tau = \chi|_{1_A \otimes H}^{-1} : H \rightarrow A \otimes_B A, \quad h \mapsto \tau(h) = h^{<1>} \otimes_B h^{<2>}.$$

the **translation map**; thus by definition:

$$h^{<1>} h^{<2>}_{(0)} \otimes h^{<2>}_{(1)} = 1_A \otimes h$$



Everything algebraic

$G$  be a semisimple affine algebraic group

$\pi : P \rightarrow P/G$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle with  $P$  and  $P/G$  affine varieties

$H = \mathcal{O}(G)$  the dual coordinate Hopf algebra

$A = \mathcal{O}(P)$ ,  $B = \mathcal{O}(P/G)$  the dual coordinate algebras

$B \subseteq A$  be the subalgebra of functions constant on the fibers.

Then  $B = A^{coH}$  and  $\mathcal{O}(P \times_{P/G} P) \simeq A \otimes_B A$

Bijectivity of  $P \times G \rightarrow P \times_{P/G} P$ ,  $(p, g) \mapsto (p, pg)$ , characterizing principal bundles, corresponds to the bijectivity of the canonical map  $\chi : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes H$

thus  $B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$  is a Hopf–Galois extension

An important notion is that of the classical translation map

$t : P \times_{P/G} P \rightarrow G$ ,  $(p, q) \mapsto t(p, q)$  where  $q = pt(p, q)$

the dual to  $\tau$  before

## Gauge transformations

### Classical

The group  $\mathcal{G}_P$  of gauge transformations of a principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi : P \rightarrow P/G$  is the group ( for point-wise product ) of  $G$ -equivariant maps

$$\mathcal{G}_P := \{ \sigma : P \rightarrow G; \sigma(pg) = g^{-1}\sigma(p)g \}$$

Equivalently, is the subgroup ( for map composition ) of principal bundle automorphisms which are vertical (project to the identity on the base space):

$$\text{Aut}_{P/G}(P) := \{ \varphi : P \rightarrow P; \varphi(pg) = \varphi(p)g, \pi(\varphi(p)) = \pi(p) \},$$

These definitions can be dualised for algebras rather than spaces.

For  $A = \mathcal{O}(P)$ ,  $B = \mathcal{O}(P/G)$ ,  $H = \mathcal{O}(G)$ , the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}_P$  of  $G$ -equivariant maps corresponds to  $H$ -equivariant maps that are also algebra maps

$$\mathcal{G}_A := \{f : H \rightarrow A; \delta^A \circ f = (f \otimes \text{id}) \circ \text{Ad}, f \text{ algebra map}\} .$$

The group structure is the convolution product.

Similarly, the vertical automorphisms description leads to  $H$ -equivariant maps

$$\text{Aut}_B A = \{F : A \rightarrow A; \delta^A \circ F = (F \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta^A, F|_B = \text{id} : B \rightarrow B, F \text{ algebra map}\} .$$

## The noncommutative case

Let  $B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$  be a faithfully flat Hopf–Galois extension

The collection  $\text{Aut}_H(A)$  of unital algebra maps of  $A$  into itself, which are  $H$ -equivariant,

$$\delta^A \circ F = (F \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta^A \quad F(a)_{(0)} \otimes F(a)_{(1)} = F(a_{(0)}) \otimes a_{(1)}$$

and restrict to the identity on the subalgebra  $B$ , is a group by map composition with inverse operation

$$F^{-1}(a) = a_{(0)} F(a_{(1)}^{<1>}) a_{(1)}^{<2>}$$

**H.P. Schneider:** vertical  $H$ -equivariant algebra maps are invertible

## Bialgebroids

$B$  an algebra

$B$ -ring : a triple  $(A, \mu, \eta)$       M. Takeuchi, G. Böhm ....

