Shakhov collision model for relativistic fluids

Victor E. Ambruș

Department of Physics, West University of Timișoara, Romania

Work in collaboration with E. Molnár and D. Wagner

GGI: Hydro,
Florence, $16^{\rm th}$ May 2025

Universitatea de Vest din Timişoara

Outline

Section 1

Introduction

Relativistic hydro playground: Heavy-ion collisions

[See talk by C. Werthmann (Tue. 11:15)]



- Shortly after the collision, the system is far-from-equilibrium.
- Pre-eq. dynamics require a non-eq. description.
- Strongly-interacting QGP leaves imprints of thermalization and collectivity in final-state observables.



[Venaruzzo, PhD Thesis, 2011]

Hydro vs Kinetic theory



[Ambruș, Bazzanini, Gabbana, Simeoni, Succi, Nature Comput. Sci. **2** (2022) 641]

- Hydro employed in HIC modelling, but it breaks down far from eq.
- Kinetic theory overcomes this limitation, but realistic simulations are expensive due to $C[f]$.
  AMPT: [He, Edmonds, Lin, Liu, Molnar, Wang, PLB 753 (2016) 506]
  BAMPS: Greif, Greiner, Schenke, Schlichting, Xu [PRD 96 (2017) 091504]
- RTA: $C_{\rm AW}[f] = -\frac{E_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tau_R}(f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{0\mathbf{k}}) \Rightarrow 1-2$ o.m. faster than BAMPS.

  VEA, Busuioc, Fotakis, Gallmeister, Greiner [PRD **104** (2021) 094022]
- τ_R fixes the IR limit of RTA by matching e.g. η to that of $C[f] \Rightarrow$ good agreement with BAMPS.

Section 2

Anderson-Witting (RTA) model

Anderson-Witting model

[Anderson, Witting, Physica **74** (1974) 466]

- The Anderson & Witting RTA reads $[E_{\mathbf{k}} = k^\mu u_\mu]$

$$k^\mu \partial_\mu f_{\mathbf{k}} = C_{\rm AW}[f], \quad C_{\rm AW}[f] = -\frac{E_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tau_R}(f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{0\mathbf{k}}). \tag{1}$$

- N^μ and $T^{\mu\nu}$ are obtained from $f_{\mathbf{k}}$: $[dK = g\, d^3k/[k_0(2\pi)^3]]$

$$N^\mu = \int dK\, k^\mu\, f_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad T^{\mu\nu} = \int dK\, k^\mu k^\nu f_{\mathbf{k}}. \tag{2}$$

- $f_{0\mathbf{k}}$ describes LTE, for which $[\Delta^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu]$

$$N_0^\mu = n_0 u^\mu, \quad T_0^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_0 u^\mu u^\nu - P_0 \Delta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{3}$$

- Imposing $\partial_\mu N^\mu = \partial_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ requires Landau matching:

$$n = n_0, \quad \epsilon = \epsilon_0, \quad T^\mu{}_\nu u^\nu = \epsilon u^\mu. \tag{4}$$

- $C_{\rm AW}[f]$ drives $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ towards $f_{0\mathbf{k}}$ on the timescale τ_R.

Chapman-Enskog expansion

- Out of eq., N^μ and $T^{\mu\nu}$ receive dissipative corrections:

$$N^\mu - N_0^\mu = V^\mu, \quad T^{\mu\nu} - T_0^{\mu\nu} = -\Pi\Delta^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu}. \tag{5}$$

- The dissipative quantits. can be obtained as moments of $\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$:

$$\Pi = -\frac{m^2}{3}\int dK\,\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad V^\mu = \int dK\, k^{\langle\mu\rangle}\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \pi^{\mu\nu} = \int dK\, k^{\langle\mu}k^{\nu\rangle}\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{6}$$

with $k^{\langle\mu\rangle} = \Delta^\mu_\alpha k^\alpha$ and $k^{\langle\mu}k^{\nu\rangle} = \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}k^\alpha k^\beta$ *irreducible* tensors.

- Employing the Chapman-Enskog procedure gives

$$\delta f_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{0\mathbf{k}} \simeq -\frac{\tau_R}{E_{\mathbf{k}}}k^\mu\partial_\mu f_{0\mathbf{k}}. \tag{7}$$

- Taking moments as in Eq. (6) gives

$$\Pi = -\zeta_R\theta, \quad V^\mu = \kappa_R\nabla^\mu\alpha, \quad \pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta_R\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \tag{8}$$

where ζ_R, κ_R and η_R are given by

$$\zeta_R = \frac{m^2}{3}\tau_R\alpha_0^{(0)}, \quad \kappa_R = \tau_R\alpha_0^{(1)}, \quad \eta_R = \tau_R\alpha_0^{(2)}. \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha_0^{(\ell)}$ are τ_R-independent thermodynamic functions.

QGP Transport coefficients

- Bayesian estimation shows that η/s and ζ/s can be parametrized as

J. E. Bernhard, J. S. Moreland, S. A. Bass, Nature Phys. **15** (2019) 1113

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = (\eta/s)_{\rm min} + (\eta/s)_{\rm slope}(T - T_c)\left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{(\eta/s)_{\rm crv}}, \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\zeta}{s} = (\zeta/s)_{\rm max} \times \left[1 + \left(\frac{T - T_{\rm peak}}{(\zeta/s)_{\rm width}}\right)^2\right]^{-1}. \tag{11}$$

- RTA allows, e.g. η to be specified by setting

$$\tau_R = \frac{\eta}{\alpha_0^{(2)}},$$

however, ζ/η is fixed uniquely by

$$\frac{\zeta}{\eta} = \frac{m^2\alpha_0^{(0)}}{3\alpha_0^{(2)}},$$



which does not resemble the (ζ/η) in the QGP.

Section 3

First-order relativistic Shakhov model

Shakhov-like extension

[VEA, Molnár, PLB **855** (2024) 138795]

- We consider a Shakhov-like extension: [Shakhov, Fluid Dyn. 3 (1968) 112]

$$C_{\rm S}[f] = -\frac{E_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tau_R}(f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{{\rm S}\mathbf{k}}), \tag{12}$$

  where $f_{{\rm S}\mathbf{k}} \to f_{0\mathbf{k}}$ as $\delta f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{0\mathbf{k}} \to 0$.

