

# Quantum Entanglement and Topological Order

Ashvin Vishwanath


UC Berkeley



**Yi Zhang**  
Berkeley->  
Stanford



**Ari Turner**  
Amsterdam




**M. Oshikawa**  
ISSP



**Tarun Grover**  
Berkeley->KITP

# OUTLINE

- Part 1: Introduction
  - Topological Phases, Topological entanglement entropy.
  - Model wave-functions.
- Part 2: Topological Entropy of nontrivial bipartitions.
  - Ground state dependence and Minimum Entropy States.
  - Application: Kagome spin liquid in DMRG.
- Part 3: Quasi particle statistics (modular S-Matrix) from Ground State Wave-functions.



Ref: Zhang, Grover, Turner, Oshikawa, AV: ***arXiv:1111.2342***






# Conventional (Landau) Phases

Distinguished by spontaneous symmetry breaking.

Can be diagnosed in the ground state wave-function by a *local* order parameter.

- **Solid** (broken translation)
- **Superfluids**  $\psi$
- **Magnets** (broken spin symmetry)  $\mathbf{M}$




In contrast –topological phases...

# Topological Phases

## Integer topological phases

- Integer Quantum Hall & Topological insulators
- Haldane (AKLT)  $S=1$  phase
- Interacting analogs in  $D=2,3$  (Kitaev, Chen-Gu-Wen, Lu&AV)

Non-trivial surface states



## Fractional topological phases

- Fractional Quantum Hall
- Gapped spin liquids

### **Topological Order:**

1. Fractional statistics excitations (anyons).
2. Topological degeneracy on closed manifolds.

**How to tell – given ground state wave-function(s)?  
Entanglement as topological ‘order parameter’.**

# Topological Order – Example 1

- Laughlin state ( $\nu=1/2$  bosons) [‘Chiral spin Liquid’]


$$\Psi[\{z_i\}] = \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j)^2 e^{-\sum_i |z_i|^2} \longrightarrow \Psi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N) = \Phi_{C=1}^2(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N)$$

Lattice Version


- Ground State Degeneracy ( $N=2^g$ ):



N=1



N=2



s is a semion

Quasiparticle Types:  $\{1, s\}$ .

# = Torus degeneracy

# Topological Order – Example 2

## Ising ( $Z_2$ ) Electrodynamics

- Here  $E=0,1$ ;  $B=0,\pi$


$\nabla \cdot E = 0 \pmod{2}$  (E field loops do not end)

$$\Psi =$$
The diagram illustrates the wavefunction  $\Psi$  as a sum of two configurations on a 3x3 grid. The first configuration shows two blue squares at positions (1,1) and (2,2). The second configuration shows a blue rectangle spanning from (1,1) to (2,2) and a blue square at (2,2). The configurations are separated by plus signs, indicating they are summed together.

- Degeneracy on torus=4.
- Degeneracy on cylinder=2  
(no edge states)

# Topological Order – Example 2

## $Z_2$ Quantum Spin Liquids




$$\Psi = P_G(\Psi_{\text{BCS}})$$




**RVB spin liquid:** (Anderson '73). Effective Theory:  $Z_2$  Gauge Theory.

Recently, a number of candidates in numerics with no conventional order.


Definitive test: identify topological order.



Kagome (Yan et al)



Honeycomb Hubbard  
(Meng et al)




Square J1\_J2  
(Jiang et al, Wang et al)

# Entanglement Entropy

- Schmidt Decomposition:

$$\Psi = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |Ai\rangle \otimes |Bi\rangle$$



Entanglement Entropy (von-Neumann):

$$S_A = - \sum_i p_i \log p_i$$

Note: 1)  $S_A = S_B$

2) Strong sub-additivity (for von-Neumann entropy)

$$S_A + S_B + S_C - S_{BC} - S_{AC} - S_{AB} + S_{ABC} \leq 0$$




# Topological Entanglement Entropy

- Gapped Phase with topological order.
  - Smooth boundary, circumference  $L_A$ :

$$S_A = \alpha L_A - \gamma$$

← **Topological Entanglement Entropy**  
(Levin-Wen; Kitaev-Preskill)

$\gamma = \text{Log } D$ . ( $D$  : total quantum dimension).



Abelian phases:

$$D = \sqrt{\{Torus\ Degeneracy\}}$$


$Z_2$  gauge theory:  $\gamma = \text{Log } 2$

Constraint on boundary – no gauge charges inside.

Lowers Entropy by 1 bit of information.

# Entanglement Entropy of Gapped Phases

- Trivial Gapped Phase:
  - Entanglement entropy: sum of local contributions.



Curvature Expansion (smooth boundary):

$$F = \mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 \kappa + \mathbf{a}_2 \kappa^2 + \mathbf{a}_4 (\partial_l \kappa)^2 + \dots$$

$Z_2$  symmetry of Entanglement Entropy:  
 $S_A = S_B$  AND  $\kappa \rightarrow -\kappa$ . So  $\mathbf{a}_1 = 0$

No constant in 2D for trivial phase.

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &= \oint dl [a_0 + a_2 \kappa^2 + \dots] \\ &= a_0 L_A + \frac{A_2}{L_A} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

# Extracting Topological Entanglement Entropy

- Smooth partition boundary on lattice?




OR



- Problem:  
'topological entanglement entropy' depends on ground state. (Dong et al, Zhang et al)

- General Partition



$$-\gamma = S_A + S_B + S_C - S_{BC} - S_{AC} - S_{AB} + S_{ABC}$$


(LevinWen;Preskill Kitaev)

Strong subadditivity implies:  $\gamma \geq 0$   
Identical result with Renyi entropy

How does this work with generic states?

