

Precision Physics at the LHC: yesterday, today and tomorrow

J. Huston Michigan State University/IPPP HP^2 Florence 2014

(SM) Physics from Run 1



Physics from Run 1

...in most cases, good agreement with SM predictions (at NLO and higher). The SM will be tested more stringently (with hopefully BSM physics discovered) in Run 2. We need to have the predictions available to test data vs theory.

| Standar | d Model Total Produc | tion Cross Section Measurements July 20 روزی کار | $\begin{array}{ccc} 14 & \int \mathcal{L} \mathrm{dt} \\ \\ 14 & [\mathrm{fb}^{-1}] \end{array}$ | Reference |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------|
| pp total | $\sigma = 95.35 \pm 0.38 \pm 1.3 \text{ hackb (data)} \\ \text{COMPETE RRpl2u 2002 (theory)}$ | | 8×10 ⁻⁸ | ATLAS-CONF-2014-040 |
| Jets R=0.4 y <3.0 | $\sigma = 563.9 \pm 1.5 + 55.4 - 51.4 \text{ nb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{NLOJet++, CT10 (theory)}$ | 0.1 < p _T < 2 TeV | 4.5 | ATLAS-STDM-2013-11 |
| Dijets R=0.4 y <3.0, y*<3.0 | $\sigma = 86.87 \pm 0.26 + 7.56 - 7.2 \text{ nb (data)} \\ \text{NLOJet++, CT10 (theory)}$ | 0.3 < m _{jj} < 5 TeV | 4.5 | JHEP 05, 059 (2014) |
| W total | $\sigma = 94.51 \pm 0.194 \pm 3.726 \text{ nb (data)} \\ \text{FEWZ+HERA1.5 NNLO (theory)}$ | ¢ 4 | 0.035 | PRD 85, 072004 (2012) |
| Z total | $\sigma = 27.94 \pm 0.178 \pm 1.096 ~\rm{nb}~(data) \\ {\rm FEWZ+HERA1.5~NNLO}~(theory)$ | ې ۹ | 0.035 | PRD 85, 072004 (2012) |
| ++ | $\sigma = 182.9 \pm 3.1 \pm 6.4 \text{ pb} (\text{data})$ top++ NNLO+NNLL (theory) | ¢ 🔰 💆 | 4.6 | arXiv:1406.5375 [hep-ex] |
| total | $\sigma = 242.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 10.2 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)} $ top++ NNLO+NNLL (theory) | 4 4 | 20.3 | arXiv:1406.5375 [hep-ex] |
| t _{t-chan} | | ۵ 🚺 🗘 | 4.6 | arXiv:1406.7844 [hep-ex] |
| total | $\sigma = 82.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 12.0 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NLO+NLL (theory)}$ | 4 4 | 20.3 | ATLAS-CONF-2014-007 |
| | $\sigma = 72.0 \pm 9.0 \pm 19.8 \ \mathrm{pb} \ \mathrm{(data)} \\ \mathrm{MCFM} \ \mathrm{(theory)}$ | ATLAS Preliminary | 4.7 | ATLAS-CONF-2012-157 |
| <u>۱۸/۱۸/</u> | $\sigma = 51.9 \pm 2.0 \pm 4.4 \text{ pb} (\text{data})$ MCFM (theory) | | 4.6 | PRD 87, 112001 (2013) |
| total | $\sigma = 71.4 \pm 1.2 + 5.5 - 4.9 \text{ pb (data)}$ MCFM (theory) | A Run I $\sqrt{3} = 7, 0$ lev $ $ | 20.3 | ATLAS-CONF-2014-033 |
| Нат | $\sigma = 19.0 + 6.2 - 6.0 + 2.6 - 1.9 \text{ pb (data)}$ LHC-HXSWG (theory) | | 4.8 | ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-00 |
| total | $\sigma = 25.4 + 3.6 - 3.5 + 2.9 - 2.3 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{LHC-HXSWG (theory)}$ | LHC np \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV | 20.3 | ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-00 |
| \ \ /+ | $\sigma = 16.8 \pm 2.9 \pm 3.9 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ NLO+NLL (theory) | | 2.0 | PLB 716, 142-159 (2012) |
| total | $\sigma = 27.2 \pm 2.8 \pm 5.4 \mathrm{pb} (\mathrm{data}) \\ \mathrm{NLO+NLL} (\mathrm{theory})$ | A Theory | 20.3 | ATLAS-CONF-2013-100 |
| \ \ /7 | $\sigma = 19.0 + 1.4 - 1.3 \pm 1.0 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ MCFM (theory) | Data | 4.6 | EPJC 72, 2173 (2012) |
| total | $\sigma = 20.3 + 0.8 - 0.7 + 1.4 - 1.3 \text{ pb} (\text{data})$ MCFM (theory) | 4 stat stat stat stat | 13.0 | ATLAS-CONF-2013-021 |
| 77 | $\sigma = 6.7 \pm 0.7 + 0.5 - 0.4$ pb (data) MCFM (theory) | ٥ | 4.6 | JHEP 03, 128 (2013) |
| total | $\sigma = 7.1 + 0.5 - 0.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ pb (data)}$ MCFM (theory) | | 20.3 | ATLAS-CONF-2013-020 |
| H vBF total | $\sigma = 2.6 \pm 0.6 + 0.5 - 0.4 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{LHC-HXSWG (theory)}$ | ▲ Theory ▲ | 20.3 | ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-00 |
| t tW | σ = 300.0 + 120.0 − 100.0 + 70.0 − 40.0 fb (data) MCFM (theory) | | 20.3 | ATLAS-CONF-2014-038 |
| tīZ | $\sigma = 150.0 \pm 55.0 - 50.0 \pm 21.0 \text{ fb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{HELAC-NLO (theory)} $ | | 20.3 | ATLAS-CONF-2014-038 |

