Searches for New Physics Through Neutrino Experiments

Georgia Karagiorgi, Columbia University

Neutrino Frontiers Workshop - Focus Week

Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics Florence, Italy July 1-5, 2024



Neutrino Experiments: Beyond Standard Model, and Beyond Neutrinos!

Since late 90's, short-baseline experimental neutrino anomalies (see talk by B. Littlejohn), which have survived decades of testing and proliferated, have compelled **innovations in both theory and experiment to better probe the neutrino sector**



SNOWMASS NEUTRINO FRONTIER: NF02 TOPICAL GROUP REPORT UNDERSTANDING EXPERIMENTAL NEUTRINO ANOMALIES

SUBMITTED TO THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE US COMMUNITY STUDY ON THE FUTURE OF PARTICLE PHYSICS (SNOWMASS 2021)

G. Karagiorgi¹, B. R. Littlejohn², P. Machado³, A. Sousa⁴, on behalf of the NF02 Topical Group Community^{*}

¹Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
 ²Illinois Institute or Technology, Chicago, IL 60616, USA
 ³Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, USA
 ⁴Department of Physics, University of Cincinsati, Cincinsati, OH 45221, USA

NF02 Report: https://inspirehep.net/literature/2150479

Since late 90's, short-baseline experimental neutrino anomalies (see talk by B. Littlejohn), which have survived decades of testing and proliferated, have compelled **innovations in both theory and experiment to better probe the neutrino sector**

Yet to be measured neutrino parameters, undetermined neutrino properties, and the weakly-interacting nature of neutrinos render them a **uniquely sensitive tool** for generic searches for low-scale new physics, and even probing the physics of a wide range of dark matter models



Since late 90's, short-baseline experimental neutrino anomalies (see talk by B. Littlejohn), which have survived decades of testing and proliferated, have compelled **innovations in both theory and experiment to better probe the neutrino sector**

Yet to be measured neutrino parameters, undetermined neutrino properties, and the weakly-interacting nature of neutrinos render them a **uniquely sensitive tool** for generic searches for low-scale new physics, and even probing the physics of a wide range of dark matter models

In this talk: **accelerator-based, short-baseline** neutrino experiments as **discovery-class facilities for neutrino science and BSM physics**: high-intensity (neutrinos, photons, meson decays) and high-precision (very sensitive, large detectors)

Short-Baseline Neutrino (SBN) Program at Fermilab

A trio of **liquid argon time projection chamber (LArTPC)** detectors at different (short) baselines, in the same neutrino beamline; can fully test a vast array of experimental neutrino anomalies and their interpretations: from conventional (background), to non-standard flavor transformation, to new particle production in the beam or in neutrino scattering

MicroBooNE

170t LAr

v

m008

LEDERMAN SCIENCE CENTER

ν

NOVA

PROTO

Far Detector

ICARUS

760t LAr

v

μ

MiniBooNE

DETECTOR

8 GeV Protons

V

u

Near Detector SBND

180t LAr

SciBooNE

SBN Collab, arXiv:1503.01520

DETECTOR

Short-Baseline Neutrino (SBN) Program at Fermilab



High flux: E.g., at SBND, O(2M) muon neutrino charged-current interactions per year!



Flavor-pure: >99% muon neutrino flavor, ~0.5% electron neutrino flavor

High flux: E.g., at SBND, O(2M) muon neutrino charged-current interactions per year!



Flavor-pure: >99% muon neutrino flavor, ~0.5% electron neutrino flavor

Neutrino flux drops roughly as 1/(baseline)², but not isotropic



High flux: E.g., at SBND, O(2M) muon neutrino charged-current interactions per year!



Flavor-pure: >99% muon neutrino flavor, ~0.5% electron neutrino flavor

Neutrino flux drops roughly as 1/(baseline)², but not isotropic

Off-axis neutrino flux (variable energy, composition) measurements are often possible within single detector



Figure courtesy of M. Del Tutto

High flux: Neutrinos and **new particles**

High-intensity, pulsed (1.6 μ s beam spill), 8 GeV proton beam on Be target



Decay region (filled with

air. ~50m)

Feebly-interacting particle searches: Heavy neutral leptons Long-lived particles Higgs portal scalar Dark trident Millicharged particles Dark matter particles (axion-like)

V_U

Dirt

Feebly-interacting particle searches:

Heavy neutral leptons

Long-lived particles

Higgs portal scalar

High flux: Neutrinos and new particles

High-intensity, pulsed (1.6 μ s beam spill), 8 GeV proton beam on Be target









ArgoNeut, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1911.07996

A Key Driver: LSND, MiniBooNE, and Gallium Anomalies



For a recent, thorough review of short-baseline anomalies, see <u>Neutrino 2024 talk</u> by M. Maltoni.