$A$  a  $B$ -bimodule with  $B$ -bimodule maps  $\mu : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A$  and  $\eta : B \rightarrow A$

associativity and unit conditions:

$$\mu \circ (\mu \otimes_B \text{id}_A) = \mu \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes_B \mu), \quad \mu \circ (\eta \otimes_B \text{id}_A) = \text{id}_A = \mu \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes_B \eta).$$

Dually,  $B$ -coring : a triple  $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon)$

$C$  is a  $B$ -bimodule with  $B$ -bimodule maps  $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes_B C$  and  $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow B$

coassociativity and counit conditions:

$$(\Delta \otimes_B \text{id}_C) \circ \Delta = (\text{id}_C \otimes_B \Delta) \circ \Delta, \quad (\varepsilon \otimes_B \text{id}_C) \circ \Delta = \text{id}_C = (\text{id}_C \otimes_B \varepsilon) \circ \Delta$$

A left  $B$ -bialgebroid  $\mathcal{C}$  :

a  $(B \otimes B^{op})$ -ring and a  $B$ -coring structure on  $\mathcal{C}$  with compatibility conditions

There are **source** and **target** maps (with commuting ranges)

$$s := \eta(\cdot \otimes_B 1_B) : B \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad t := \eta(1_B \otimes_B \cdot) : B^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

The compatibility conditions for a left  $B$ -bialgebroid  $\mathcal{C}$

- (i) The bimodule structures in the  $B$ -coring  $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  and those of the  $B \otimes B^{op}$ -ring  $(\mathcal{C}, s, t)$  are related as

$$b \triangleright a \triangleleft \tilde{b} := s(b)t(\tilde{b})a \quad \text{for } b, \tilde{b} \in B, a \in \mathcal{C}.$$

- (ii) The coproduct  $\Delta$  corestricts to an algebra map from  $\mathcal{C}$  to

$$\mathcal{C} \times_B \mathcal{C} := \left\{ \sum_j a_j \otimes_B \tilde{a}_j \mid \sum_j a_j t(b) \otimes_B \tilde{a}_j = \sum_j a_j \otimes_B \tilde{a}_j s(b), \forall b \in B \right\},$$

- (iii) The counit  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$  satisfies the properties,

$$(1) \quad \varepsilon(1_{\mathcal{C}}) = 1_B,$$

$$(2) \quad \varepsilon(s(b)a) = b\varepsilon(a),$$

$$(3) \quad \varepsilon(as(\varepsilon(\tilde{a}))) = \varepsilon(a\tilde{a}) = \varepsilon(at(\varepsilon(\tilde{a}))), \quad \text{for all } b \in B \text{ and } a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

A Hopf algebroid with invertible antipode G. Böhm

For a left bialgebroid  $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon, s, t)$  over the algebra  $B$ , an invertible antipode  $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  in an algebra anti-homomorphism with inverse  $S^{-1} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  s.t.

$$S \circ t = s$$

and compatibility conditions with the coproduct:

$$(Sh_{(1)})_{(1')} h_{(2)} \otimes_B S(h_{(1)})_{(2')} = 1_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_B Sh$$

$$(S^{-1}h_{(2)})_{(1')} \otimes_B (S^{-1}h_{(2)})_{(2')} h_{(1)} = S^{-1}h \otimes_B 1_{\mathcal{C}}$$

These then imply  $S(h_{(1)}) h_{(2)} = t \circ \varepsilon \circ Sh$ .

The above similar to a Hopf algebra with an algebra  $B$  as the ground field.

source of difficulties/interest : there is no unique antipode in general

A weaker condition **P. Schauenburg**

A bialgebroid  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Hopf algebroid if the map

$$\lambda : \mathcal{C} \otimes_{B^{op}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_B \mathcal{C}, \quad \lambda(p \otimes_{B^{op}} q) = p_{(1)} \otimes_B p_{(2)}q$$

is invertible

$$\otimes_{B^{op}} pt(b) \otimes_{B^{op}} q = p \otimes_{B^{op}} t(b)q \quad \otimes_B t(b)p \otimes_B q = p \otimes_B s(b)q$$

For  $B = k$ , this reduces to the map

$$\lambda : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}, \quad p \otimes q \mapsto p_{(1)} \otimes p_{(2)}q$$

which for a usual Hopf algebra with an antipode has inverse

$$p \otimes q \mapsto p_{(1)} \otimes S(p_{(2)})q$$

Also here, if there is an invertible antipode  $S$  as before **Böhm** one constructs an inverse for the map  $\lambda$  ; for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\lambda^{-1}(X \otimes_B Y) = S^{-1}(S(X)_{(2)}) \otimes_{B^{op}} S(X)_{(1)}Y$$

