Black Hole Partition Functions and Duality

Gabriel Lopes Cardoso

April 8, 2009



Gabriel Lopes Cardoso (LMU)

Black Hole Partition Functions and Duality

GGI, April 8, 2009 1 / 14

Summary of Talk

The OSV conjecture (2004) states that the microstates of any N = 2, D = 4 BPS black hole are captured by the topological string:

$$Z_{BH} = |Z_{top}|^2 \tag{1}$$

However:

- Duality invariance/covariance not manifest.
- Black hole degeneracies are sometimes captured by genus 2 Siegel modular forms. Complicated objects, do not lead to (1).

Need to change the OSV relation into

$$Z_{BH} \propto |Z_{top}|^2$$

to make it compatible with duality invariance.

Will encounter non-holomorphic deformation of special geometry. Relation LEEA ↔ topological string amplitudes more subtle than previously envisioned.

OSV Proposal Ooguri + Strominger + Vafa, hep-th/0405146

Let's consider

- BPS black holes in four-dimensional N = 2 supergravity theories,
- dyonic, with electric/magnetic charges (q, p), single-center

Define a mixed black hole partition function Z_{BH} in terms of black hole microstate degeneracies d(p, q) (a suitable index),

$$Z_{BH}(p,\phi) = \sum_{q} d(p,q) e^{\pi q \phi} \quad \stackrel{\text{ILPT}}{\longrightarrow} \quad d(p,q) = \int d\phi Z_{BH}(p,\phi) e^{-\pi q \phi}$$

Here, ϕ are the electrostatic potentials. OSV proposal:

$${\cal Z}_{BH} \equiv e^{4\pi\,{\rm Im}\,{\cal F}_{top}}\;,$$

with F_{top} topological free energy. If true,

$$d(p,q) = \int d\phi \, \mathrm{e}^{\pi [4 \, \mathrm{Im} \, F_{top} - \, q \, \phi]} \; ,$$

universal formula in terms of topological string data.

Duality Symmetries

Weak topological string coupling g_{top} : $F_{top}(g_{top}, z^A) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} g_{top}^{2g-2} F_g(z^A)$, holomorphic

IIA: z^A Kähler class moduli of Calabi-Yau threefold.

 F_{g} 's enter in the Wilsonian action as follows:

- metric on Kähler class moduli space is computed from F₀
- higher F_g's (g ≥ 1) are coupling functions for higher-curvature terms proportional to the square of the Weyl tensor.

N = 2 theory may have duality symmetries. Duality invariance requires the F_g 's ($g \ge 1$) to acquire non-holomorphic corrections:

- needed in the LEEA to make symmetries of the theory manifest;
- encoded in the holomorphic anomaly equations of the topological string.

This Talk

- Work at weak topological string coupling g_{top}.
- Describe a method to include non-holomorphic corrections into the OSV proposal, necessary for duality covariance. Suggests consistent non-holomorphic deformation of special geometry. Departure from topological string.
- Use saddle-point arguments to infer measure factor in OSV integral.
- Confront with proposal for microstate degeneracy in a specific N = 2 model, the S-T-U model.

A. Sen + C. Vafa, hep-th/9508064 , J. David, arXiv:0711.1971

5/14

Agreement!

With Justin David, Bernard de Wit and Swapna Mahapatra, arXiv:0810.1233 Bernard de Wit and Swapna Mahapatra, arXiv:0808.2627 Bernard de Wit, Jürg Käppeli and Thomas Mohaupt, hep-th/0601108.

BPS Black Holes

BPS black holes in D = 4, N = 2: extremal, supported by (VM) complex scalar fields Y^{I} (I = 0, ..., n), charges (p^{I}, q_{I}).

Calabi-Yau compactifications: Wilsonian Lagrangian contains higher-curvature interactions \propto Weyl² \rightarrow encoded in holomorphic homogeneous function $F(Y, \Upsilon)$. Here Υ is the Weyl background. Υ -expansion $F(Y, \Upsilon) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} (Y^0)^{2-2g} \Upsilon^g F_g \longrightarrow$ topol. string

Attractor mechanism:

Ferrara, Kallosh, Strominger

at horizon
$$Y' o Y'_{
m Hor}({m p},{m q})$$
 , $\Upsilon o -64$

Attractor equations (in the presence of Weyl²):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{Y}^{I} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^{\bar{I}} &=& i \, p^{I} &, & \text{magnetic} &, & F_{I} = \partial F(\mathbf{Y}, \Upsilon) / \partial \mathbf{Y}^{I} \\ F_{I} - \bar{F}_{\bar{I}} &=& i \, q_{I} &, & \text{electric} &, & F_{\Upsilon} = \partial F(\mathbf{Y}, \Upsilon) / \partial \Upsilon \end{array}$$

Electro/magnetostatic potentials:

 $Y' + \bar{Y}_{a}^{\bar{I}}$, $F_{I} + \bar{F}_{\bar{I}}$

Variational Principle

Attractor equations can be obtained from a variational principle, based on a BPS entropy function Σ :

$$\Sigma(\mathsf{Y},ar{\mathsf{Y}},oldsymbol{
ho},oldsymbol{q}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathsf{Y},ar{\mathsf{Y}},\Upsilon,ar{\Upsilon}) - q_l(\mathsf{Y}^l+ar{\mathsf{Y}}^{ar{l}}) + p^l(\mathcal{F}_l+ar{\mathcal{F}}_{ar{l}}) \;,$$

where \mathcal{F} is the free energy

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Y}, \bar{\mathbf{Y}}, \Upsilon, \bar{\Upsilon}) = -i\left(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}^{\bar{\mathbf{I}}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{I}} - \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{I}} \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\bar{\mathbf{I}}}\right) - 2i\left(\Upsilon \mathbf{F}_{\Upsilon} - \bar{\Upsilon} \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\bar{\Upsilon}}\right)$$

Stationary points: set $\Upsilon = -64$

$$\delta \Sigma = i(\mathbf{Y}^{I} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^{\bar{I}} - i\mathbf{p}^{I}) \,\delta(\mathbf{F}_{I} + \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\bar{I}}) - i(\mathbf{F}_{I} - \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\bar{I}} - i\mathbf{q}_{I}) \,\delta(\mathbf{Y}^{I} + \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^{\bar{I}})$$

 $\delta \Sigma = \mathbf{0} \longleftrightarrow$ attractor equations

At attractor point, get macroscopic (Wald's) entropy:

$$\pi \Sigma|_{\text{attractor}} = S_{\text{macro}}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q})$$

So far, Wilsonian.

Gabriel Lopes Cardoso (LMU)

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Duality Transformations: Entanglement with Υ !

Charges (p^l, q_l) undergo duality transformations. If these constitute symmetries of LEEA, macroscopic entropy is invariant under them.

These leave Υ invariant, but act as symplectic Sp(2n+2, Z) transformations on the vector $(\Upsilon^l, F_l(\Upsilon, \Upsilon))$ in the attractor equations. Entanglement with the Weyl background!

Departure from topological string approach.

Precise form of N = 2 LEEA not known \longrightarrow cannot rely on an action principle to incorporate non-holomorphic corrections needed for duality invariance. Instead, demand:

- attractor equations retain their form;
- they follow from a variational principle based on free energy \mathcal{F} ,

$$\mathcal{F}(\,Y,\,\bar{Y},\,\Upsilon,\,\bar{\Upsilon}) = -i\left(\,\bar{Y}^{\bar{I}}F_{I}-\,Y^{I}\bar{F}_{\bar{I}}\right) - 2i\left(\,\Upsilon F_{\Upsilon}-\,\bar{\Upsilon}\bar{F}_{\bar{\Upsilon}}\right)\,,$$

but now based on a general function $F(Y, \overline{Y}, \Upsilon, \overline{\Upsilon})$, not necessarily holomorphic.

Non-Holomorphic Corrections

Variation of \mathcal{F} : with the attractor value $\Upsilon = -64$

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathcal{F} &= i(\mathbf{Y}^{I} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^{\bar{I}}) \,\delta(\mathbf{F}_{I} + \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\bar{I}}) - i(\mathbf{F}_{I} - \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\bar{I}}) \,\delta(\mathbf{Y}^{I} + \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^{\bar{I}}) \\ &- \left[i \left(2\Upsilon \,\delta \mathbf{F}_{\Upsilon} + \mathbf{Y}^{I} \,\delta \mathbf{F}_{I} - \mathbf{F}_{I} \delta \mathbf{Y}^{I} \right) + \mathbf{c.c.} \right] \end{split}$$

Vanishing of second line: at least two solutions, namely

- *F* holomorphic, $F(\lambda Y, \lambda^2 \Upsilon^2) = \lambda^2 F(Y, \Upsilon)$, usual Wilsonian case
- $F = 2i\Omega$, Ω real, $\Omega(\lambda Y, \lambda \overline{Y}, \lambda^2 \Upsilon, \lambda^2 \overline{\Upsilon}) = \lambda^2 \Omega(Y, \overline{Y}, \Upsilon, \overline{\Upsilon})$

Without loss of generality:

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{F}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{Y}) + 2i\,\Omega(\boldsymbol{Y},\,\bar{\boldsymbol{Y}},\,\Upsilon,\,\bar{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}})$$

9/14

When Ω is harmonic (i.e. Ω = holo + anti-holo), get back usual Wilsonian case.

Consistent Deformation of Special Geometry?

Second option seems to be a consistent non-holomorphic deformation of special geometry, e.g.:

• under symplectic (duality) transformations, $(Y', F_l) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}', \tilde{F}_l)$; can show that

$$ilde{F}_I = rac{\partial ilde{F}}{\partial ilde{Y}^I}$$
;

 $(\mathcal{L}, \textit{F})$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\textit{F}})$ in same equivalence class;

• in addition, can show that

$$F_{\Upsilon} \longrightarrow F_{\Upsilon}$$
,

i.e. F_{Υ} transforms as a scalar.