data/theory σ [pb]

Physics from Run 1 and Run 2 PDFs

 PDF4LHC: Lay out a coherent coordinated plan for QCD(+EW) measurements, among ATLAS, CMS and LHCb, that can reduce PDF systematics using LHC data

- systematic errors will be very important
- Wiki is now up, discussed at PDF4LHC meeting in May

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/ PDF4LHC/WebHome

Higher order cross sections: The first wishlist

An experimenter's wishlist

Run II Monte Carlo Workshop

| Single Boson | Diboson | Triboson | Heavy Flavour |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | |
| $W+ \leq 5j$ | $WW+ \leq 5j$ | $WWW+ \leq 3j$ | $t\bar{t}+\leq 3j$ |
| $W+bar{b}\leq 3j$ | $W + b \bar{b} + \leq 3 j$ | $WWW + bar{b} + \leq 3j$ | $tar{t}+\gamma+\leq 2j$ |
| $W + c \bar{c} \leq 3j$ | $W+c\bar{c}+\leq 3j$ | $WWW + \gamma\gamma + \leq 3j$ | $t\bar{t} + W + \leq 2j$ |
| $Z+\leq 5j$ | $ZZ+\leq 5j$ | $Z\gamma\gamma+\leq 3j$ | $t\bar{t} + Z + \leq 2j$ |
| $Z + b\bar{b} + \leq 3j$ | $Z + b\bar{b} + \leq 3j$ | $ZZZ+\leq 3j$ | $t\bar{t} + H + \leq 2j$ |
| $Z+c\bar{c}+\leq 3j$ | $ZZ + c\bar{c} + \leq 3j$ | $WZZ+\leq 3j$ | $tar{b}\leq 2j$ |
| $\gamma + \leq 5 j$ | $\gamma\gamma+\leq 5j$ | $ZZZ+\leq 3j$ | $bar{b}+\leq 3j$ |
| $\gamma + b ar{b} \leq 3 j$ | $\gamma\gamma+bar{b}\leq 3j$ | | single top |
| $\gamma + c ar c \leq 3 j$ | $\gamma\gamma + car{c} \leq 3j$ | | |
| | $WZ+\leq 5j$ | | |
| | $WZ + bar{b} \leq 3j$ | | |
| | $WZ + c\bar{c} \leq 3j$ | | |
| | $W\gamma+\leq 3j$ | | |
| | $Z\gamma + \leq 3j$ | | |