No claim that  $S$  here is unique



The noncommutative gauge bialgebroid aka **Ehresmann–Schauenburg**

$B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$  be a Hopf–Galois extension

right coaction :  $\delta(a) = a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$

translation map :  $\tau(h) = h^{<1>} \otimes_B h^{<2>}$

The  $B$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{C}(A, H)$  of coinvariant elements for the diagonal coaction,

$$(A \otimes A)^{coH} = \{a \otimes \tilde{a} \in A \otimes A; a_{(0)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)} \tilde{a}_{(1)} = a \otimes \tilde{a} \otimes \mathbf{1}_H\}$$

is a  $B$ -coring with coproduct and counit:

$$\Delta(a \otimes \tilde{a}) = a_{(0)} \otimes \tau(a_{(1)}) \otimes \tilde{a} = a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}^{<1>} \otimes_B a_{(1)}^{<2>} \otimes \tilde{a},$$

$$\varepsilon(a \otimes \tilde{a}) = a\tilde{a}.$$

One see  $\mathcal{C}(A, H)$  is a subalgebra of  $A \otimes A^{op}$  and it is indeed a (left)  $B$ -bialgebroid

Product  $(x \otimes \tilde{x}) \bullet_{\mathcal{C}(A, H)} (y \otimes \tilde{y}) = xy \otimes \tilde{y}\tilde{x}$

Target and source maps  $t(b) = \mathbf{1}_A \otimes b$  and  $s(b) = b \otimes \mathbf{1}_A$

Han, L. ; Han Majid - 2022

The Ehresmann–Schauenburg bialgebroid  $\mathcal{C}(A, H)$  of a Hopf–Galois extension is a Hopf algebroid :

If the Hopf algebra  $H$  is **coquasitriangular** with  $R$  matrix (a convolution invertible map)  $\mathcal{R} : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$  ( + conditions),

there is an antipode: the inverse of the braiding induced by  $\mathcal{R}$ :

$$\Psi(a \otimes \tilde{a}) = a_{(0)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{R}(a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(1)})$$

this is an invertible  $H$ -comodule map with inverse

$$\Psi^{-1}(a \otimes \tilde{a}) = a_{(0)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{-1}(a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{(1)})$$

both map restrict to the invariant subspace  $\mathcal{C}(A, H)$ .

Then  $S = \Psi^{-1}$  obeys all properties of an antipode for  $\mathcal{C}(A, H)$ .

The bialgebroid  $\mathcal{C}(A, H)$  of a Hopf–Galois extension as a quantization (of the dualization) of the classical gauge groupoid principal bundle

Its bisections correspond to gauge transformations

$\mathcal{C}(A, H)$  the gauge bialgebroid of a Hopf–Galois extension  $B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$

A **bisection** is a  $B$ -bilinear unital left character on the  $B$ -ring  $(\mathcal{C}(A, H), s)$ .

The collection  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(A, H))$  of bisections of the bialgebroid  $\mathcal{C}(A, H)$  is a group with convolution product :

$$\sigma_1 * \sigma_2(x \otimes \tilde{x}) := \sigma_1((x \otimes \tilde{x})_{(1)}) \sigma_2((x \otimes \tilde{x})_{(2)}) = \sigma_1(x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)}^{<1>}) \sigma_2(x_{(1)}^{<2>} \otimes \tilde{x})$$

using the  $B$ -coring coproduct  $\Delta(x \otimes \tilde{x}) = (x \otimes \tilde{x})_{(1)} \otimes_B (x \otimes \tilde{x})_{(2)}$

A group isomorphism

$$\alpha : \text{Aut}_H(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(A, H))$$

between gauge transformations and bisections:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(A, H)) \ni \sigma \quad \mapsto \quad F_\sigma(a) := \sigma(a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}^{<1>}) a_{(1)}^{<2>}, \quad F_\sigma \in \text{Aut}_H(A)$$

$$F \in \text{Aut}_H(A) \ni F \quad \mapsto \quad \sigma_F(a \otimes \tilde{a}) := F(a)\tilde{a}, \quad \sigma_F \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(A, H))$$

Bisection can be given for any bialgebroid

For the general case one would need additional requirements so to get a proper composition law for bisections

