Southampton

School of Physics and Astronomy



Theoretical implications of large θ₁₃

Steve King Florence 25th June, 2012

INFN

The Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics Arcetri, Florence What is 0?, INVISIBLES 12 and Alexei Smirnov Fest

III No warding 1 Horsen

Three Neutrino Mixing





з masses + з angles + 1(or з) phase(s) = 7(or 9) new parameters for SM

Oscillation phase $\,\delta\,$ Majorana phases $\,\alpha_1^{}, \alpha_2^{}$

Theta13 in 2011/12 T2K $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.03 - 0.34$ Daya Bay $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.075 - 0.109$ $\theta_{13} = 8.5^o - 9.8^o$ \leftrightarrow Reno $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.090 - 0.136$ \leftrightarrow 0.1 0.2 0 0.3 0.4 $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$

Global Fits 2012

Schwetz talk

	Forero, Tortola, Valle, Vanegas '12	Foglí, Lísí, Marrone, Montaníno, Palazzo, Rotunno '12
parameter	best fit $\pm 1\sigma$	best fit $\pm 1\sigma$
$\Delta m_{21}^2 \left[10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}^2 \right]$	7.62 ± 0.19	$7.54^{+0.26}_{-0.22}$
$\Delta m_{31}^2 [10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}^2]$	$2.53^{+0.08}_{-0.10} \\ -(2.40^{+0.10}_{-0.07})$	$2.43^{+0.07}_{-0.09}_{-(2.42^{+0.07}_{-0.10})}$
$\sin^2 heta_{12}$	$0.320\substack{+0.015\\-0.017}$	$0.307\substack{+0.018 \\ -0.016}$
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.49\substack{+0.08\\-0.05}\\ 0.53\substack{+0.05\\-0.07}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.398\substack{+0.030\\-0.026}\\ 0.408\substack{+0.035\\-0.030}\end{array}$
$\sin^2 heta_{13}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.026\substack{+0.003\\-0.004}\\ 0.027\substack{+0.003\\-0.004} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0245^{+0.0034}_{-0.0031}\\ 0.0246^{+0.0034}_{-0.0031}\end{array}$
δ	$\left(0.83^{+0.54}_{-0.64} ight)\pi$ $0.07\pi^{-a}$	$(0.89^{+0.29}_{-0.44})\pi$ $(0.90^{+0.32}_{-0.43})\pi$

Neutrino Mixing



See-saw mechanism P.Minkowski, PLB67(1977)421 ...

Possible type 11 contribution

Dirac matrix





Light Majorana matrix

Heavy Majorana matrix

Neutrinos are light because RH neutrinos are heavy

Neutríno mass suggests connection with GUT scale physics

GUTs

Talks by Antusch, Mohapatra

Possible new combinations of Clebsches leading to large Theta13: Antusch, Maurer ('11) Mazocca, Petcov, Romanino, Spinrath ('11) Meroni, Petcov, Spinrath ('12)



 $\theta_{12}^{MNS} - \theta_{13}^{MNS} \cos(\delta_{MNS}) \approx \theta_{12}^{\nu}$

Models Survey c.2006





Anarchy

Hall, Murayama, de Gouvea



- □ Anarchy: all angles are "large" and unpredicted, so expect $\sin\theta_{13} \sim 0.5$
- Hence larger reactor angle is good news
- Problem is that reactor angle is not that large...
- Also Anarchy not very predictive c.f. landscape





U(1) family symmetry helps...

lepton (Quark) generations labelled by u(1) family symmetry

sín²2θ₁₃may
 peak at lower
 values





Hirsch and King ('01)



Family Symmetry

Famíly Symmetries G_F which contain triplet reps (three famílies in a triplet)