It follows that \mathcal{F} and Σ are invariant under duality transformations that define a symmetry.

Duality Invariant OSV Integral

Consider the following duality invariant integral, expressed in terms of entropy function Σ , with the attractor value $\Upsilon = -64$,

$$\int d(\mathbf{Y}^{I} + \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^{\bar{I}}) \, d(F_{I} + \bar{F}_{\bar{I}}) \, \mathrm{e}^{\pi \Sigma(\mathbf{Y}, \bar{\mathbf{Y}}, p, q)} = \int d\mathbf{Y} \, d\bar{\mathbf{Y}} \, \Delta^{-}(\mathbf{Y}, \bar{\mathbf{Y}}) \, \mathrm{e}^{\pi \Sigma(\mathbf{Y}, \bar{\mathbf{Y}}, p, q)}$$

Duality covariance requires measure factor

$$\Delta^{-} = |\det\left[\operatorname{Im}\left[F_{JK} - F_{J\bar{K}}\right]\right]|$$

Evaluate integral in saddle-point approximation about attractor point:

$$e^{\pi \Sigma |_{attractor}} = e^{S_{macro}(p,q)}$$
 for large charges

Duality invariant. Expect saddle-point approximation to hold for dyonic black holes.

Suggests to identify the above with d(p, q), as in OSV.

A

On the other hand, when only integrating over $Y' - \overline{Y}^{\overline{I}}$ in saddle-point approximation so that $Y' = (\phi^{I} + ip^{I})/2$, get modified OSV-type integral,

$$oldsymbol{d}(oldsymbol{p},oldsymbol{q}) = \int oldsymbol{d}\phi \, \sqrt{\Delta^-(oldsymbol{p},\phi)} \, \mathrm{e}^{\piig[\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(oldsymbol{p},\phi)-oldsymbol{q}_l\,\phi'ig]} \; ,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}} = 4 \left[\mathrm{Im} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}},\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}}, \boldsymbol{\Upsilon},\bar{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}) - \Omega(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}},\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}},\boldsymbol{\Upsilon},\bar{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}) \right] |_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}' = (\phi' + i p')/2} \ , \ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon} = -64$$

Inverting yields prediction for N = 2 mixed black hole partition function,

$$Z_{\mathcal{BH}}(oldsymbol{
ho},\phi) = \sum_{oldsymbol{q}} oldsymbol{d}(oldsymbol{
ho},oldsymbol{q}) \, \mathrm{e}^{\pi oldsymbol{q}_I \, \phi^I} = \sqrt{\Delta^-} \, \mathrm{e}^{4\pi \Omega^{\mathrm{nonholo}}} \, \mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathcal{F}_{E}^{\mathrm{holo}}}$$

Test requires knowledge of microscopic degeneracies.

N = 2 S-T-U Model

Specific N = 2 model with exact duality symmetry, $\Gamma(2) \in SL(2, Z)$: S-T-U-model, A. Sen + C. Vafa, hep-th/9508064

Demanding (Y', F_l) to transform accordingly,

● S-T-介-mixing. Under S-duality,

$$T^{a} \longrightarrow T^{a} + rac{ic}{\Delta_{S} (Y^{0})^{2}} \eta^{ab} rac{\partial \Omega}{\partial T^{b}} , \quad \Delta_{S} = icS + d$$

 yields Ω with non-holomorphic corrections that is related, but not identical, to the solution of the non-holomorphic anomaly equation of TS. Due to entanglement with Weyl background.

Dyonic microstate degeneracy in terms of Siegel modular form of weight zero. J. David, arXiv:0711.1971

$$Z_{BH}(oldsymbol{p},\phi) = \sum_{oldsymbol{q}} oldsymbol{d}(oldsymbol{p},oldsymbol{q}) \, \mathrm{e}^{\pi oldsymbol{q}_I \, \phi^I} = \sqrt{\Delta^-} \, \mathrm{e}^{4\pi \Omega^{\mathrm{nonholo}}} \, \mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathcal{F}_E^{\mathrm{holo}}}$$

Agreement (up to certain level of accuracy)!

Conclusions

- We made a proposal for a measure factor in the OSV-integral that is compatible with duality covariance in N = 2 models.
- In doing so, we proposed a method for incorporating non-holomorphic terms needed for duality invariance. We found indications that this is a consistent non-holomorphic deformation of special geometry.

LEEA encoded in a non-holomorphic function *F* not known. Precise relationship between LEEA (1PI graphs) and topological string (connected graphs) remains to be worked out.

• Our proposal yields results in agreement with direct calculations of Z_{BH} in N = 4 models, and also in the N = 2 S-T-U-model.

Thanks!