Explicit examples

the monopole bundles over the quantum  $S_q^2$

a not faithfully flat example from  $SL(2)$

the  $SU(2)$  - bundle  $S_\theta^7 \rightarrow S_\theta^4$

the  $SO_\theta(2n)$  bundle  $SO_\theta(2n + 1) \rightarrow S_\theta^{2n}$

some example from  $q$ -geometry

change from automorphisms to derivations  
( infinitesimal gauge transformations )

Lie algebras of suitable 'bisections'

braided versions of them

Atiyah sequences of braided Lie algebras of derivations

## Braiding then

$K$  a Hopf algebra

$K$ -equivariant  $H$ -Hopf–Galois extension  $B \subseteq A^H$ :

$A$  carries a left action  $\triangleright : K \otimes A \rightarrow A$  of  $K$ , compatible with the  $H$ -coaction:

$$(k \triangleright a)_{(0)} \otimes (k \triangleright a)_{(1)} = k \triangleright (a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}) .$$

Recall:  $K$  is quasitriangular if there exists an invertible element  $R \in K \otimes K$  with respect to which the coproduct  $\Delta$  of  $K$  is quasi-cocommutative

$$\Delta^{cop}(k) = R\Delta(k)\bar{R} \quad \Delta^{cop} := \tau \circ \Delta$$

and  $\bar{R} \in K \otimes K$  the inverse of  $R$ ,  $R\bar{R} = \bar{R}R = 1 \otimes 1$ .

$R$  is required to satisfy ( these allow for a good representation theory ) ,

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})R = R_{13}R_{23} \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)R = R_{13}R_{12}.$$

The Hopf algebra  $K$  is triangular when  $\bar{R} = R_{21} = \tau(R)$ ,  $\tau$  the flip.

We further assume the Hopf algebra  $K$  to be [triangular](#).

This allows for the study of braided Lie algebras.

A braided Lie algebra associated with a triangular Hopf algebra  $(K, R)$ , is a  $K$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a bilinear map

$$[ , ] : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

that satisfies the following conditions.

(i)  $K$ -equivariance: for  $\Delta(k) = k_{(1)} \otimes k_{(2)}$  the coproduct of  $K$ ,

$$k \triangleright [u, v] = [k_{(1)} \triangleright u, k_{(2)} \triangleright v]$$

(ii) braided antisymmetry:

$$[u, v] = -[R_\alpha \triangleright v, R^\alpha \triangleright u],$$

(iii) braided Jacobi identity:

$$[u, [v, w]] = [[u, v], w] + [R_\alpha \triangleright v, [R^\alpha \triangleright u, w]]$$

## Infinitesimal gauge transformations

$B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$  a  $K$ -equivariant Hopf–Galois extension, for  $(K, R)$  triangular.

Inside the braided Lie algebra  $\text{Der}(A)$  consider the subspace of braided derivations that are  $H$ -equivariant

$$\text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}^R(A) = \{u \in \text{Hom}(A, A) \mid \delta(u(a)) = u(a_{(0)}) \otimes a_{(1)},$$

$$u(aa') = u(a)a' + (R_\alpha \triangleright a)(R^\alpha \triangleright u)(a'), \text{ for all } a, a' \in A\}$$

and then those derivations that are vertical,

$$\text{aut}_B^R(A) := \{u \in \text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}^R(A) \mid u(b) = 0, \text{ for all } b \in B\} .$$

Elements of  $\text{aut}_B^R(A)$  are regarded as **infinitesimal gauge transformations** of the  $K$ -equivariant Hopf–Galois extension  $B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$ .



## Atiyah sequences and their splittings

A  $K$ -equivariant Hopf–Galois extension  $B = A^{coH} \subseteq A$

The braided Lie algebra of vertical equivariant derivations

$$\text{aut}_B^R(A) := \{u \in \text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}^R(A) \mid u(b) = 0, b \in B\}$$

is a braided Lie subalgebra of equivariant derivations

$$\text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}^R(A) = \{u \in \text{Der}(A) \mid \delta \circ u = (u \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta\} .$$

Each derivation in  $\text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}^R(A)$ , being  $H$ -equivariant, restricts to a derivation on the subalgebra of coinvariant elements  $B = A^{coH}$

A sequence of braided Lie algebras  $\text{aut}_B^R(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}^R(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}^R(B)$

When exact,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{aut}_B^R(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}^R(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}^R(B) \rightarrow 0$$

is a version of the **Atiyah sequence** of a (commutative) principal fibre bundle.