Partial list of authors who have worked on symmetry approach to large θ_{13}

A. Adulpravitchai, Y. H. Ahn, C. H. Albright, G. Altarelli, S. Antusch, A. Aranda, T. Araki, F. Bazzocchi, W. Buchmuller, P. S. Bhupal Dev, G. C. Branco, Q.-H. Cao, H.-Y. Cheng, I. K. Cooper, S. Dev, G. Blankenburg, C. Bonilla, F. Gonzalez Canales, W. Chao, J.-M. Chen, M.-C. Chen, X. Chu, A. Datta, K. N. Deepthi, M. Dhen, D. A. Dicus, G.-J. Ding, P. V. Dong, V. Domcke, L. Dorame, B. Dutta, D. A. Eby, L. Everett, R. P. Feger, F. Feruglio, P. Ferreira, P. H. Frampton, M. Fukugita, R. R. Gautam, S. -F. Ge, D. K. Ghosh, R. Gonzalez Felipe, S. Gollu, S. Gupta, W. Grimus, C. Gross, N. Haba, C. Hagedorn, T. Hambye, J. Kersten, J. E. Kim, Y. Koide, K. Hashimoto, K. Harigaya, H. -J. He, X. -G. He, J. Heek, D. Hernandez, M. Holthausen, R. S. Hundi, M. Ibe, H. Ishimori, F. R. Joaquim, A. S. Joshipura, S. K. Kang, T. W. Kephart, S. Khalil, S. F. King, T. Kobayashi, S. Kumar, L. Lavoura, X.-Q. Li, H. N. Long, P. O. Ludl, C. Luhn, B. Q. Ma, E. Ma, S. K. Majee, K.T. Mahanthappa, D. Marzocca, V. Maurer, D. Meloni, A. Merle, A. Meroni, R. Mohanta, R. N. Mohapatra, E. Molinaro, A. Mondragon, M. Mondragon, S. Morisi, C. H. Nam, H. Nishiura, S. Oh, H. Okada, K. M. Patel, K. M. Parattu, E. Peinado, S. T. Petcov, N. Qin, A. Rashed, W. W. Repko, A. D. Rojas, W. Rodejohann, A. Romanino, G. G. Ross, S. Rigolin, M. A. Schmidt, K. Schmitz, M. Severson, M.-S. Seo, H. Serodio, Y. Shimizu, J. I. Silva-Marcos, L. Singh, K. Siyeon, C. Sluka, A. Yu. Smirnov, M. Spinrath, E. Stamou, A. J. Stuart, R. Takahashi, M. Tanimoto, R. d. A. Toorop, J. W. F. Valle, I. d. M. Varzielas, L. Velasco, V. V. Vien, B. Wang, Q. Wang, A. Watanabe, D. Wegman, A. Wingerter, Yue-Liang Wu, Z. -Z. Xing, T. T. Yanagida, W.-M. Yang, B. Zaldívar, F. -R. Yin, A. Zee, H. Zhang, Y. -j. Zheng, J.-J. Zhong, S. Zhou, R. Zwicky, ...

Simple LO Mixing Patterns $\theta_{13} = 0 \quad \theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$

D Bimaximal

V. Barger, S. Pakvasa, T. Weiler and K. Whisnant

D Tri-bimaximal $U_{TB} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} P \\ \theta_{12} = 35.26^{o}$

Harrison, Perkins and Scott

🗆 Golden ratio

Datta, Ling, Ramond; Kajirama, Raidal, Strumia; Everett, Stuart, Ding: Feruglio, Paris

 $\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$

 $U_{BM} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} P \quad \theta_{12} = 45^{o}$

 $U_{GR} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0\\ -\frac{s_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{c_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{s_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{c_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} P$ $\tan\theta_{12} = \frac{1}{4}$ $\theta_{12} = 31.7^{\circ}$

King; Parke; Pakvasa, Rodejohann, Weiler

Tri-Bimaximal Parametrisation

 $U_{\rm PMNS} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}(1-\frac{1}{2}s) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1+s) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}re^{-i\delta} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1+s-a+re^{i\delta}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1-\frac{1}{2}s-a-\frac{1}{2}re^{i\delta}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+a) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1+s+a-re^{i\delta}) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1-\frac{1}{2}s+a+\frac{1}{2}re^{i\delta}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-a) \end{pmatrix} P$ $\sin \theta_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1+s)$, $\sin \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+a)$, $\sin \theta_{13} = \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}$ $a = -0.02 \pm 0.10$ $r = 0.22 \pm 0.02$ $s=-0.03\pm0.03$ a = atmospheric r = reactorAllows for deviations from TB mixing E.g. TB solar sum rule recast as $s=r.cos\delta$

Tri-bimaximal Hydras

- Trí-bímaximal (s=a=r=0)
- Trí-bímaximalreactor (s=a=o)
- □ Tri-maximal 1

D Tri-maximal 2

 $(s=0, a=r.cos\delta)$

 $U_{TB} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} P_{1}$

King; Antusch, Boudjemaa, King; Morisi, Patel, Peinado; Luhn, King

$$U_{\rm TM_1} = P' \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} r e^{-i\delta} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (1 - \frac{3}{2} r e^{i\delta}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 + r e^{-i\delta}) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (1 + \frac{3}{2} r e^{i\delta}) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - r e^{-i\delta}) \end{array} \right) P$$