- Shakhov vs. AW: $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ relaxes towards $f_{0\mathbf{k}}$ on a modified path.
- $\partial_\mu N^\mu = \partial_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ imply:

$$u_\mu N^\mu = u_\mu N^\mu_{\rm S}, \quad u_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = u_\nu T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm S}, \tag{13}$$

  which allows for plenty of degrees of freedom (δn, $\delta\epsilon$, W^μ, etc).

- For simplicity, we stick to the Landau matching conditions:

$$\delta n = \delta\epsilon = 0, \qquad T^{\mu\nu} u_\nu = \epsilon u^\mu. \tag{14}$$

Shakohv-like extension

- Employing the Chapman-Enskog procedure gives

$$\delta f_{\mathbf{k}} - \delta f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{\tau_R}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} k^\mu \partial_\mu f_{0\mathbf{k}}, \tag{15}$$

leading to

$$\Pi - \Pi_{\mathrm{S}} = -\zeta_R \theta, \quad V^\mu - V^\mu_{\mathrm{S}} = \kappa_R \nabla^\mu \alpha, \quad \pi^{\mu\nu} - \pi^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{S}} = 2\eta_R \sigma^{\mu\nu}. \tag{16}$$

- We seek to replace ζ_{R} etc by independent transport coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &\simeq -\zeta_{\mathrm{S}}\theta, & V^\mu &\simeq \kappa_{\mathrm{S}} \nabla^\mu \alpha, & \pi^{\mu\nu} &\simeq 2\eta_{\mathrm{S}} \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \\ \zeta_{\mathrm{S}} &= \frac{\tau_\Pi}{\tau_R} \zeta_R, & \kappa_{\mathrm{S}} &= \frac{\tau_V}{\tau_R} \kappa_R, & \eta_{\mathrm{S}} &= \frac{\tau_\pi}{\tau_R} \eta_R. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

- Eq. (17) can be obtained from Eq. (16) when

$$\Pi_{\mathrm{S}} = \Pi \left(1 - \frac{\tau_\Pi}{\tau_R}\right), \quad V^\mu_{\mathrm{S}} = V^\mu \left(1 - \frac{\tau_V}{\tau_R}\right), \\ \pi^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{S}} = \pi^{\mu\nu} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_\pi}{\tau_R}\right). \tag{18}$$

Minimal $\delta f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}}$

- The solution can be written as $\delta f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}}\tilde{f}_{0\mathbf{k}}\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}}$, where

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{3\Pi}{m^2}\left(1 - \frac{\tau_R}{\tau_\Pi}\right)\mathcal{H}^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}0} + k_{\langle\mu\rangle}V^\mu\left(1 - \frac{\tau_R}{\tau_V}\right)\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}0} + k_{\langle\mu}k_{\nu\rangle}\pi^{\mu\nu}\left(1 - \frac{\tau_R}{\tau_\pi}\right)\mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}0}. \quad (19)$$

- $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell)}_{\mathbf{k}0}$ are polynomials in $E_{\mathbf{k}}$ satisfying the constraints: [DNMR, PRD **85** (2012) 114047]

Bulk visc. p. / Particle cons. / Energy cons.

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix}\rho_{\mathrm{S};0}\\ \rho_{\mathrm{S};1}\\ \rho_{\mathrm{S};2}\end{pmatrix} = \int dK \begin{pmatrix}1\\ E_{\mathbf{k}}\\ E^2_{\mathbf{k}}\end{pmatrix}\delta f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}} = \begin{pmatrix}-3\Pi_{\mathrm{S}}/m^2\\ 0\\ 0\end{pmatrix},$$

Diff. current / Mom. cons.

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix}\rho^\mu_{\mathrm{S};0}\\ \rho^\mu_{\mathrm{S};1}\end{pmatrix} = \int dK \begin{pmatrix}1\\ E_{\mathbf{k}}\end{pmatrix} k^{\langle\mu\rangle}\delta f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}} = \begin{pmatrix}V^\mu_{\mathrm{S}}\\ 0\end{pmatrix},$$

SS tens.

$$\Rightarrow \rho^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{S};0} = \int dK k^{\langle\mu}k^{\nu\rangle}\delta f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}} = \pi^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{S}}. \quad (20)$$

- The solution can be written as $\delta f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}}\tilde{f}_{0\mathbf{k}}\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}}$, where

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{3\Pi}{m^2}\left(1-\frac{\tau_R}{\tau_\Pi}\right)\mathcal{H}^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}0} + k_{\langle\mu\rangle}V^\mu\left(1-\frac{\tau_R}{\tau_V}\right)\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}0} + k_{\langle\mu}k_{\nu\rangle}\pi^{\mu\nu}\left(1-\frac{\tau_R}{\tau_\pi}\right)\mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}0}. \quad (21)$$

- $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}0}$ satisfies 3 constraints ($\rho_{\mathrm{S};0,1,2}$) $\Rightarrow$ polynomial of order 2.
- $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}0}$ satisfies 2 constraints ($\rho_{\mathrm{S};0,1}$) $\Rightarrow$ polynomial of order 1.
- $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}0}$ satisfies 1 constraint ($\rho_{\mathrm{S};0,1}$) $\Rightarrow$ polynomial of order 0.
- The simplest solution is:

$$\mathcal{H}^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}0} = \frac{G_{33} - G_{23}E_{\mathbf{k}} + G_{22}E_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{J_{00}G_{33} - J_{10}G_{23} + J_{20}G_{22}},$$
$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}0} = \frac{J_{31}E_{\mathbf{k}} - J_{41}}{J_{21}J_{41} - J_{31}^2}, \qquad \mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}0} = \frac{1}{2J_{42}}, \quad (22)$$

where $G_{nm} = J_{n0}J_{m0} - J_{n-1,0}J_{m+1,0}$ and

$$J_{nq} = \frac{1}{(2q+1)!!}\int dK\, E_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-2q}(-\Delta^{\alpha\beta}k_\alpha k_\beta)^q f_{0\mathbf{k}}\tilde{f}_{0\mathbf{k}}. \quad (23)$$

Entropy production

[VEA, Molnár, PLB **855** (2024) 138795]

- The entropy current is given by [classical stat. used for simplicity]

$$S^\mu = -\int dK\, k^\mu \left(f_{\mathbf{k}} \ln f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{\mathbf{k}}\right). \tag{24}$$

- In the Shakhov model, $k^\mu \partial_\mu f = C_{\rm S}[f]$ and

$$\partial_\mu S^\mu = -\int dK\, C_{\rm S}[f] \ln f_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\tau_R}\int dK\, E_{\mathbf{k}}(\delta f_{\mathbf{k}} - \delta f_{{\rm S}\mathbf{k}}) \ln f_{\mathbf{k}}. \tag{25}$$

- When $\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \delta f_{\mathbf{k}}/f_{0\mathbf{k}}$ is small, detailed manipulations lead to

$$\partial_\mu S^\mu \simeq \frac{\beta}{\zeta_{\rm S}}\Pi^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa_{\rm S}} V_\mu V^\mu + \frac{\beta}{2\eta_{\rm S}} \pi_{\mu\nu}\pi^{\mu\nu} \geq 0. \tag{26}$$

- Close to eq., the S-model satisfies the $2^{\rm nd}$ law of thermodynamics.
- Proof far from eq. unavailable even for non-rel. Shakhov!