An  $H$ -equivariant splitting of the sequence is a **connection** on the bundle

## The general construction

$(K, R)$  a triangular Hopf algebra ; an exact sequence of  $K$ -braided Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{\pi} T \rightarrow 0$$

For  $B$  an algebra; take  $(B, T)$  a braided Lie–Rinehart pair:

$T$  is a  $B$ -module with a braided Lie algebra morphism  $T \rightarrow \text{Der}^R(B)$ ;

$B$  is a  $T$ -module and  $T$  acts as braided derivations of  $B$ ,

$$X(bb') = X(b)b' + (R_\alpha \triangleright b)(R^\alpha \triangleright X)(b'), \quad b, b' \in B, \quad X \in T,$$

and

$$[X, bX']_R = X(b)X' + (R_\alpha \triangleright b)[(R^\alpha \triangleright X), X']_R, \quad b \in B, \quad X, X' \in T.$$

A connection on the sequence is a splitting: a  $B$ -module map,

$$\rho : T \rightarrow P, \quad \pi \circ \rho = \text{id}_T$$

the ‘vertical projection’, is the  $B$ -module map  $\omega_\rho : P \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$\omega_\rho(Y) = Y - \rho(Y^\pi), \quad Y \in P$$

The extent to which  $\rho$  or  $\omega_\rho$  fail to be braided Lie algebra morphisms is measured by the (*basic*) curvature

$$\Omega(X, X') := \rho([X, X']_{\mathbb{R}}) - [\rho(X), \rho(X')]_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad X, X' \in T.$$

$\Omega$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued braided two-form on  $T$ .

The curvature can also be given as a basic  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued braided two-form on  $P$  (*spatial* curvature):

$$\Omega_{\omega_\rho}(Y, Y') := \Omega(Y^\pi, Y'^\pi), \quad Y, Y' \in P.$$

$$\Omega_{\omega_\rho}(Y, Y') = [Y, \omega_\rho(Y')]_{\mathbb{R}} + [\omega_\rho(Y), Y']_{\mathbb{R}} - \omega_\rho([Y, Y']_{\mathbb{R}}) - [\omega_\rho(Y), \omega_\rho(Y')]_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

This expression can be read as a *structure equation*:

$$d\omega_\rho = \Omega_{\omega_\rho} + [\omega_\rho, \omega_\rho]_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Here

$$d\zeta(Y, Y') := [Y, \zeta(Y')]_{\mathbb{R}} + [\zeta(Y), Y']_{\mathbb{R}} - \zeta([Y, Y']_{\mathbb{R}}), \quad Y, Y' \in P.$$

(generalised to higher forms)

There is a Bianchi identity:

$$d\Omega_{\omega_\rho} + [\Omega_{\omega_\rho}, \omega_\rho]_R = 0 .$$

when the connection is **equivariant**:  $k \triangleright \omega_\rho = \varepsilon(k)\omega_\rho$

this is true 'the way it is written

in general one needs a suitable interpretation of the curvature as a derivation of the braided Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and of the above expression

The space of connections  $C(T, \mathfrak{g})$ :

an affine space modelled on  $B$ -module maps  $\eta : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$

with  $\rho : T \rightarrow P$  a connection and  $\eta : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , the sum  $\rho' = \rho + \eta$  is a connection.

An action of the braided Lie algebra  $P$ :  $P \times C(T, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow C(T, \mathfrak{g})$

$$(Y, \rho) \rightarrow \rho + \delta_Y \rho, \quad (\delta_Y \rho)(X) := [Y, \rho(X)]_R - \rho([Y^\pi, X]_R),$$

$(\delta_Y \rho)(X) \in \mathfrak{g}$  or  $\delta_Y \rho : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ .

For vertical elements  $V \in \mathfrak{g}$ , this is an infinitesimal gauge transformations:

$$(\delta_V \rho)(X) = [V, \rho(X)]_R,$$

thus  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the braided Lie algebra of such transformations.