Realistic wishlist

- Was developed at Les Houches in 2005, and expanded in 2007 and 2009
- Calculations that are important for the LHC AND do-able in finite time
- In 2009, we added tttt, Wbbj, W/Z+4j plus an extra column for each process indicating the level of precision required by the experiments
 - to see for example if EW corrections may need to be calculated
- In order to be most useful, decays for final state particles (t,W,H) need to be provided in the codes as well
- With the calculation of tttt, all processes on the wishlist have been calculated
- The wishlist has been retired since new techniques allow for the semiautomatic generation of new (reasonable) NLO cross sections

| | Process $(V \in \{Z, W, \gamma\})$ | Comments |
|---|---|--|
| | Calculations completed since Les Houches 2005 | |
| | 1. $pp \rightarrow VV$ jet 2. $pp \rightarrow Higgs+2jets$ note we didn't even think Higgs+3 jets possible 3. $pp \rightarrow VVV$ | WWjet completed by Dittmaier/Kallweit/Uwer [4,5]; Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [6]. ZZjet completed by Binoth/Gleisberg/Karg/Kauer/Sanguinetti [7] NLO QCD to the gg channel completed by Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [8]; NLO QCD+EW to the VBF channel completed by Ciccolini/Denner/Dittmaier [9, 10] ZZZ completed by Lazopoulos/Melnikov/Petriello [11] and WWZ by Hankele/Zeppenfeld [12] (see also Binoth/Ossola/Papadopoulos/Pittau [13]) |
| | 4. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ 5. $pp \rightarrow V+3$ jets | relevant for $t\bar{t}H$ computed by Bredenstein/Denner/Dittmaier/Pozzorini [14, 15] and Bevilacqua/Czakon/Papadopoulos/Pittau/Worek [16] calculated by the Blackhat/Sherpa [17] and Rocket [18] collaborations |
| | Calculations remaining from Les Houches 2005 | |
| | 6. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ +2jets 7. $pp \rightarrow VV b\bar{b}$, 8. $pp \rightarrow VV$ +2jets NLO calculations added to list in 2007 | relevant for $t\bar{t}H$ computed by Bevilacqua/Czakon/Papadopoulos/Worek [19] relevant for VBF $\rightarrow H \rightarrow VV$, $t\bar{t}H$ relevant for VBF $\rightarrow H \rightarrow VV$ VBF contributions calculated by (Bozzi/)Jäger/Oleari/Zeppenfeld [20–22] |
| | 9. $pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ | $q\bar{q}$ channel calculated by Golem collaboration [23] |
| | NLO calculations added to list in 2009 | |
| > | 10. $pp \rightarrow V+4$ jets 11. $pp \rightarrow Wb\bar{b}j$ 12. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ Calculations beyond NLO added in 2007 | top pair production, various new physics signatures top, new physics signatures various new physics signatures |
| | 13. $gg \rightarrow W^*W^* \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 \alpha_s^3)$ 14. NNLO $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ 15. NNLO to VBF and Z/γ +jet | backgrounds to Higgs normalization of a benchmark process Higgs couplings and SM benchmark |
| | Calculations including electroweak effects | |
| | 16. NNLO QCD+NLO EW for W/Z | precision calculation of a SM benchmark |

Realistic wishlist

Constraining BSM Physics at the LHC: Four top final states with NLO accuracy in perturbative QCD

G. Bevilacqua^a and M. Worek^b

^bTheoretische Physik, Fachbereich C, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gauss Str. 20, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany

E-mail: bevilacqua@physik.rwth-aachen.de, worek@physik.uni-wuppertal.de

ABSTRACT: Many theories, from Supersymmetry to models of Strong Electroweak Symmetry Breaking, look at the production of four top quarks as an interesting channel to evidentiate signals of new physics beyond the Standard Model. The production of four-top final states requires large partonic energies, above the $4m_t$ threshold, that are available at the CERN Large Hadron Collider and will become more and more accessible with increasing energy and luminosity of the proton beams. A good theoretical control on the Standard Model background is a fundamental prerequisite for a correct interpretation of the possible signals of new physics that may arise in this channel. In this paper we report on the calculation of the next-to-leading order QCD corrections to the Standard Model process $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t} + X$. As it is customary for such studies, we present results for both integrated and differential cross sections. A judicious choice of a dynamical scale allows us to obtain nearly constant \mathcal{K} -factors in most distributions.

