

Theory Challenges in the Precision Era of the Large Hadron Collider

Galileo Galilei Institute – August 2023





High Energy Resummation for Jet Processes at the LHC

Jennifer Smillie Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics













CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN



12 jets with p_T > **50 GeV** at CMS (13 TeV)

Many colour-charged, hard particles with p_T , s_{ij} , \hat{s} Large logs in s_{ij}/p_T^2 damage convergence of pert. expansion

GGI August 2023

One Example



Jenni Smillie

High Energy Resummation



Inclusive 2-jet partonic cross section given by $\int d\mathrm{PS}_2 \,|\mathsf{M}_{2\mathsf{j}+}|^2$, with

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathsf{M}_{2j+}|^2 &= & \alpha_s^2 \left(a_2(s^2/t^2) + b_2 \right) \\ &+ \alpha_s^3 \left(a_3(s^2/t^2) \log(s/t) + b_3(s^2/t^2) + c_3 \right) \\ &+ \alpha_s^4 \left(a_4(s^2/t^2) \log^2(s/t) + b_4(s^2/t^2) \log(s/t) + \ldots \right) \\ &+ \ldots \end{aligned}$$

- Logs arise from integrals over loop momenta in virtuals and from integrals over reals
- Our description = LO + LL + ... Considering large values of x, no $\log\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$





Calculate leading terms from amplitudes in the High Energy limit: $s_{ij} \rightarrow \infty$, $|p_{Ti}|$ finite



Powers of s_{ij} in the real matrix elements match powers of log in the inclusive matrix element.

Regge scaling dictates the scaling of the $\mathcal{M} \propto$ amplitude with s_{ij} for a given process:

where α_{ij} is the spin of that particle in effective t-channel

GGI August 2023

High Energy Limit



See Einan Gardi's talk (Tues)

Local pieces, independent of the rest of the process (real + virtual)

Can use this simpler structure to make an efficient event generator for arbitrary numbers of quarks/gluons.

$$\propto s_{12}^{\alpha_{12}} \dots s_{n-1,n}^{\alpha_{n-1,n}} f(\{p_{T,i}\})$$



Ki

 p_1



$$\begin{array}{c} \rho & q_1^2 q_2^2 \\ \left[-(q_1 + q_2)^{\rho} \\ + \frac{p_a^{\rho}}{2} \left(\frac{q_1^2}{p_2 \cdot p_a} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_4}{p_a \cdot p_a} \\ - \frac{p_b^{\rho}}{2} \left(\frac{q_2^2}{p_2 \cdot p_b} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_4}{p_a \cdot p_b} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

$$\frac{j^{\mu}(p_a,p_1)\cdot j_{\mu}}{\hat{t}}$$

HEJ





 p_a



Jenni Smillie

5





Matching to Fixed Order

Matching to fixed order event-by-event for each jet multiplicity:

- multiplicatively for matrix elements with LL or NLL description
- remaining configurations added



LO 3j event

Possible HEJ resummed event with jet rapidities kept fixed

Modifications <u>only</u> to matrix elements, phase space exact and collinear factorisation of pdfs

GGI August 2023





Event weight $\sim \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm LO}|^2 |\mathcal{M}_{\rm HEJ}|^2}{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm HEIIO}|^2}$







The High Energy Jets (HEJ) framework is

- exact for simple processes (2 to 2 (+X))
- accurate to leading logarithm in s/t
- constructed event-by-event
- takes LO samples as input



Andersen, Ducloué, Elrick, Hassan, Maier, Paltrinieri, Papaefstathiou & JMS arXiv:2303.15778

GGI August 2023





- gauge invariant in all phase space
- sufficiently fast for numerical integration (up) to 30 gluons)







Comparison between resummed HEJ results and fixed order for forthcoming ATLAS study



Similar, fairly flat vs Δy_{12}

GGI August 2023

Jenni Smillie

Inclusive 3-jet/2-jet Ratios



Larger differences vs











Comparison between resummed HEJ results and fixed order for forthcoming ATLAS study



Similar for p_{\perp} observables

GGI August 2023

Example: Average Number of Jets



Similar for Δy_{fb} until limit of NLO









Application to Higgs VBF (QCD component)

Fixed-order difficult because LO = 1-loop. Don't even have LO results with full finite mass and loop effects for 4j+