The curvature of the transformed connection  $\rho' = \rho + \delta_Y \rho$ :

$$\Omega' = \Omega + \delta_Y \Omega - [\delta_Y \rho, \delta_Y \rho]_R$$

for  $V \in \mathfrak{g}$  an infinitesimal gauge transformation this reduces to

$$(\delta_V \Omega)(X, X') = [V, \Omega(X, X')]_R.$$

## Calabi pseudo-cohomology

Two sequences are equivalent if there is an isomorphism  $P \rightarrow P'$  with commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \rightarrow & P & \rightarrow & T \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \rightarrow & P' & \rightarrow & T \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Classified by  $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$ , the Calabi pseudo-cohomology of the Lie algebra  $T$  with values in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $A$  is abelian  $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$  is the CE cohomology group  $H^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$ .

A pseudo-cochain: a pair  $(\phi, \Phi)$ ,

$\phi : T \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\Phi$  a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued skew map on  $T \times T$ , such that

$$\phi(X)\phi(X') - \phi(X')\phi(X) = \phi([X, X']) + \text{ad}_{\Phi(X, X')} \quad X, X' \in T.$$

Such a pair is a 2-pseudo-cocycle if  $\delta_\phi(\Phi) = 0$ , where

$$\delta_\phi(\Phi)(X, X', X'') = \phi(X) \triangleright \Phi(X', X'') - \Phi([X, X'], X'') + c.p.$$

Two such pairs  $(\phi, \Phi)$ ,  $(\phi', \Phi')$  are equivalent if there is a map  $\eta : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , s.t.

$$\phi'(X) = \phi(X) + \text{ad}_{\eta(X)}$$

$$\Phi'(X, X') = \Phi(X, X') + (\delta_{\phi}\eta)(X, X') + [\eta(X), \eta(X')].$$

Equivalent pseudo-cochains leads to equivalent pseudo-cocycles and the space of equivalent classes of 2-pseudo-cocycles is denoted  $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$ , the order 2 Calabi pseudo-cohomology of the Lie algebra  $T$  with values in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Given a splitting of the sequence, that is given a connection  $\rho : T \rightarrow P$ , one construct a pseudo-cocycle  $(\phi, \Phi)$  by

$$\phi(X) \triangleright V = [\rho(X), V] \quad X \in T, V \in \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\Phi(X, X') = \Omega(X, X') = \rho([X, X']) - [\rho(X), \rho(X')], \quad X, X' \in T.$$

Jacopi identity implies it is a pseudo-cocycle:

$$\delta_{\phi}(\Phi) = 0$$

this is the Bianchi identity.

Given two connections  $\rho$  and  $\rho' = \rho + \eta$ , the corresponding pseudo-cocycles  $(\phi', \Phi')$  and  $(\phi, \Phi)$  are equivalent, they belong to the same class in  $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$ .

Pseudo-cocycles associated with equivalent extensions determine the same class in  $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$ .

Conversely, given a pseudo-cocycle one constructs a sequence of Lie algebras  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow P \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$

cohomologous pseudo-cocycles give equivalent sequences.

The space of equivalent classes of extensions of  $T$  by  $\mathfrak{g}$  is in a bijective correspondence with  $\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$ .

$\mathcal{H}^2(T, \mathfrak{g})$  is a complicated object in general



An R-symmetric map of degree  $q$

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \otimes^R \dots \otimes^R \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow B$$

which intertwining the representation  $\text{ad}_R \otimes^R \dots \otimes^R \text{ad}_R$  of  $P$  on  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes^R \dots \otimes^R \mathfrak{g}$  with the action of  $P$  on  $B$  (  $\text{ad}_R$  is the braided commutator ).

$\mathcal{S}_R$  the braided anti-symmetrization.

Then

$$\varphi_\rho = \mathcal{S}_R \circ f(\Omega \otimes^R \dots \otimes^R \Omega)$$

is a braided  $B$ -valued  $2q$ -form on  $T$ .