KEYWORDS: NLO Computations, Heavy Quark Physics, Standard Model, Beyond Standard Model

WUB/12-12, TTK-12-22

• 4 top final state



^a Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik und Kosmologie, RWTH Aachen University, Otto-Blumenthal Str., D-52056 Aachen, Germany

Note that we have ticked off one cross section from the first list

An experimenter's wishlist

Run II Monte Carlo Workshop

| Single Boson | Diboson | Triboson | Heavy Flavour |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | |
| $W+ \leq 5j$ | $WW+ \leq 5j$ | $WWW+ \leq 3j$ | $t\bar{t}+\leq 3j$ |
| $W+bar{b}\leq 3j$ | $W + b\bar{b} + \leq 3j$ | $WWW + bar{b} + \leq 3j$ | $tar{t}+\gamma+\leq 2j$ |
| $W + c\bar{c} \leq 3j$ | $W + c\bar{c} + \leq 3j$ | $WWW + \gamma\gamma + \leq 3j$ | $t\bar{t} + W + \leq 2j$ |
| $Z+\leq 5j$ | $ZZ+\leq 5j$ | $Z\gamma\gamma+\leq 3j$ | $t\bar{t} + Z + \leq 2j$ |
| $Z + b\bar{b} + \leq 3j$ | $Z + b\bar{b} + \leq 3j$ | $ZZZ+\leq 3j$ | $t\bar{t} + H + \leq 2j$ |
| $Z + c\bar{c} + \leq 3j$ | $ZZ + c\bar{c} + \leq 3j$ | $WZZ+\leq 3j$ | $tar{b}\leq 2j$ |
| $\gamma+\leq 5j$ | $\gamma\gamma+\leq 5j$ | $ZZZ+\leq 3j$ | $bar{b}+\leq 3j$ |
| $\gamma + bar{b} \leq 3j$ | $\gamma\gamma+bar{b}\leq 3j$ | | single top |
| $\gamma + c ar c \leq 3 j$ | $\gamma\gamma+car{c}\leq 3j$ | | |
| | $WZ+\leq 5j$ | | |
| | $WZ + b\bar{b} \leq 3j$ | | |
| | $WZ + c\bar{c} \le 3j$ | | |
| | $W\gamma + \leq 3j$ | | |
| | $Z\gamma + < 3j$ | | |

Going beyond the original wish list: a lot more complexity (loops and legs) required to keep the fun going



A new Les Houches high precision wishlist

- From the 2013 proceedings
 - arxiv:1405.1067
- NB: The counting of orders is done relative to LO QCD independent of the absolute power of α_s in cross section
- $\alpha \sim \alpha_s^2$ so that NNLO QCD and NLO EW effects are naively of the same size
- dσ represents full differential cross sections
- The list is very ambitious, but possible to do over the remainder of the LHC running

 $- LO \equiv \mathcal{O}(1),$

- NLO QCD
$$\equiv \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm s}),$$

- NNLO QCD $\equiv \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm s}^2),$

- NLO EW
$$\equiv \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$$
,

- NNNLO QCD
$$\equiv \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm s}^3),$$

- NNLO QCD+EW $\equiv \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}\alpha)$.

...and of course, as much as possible, we would like matching to a parton shower for fully exclusive final states

Costas: "δεν υπάρχει πρόβλημα"

In this notation, d σ @NNLO QCD+NLO EW indicates a single code computing the fully differential cross section including both order α_s^2 and order α effects. Where possible, full resonance production, including interference with background should be taken into account.

| Higgs | | | | |
|-------------|---|---|---------------------|--|
| Process | known | desired | details | |
| Н | d σ @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | H branching ratios | |
| | $d\sigma$ @ NLO EW | MC@NNLO | and couplings | |
| | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | finite quark mass effects @ NNLO | | |
| H + j | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD (g only) | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H p_T | |
| | $d\sigma @ NLO EW$ | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | | |
| | finite quark mass effects @ LO | | | |
| H + 2j | $\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm VBF})$ @ NNLO(DIS) QCD | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H couplings | |
| | $d\sigma(gg)$ @ NLO QCD | | | |
| | $d\sigma(VBF)$ @ NLO EW | | | |
| H + V | d σ @ NNLO QCD | with $H \to b\bar{b}$ @ same accuracy | H couplings | |
| | $d\sigma @ NLO EW$ | | | |
| $t\bar{t}H$ | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) | top Yukawa coupling | |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | | |
| HH | $d\sigma @ LO QCD (full m_t dependence)$ | $d\sigma @ NLO QCD (full m_t dependence)$ | Higgs self coupling | |
| | $d\sigma @ NLO QCD (infinite m_t limit)$ | $d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (infinite m_t limit)$ | | |