# Topological Entropy of Lattice Wavefunctions

$\gamma$  – From MonteCarlo Evaluation of Gutzwiller Projected Lattice wavefunctions.  $e^{-S_2} = \langle \mathbf{SWAP}_A \rangle$ . (Y. Zhang, T. Grover, AV Phys. Rev. B 2011.)



State	Expected $\gamma$	$\gamma_{\text{calculated}}/\gamma_{\text{expected}}$
Unprojected ( $\nu = 1$ )	0	$-0.0008 \pm 0.0059$ *
Chiral SL $L_A=3$	$\log \sqrt{2}$	$0.99 \pm 0.03$
Lattice $\nu = 1/3$	$\log \sqrt{3}$	$1.07 \pm 0.05$
$Z_2$ SL $L_A=4$	$\log 2$	$0.42 \pm 0.14$

Good agreement for chiral spin liquid.

$Z_2$  not yet in thermodynamic limit(?)

Alternate approach to diagnosing topological order:

*Entanglement spectrum* (Li and Haldane, Bernevig et al.).

Closely related to edge states


Does not diagnose  $Z_2$  SL

Cannot calculate with Monte Carlo.

## Part 2: Ground State Dependence of Topological Entropy

# Topological Entanglement in Nontrivial bipartitions

- Nontrivial bipartition - entanglement cut is not contractible. Can 'sense' degenerate ground states.



- Result from Chern-Simons field theory: (Dong et al.)
- Abelian topological phase with N ground states on torus.

There is a special basis of ground states for a cut, such that:

- $\Psi = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n |\phi_n\rangle$  ( $p_n = |c_n|^2$ )


$$\gamma = 2\gamma_0 - \sum_{n=1}^N p_n \log \frac{1}{p_n}$$

Topological entropy in general *reduced*.  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\gamma_0$

For the *special states*  $|\phi_n\rangle$ , equal to usual value ( $\gamma = 2\gamma_0 = 2 \log D$ ).


These Minimum Entropy States correspond to *quasiparticles* in cycle of the torus

# Eg. $Z_2$ Spin Liquid on a Cylinder



$|e\rangle$



- Degenerate sectors: even and odd E winding around cylinder.



$|o\rangle$

*Minimum Entropy States:*

$$|0, \pi\rangle = (|e\rangle \pm |o\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$$




$|0\rangle, |\pi\rangle$



- The minimum entropy states ( $\gamma = \log 2$ ) are 'vison' states – magnetic flux through the cylinder that entanglement surface can measure.
- State  $|e\rangle$  has  $\gamma = 0$ . Cancellation from:

$$\Psi = \frac{|A, \text{even}\rangle |B, \text{even}\rangle + |A, \text{odd}\rangle |B, \text{odd}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

# Application: DMRG on Kagome Antiferromagnet



Jiang, Wang, Balents:  
arXiv:1205.4289




Depenbrock, McCulloch, Schollwoeck  
(arxiv:1205:4858). Log base 2

- Topological entanglement entropy found by extrapolation within 1% of  $\log 2$ .
- Minimum entropy state is selected by DMRG (low entanglement).
- Possible reason why only one ground state seen.




# Ground State Dependence of Entanglement Entropy

- Chiral spin liquid on Torus:  $\Psi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N) = \Phi_{C=1}^2(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N)$ 
  - Degenerate ground states from changing boundary conditions on Slater det.  $\Phi_{C=1}$




**Trivial Bipartition:**  
No ground state dependence.




# Ground State Dependence of Entanglement Entropy

- Chiral spin liquid on Torus:
  - Degenerate ground states from changing boundary conditions on Slater det.  $\Phi_{C=1}$





**Non trivial Bipartition:**  
Ground state dependence!




# Ground State Dependence of Topological Entropy from Strong Sub-additivity


- Strong subadditivity:  $S_{ABC} + S_B - S_{AB} - S_{BC} \leq 0$



$\gamma_1$



$\gamma_2$



$\gamma_0$

Obtain 'uncertainty' relation:


$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \leq 2\gamma_0$$

Naïve result,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 2\gamma_0$  *cannot* hold from general quantum information requirement.


True even without topological field theory .

# Part 3: Mutual Statistics from Entanglement

- Relate minimum entropy states along independent torus cuts. (modular transformation:  $S$  matrix)



$MES: \phi_1, \phi_2$




$MES: \phi'_1, \phi'_2$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi'_1 \\ \phi'_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{S} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}$$


$\mathbf{S}$  encodes quasiparticle braiding statistics:

Chiral Spin Liquid:

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} e & s \\ e & s \end{matrix}$$



# Statistics from Entanglement – Chiral Spin Liquid



$$\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1.09 & 0.89 \\ 0.89 & -1.09 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \textit{Semion Statistics!}$$

Wavefunction 'knows' about semion excitations;

# Conclusions

- Entanglement of non-trivial partitions can be used to define 'quasiparticle' like states, and extract their statistics.
- Useful to distinguish two phases with same  $D$ . (eg.  $Z_2$  and doubled chiral spin liquid, no edge states) Less prone to errors.
- Can topological entanglement entropy constrain new types of topological order (eg  $D=3$ )?
- Experimental measurement? Need nonlocal probe.

Observation of Correlated Particle-Hole Pairs and String Order in Low-Dimensional Mott Insulators

M. Endres<sup>1,\*</sup>, M. Cheneau<sup>1</sup>, T. Fukuhara<sup>1</sup>, C. Weitenberg<sup>1</sup>, P. Schauß<sup>1</sup>, C. Gross<sup>1</sup>, L. Mazza<sup>1</sup>, M.C. Bañuls<sup>1</sup>, L. Pollet<sup>2</sup>, I. Bloch<sup>1,3</sup>, and S. Kuhr<sup>1,4</sup>

