# Simple and direct communication of dynamical supersymmetry breaking

Andrea Romanino SISSA

with Francesco Caracciolo arXiv:1207.5376 also based on earler work with Nardecchia, Ziegler, Monaco, Spinrath, Pierini

# Supersymmetry

Leads to

0

- Gauge coupling unification
- $\odot$  Plausible dark matter candidate (with R<sub>P</sub>, independently motivated)
- $\odot$  Calculable theory, can be extrapolated up to  $M_{Pl}$
- Needs to be broken, hopefully spontaneously
  - Effective description in terms of O(100) parameters

$$W = \lambda_{ij}^{U} \hat{u}_{i}^{c} \hat{q}_{j} \hat{h}_{u} + \lambda_{ij}^{D} \hat{d}_{i}^{c} \hat{q}_{j} \hat{h}_{d} + \lambda_{ij}^{E} \hat{e}_{i}^{c} \hat{l}_{j} \hat{h}_{d} + \mu \hat{h}_{u} \hat{h}_{d}$$

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}} = A_{ij}^{U} \tilde{u}_{i}^{c} \tilde{q}_{j} h_{u} + A_{ij}^{D} \tilde{d}_{i}^{c} \tilde{q}_{j} h_{d} + A_{ij}^{E} \tilde{e}_{i}^{c} \tilde{l}_{j} h_{d} + m_{ud}^{2} h_{u} h_{d} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$+ (\tilde{m}_{q}^{2})_{ij} \tilde{q}_{i}^{\dagger} \tilde{q}_{j} + (\tilde{m}_{u^{c}}^{2})_{ij} (\tilde{u}_{i}^{c})^{\dagger} \tilde{u}_{j}^{c} + (\tilde{m}_{d^{c}}^{2})_{ij} (\tilde{d}_{i}^{c})^{\dagger} \tilde{d}_{j}^{c} + (\tilde{m}_{l}^{2})_{ij} \tilde{l}_{i}^{\dagger} \tilde{l}_{j}$$

$$+ (\tilde{m}_{e^{c}}^{2})_{ij} (\tilde{e}_{i}^{c})^{\dagger} \tilde{e}_{j}^{c} + m_{h_{u}}^{2} h_{u}^{\dagger} h_{u} + m_{h_{d}}^{2} h_{d}^{\dagger} h_{d}$$

$$+ \frac{M_{3}}{2} \tilde{g}_{A} \tilde{g}_{A} + \frac{M_{2}}{2} \tilde{W}_{a} \tilde{W}_{a} + \frac{M_{1}}{2} \tilde{B} \tilde{B} + \text{h.c.}$$

 $m_{sq} > 1.4 \text{ TeV} (but m_{1,2} \neq m_3)$ 

# Origin of supersymmetry breaking

### A wide class of models of supersymmetry breaking



$$\int d^4\theta \, \frac{Z^{\dagger} Z \, Q^{\dagger} Q}{M^2} \quad \to m^2 \tilde{Q}^{\dagger} \tilde{Q}, \quad m = \frac{F}{M}$$

### A wide class of models of supersymmetry breaking



#### A useful guideline: the supertrace constraint

• Str  $M^2 \equiv \Sigma_{\text{bosons}} m^2 - \Sigma_{\text{fermions}} m^2$  (weighted by # of dofs)

Ren. Kähler + tree level + Tr(T<sub>a</sub>) = 0: Str  $\mathcal{M}^2 = 0$ 

Holds separately for each set of conserved quantum numbers

• MSSM: incompatible with  $(Str M^2)_{f,MSSM} = \sum_{sfermions} m^2 - \sum_{fermions} m^2 > 0$ 

 $\oslash$  G = G<sub>SM</sub>: incompatible with

$$\tilde{m}_{\text{lightest } d\text{-sfermion}}^2 \le m_d^2$$
 –

(if D<sub>Y</sub> < 0, consider up sfermions)

#### Addressing the supertrace constraint

Supergravity: non-renormalizable Kähler: Str ≠ 0 FCNC ?