Section 4

Application: Bjorken flow

Application: Bjorken flow

- Bjorken model: flow invariant under longitudinal boosts:

$$u^\mu \partial_\mu = \frac{t}{\tau}\partial_t + \frac{z}{\tau}\partial_z, \quad \tau = \sqrt{t^2 - z^2}, \quad \eta_s = \tanh^{-1}(z/t). \tag{27}$$

- In Bjorken coordinates $(\tau, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \eta_s)$,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(e, P_T, P_T, \tau^{-2} P_L),$$
$$P_T = P + \Pi - \frac{\pi_d}{2}, \qquad P_L = P + \Pi + \pi_d. \tag{28}$$

- In $2^{\rm nd}$-order hydro, we have: [Denicol, Florkowski, Ryblewski, Strickland, PRC **90** (2014) 044905]

$$\tau\dot{\epsilon} + \epsilon + P_L = 0, \tag{29a}$$
$$\tau\dot{\Pi} + \left(\frac{\delta_{\Pi\Pi}}{\tau_\Pi} + \frac{\tau}{\tau_\Pi}\right)\Pi + \frac{\lambda_{\Pi\pi}}{\tau_\Pi}\pi_d = -\frac{\zeta}{\tau_\Pi},$$
$$\tau\dot{\pi}_d + \left(\frac{\delta_{\pi\pi}}{\tau_\pi} + \frac{\tau_{\pi\pi}}{3\tau_\pi} + \frac{\tau}{\tau_\pi}\right)\pi_d + \frac{2\lambda_{\pi\Pi}}{3\tau_\pi}\Pi = -\frac{4\eta}{3\tau_\pi}. \tag{29b}$$

- We employ the Shakhov model to control ζ independently from η.

Shakhov model: ζ vs. η



- Choosing $\tau_R = \tau_\Pi$, the Shakhov distribution becomes

$$f_{S\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} \left[1 + \frac{\beta^2 k_\mu k_\nu \pi^{\mu\nu}}{2(e+P)} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_\Pi}{\tau_\pi} \right) \right]. \tag{30}$$

- Left panel: τ_π is fixed and τ_Π is varied using the Shakhov model.
- Right panel: τ_Π is fixed and τ_π is varied using the Shakhov model.
- $m = 1$ GeV; $\tau_0 = 0.5$ fm; $\beta_0^{-1} = 0.6$ GeV; For $\tau_\pi = 0.5$ fm, $4\pi\eta/s \simeq 3.3$ at $\tau = \tau_0$.

Section 5

Application: Sound waves

Application: Sound waves

- We now consider an infinitesimal perturbation propagating in an ultrarelativistic fluid at rest.
- Writing $u^\mu \simeq (1,0,0,\delta v)$, $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 + \delta\epsilon$ and $n = n_0 + \delta n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \delta n + n_0 \partial_z \delta v + \partial_z \delta V &= 0, \\
\partial_t \delta\epsilon + (\epsilon_0 + P_0)\partial_z \delta v &= 0, \\
(\epsilon_0 + P_0)\partial_t \delta v + \partial_z \delta P + \partial_z \delta\pi &= 0, \\
\tau_V \partial_t \delta V + \delta V + \kappa \partial_z \delta\alpha - \ell_{V\pi}\partial_z \delta\pi &= 0, \\
\tau_\pi \partial_t \delta\pi + \delta\pi + \frac{4\eta}{3}\partial_z \delta v + \frac{2}{3}\ell_{\pi V}\partial_z \delta V &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where $\delta V = V^z$ and $\delta\pi = \pi^{zz}/\gamma^2$.

- **In RTA, $\ell_{V\pi} = \ell_{\pi V} = 0$.** [VEA, Molnár, Rischke, PRD **106** (2022) 076005]
- We track the time evolution of the amplitudes

$$\widetilde{\delta V} = \frac{2}{L}\int_0^L dz\, \delta V\, \cos(kz), \quad \widetilde{\delta\pi} = \frac{2}{L}\int_0^L dz\, \delta\pi\, \sin(kz). \tag{32}$$

- We employ the Shakhov model to control κ independently from η.

Shakhov model: κ vs. η



- Setting $\tau_R = \tau_\pi$, the Shakhov distribution becomes

$$f_{S\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} \left[1 + \frac{k_\mu V^\mu}{P} (\beta E_{\mathbf{k}} - 5) \left(1 - \frac{\tau_\pi}{\tau_V} \right) \right]. \qquad (33)$$

Section 6

Second-order relativistic Shakhov model

Beyond first order: second-order transport coefficients?

- Navier-Stokes hydrodynamics is acausal $\Rightarrow$ a-relativistic!
- One example of causal hydro is MIS $2^{\rm nd}$ order hydro, by which e.g. $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ evolves according to $\tau_\pi \dot{\pi}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu} &= 2\tau_\pi \pi_\lambda^{\langle\mu}\omega^{\nu\rangle\lambda} - \delta_{\pi\pi}\pi^{\mu\nu}\theta - \tau_{\pi\pi}\pi^{\lambda\langle\mu}\sigma_\lambda^{\nu\rangle} + \lambda_{\pi\Pi}\Pi\sigma^{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad - \tau_{\pi V} V^{\langle\mu}\dot{u}^{\nu\rangle} + \ell_{\pi V}\nabla^{\langle\mu}V^{\nu\rangle} + \lambda_{\pi V} V^{\langle\mu}\nabla^{\nu\rangle}\alpha, \\ \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} &= \varphi_6 \Pi\pi^{\mu\nu} + \varphi_7 \pi^{\lambda\langle\mu}\pi_\lambda^{\nu\rangle} + \varphi_8 V^{\langle\mu}V^{\nu\rangle}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

- In RTA, $\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} = 0$.
- $2^{\rm nd}$-order t.c. are important e.g. in preeq!
- In conformal RTA, $\delta_{\pi\pi} + \tau_{\pi\pi}/3 = 38/21$.
- Solving hydro with $\delta_{\pi\pi} + \tau_{\pi\pi}/3 = 31/15$ gives much better agreement with RTA!