LSND Anomaly



Studied a beam of muon neutrinos from **muon decay-at-rest**, using a liquid scintillator detector.

Well-understood neutrino production and detection processes.

Observed a **3.8** σ excess electron antineutrinos at L~30m (L/E ~1m/MeV).

v_µ⇒v_e



15

MiniBooNE Anomaly

Studied neutrinos from a meson decay-in-flight source (~few hundred MeV mean neutrino energy) using a cherenkov detector.

Employed *in situ* constraints of flux/cross-section uncertainties and backgrounds.



$$\nu_{\mu}$$

Saw at **4.8** σ evidence of excess electron neutrinos at L~500m (L/E ~1m/MeV).



Gallium Anomaly

SAGE and **GALLEX** experiments studied electron neutrinos from intense radioactive sources of ⁵¹Cr and ³⁷Ar deployed within their detectors.

Saw deficits of electron neutrinos as a function of detector radius (L/E ~1m/MeV).







Recently confirmed by **BEST** experiment

Combined ~4.0*o* effect.

Short-baseline Anomalies: What We Know Now

Over the past decade, a diverse program of small-experiments was mounted, aimed at directly addressing these anomalies and probing the leading (c. 2010) theoretical explanation: oscillations due to a single eV-scale, mostly sterile neutrino, or a "3+1" scenario.



Short-baseline Anomalies: What We Know Now

Over the past decade, **a diverse program of small-experiments was mounted**, aimed at directly addressing these anomalies and probing the **leading (c. 2010) theoretical explanation: oscillations due to a single eV-scale, mostly sterile neutrino**, or a "3+1" scenario.

- Gallium anomaly strikingly confirmed by direct test with BEST experiment!
- Further MiniBooNE running and MicroBooNE results have revealed a complex picture seemingly at odds with 3+1...
- JSNS² and SBN accelerator-based programs initiated and now online, promising powerful tests of MiniBooNE and LSND...
- Reactor short-baseline anomaly now understood as limitations in our understanding of reactor fluxes (more on this by B. Littlejohn)
- Beyond direct tests, complementary data sets from non-accelerator and/or non-short-baseline experiments, including MINOS/MINOS+, IceCube, T2K, NOvA, SuperK, KATRIN... have been used to confront 3+1 oscillations
- A rich array of additional new physics models—from exotic flavor transformation to hidden sector couplings—that represent viable interpretations of the anomalies have been developed



theoretical interpretations

Flavor Conversion:	Flavor	Flavor	Dark	Dark	Dark
	Conversion:	Conversion:	Sector:	Sector:	Sector:
3+1 Oscillations	Anomalous Matter Effects	Lepton Flavor Violation	Decays in Flight	Neutrino- induced Up-scattering	Dark-particle- induced Up-scattering

Anticipated Experimental Tests

theoretical interpretations



Anticipated Experimental Tests

theoretical interpretations

		Flavor Conversion:	Flavor Conversion:	Flavor Conversion:	Dark Sector:	Dark Sector:	Dark Sector:
	Source	3+1 Oscillations	Anomalous Matter	Lepton Flavor Violation	Decays in Flight	Neutrino-	Dark-particle-
			Effects	VIOLIDIT	i light	Up-scattering	Up-scattering
	Reactor	DANSS Upgrade, JUNO-TAO, NEOS-II, Neutrino-4 Upgrade, PROSPECT-II					
³⁷ Ar (35.04 days)	Radioactive	BEST-2, IsoDAR, THEIA,					
813 keV v (9.8%) 37Cl (stable) 811 keV v (90.2%)	Source	Jinping					
	, Atmospheric	IceCube Upgrade, KM3NET, ORCA and ARCA, DUNE, Hyper-Kamiokande, THEIA		IceCube Upgrade, KM3NET, ORCA and ARCA, DUNE, Hyper-Kamiokande, THEIA			
proton pion γ_{μ} electron γ_{e}	Pion/Kaon Decay-At- Rest	JSNS ² , COHERENT, Coherent-Captain-Mills, KPIPE		JSNS ² , COHERENT, Coherent- Captain-Mills, KPIPE, PIP2-BD			COHERENT, Coherent- Captain-Mills, KPIPE, PIP2-BD
proton pion	Beam Short Baseline	SBN	SBN		SBN, FASER _ν , SND@LHC, FLArE		FLArE
	Beam Long Baseline	DUNE, Hyper-Kamiokande, ESSnuSB DU			DUNE, Hype	OUNE, Hyper-Kamiokande, ESSnuSB	
Kaon	Muon Decay- In-Flight	νSTORM				ν STORM	
For further details, see Snowmass NF02 White Paper: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07323</u>	Beta Decay and Electron Capture	KATRIN/TRISTAN, Project-8, HUNTER, BeEST, DUNE (³⁹ Ar), PTOLEMY, $2\nu\beta\beta$					