Lam; Albright, Rodejohann; Antusch, King, Luhn, Spinrath

Haba, Watanabe, Yoshioka; He, Zee; Grimus, Lavoura; Albright, Rodejohann; King, Luhn

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Tri-maximal 2} \\ \mathbf{(s=0, a=-r/2.cos\delta)} \\ U_{\mathrm{TM}_{2}} &= P' \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1+\frac{3}{2}re^{i\delta}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1-\frac{3}{2}re^{i\delta}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-\frac{1}{2}re^{-i\delta}) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+\frac{1}{2}re^{-i\delta}) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+\frac{1}{2}re^{-i\delta}) \end{pmatrix} P \end{aligned}$$

N.B. Atmospheric sum rules: $a = r.cos\delta$, $a = -r/2.cos\delta$

Indurect Models

King, Ross, de Medeiros Varzielas, Antusch, Malinsky,...

na na

Starting point is type I see-saw

 $m_{LR} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 & C_1 \\ A_2 & B_2 & C_2 \\ A_3 & B_3 & C_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad M_{RR} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M_3 \end{pmatrix}$ $A^T = (A_1, A_2, A_3) \quad B^T = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$

BE A HERO & SUPPORT

SEQUENTIAL DOMINANCE

Promote the columns (A, B, C) to dynamical fields GF yields special vacuum alignments, for example:

(A,B,C) proportional to columns of PMNS called Form Dominance (FD) Chen, King('09)

 $AA^T/M_1 \rightarrow 0$ gives hierarchy $(m_1 \rightarrow 0)$ called sequential Dominance (SD)

King('98,'02)

SD with B~(1,1,-1) and C~(0,1,1) called Constrained SD gives TB Mixing King('05)

SD with B~(1,1,-1) and C~(r,1,1) called Partially CSD gives TBR mixing King('09), King,Luhn('11)

SD with B~(1,2,0) and C~(0,1,1) called CSD2 gives TM1 mixing Antusch, King, Luhn, Spinrath ('11)

Tri-bimaximal-Cabibbo Mixing

See also: Antusch, Gross,

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Combine TE mixing with } \theta_{13} \approx \frac{\theta_C}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 9.2^o \\ s_{13} = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad s_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad s_{23} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ U_{TBC} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}(1 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2)} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 + \lambda e^{i\delta}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda e^{i\delta}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 - \lambda e^{i\delta}) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda e^{i\delta}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2) \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right)$

Describes all current data!

Obtained from PCSD with $B \sim (1,1,-1)$ and $C \sim (\lambda,1,1)$

M

- \Box with large θ_{13} , still two theory approaches: Symmetry or Anarchy
- Family Symmetry may be implemented Directly or Indirectly
- □ Simplest Direct models A4,S4,A5 with S,U and T conservation predict Bimaximal, Tri-bimaximal, Golden Ratio at LO
- However T broken in GUT models due to Charged Lepton corrections, (Cabibbo-like charged lepton angle required) imply <u>solar sum rules</u>
- Ubreaking at HO leads to TM2 mixing, <u>atmospheric sum rules</u>
- \Box Larger Finite Groups such as Delta (96) predict e.g. $\theta_{13} \sim 12^{\circ}$ at LO
- Indirect family symmetry models can lead to TMI or TBC mixing
- Vítal to measure the míxing angles and CP phase delta to good precision to test the sum rules, hence discriminate between models, decide if the Universe is based on Symmetry or if Anarchy Rules

Summary of Sum Rule Predictions

 \Box Quark-Lepton Complementarity $\theta_{12} + \theta_C = 45^{\circ}$

 \Box Solar sum rules Bimaximal $\theta_{12} = 45^{o} + \theta_{13} \cos \delta$

Tri-bimaximal $\theta_{12} = 35^o + \theta_{13} \cos \delta$

Golden Ratio $\theta_{12} = 32^o + \theta_{13} \cos \delta$

Atm. sum rules

Plus HO

corrections...

Plus Charged Lepton Corrections... Tri-bimaximal- $\theta_{12} = 35^{\circ} \ \theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$ Cabibbo $\theta_{13} = \theta_C / \sqrt{2} = 9.2^{\circ}$ Trimaximal1 $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ} + \sqrt{2}\theta_{13}\cos\delta$ Trimaximal2 $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ} - \frac{\theta_{13}}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\delta$

Now that θ_{13} is measured these predict $\cos \delta$