[J.-P. Blaizot, L. Yan, PRC **104** (2021) 055201]



- Etc...

Second-order hydro from KT

- In the method of moments, second-order hydro can be derived using:
  - Irreducible moments of $\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$: $\rho_r^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} = \int dK E_{\mathbf{k}}^r k^{\langle\mu_1}\cdots k^{\mu_\ell\rangle}\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$.
  - Irreducible moments of $C[f]$: $C_r^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} = \int dK E_{\mathbf{k}}^r k^{\langle\mu_1}\cdots k^{\mu_\ell\rangle} C[f]$.
  - Define collision matrix via $C_{r-1}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} = -\sum_n \mathcal{A}_{rn}^{(\ell)} \rho_n^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell}$.
  - Define inverse matrix $\tau_{rn}^{(\ell)}$ via $\sum_n \tau_{rn}^{(\ell)} \mathcal{A}_{nm}^{(\ell)} = \delta_{rm}$.
- The $1^{\rm st}$-order transport coeffs. are

$$\zeta_r = \frac{m^2}{3}\sum_n \tau_{rn}^{(0)}\alpha_n^{(0)}, \quad \kappa_r = \sum_n \tau_{rn}^{(1)}\alpha_n^{(1)}, \quad \eta_r = \sum_n \tau_{rn}^{(2)}\alpha_n^{(2)}.$$

- The relaxation times can be obtained via [Wagner, Palermo, VEA, PRD **106** (2022) 016013]

$$\tau_\Pi = \sum_r \tau_{0r}^{(0)}\mathcal{C}_r^{(0)}, \quad \tau_V = \sum_r \tau_{0r}^{(1)}\mathcal{C}_r^{(1)}, \quad \tau_\pi = \sum_r \tau_{0r}^{(2)}\mathcal{C}_r^{(2)}, \tag{35}$$

  with $\mathcal{C}_r^{(0)} = \zeta_r/\zeta_0$, $\mathcal{C}_r^{(1)} = \kappa_r/\kappa_0$ and $\mathcal{C}_r^{(2)} = \eta_r/\eta_0$.
- ...all other 2nd-order t.c. are computed using $\tau_{0n}^{(\ell)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_n^{(\ell)}$.
- **NOTE!** $C_0 = C_1 = C_1^\mu = 0$ to conserve mass & energy-momentum!
- Idea: Use Shakhov model to "manipulate" $\mathcal{A}_{rn}^{(\ell)}$.

From RTA to Shakhov

- In RTA, $C[f] = -\frac{E_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tau_R}\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$ and [VEA, Molnár, Rischke, PRD **106** (2022) 076005]

$$C_{r-1}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} = -\frac{1}{\tau_R}\rho_r^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{rn}^{(\ell)} = \frac{\delta_{rn}}{\tau_R} \Rightarrow \tau_{rn}^{(\ell)} = \tau_R\delta_{rn}. \tag{36}$$

- In the Shakhov model, $C_{\rm S} = -\frac{E_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tau_R}[\delta f_{\mathbf{k}} - \delta f_{{\rm S}\mathbf{k}}]$ and

$$C_{r-1}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} = -\frac{1}{\tau_R}[\rho_r^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} - \rho_{{\rm S};r}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell}], \tag{37}$$

  where $\rho_{{\rm S};r}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell}$ are essentially arbitrary.
- Imposing $C_{r-1}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} = -\sum_n \mathcal{A}_{rn}^{(\ell)}\rho_n^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell}$ suggests taking

$$\rho_{{\rm S};r}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} = \sum_n [\delta_{rn} - \tau_R\mathcal{A}_{rn}^{(\ell)}]\rho_n^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell}, \tag{38}$$

  where $\mathcal{A}_{rn}^{(\ell)}$ is the desired collision matrix.

Constructing $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}}$

[VEA, Wagner, PRD **110** (2024) 056002]

- Our approach is to fix a subset of $\rho_{\mathrm{S};r}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell}$ with:

$$0 \leq \ell \leq L = 2, \qquad -s_\ell \leq r \leq N_\ell, \tag{39}$$

where $s_\ell \equiv$ "shift" and $N_\ell \geq \{2, 1, 0\}$. [VEA, Molnár, Rischke, PRD **106** (2022) 076005]

- We construct $\delta f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}} \equiv f_{0\mathbf{k}} \tilde{f}_{0\mathbf{k}} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}}$ as

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{n=-s_\ell}^{N_\ell} \rho_{\mathrm{S};n}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} E_{\mathbf{k}}^{-s_\ell} k_{\langle\mu_1} \cdots k_{\mu_\ell\rangle} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k},n+s_\ell}^{(\ell)}, \tag{40}$$

with $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}n}^{(\ell)}$ ensuring $\rho_{\mathrm{S};n}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_\ell} = \int dK E_{\mathbf{k}}^n k^{\langle\mu_1} \cdots k^{\mu_\ell\rangle} \delta f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}}$.

Shakhov collision matrix

- Eq. (40) sets $\rho^{\mu_1 \cdot \mu_\ell}_{\mathrm{S};n}$ for $-s_\ell \leq n \leq N_\ell$.
- In general $\rho^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_\ell}_{\mathrm{S};r} \neq 0$ when $r < -s_\ell$ and $r > N_\ell$.
- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(\ell)}_{\mathrm{S};rn}$ contains non-trivial entries when $r < -s_\ell$ and $r > N_\ell$:

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\ell)}_{rn} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau_R}\delta_{rn} & \mathcal{A}^{(\ell)}_{<;rn} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{A}^{(\ell)}_{\mathrm{S};rn} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{A}^{(\ell)}_{>;rn} & \frac{1}{\tau_R}\delta_{rn} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{(\ell)}_{</>;rn}$ correspond to $r < -s_\ell$ and $r > N_\ell$, respectively.