One has:

$$d\varphi_\rho = 0$$

For the cohomology classes:

$$[\varphi_\rho] = [\varphi_{\rho'}] \quad \rho, \rho' \quad \text{two connections on the sequence}$$

$$\varphi_\rho = \varphi_{\rho'} + d(\dots)$$

Consider:

$$\text{Inv}^q = \{ \text{all such } \varphi \text{ as before} \} \quad \text{Inv} = \bigoplus_q \text{Inv}^q$$

$H_{Ch}$  Chevalley cohomology of  $(T, B)$

we get a linear map

$$\text{cw} : \text{Inv} \rightarrow H_{Ch} \quad \varphi \rightarrow [\varphi_\rho]$$

When pulled back to  $P$ :

$$\pi^* \varphi_\rho = d(\text{Chern Simons})$$

## Twisting

The constructions survive under a Drinfeld twists

## Examples from $\theta$ -deformations

$$F = e^{\pi i \theta (H_1 \otimes H_2 - H_2 \otimes H_1)} \quad [H_1, H_2] = 0$$

$$R_F = \bar{F}^2 = e^{-2\pi i \theta (H_1 \otimes H_2 - H_2 \otimes H_1)}$$

## Jordanian twist . $\kappa$ -Minkowski

$$F = \exp \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \otimes \sigma \right) \quad \sigma = \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} P_0 \right)$$

$$P_0 = iu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \quad \left[ u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, P_0 \right] = P_0$$

In particular  $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)$

with generators  $b_\mu$ ,  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (0, 0), (\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)$

the weights for the action of  $H_1, H_2$ .

Their commutation relations are

$$b_{\mu \bullet_\theta} b_\nu = \lambda^{2\mu \wedge \nu} b_{\nu \bullet_\theta} b_\mu \quad \lambda = e^{-\pi i \theta}.$$

with sphere relation  $\sum_{b_\mu} b_\mu^* \cdot_\theta b_\mu = 1$ .

$\text{Der}^{\text{Rf}}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4))$  is generated as an  $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)$ -module by operators  $\tilde{H}_\mu$  defined on the algebra generators as

$$\tilde{H}_\mu(b_\nu) := \delta_{\mu^* \nu} - b_{\mu \bullet_\theta} b_\nu$$

and extended to the whole algebra  $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)$  as braided derivations:

$$\tilde{H}_\mu(b_\nu \bullet_\theta b_\tau) = \tilde{H}_\mu(b_\nu) \bullet_\theta b_\tau + \lambda^{2\mu \wedge \nu} b_{\nu \bullet_\theta} \tilde{H}_\mu(b_\tau).$$

They verify

$$\tilde{H}_\mu\left(\sum_\nu b_\nu^* \bullet_\theta b_\nu\right) = 0, \quad \sum_\mu b_\mu^* \bullet_\theta \tilde{H}_\mu = 0$$

In the classical limit  $\theta = 0$ , the derivations  $\tilde{H}_\mu$  reduce to

$$H_\mu = \partial_{\mu^*} - b_\mu \Delta, \quad \Delta = \sum_{\mu} b_\mu \partial_\mu$$

the Liouville vector field.

The weights  $\mu$  are those of the five dimensional representation of  $so(5)$ .

The bracket in  $\text{Der}^{\text{R}_F}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4))$  is the braided commutator

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{H}_\mu, \tilde{H}_\nu]_{\text{R}_F} &:= \tilde{H}_\mu \circ \tilde{H}_\nu - \lambda^{2\mu \wedge \nu} \tilde{H}_\nu \circ \tilde{H}_\mu \\ &= b_{\mu \bullet_\theta} \tilde{H}_\nu - \lambda^{2\mu \wedge \nu} b_{\nu \bullet_\theta} \tilde{H}_\mu \end{aligned}$$

The generators  $\tilde{H}_\mu$  can be expressed in terms of their commutators as

$$\tilde{H}_\nu = \sum_{\mu} b_{\mu \bullet_\theta}^* [\tilde{H}_\mu, \tilde{H}_\nu]_{\text{R}_F}$$

Denote  $\tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}^\pi := [\tilde{H}_\mu, \tilde{H}_\nu]_{\text{R}_F} = -\lambda^{2\mu \wedge \nu} \tilde{H}_{\nu,\mu}^\pi$

Their braided commutators close the braided Lie algebra  $so_\theta(5)$  :

$$[\tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}^\pi, \tilde{H}_{\tau,\sigma}^\pi]_{\text{R}_F} = \delta_{\nu^* \tau} \tilde{H}_{\mu,\sigma}^\pi - \lambda^{2\mu \wedge \nu} \delta_{\mu^* \tau} - \lambda^{2\tau \wedge \sigma} (\delta_{\nu^* \sigma} \tilde{H}_{\mu,\tau}^\pi - \lambda^{2\mu \wedge \nu} \delta_{\sigma^* \mu} \tilde{H}_{\nu,\tau}^\pi)$$

The instanton  $\mathcal{O}(SU(2))$  Hopf–Galois extension  $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4) \subset \mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7)$ .