In HE limit, factorised structure removes complexity from increasing number of jets



Fixed-order matching performed to highest-available accuracy

Here use Sherpa and OpenLoops

Gleisberg et al arXiv:0811.4622; Cascioli, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini arXiv:1111.5206

GGI August 2023



Del Duca et al <u>hep-ph/0105129</u>, <u>hep-ph/0108030</u> Greiner et al arXiv:1608.01195

Del Duca, Kilgore, Oleari, Schmidt & Zeppenfeld <u>hep-ph/0301013</u> Andersen, Cockburn, Heil, Maier & JMS arXiv:1812.08072

Only boxes and triangles for any *n*

Keeps all finite mass and loop propagator effects









Application to Higgs VBF (QCD component)

Resummation alone reduces cross section at large values of m_{12}

Finite quark mass/loop effects reduce x-section in VBF cuts by *further* 11%

Prediction	xs after VBF cuts
Fixed order	9%
HEJ	4%

Similar impact on distributions in $pp \to W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$, also enhanced by exp. selections

GGI August 2023





Andersen, Ducloué, Elrick, Nail, Maier, JMS arXiv:2107.06818

11







HEJ has always resummed logarithms in the region between the outer jets in rapidity, hence always for processes with at least two jets

Observed in H+2j studies, that scaling with an intermediate Higgs boson was as in QCD Andersen, Hapola, Maier, JMS arXiv:1706.01002



The same (Regge) scaling applies in the amplitude if the Higgs boson is external in rapidity Hence can capture leading logs with the same method for H+1j

GGI August 2023

Higgs + 1j





Andersen, Hassan, Maier, Paltrinieri, Papaefstathiou, JMS arXiv:2210.10671









Black = Born/skeleton function



Similar effects on distributions













Improvements at Large pt: NLL



Observed that particle channels which are formally next-to-leading log, contribute significantly at large p_T

Can consistently apply resummation to all such channels (part of full NLL, and step towards it)

Andersen, Black, Brooks, Byrne, Maier, JMS arXiv:2012.10310

GGI August 2023







Improvements at Large pt: NLO Matching

NLO) at NLO/(HEJ 0.5 LHC@7 TeV anti-kt, $R = 0.4, p_{j,\perp} > 30 \text{ GeV}, |y_j| < 4.4$ 100200400 500600 700 $p_{j,\perp}$ (leading jet) [GeV] NLO) $pp \rightarrow (W^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e)$ at 1 NLO/(HEJ 0.5LHC@7 TeV $w_{\rm NLO}$ anti-kt, $R = 0.4, p_{j,\perp} > 30 \text{ GeV}, |y_j| < 4.4$ $+ w_{\rm FOW+\geq 4j}$ $w_{\text{HEJ2 NLO}} = w_{\text{HEJ2}}$ 0.4 1.20.82.02.82.4 $\Delta \phi_{12}$ $\operatorname{HEJ}\operatorname{at}\operatorname{NLO}$

Not able yet to match to NLO event-by-event, but can do better than a k-factor by matching bin-by-bin We derive predictions from HEJ, truncated to NLO and take the ratio to full NLO for each distribution. Final predictions are then given by

Can check by expansion that each bin is accurate to NLO+LL

GGI August 2023



Andersen, Black, Brooks, Byrne, Maier, JMS arXiv:2012.10310

Jenni Smillie





Improvements at Large p_T: Wjj



- HEJ2 NLO prediction lies between the previous two
- Scale variation reduced larger than NLO due to higher multiplicities

Andersen, Black, Brooks, Byrne, Maier, JMS arXiv:2012.10310

GGI August 2023





- At large p_T values, require $\geq 4j$ events to obtain good agreement
- See also progress merging with a parton shower

Andersen, Brooks & Lönnblad arXiv:1712.00178 Andersen, Hassan, Jaskiewicz arXiv:2210.06898





GGI August 2023



- This is the "Precision Era of the Large Hadron Collider"
- High Energy Jets allows the description of high energy logs in a fully flexible framework
- High Energy Jets provides alternative way to include finite quark mass effects
- Recent improvements improve the description of data away from the strict limit
- Ongoing work to increase accuracy to full NLL and to full NLO

HEJ2 event generator: https://hej.hepforge.org

Jenni Smillie