- These entries supplement the $\tau_R^{-1}\delta_{rn}$ structure of AW with

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\ell)}_{</>;rn} = -\frac{1}{\tau_R}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\ell)}_{-(r+s_\ell),n+s_\ell} + \sum_{j=-s_\ell}^{N_\ell} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\ell)}_{-(r+s_\ell),j+s_\ell}\mathcal{A}^{(\ell)}_{\mathrm{S};jn}, \tag{42}$$

with $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\ell)}_{rn} \equiv \frac{\ell!}{(2\ell+1)!!}\int dK\, f_{0\mathbf{k}}\tilde{f}_{0\mathbf{k}}E_{\mathbf{k}}^{-2s_\ell - r}(\Delta^{\alpha\beta}k_\alpha k_\beta)^\ell \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(\ell)}_{\mathbf{k}n}$.

Inverse collision matrix

- The inverse matrix $\tau_{rn}^{(\ell)}$ reads

$$\tau_{rn}^{(\ell)} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_R \delta_{rn} & \tau_{<;rn}^{(\ell)} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\mathrm{S};rn}^{(\ell)} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{>;rn}^{(\ell)} & \tau_R \delta_{rn} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (43)$$

with $\tau_{\mathrm{S};rn}^{(\ell)} = [\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S};rn}^{(\ell)}]^{-1}$ a finite $(N_\ell + s_\ell + 1)^2$ matrix and

$$\tau_{<,>;rn}^{(\ell)} = -\tau_R \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{-(r+s_\ell),n+s_\ell}^{(\ell)} + \sum_{j=-s_\ell}^{N_\ell} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{-(r+s_\ell),j+s_\ell}^{(\ell)} \tau_{\mathrm{S};jn}^{(\ell)}. \qquad (44)$$

- For example, the shear viscosities $\eta_r = \sum_n \tau_{rn}^{(2)} \alpha_n^{(2)}$ are

$$\eta_{-s_\ell \le r \le N_\ell} = \sum_{n=-s_2}^{N_2} \tau_{\mathrm{S};rn}^{(2)} \alpha_n^{(2)},$$

$$\eta_{r,</>} = \tau_R \alpha_r^{(2)} + \sum_{n=-s_2}^{N_2} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{-r-s_2,n+s_2}^{(2)} (\eta_n - \tau_R \alpha_n^{(2)}). \qquad (45)$$

Tunable coefficients in the Shakhov model

- The transport coefficients depend on

$$\tau^{(0)}_{0,n\neq 1,2} : N_0 + s_0 - 1 \text{ entries}; \quad \mathcal{C}^{(0)}_{n\neq 1,2} \equiv \frac{\zeta_n}{\zeta_0} : N_0 + s_0 - 2 \text{ extra lines},$$
$$\tau^{(1)}_{0,n\neq 1} : N_1 + s_1 \text{ entries}; \quad \mathcal{C}^{(1)}_{n\neq 1} \equiv \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_0} : N_1 + s_1 - 1 \text{ extra lines},$$
$$\tau^{(2)}_{0n} : N_2 + s_2 + 1 \text{ entries}; \quad \mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{n} \equiv \frac{\eta_n}{\eta_0} : N_2 + s_2 \text{ extra lines},$$

  so in total:

$$[2(N_0 + s_0 + N_1 + s_1 + N_2 + s_2) - 3] \text{ transport coefficients}, \tag{46}$$

  plus a hidden degree of freedom given by τ_R.

- For an UR gas, the scalar sector is not important, leaving in total

$$[2(N_1 + s_1 + N_2 + s_2)] \text{ transport coefficients}, \tag{47}$$

  plus τ_R.

Section 7

Application: Shear-bulk coupling

Example: shear-bulk coupling

[VEA, Wagner, PRD **110** (2024) 056002]

- To illustrate the capabilities of the Shakhov model in the case of finite m, we consider again the Bjorken flow problem.
- In MIS hydro, the diffusive quantities evolve according to

$$\tau_\Pi \frac{d\Pi}{d\tau} + \Pi = -\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\zeta + \delta_{\Pi\Pi}\Pi + \lambda_{\Pi\pi}\pi_d\right) \ ,$$
$$\tau_\pi \frac{d\pi_d}{d\tau} + \pi_d = -\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\frac{4\eta}{3} + \left(\delta_{\pi\pi} + \frac{\tau_{\pi\pi}}{3}\right)\pi_d + \frac{2\lambda_{\pi\Pi}}{3}\Pi\right]. \qquad (48)$$

- Our aim is to separately tune ζ, η and $\lambda_{\Pi\pi}$, i.e.

$$\frac{\lambda_{\Pi\pi}}{\tau_\Pi} = A\frac{\lambda^R_{\Pi\pi}}{\tau_R}, \quad \eta = H\eta_R, \quad \zeta = \zeta_R, \qquad (49)$$

where $\lambda^R_{\Pi\pi} = \frac{m^2}{3}\tau_R\left(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{-2} + \frac{J_{10}}{J_{30}}\right)$ is the RTA expression, while A and H are arbitrary functions.

- This can be achieved using the following collision matrix:

$$\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{\rm S} = \frac{1}{\tau_R H}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1-A)\left(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{-2} + \frac{J_{10}}{J_{30}}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{-2} = \frac{\alpha^{(2)}_{-2}}{\alpha^{(2)}_0}. \qquad (50)$$

Example: shear-bulk coupling

[VEA, Wagner, PRD **110** (2024) 056002]



- For definiteness, we consider $AH = 1 \Rightarrow$ bulk response $\lambda_{\Pi\pi}\pi_d$ remains unchanged (see central panel).
- The Shakhov $f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}}\tilde{f}_{0\mathbf{k}}\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}}$ has $\tilde{f}_{0\mathbf{k}} = 1$ (classical gas) and

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}} = \left(\pi_{\mathrm{S};-2}\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}0} + \pi_{\mathrm{S};0}\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}2}\right)\left(\frac{k_\eta^2}{\tau^2 k_\tau^2} - \frac{k_\perp^2}{2k_\tau^2}\right),$$

$$\pi_{\mathrm{S};r} = \pi_r - \tau_R \mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{\mathrm{S};rn}\pi_n, \quad k^\tau = \frac{tk^t - zk^z}{\tau}, \quad k^\eta = \frac{tk^z - zk^t}{\tau^2},$$