Anticipated Experimental Tests



1. Flavor Conversion @ SBN

Flavor Conversion Models

"Vanilla" 3+1 (also 3+2 and 3+3) light sterile neutrino oscillations?

Significant tensions in global data sets disfavor this interpretation

*Caveat: Treatment of all global data sets using consistent assumptions (e.g. flux, cross-section) is challenging

[lots of work by Giunti, Schwetz, Conrad, Diaz, Kamp, GK, Arguelles, Kopp, Machado, Maltoni, ...]

Flavor Conversion Models

"Vanilla" 3+1 (also 3+2 and 3+3) light sterile neutrino oscillations?

Significant tensions in global data sets disfavor this interpretation *Caveat: Treatment of all global data sets using consistent assumptions (e.g. flux, cross-section) is challenging

[lots of work by Giunti, Schwetz, Conrad, Diaz, Kamp, GK, Arguelles, Kopp, Machado, Maltoni, ...]

NEEDED, in order to exhaustively test this model:

- (a) Resolution of MiniBooNE low-energy excess (cannot be entirely vanilla 3+N oscillations; conventional/background origin?)
- (b) Comprehensive, multi-channel oscillation searches that account for oscillation effects and systematic correlations across different flavor measurements

(a) A Closer Look at MiniBooNE Anomaly



Direct tests of the MiniBooNE anomaly under a **photon** hypothesis:

"Conventional" (SM) photon background?

Dominant SM source of single-photons: neutrino-induced neutral-current Delta baryon production followed by Delta radiative decay \rightarrow ruled out at >95% CL

Events

60

50

40

10

Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 111801





Direct tests of the MiniBooNE anomaly under a **photon** hypothesis:

"Conventional" (SM) photon background?

Inclusive and exclusive sub-dominant photon background searches are in progress





(a) Prospects at SBND

SBND expects to record O(10M) neutrino interactions on argon in just 3 years of running, about 20x the statistics already collected by MicroBooNE!

First-ever measurements of yet-undetected SM neutrino scattering processes and more powerful tests of the MiniBooNE excess:

- Coherent single-photon production in neutrino-nucleus neutral current scattering
- Delta and higher-mass resonance production in neutrino scattering followed by radiative decays
- ...



Direct tests of the MiniBooNE anomaly under an **electron** hypothesis:



Energy-dependent enhancement of electron neutrino

event rate? Half dataset (2021): Three separate, independent analyses rule it out as the sole source of the MiniBooNE anomaly *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 128 (2022) 24, 241801





Direct tests of the MiniBooNE anomaly under an electron hypothesis:

Energy-dependent enhancement of electron neutrino

event rate?

Half dataset (2021): Three separate, independent analyses rule it out as the sole source of the MiniBooNE anomaly Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 24. 241801

NEW! Full dataset (Neutrino 2024): Pionless final state analysis and improved (2D-unfolded) signal from MiniBooNE inconsistent with electron neutrino-like excess at >99% CL MicroBooNE Public Note 1127

99% C.L.



Flavor Conversion Models

"Vanilla" 3+1 (also 3+2 and 3+3) light sterile neutrino oscillations?

Significant tensions in global data sets disfavor this interpretation *Caveat: Treatment of all global data sets using consistent assumptions (e.g. flux, cross-section) is challenging

[lots of work by Giunti, Schwetz, Conrad, Diaz, Kamp, GK, Arguelles, Kopp, Machado, Maltoni, ...]

NEEDED, in order to exhaustively test this model:

- (a) Resolution of MiniBooNE low-energy excess (cannot be entirely vanilla 3+N oscillations; conventional/background origin?)
- (b) Comprehensive, multi-channel oscillation searches that account for oscillation effects and systematic correlations across different flavor measurements

Searches for 3+1 oscillations in MicroBooNE, considering simultaneous appearance and disappearance effects!