A short exact sequence of braided Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \text{aut}_{\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7)) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7)) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)) \rightarrow 0$$

$\text{Der}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4))$  generated as before by elements  $\tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}^\pi$

$\text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7))$  generated by (explicit) derivations  $\tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}$  realising a representation of  $so_\theta(5)$  as derivations on  $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7)$  and

$$\pi(\tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}) = \tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}^\pi.$$

$\text{aut}_{\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7))$  vertical and equivariant ( alternatively via a connection )

The **horizontal lift**: the  $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)$ -module map  $\rho : \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7))$  defined on the generators  $\tilde{H}_\nu$  of  $\text{Der}^{\text{R}_F}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4))$  as

$$\rho(\tilde{H}_\nu) := \sum_{\mu} b_{\mu}^* \bullet_{\theta} \tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}$$

is a **splitting** of the sequence above .

The corresponding **vertical projection** is the  $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)$ -module map

$$\Psi : \text{Der}_{\mathcal{M}^H}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7)) \rightarrow \text{aut}_{\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7))$$

$$\Psi(\tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}) := \tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu} - \rho(\tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}^\pi) = \tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu} - (b_{\mu \bullet \theta} \rho(\tilde{H}_\nu) - \lambda^{2\mu \wedge \nu} b_{\nu \bullet \theta} \rho(\tilde{H}_\mu))$$

These derivations generated the algebra  $\text{aut}_{\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^4)}(\mathcal{O}(S_\theta^7))$ .

The **curvature**

$$\Omega(X, Y) := [\rho(X), \rho(Y)]_{\text{R}_F} - \rho([X, Y]_{\text{R}_F}) = \iota \circ \Psi[\rho(X), \rho(Y)]_{\text{R}_F}$$

One finds 
$$[\rho(\tilde{H}_\mu), \rho(\tilde{H}_\nu)]_{\text{R}_F} = \tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}$$

Then

$$\Omega(\tilde{H}_\mu, \tilde{H}_\nu) = \tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu} - (b_{\mu \bullet \theta} \rho(\tilde{H}_\nu) - \lambda^{2\mu \wedge \nu} b_{\nu \bullet \theta} \rho(\tilde{H}_\mu)) = \iota \circ \Psi(\tilde{H}_{\mu,\nu}).$$

There is also a **connection 1-form; it is anti-selfdual**.

An action of braided conformal transformations

$$so_{\theta}(5, 1)$$

yields noncommutative families of anti-selfdual connections



## Galois objects

of a Hopf algebra  $H$  ( noncommutative principal bundle over a point )

An  $H$ -Hopf–Galois extension  $A$  of the ground field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Examples:

**Group Hopf algebras**  $H = \mathbb{C}[G]$  : equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -Galois objects are in bijective correspondence with the cohomology group  $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$

$H^2(\mathbb{Z}^r, \mathbb{C}^\times) = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r(r-1)/2}$ : infinitely many iso classes of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^r]$ -Galois objects

**Taft algebras** :  $q$  a primitive  $N$ -th root of unity;  $T_N$ , neither commutative nor cocommutative Hopf algebra; generators  $x, g$  with relations:

$$x^N = 0, \quad g^N = 1, \quad xg - qgx = 0.$$

coproduct:  $\Delta(x) := 1 \otimes x + x \otimes g, \quad \Delta(g) := g \otimes g$

counit:  $\varepsilon(x) := 0, \varepsilon(g) := 1$ , and antipode:  $S(x) := -xg^{-1}, S(g) := g^{-1}$ .

## Summing up:

Worked out a gauge algebroid for a noncommutative principal bundle

A suitable class of ( infinitesimal ) gauge transformations

Infinite dimensional Hopf algebra ( of possibly braided derivations )

A Chern-Weil homomorphisms and characteristic classes

Chern-Simons terms

some natural structures but we are only at the beginning ...

Thanks