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}0} = \frac{J_{42} - J_{22}E_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{2(J_{02}J_{42} - J_{22}^2)}, \quad \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}2} = \frac{-J_{22} + J_{02}E_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{2(J_{02}J_{42} - J_{22}^2)}. \qquad (51)$$

Section 8

Application: Shear-diffusion coupling

Example: shear-diffusion coupling

[VEA, Wagner, PRD **110** (2024) 056002]

- Consider a longitudinal wave propagating along z.
- The linearized hydro equations for $\delta\pi \equiv \pi^{zz}$ and $\delta V \equiv V^z$ read

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_V \partial_t \delta V + \delta V &= -\kappa \partial_z \delta\alpha + \ell_{V\pi} \partial_z \delta\pi, \\ \tau_\pi \partial_t \delta\pi + \delta\pi &= -\frac{4\eta}{3} \partial_z \delta v - \frac{2}{3} \ell_{\pi V} \partial_z \delta V, \end{aligned} \qquad (52)$$

where the cross couplings read (for an UR classical gas):

$$\ell_{V\pi} = \sum_{r\neq 1} \tau_{0r}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\beta J_{r+2,1}}{\epsilon + P} - \mathcal{C}_{r-1}^{(2)} \right), \quad \ell_{\pi V} = \frac{2}{5} \sum_r \tau_{0r}^{(2)} \mathcal{C}_{r+1}^{(1)}. \qquad (53)$$

- In RTA, $\ell_{V\pi} = \tau_R \left(\frac{\beta J_{21}}{\epsilon+P} - \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{(2)} \right)$ and $\ell_{\pi V} = \tau_R \mathcal{C}_1^{(1)}$ both vanish:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{21} = nT = \frac{1}{3}\epsilon, \quad & \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_{-1}^{(2)}}{\alpha_0^{(2)}} = \frac{\beta}{4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ell_{V\pi} = 0, \\ \kappa_1 = \alpha_1^{(1)} = 0, \quad & \mathcal{C}_1^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_1^{(1)}}{\alpha_0^{(1)}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ell_{\pi V} = 0. \end{aligned} \qquad (54)$$

- We aim to control independently 4 t.c.: κ, η, $\ell_{V\pi}$ and $\ell_{\pi V}$.

Example: shear-diffusion coupling

[VEA, Wagner, PRD **110** (2024) 056002]

- To fix κ, η, $\ell_{V\pi}$, $\ell_{\pi V}$, we use $(N_1, N_2, s_1, s_2) = (1001)$ having

$$2(N_1 + s_1 + N_2 + s_2) = 4 \text{ degrees of freedom.} \tag{55}$$

- We take $\mathcal{A}_{\rm S}^{(1)} = 1/\tau_V$ with $\tau_V = 12\kappa/\beta P$.
- Introducing the notation

$$H = \frac{5\eta}{4\tau_\pi P}, \quad L_{V\pi} = \frac{4\ell_{V\pi}}{\beta\tau_V}, \quad L_{\pi V} = \frac{5\beta\ell_{\pi V}}{8\tau_\pi}, \tag{56}$$

we have the constraint $H = 1 + L_{V\pi}L_{\pi V}$, i.e.

$$\tau_\pi = \frac{\tau_R}{1 + L_{V\pi}L_{\pi V}}, \tag{57}$$

where we take $\tau_R = 5\eta/4P$.

- Then, the matrix reads:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm S}^{(2)} = \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha H\tau_\pi(1-\alpha H)} \begin{pmatrix} H - L_{\pi V} & -\frac{\beta}{4}x \\ -\frac{4}{\beta}L_{\pi V} & H(1 - L_{V\pi}) - x \end{pmatrix}, \tag{58}$$

with $x = H(1 - \alpha - L_{V\pi}) - L_{\pi V} - \frac{1-H}{1-\alpha}$ and $\alpha = 1/2$.

Example: shear-diffusion coupling

[VEA, Wagner, PRD **110** (2024) 056002]



- We first consider $\ell_{\pi V} = 0$ (left panel) and $\ell_{V\pi} = 0$ (right panel):

$$\ell_{\pi V} = 0: \qquad\qquad \ell_{V\pi} = 0:$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm S}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\tau_\pi}\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -\frac{\beta}{4}(1 - 2L_{V\pi}) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\rm S}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{\tau_\pi}\begin{pmatrix} 1 - L_{\pi V} & -\beta(\frac{1}{2} - L_{\pi V}) \\ -4\beta L_{\pi V} & \frac{1}{2} + L_{\pi V} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{59}$$

- Very good agreement with hydro observed!

Example: shear-diffusion coupling

[VEA, Wagner, PRD **110** (2024) 056002]



- The requirement $\partial_\mu S^\mu \geq 0$ imposes

$$\frac{\ell_{V\pi}}{\kappa} + \frac{\ell_{\pi V}}{2\eta T} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad L_{\pi V} = -3HL_{V\pi}. \tag{60}$$

- In this case, the Shakhov matrix reads:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm S}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{\tau_\pi(2-H)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 3L_{V\pi} & \frac{\beta}{8}(12L_{V\pi}^2 - 4L_{V\pi} - 1) \\ \frac{12}{\beta}L_{V\pi} & 6L_{V\pi}^2 - 3L_{V\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{61}$$

- Again, very good agreement with hydro observed!

Section 9

Application: Ultrarelativistic hard spheres (Riemann problem)

Ultrarelativistic hard spheres (URHS)

[Wagner, VEA, Molnár, PRD **109** (2024) 056018]
[Wagner, Palermo, VEA, PRD **106** (2022) 016013]

- The t.c. of the URHS model are:

$\kappa\sigma$	$\tau_V\,[\lambda_{\rm mfp}]$	$\delta_{VV}\,[\tau_V]$	$\ell_{V\pi}\,[\tau_V] = \tau_{V\pi}\,[\tau_V]$	$\lambda_{VV}\,[\tau_V]$	$\lambda_{V\pi}\,[\tau_V]$
0.15892	2.0838	1	0.028371β	0.89862	0.069273β