First results (2022) made use of half the MicroBooNE BNB data set.

Cancellation of electron neutrino appearance and disappearance limits sensitivity in a single-detector measurement.



First results (2022) made use of half the MicroBooNE BNB data set.

Cancellation of electron neutrino appearance and disappearance limits sensitivity in a single-detector measurement.

Off-axis NuMI beam at MicroBooNE provides different electron to muon neutrino flux ratio, and helps break degeneracies \rightarrow enhanced sensitivity!



Cancellation of electron neutrino appearance and disappearance limits sensitivity in a single-detector measurement.

Off-axis NuMI beam at MicroBooNE provides different electron to muon neutrino flux ratio, and helps break degeneracies \rightarrow enhanced sensitivity!



(b) SBN Prospects: "Vanilla" 3+N models

L-dependent search for v_e **appearance**, v_e **disappearance**, and v_μ **disappearance** (no evidence thus far with atmospheric neutrinos or past accelerator experiments), and also **neutral current rate** (combined all-active-flavor) disappearance (smoking-gun signature of sterile neutrino oscillations)



(b) SBN Prospects: "Vanilla" 3+N models

SBN can exhaustively probe 3+1, 3+2, and 3+3 oscillations through inclusive, multi-channel searches:



E.g., can probe, with 5σ sensitivity, more than 50% of the globally-allowed (at 99% CL) 3+3 sterile neutrino oscillation parameter space

D. Cianci, et al, Phys.Rev.D 96 (2017) 5, 055001

Flavor Conversion Models

"Vanilla" 3+1 (also 3+2 and 3+3) light sterile neutrino oscillations?

Overlooked, or other new physics?

- (3+1) + non-standard interactions (e.g. quasi-sterile neutrinos)
- (3+1) + sterile neutrino decay
- Lepton-flavor-violating μ decays
- Large extra dimensions and altered dispersion relations affecting neutrino propagation
- Lorentz violation

All of these models can be tested with current and upcoming experiments, and most can be tested with SBN! [lots of work by Ballet, Pascoli, Bertuzzo, Conrad, De Gouvea, Dentler, Gariazzo, Giunti, Gninenko, Hostert, Ross-Lonergan, Kopp, Lavender, Li, Maltoni, Alves, Palomares-Ruiz, Babu, Martinez-Soler, Pascoli, Peres, Schwetz, Shaevitz, Spitz, Stenico, Tsai, Zukanovich, ...]

2. Dark Sectors @ SBN

2. Dark Sector Portals

Production in the neutrino beam, e.g.:

Associated with new particle production, and provide LSND/MiniBooNE interpretations:





2. Dark Sector Portals

For further details, see Snowmass NF02 White Paper: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07323</u>

Catalogue	Madal	C: materia	Anomalies				
Category	Woder	Signature	LSND	MiniBooNE	Reactor	Gallium	
Dark Sector:	transition magnetic mom., heavy ν decay	$N ightarrow \nu \gamma$	×	~	×	×	
Decays in Flight	dark sector heavy neutrino decay	$N \rightarrow \nu(X \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ or $N \rightarrow \nu(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$	×	~	×	×	ν
Dark Sector: Neutrino	neutrino-induced up-scattering	$ \begin{array}{l} \nu A \to N A, \\ N \to \nu e^+ e^- \text{ or } \\ N \to \nu \gamma \gamma \end{array} $	1	~	×	×	hz' e-
Scattering	neutrino dipole up-scattering	$\nu A \to N A, \\ N \to \nu \gamma$	1	~	×	×	e^+
Dark Sector:	dark particle-induced up-scattering	γ or e^+e^-	×	1	×	×	
Scattering	dark particle-induced inverse Primakoff	γ	1	~	×	×	All of these models can be to

 \checkmark - the model can naturally explain the anomaly, \checkmark - the model can partially explain the anomaly, \varkappa - the model cannot explain the anomaly.

All of these models can be tested with current and upcoming experiments!