"Loop" gauge-mediation: loop-induced: Str ≠ 0
FCNC OK

Anomalous U(1)'s: Tr(T<sub>a</sub>)  $\neq$  0: Str  $\neq$  0
FCNC OK

Tree-level gauge mediation: Str = 0

FCNC OK

# Tree-level gauge mediation





massive vector of a spontaneously broken U(1) G ⊃ G<sub>SM</sub> × U(1)

 $\Rightarrow$  Z, Q charged under U(1)

 $\tilde{m}_Q^2 = q_Q q_Z \frac{F^2}{M_V^2/g^2}$ 

 $M \approx M_V$  scale of U(1) breaking

#### Need of extra heavy (through U(1) breaking) fields

- SU(5)xU(1) ⊆ G, flavour universal charges,  $q_z > 0$  for definitess
- (l, d<sup>c</sup>) = 5:
   (q, u<sup>c</sup>, e<sup>c</sup>) = 10:
   (q<sub>10</sub> > 0)
   (m<sup>2</sup><sub>5</sub> > 0, tree level)
   (m<sup>2</sup><sub>10</sub> > 0, tree level)

- SU(5)<sup>2</sup>×U(1) anomaly cancellation: 0 = 3(q₅ + 3q₁₀) + extra
   > 0 < 0</li>
   (guaranteed if SU(5)×U(1) is embedded in SO(10))
  - M from U(1) breaking

#### Masses<sup>2</sup> (before EWSB)

	5 + 10	extra = $\Phi + \overline{\Phi}$	
fermions	0	M <sup>2</sup>	STr = 0
scalars	0 + m <sup>2</sup>	M <sup>2</sup> – m <sup>2</sup>	

#### The extra heavy fields as chiral messengers

- Image:  $\forall V(1) \text{ breaking:} \quad \langle Y \rangle = M$
- SUSY breaking:  $\langle Z \rangle = F\theta^2$

In concrete models:  $q_Z = q_Y$ 

• k Z 
$$\overline{\Phi} \Phi \rightarrow \left( M_g \sim \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \frac{k}{h} \frac{F}{M} \right)$$



# A wide class of models of supersymmetry breaking



Phenomenologically viable supersymmetric models not always are theoretically complete

Theoretically complete models of susy breaking not always are phenomenologically viable

Phenomenologically viable and theoretically complete models not always are extremely simple

# Reminder

• Non-renormalization:  $W_{cl} = W_{all \text{ orders in PT}}$ 



### The (problematic) role of the R-symmetry

- An exact R-symmetry prevents (Majorana) gaugino masses
- Nelson-Seiberg: R-symmetry needed in a susy-breaking model where
  - i) the susy-breaking minimum is stable and
  - ii) the superpotential is generic

Non vanishing gaugino masses then require
 non generic superpotential (R-breaking) or
 metastable susy-breaking minima or
 spontaneous R-breaking or
 Dirac gaugino masses

#### as if it that were not enough.

Spontaneous R-breaking in generalized O'R models needs R ≠ 0,2
 (e.g. ISS flows to R = 0,2)

Shih, hep-th/0703196 Curtin Komargodski Shih Tsai, 1202.5331

Even if R ≠ 0,2: the stability (everywhere) of the pseudoflat direction along which the R-symmetry is spontaneously broken forces M<sub>g</sub> = 0 at 1-loop

Komargodski Shih, 0902.0030

More gaugino screening takes place (semi-direct)

Arkani-Hamed Giudice Luty Rattazzi, hep-ph/9803290 Argurio Bertolini Ferretti Mariotti, 0912.0743

# A simple, viable, dynamical model: 3-2 + messenger/observable fields

[N=1 global, canonical K, no FI]