$\eta\sigma\beta$	$\tau_\pi\,[\lambda_{\rm mfp}]$	$\delta_{\pi\pi}\,[\tau_\pi]$	$\ell_{\pi V}\,[\tau_\pi]$	$\tau_{\pi V}\,[\tau_\pi]$	$\tau_{\pi\pi}\,[\tau_\pi]$	$\lambda_{\pi V}\,[\tau_\pi]$
1.2676	1.6557	$4/3$	$-0.56960/\beta$	$-2.2784/\beta$	1.6945	$0.20503/\beta$

- The t.c. of RTA with $\eta_R = \eta_{\rm HS}$ are

$\kappa\sigma$	$\tau_V\,[\lambda_{\rm mfp}]$	$\delta_{VV}\,[\tau_V]$	$\ell_{V\pi}\,[\tau_V] = \tau_{V\pi}\,[\tau_V]$	$\lambda_{VV}\,[\tau_V]$	$\lambda_{V\pi}\,[\tau_V]$
0.13204	1.5845	1	0	$3/5$	$\beta/16$

$\eta\sigma\beta$	$\tau_\pi\,[\lambda_{\rm mfp}]$	$\delta_{\pi\pi}\,[\tau_\pi]$	$\ell_{\pi V}\,[\tau_\pi]$	$\tau_{\pi V}\,[\tau_\pi]$	$\tau_{\pi\pi}\,[\tau_\pi]$	$\lambda_{\pi V}\,[\tau_\pi]$
1.2676	1.5845	$4/3$	0	0	$10/7$	0

- RTA-HS mismatch for almost all coefficients, except $\delta_{VV} = \tau_V$ and $\delta_{\pi\pi} = 4\tau_\pi/3$, which are fixed for an UR gas.
- To align all transport coefficients, we need 11 parameters!

Various (N_1, N_2, s_1, s_2) models

- A Shakhov model with (N_1, N_2, s_1, s_2) provides $2(N_1 + N_2 + s_1 + s_2)$ params.
- To test the effect of various t.c., we employed several models:
- AW: τ_R is used to fix $\eta_R = \eta_{\rm HS}$.
- (1000): Fixes η and κ.
- (1001): discussed previously, fixes $(\kappa, \eta, \ell_{V\pi}, \ell_{\pi V})$.
- (1012): has $2 \times 4 = 8$ free entries and fixes everything except λ_{VV} and $\lambda_{V\pi}$.
- (2102): has $2 \times 5 = 10$ free entries and fixes everything.

Models used

Model	$\eta\sigma\beta$	$\tau_\pi/\lambda_{\text{mfp}}$	$\ell_{\pi V}/\tau_\pi$	$\tau_{\pi\pi}/\tau_\pi$	$\beta\lambda_{\pi V}/\tau_\pi$
HS	**1.2676**	**1.6557**	**−0.56960**	**1.6945**	**0.20503**
AW	**1.2676**	1.5845	0	1.4286	0
1000	**1.2676**	1.5845	0	1.4286	0
1001	**1.2676**	1.6457	**−0.56960**	1.7607	0
1012	**1.2676**	**1.6557**	**−0.56960**	**1.6945**	**0.20503**
2012	**1.2676**	**1.6557**	**−0.56960**	**1.6945**	**0.20503**

Model	$\kappa\sigma$	$\tau_V/\lambda_{\text{mfp}}$	$\ell_{V\pi}/\beta\tau_V$	λ_{VV}/τ_V	$\lambda_{V\pi}/\beta\tau_V$
HS	**0.15892**	**2.0838**	**0.028371**	**0.89862**	**0.069273**
AW	0.13204	1.5845	0	0.6	0.0625
1000	**0.15892**	1.5845	0	0.6	0.0625
1001	**0.15892**	1.9070	**0.028371**	0.6	0.055407
1012	**0.13204**	**2.0838**	**0.028371**	0.762023	0.062933
2012	**0.15892**	**2.0838**	**0.028371**	**0.89862**	**0.069273**

Sod shock tube: convergence properties





- To validate the numerical scheme, we compared AW results to BAMPS for various fixed η/s.
- As $\eta/s \to 0$, our results approach the inviscid (analytical) solution.
- AW and all Shakhov implementations are in excellent agreement w. BAMPS for the eq. quantits. (n, P, u).



[Bouras *et al*, PRC **82** (2010) 024910]

Sod shock tube: Comparison to BAMPS

[Bouras *et al*, PRC **82** (2010) 024910]





- In the frame of the Sod shock tube, we considered a comparison to BAMPS for hard-sphere interactions.
- Using τ_R to tune η, shear comes out well with AW and Shakhov.
- For diffusion: $1000 \equiv$ first-order Shakhov underestimates peak.
- All high-order Shakhov models perform well!

Heat flow problem: Comparison to BAMPS [DNBMXRG, PRD 89 (2014) 074005]



- Case 1: const. initial λ, pressure jump.
- All models recover π/P.
- For q^z, both AW (fixing only η) and 1000 (fixing η and κ) fail.
- All high-order Shahkov models perform well!

Heat flow problem: Comparison to BAMPS [DNBMXRG, PRD **89** (2014) 074005]



- Case 2: cons. initial P, jump in λ.
- AW and 1000 give $\pi/P = 0$; all high-order models recover π/P.
- For q^z, AW is off by $\simeq 10\%$, while 1000 and high-order Shahkov models perform well!

IReD Supremacy: Problem with DNMR



- So far, we used the IReD method for the t.coeffs computation.
- Now we tune the S-model to capture the $O(\mathrm{Re}^{-1}\mathrm{Kn})$ t.coeffs to the DNMR values, ignoring the $O(\mathrm{Kn}^2)$ t.coeffs.
- While π is recovered well, in all S-models the DNMR coefficients lead to wrong results for q^z.