Dark Sector Searches with LArTPCs

Category	Model	Signature		Anomalies	References	
	WOUEI	Signature	LSND	MiniBooNE		
Flavor transitions Secs. 3.1.1-3.1.3, 3.1.5	(3+1) oscillations	erscillations	1	~	Reviews and global fits [93, 103, 105, 106]	
	(3+1) w/ invisible sterile decay	os en ns w/ 24 in en decay	1	1	[151 155]	
	(3+1) w/ sterile decay	e 7	1	1	[159 162 270]	
Matter effects Secs. 3.1.4, 3.1.7	(3+1) w/ anomalous matter effects	$ \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \text{ via} $ natter effects	1	~	[143, 147, 271- 273]	
	(3+1) w/ quasi-sterile neutrinos	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{e} \\ \mu \rightarrow \nu_e \ \mathbf{w} / \\ \mathbf{resonant} \ \nu_s \\ \mathbf{matter effects} \end{array}$	1	~	148	
Flavor violation Sec. 3.1.6	Lepton-flavor-violating µ decays	$\int e^{-\frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}\overline{\nu_{e}}}}$	1	×	[174,175, 74]	
	neutrino-flavor- changing bremsstrahlung		/	1	275	
Decays in flight Sec. 3.2.3	Transition magnetic mom., heavy ν decay	$\gamma \to \nu \gamma$	×	~	207/	
	Dark sector heavy neutrino decay	e v	×	~	208	
Neutrino Scattering Secs. 3.2.1, 3.2.2	neutrino-induced upscattering		1	1	205 206 09-216	
	neutrino dipole upscattering	(V) e	1	1	[40, 185] 187 188, 90, 93 233, 276]	
Dark Matter Scattering Sec. 3.2.4	dark particle-induced upscattering	(7) ei	×	1	1711	
	dark particle-induced inverse Primakoff	(Y)	1	1	217	

25+ dark-sector models in last 5 years

Incredibly rich and varied phenomenology containing



Electron signals

Photon signals



e+e- signals

Table modified from Snowmass <u>White Paper</u> on Light Sterile Neutrino Searches and Related Phenomenology

Image credit: Mark Ross-Lonergan

MicroBooNE e+e- Searches

Anticipated new results on searches for dark neutrinos decaying to e+e- pair:

Based on: Ballet et al. PRD 99 (2019) 071701 Bertuzzo et al. PRL 121 (2018) 24, 241801







MicroBooNE e+e- Searches



Based on: Ballet et al. PRD 99 (2019) 071701 Bertuzzo et al. PRL 121 (2018) 24, 241801

v



 10^{-1}

m_N (GeV)

Prospects at SBND

Higher-sensitivity tests of MiniBooNE anomaly interpretations:





signature: e+epair with or without hadronic activity

transition magnetic moment





signature: photon shower with or without hadronic activity

Other BSM Searches with LArTPCs

Broader tests of BSM scenarios:

e.g., axion-like particles

SBND Simulation

high-energy e+e- or μ + μ -

e.g., heavy neutral leptons





e+e-, μ + μ - or $\mu\pi$

e.g., light dark matter A'* ~ < dark photon



electron scattering

e.g., Higgs portal scalars





e+e- or μ + μ -, no hadronic activity

e.g., millicharged particles





Other BSM Searches with LArTPCs

Results from MicroBooNE:

Heavy Neutral Leptons (HNLs):

- Making use of NuMI off-axis beam
- Improvements over past MicroBooNE search (2022)
- Leading limits (2024) at low HNL mass through HNL e+e- or π^0 decays





MicroBooNE PRD 106 (2022) 9, 092006 10-2 10-3 ۔۔ 10⁻⁴ %06 10⁻⁵ at $|U_{\mu4}|^2$ limit a $e+e-/\pi^0$ KEK E89/E104 10-PIENU PS191 veµ ---- E949 - NA62 KEK E89 MicroBooNE 10^{-9} 50 100 150 200 245 HNL mass [MeV]

Thanks to LArTPCs' Exquisite Particle Imaging Capability



Image credit: Pedro Machado

LArTPCs for Astro-particle Physics

• E.g., for astro-particle physics: Given sufficiently low energy threshold (keV), high resolution, and power-efficient charge readout design, technology can be flown for cosmic MeV gamma-ray observations and indirect dark matter searches

T. Aramaki, G.K., et al., Astropart. Phys. 114 (2020) 107-114



Gamma-Ray and AntiMatter Survey (GRAMS) has been funded by NASA for a technologydemonstration balloon flight in 2025-26.



10-2

An Exciting Time for Searches for New Physics

Thanks to huge strides in theory and detector technology over the past decade, accelerator-based, short-baseline neutrino experiments now serve as discovery-class facilities for neutrino science and BSM physics

The SBN Program (MicroBooNE, ICARUS and SBND) is already providing ground-breaking results:

- unprecedented studies of **neutrino interactions and neutrino properties**
- addressing long-standing **experimental anomalies**
- probing new parameter space for **BSM theories**