# Reminder: 3-2 model



 $\odot$  SU(3) strong at  $\Lambda_3$  where SU(2) weak

h « 1: calculability

 $W_{\rm cl} = h \, Q D^c L \qquad \qquad W_{\rm NP} = \frac{\Lambda_3^7}{\det Q \tilde{Q}}$   $\int \bigvee_{\rm NP} \bigvee_{\rm Q} Q = \begin{pmatrix} D^c \\ U^c \end{pmatrix}$   $\bigvee_{\rm Cl} \bigvee_{\rm Cl} Q = \begin{pmatrix} D^c \\ U^c \end{pmatrix}$ 

[Affleck Dine Seiberg]

- SU(3) x SU(2) broken at M =  $\Lambda_3/h^{1/7} \gg \Lambda_3$
- SUSY broken at  $F = h M^2 \ll M^2$

$$< L_2 > = 0.3 M + 1.3 F \theta^2$$
  $< L_1 > = 0$ 

# Details

$$Q = \tilde{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M \qquad \qquad L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \end{pmatrix} M \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} a \approx 1.164 \\ b \approx 1.131 \\ \end{array}$$

$$F_Q = F_{\tilde{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{a^2 - b^2 - 1/(a^3b^2)} & 0\\ 0 & -1/(a^2b^3)\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F} \qquad F_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}$$

## Coupling to observable fields: semi-direct GM

[Seiberg, Volansky, Wecht]



# Our model



gaugino masses

# More details



## Yukawa interactions and Higgs

#### Yukawa interactions

SM fermions have  $T_3 = -1/2 →$  Higgs doublets have  $T_3 = 1$  (triplets)

#### The Higgs sector

- Is model dependent
- Two additional Higgs pairs not coupled to the SM fermions
- The Higgs pair interacting with fermions has negative soft masses

# A-terms



Because of the embedding of the messenger U(1) in a larger group (SU(2), SO(10))

Sumerically: 
$$A_t \approx$$

$$-\frac{\alpha_y}{6\alpha_3}M_3$$

# In order to get a 125 GeV Higgs



# 2-loop corrections to sfermion masses

Minimal gauge mediation:

O(1%) fla

flavour-blind

Matter-messenger couplings: O(3%) flavour-safe

# More details

$$\delta \tilde{m}_f^2 = 2 \frac{y_f^* y_f^T}{(4\pi)^2} \left( \frac{T}{2(4\pi)^2} - 2c_f^r \frac{g_r^2}{(4\pi)^2} + \frac{y_f^* y_f^T}{(4\pi)^2} \right) \left( \frac{F_L}{M_L} \right)^2$$

$$T = \text{Tr} \left( 6y_q y_q^{\dagger} + 3y_{u^c} y_{u^c}^{\dagger} + 3y_{d^c} y_{d^c}^{\dagger} + 2y_l y_l^{\dagger} + y_{n^c} y_{n^c}^{\dagger} + y_{e^c} y_{e^c}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} & \left[y^*y^T \left(8\operatorname{Tr}(y^*y^T) + y^*y^T\right)\right]_{12}^D < 1.5 \\ & \left[y^*y^T \left(8\operatorname{Tr}(y^*y^T) + y^*y^T\right)\right]_{13}^D < 0.5 \cdot 10^2 \\ & \left[y^*y^T \left(8\operatorname{Tr}(y^*y^T) + y^*y^T\right)\right]_{23}^D < 1.5 \cdot 10^2 \\ & \left[y^*y^T \left(8\operatorname{Tr}(y^*y^T) + y^*y^T\right)\right]_{12}^U < 6. \end{split}$$

### Summary

- Supersymmetry breaking remains the key of phenomenologically and theoretically successful supersymmetry models
- Phenomenological issues/guidelines: FCNC, fine-tuning
- Theoretical issues/guidelines: Str, R-symmetry
- A simple, theoretically complete, and phenomenologically viable option
- Susy breaking is communicated by extra, SB gauge interactions
- Messenger and observable fields are charged under the hidden sector gauge group
- Positive sfermion masses arise at the tree level, in a dynamical realization of TGM, but are not hierarchically larger than gaugino's
- A-terms are generated, and are possibly sizeable