Section 10

Code availability

Code availability

- The kinetic equation is solved using a discrete velocity method algorithm based on the relativistic lattice Boltzmann method.
- The source code, run scripts, as well as plotting scripts are available to download from CodeOcean, as follows:
  - $0+1$-D massless Bjorken flow: DOI: 10.24433/CO.5625382.v2
    [VEA *et al*, Nature Comput. Sci. **2** (2022) 641]
  - $0+1$-D massive Bjorken flow (hydro, aHydro, Boltzmann-RTA): DOI: 10.24433/CO.1942625.v1 [VEA, Molnár, Rischke, PRD **109** (2024) 076001]
  - First-order Shakhov model (Bjorken flow, longitudinal waves): DOI: 10.24433/CO.6267589.v1 [VEA, Molnár, PLB **855** (2024) 138795]
  - High-order Shakhov model (Bjorken flow, longitudinal waves, shock waves): DOI: 10.24433/CO.8322373.v1 [VEA, Wagner, PRD **110** (2024) 056002]

Kinetic solver: $1+1$-D flows

- For $1+1$-D flows, the kinetic equation reduces to

$$k^t \partial_t f_{\mathbf{k}} + k^z \partial_z f_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{E_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tau_R}(f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{S\mathbf{k}}). \tag{62}$$

- We parametrize $f_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv f(x^\mu; m_\perp, v^z, \varphi_{\mathbf{k}})$, with

$$\begin{pmatrix} k^t \\ k^z \end{pmatrix} = m_\perp \begin{pmatrix} \cosh y \\ \sinh y \end{pmatrix} = \frac{m_\perp}{\sqrt{1 - v_z^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v^z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} k^x \\ k^y \end{pmatrix} = k_\perp \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \sin \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{63}$$

where $m_\perp = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + m^2}$ is the transverse mass, $y = \tanh^{-1} v^z$ is the rapidity, and $v^z = k^z / k^t$.

- Assuming $u^\mu \partial_\mu = \gamma(\partial_t + \beta^z \partial_z)$, Eq. (62) leads to

$$\partial_t f_{\mathbf{k}} + v^z \partial_z f_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{\gamma(1 - \beta^z v^z)}{\tau_R}(f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{S\mathbf{k}}). \tag{64}$$

Kinetic solver: Rapidity-based moments

- Going from $\mathbf{k} = (k^x, k^y, k^z)$ to $(m_\perp, v^z, \varphi_k)$ implies:

$$\int \frac{d^3k}{k^0} \to \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dv^z}{1 - v_z^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_k \int_m^\infty dm_\perp m_\perp \ . \tag{65}$$

- The $m_\perp$ and $\varphi_\mathbf{k}$ dofs can be integrated out by introducing *rapidity-based moments*:

$$F_n(v^z) = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_k \int_m^\infty \frac{dm_\perp \, m_\perp^{n+1}}{(1 - v_z^2)^{(n+2)/2}} f_\mathbf{k}. \tag{66}$$

- For the longitudinal waves and shock waves problems, Eq. (64) can be integrated w.r.t. $m_\perp$ and $\varphi_\mathbf{k}$, leading to

$$\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial t} + v^z \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial z} = -\frac{\gamma(1 - \beta^z v^z)}{\tau}(F_n - F_n^\mathrm{S}). \tag{67}$$

- The equation is closed since all required macroscopic quantits. entering $f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}} \to F_n^\mathrm{S}$ can be recovered from F_n:

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_r^t \\ N_r^z \end{pmatrix} = \int_{-1}^{1} dv^z \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v^z \end{pmatrix} (u \cdot v)^r F_{r+1} \ , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} T_r^{tt} \\ T_r^{tz} \\ T_r^{zz} \end{pmatrix} = \int_{-1}^{1} dv^z \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v^z \\ v_z^2 \end{pmatrix} (u \cdot v)^r F_{r+2} \ . \tag{68}$$

Kinetic solver: Non-conformal Bjorken flow

- Due to the symmetries of Bjorken flow, it is convenient to employ (τ, η), defined by

$$t = \tau \cosh \eta, \quad z = \tau \sinh \eta. \tag{69}$$

- Due to boost invariance, $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ depends on y and η only through $y - \eta$.
- Then, $f_{\mathbf{k}} \to f(\tau; m_\perp, \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}, v^z)$, where $v^z = \tanh(y - \eta)$ instead of $\tanh y$.
- The kinetic eq. for Bjorken flow becomes:

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \tau} - \frac{v^z (1 - v_z^2)}{\tau} \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial v^z} = -\frac{1}{\tau_R}(f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_{\mathrm{S}\mathbf{k}}). \tag{70}$$

- Defining again the *rapidity-based moments*,

$$F_n(v^z) = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_k \int_m^\infty \frac{dm_\perp \, m_\perp^{n+1}}{(1 - v_z^2)^{(n+2)/2}} f_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{71}$$

one obtains

$$\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{\tau}[1 + (n-1) v_z^2] F_n - \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\partial [v^z (1 - v_z^2) F_n]}{\partial v^z} = -\frac{1}{\tau_R}(F_n - F_n^{\mathrm{S}}). \tag{72}$$

- The equation is again closed w.r.t. n.

Momentum-space discretization: v^z

- v^z is discretized via the Gauss-Legendre quadrature.
- The continuous functions $F_n(v^z)$ are replaced by

$$F_{n;j} = w_j F_n(v^z_j), \quad w_j = \frac{2(1 - v^2_{z;j})}{[(K+1)P_{K+1}(v^z_j)]^2}, \tag{73}$$

  where v^z_j $(1 \le j \le K)$ satisfy $P_K(v^z_j) = 0$
- The derivative w.r.t. v^z is replaced by the finite sum

$$\left[\frac{\partial[v^z(1 - v^2_z)F_n]}{\partial v^z}\right]_j = \sum_{j'=1}^{K} \mathcal{K}_{j,j'} F_{n;j'}, \tag{74}$$

  where $\mathcal{K}_{j,j'}$ is obtained by projection onto Legendre polynomials:

[VEA, Blaga, PRC **98** (2018) 035201]

$$\mathcal{K}_{j,j'} = w_j \sum_{m=1}^{K-3} \frac{m(m+1)(m+2)}{2(2m+3)} P_m(v^z_j) P_{m+2}(v^z_{j'})$$
$$- w_j \sum_{m=1}^{K-1} \frac{m(m+1)}{2} P_m(v^z_j) \left[\frac{(2m+1)P_m(v^z_{j'})}{(2m-1)(2m+3)} + \frac{m-1}{2m-1} P_{m-2}(v^z_{j'})\right]. \tag{75}$$

Section 11

Conclusions

Conclusions

- Shakhov model generalized for the relativistic Anderson-Witting RTA, allowing ζ, κ and η to be controlled independently.
- Numerical simulations of the Bjorken flow and of sound waves damping confirmed that the model is robust.
- Extending the Shakhov model allows $2^{\rm nd}$-order t. coeffs. to be controlled $\Rightarrow$ agreement with BAMPS in Sod shock tube.
- This work was supported through a grant of the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2021-1707, within PNCDI III.