Table 1: Wishlist part 1 – Higgs (V = W, Z)

justify the requested precision based on current/extrapolated experimental errors

S. Dittmaier, N. Glover, J. Huston

Higgs sector

- We currently know the production cross section for gg fusion to NNLO QCD in the infinite m_t limit, including finite quark mass effects at NLO QCD and NLO EW.
- Current experimental uncertainties are of the order of 20-40%





Revised diphoton results



Higgs sector

- We currently know the production cross section for gg fusion to NNLO QCD in the infinite m_t limit, including finite quark mass effects at NLO QCD and NLO EW.
- Current experimental uncertainties are of the order of 20-40%
- Theoretically, uncertainty is of order of 15% with PDF+ α_s and higher order uncertainties, both being on the order of 7-8%
 - see next few slides, however
- Expect total experimental error to decrease to 10% in Run 2
- So ultimately may want to know NNNLO QCD and mixed NNLO QCD +EW contributions maintaining finite top quark mass effects

| | Process | known | desired | details |
|---|-------------|---|---|---------------------|
| ſ | Н | d σ @ NNLO QCD | d σ @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | H branching ratios |
| | | d σ @ NLO EW | MC@NNLO | and couplings |
| | | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | finite quark mass effects @ NNLO | |
| | H + j | d σ @ NNLO QCD (g only) | d σ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H p_T |
| | | d σ @ NLO EW | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | |
| | | finite quark mass effects @ LO | | |
| | H + 2j | $\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm VBF})$ @ NNLO(DIS) QCD | d σ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H couplings |
| | | $d\sigma(gg)$ @ NLO QCD | | |
| | | $d\sigma(VBF)$ @ NLO EW | | |
| | H + V | d σ @ NNLO QCD | with $H \to b\bar{b}$ @ same accuracy | H couplings |
| | | d σ @ NLO EW | | |
| | $t\bar{t}H$ | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) | top Yukawa coupling |
| | | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | HH | $d\sigma @ LO QCD (full m_t dependence)$ | $d\sigma @ NLO QCD (full m_t dependence)$ | Higgs self coupling |
| | | d σ @ NLO QCD (infinite m_t limit) | $d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (infinite m_t limit)$ | |

Table 1: Wishlist part 1 – Higgs (V = W, Z)

efforts underway for calculation of ggF to NNNLO in QCD.

a NNLO+PS simulation for ggF has already been developed; expect improvements/refinements.

NNLO PDF uncertainties

- Nice convergence for qQ PDF luminosities in range of W/Z masses (at 8 TeV)
 - but not so for lower masses
- Also not so for gg PDF luminosities around 125 GeV at 8 TeV
 - better overlap, but with larger uncertainties, at low mass
 - PDF+α_s error dominant theory error
- Project started at Les Houches
 - understand differences in central luminosity value from CT10, MSTW08, NNPDF2.3 and HERAPDF1.5
 - progress report in Les Houches
 - meetings continuing



NNLO PDF uncertainties



$\alpha_{s}(m_{Z})$

- Right now the Higgs Cross Section Working Group is using a mean value for $\alpha_s(m_z)$ of 0.118 with 90% CL error of 0.002 (68%CL error of 0.0012), or an inflation of the world average uncertainties; the α_s error is added in quadrature with the PDF error
- The world average is dominated by lattice results
- Are the lattice results are robust enough, so that an uncertainty of 0.0012 (at 68% CL) may be an overestimate?



Figure 1-1. Summary of values of $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$ obtained for various sub-classes of measurements. The world average value of $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1184 \pm 0.0007$ is indicated by the dashed line and the shaded band. Figure taken from [1].

$\alpha_{\rm s}$ from colliders



Higgs sector

- First attempts to measure differential Higgs+jets measurements made in diphoton channel at ATLAS
 - arxiv.org:1407.4222





30 GeV

250

200 150

100

-50

Data-Bkg



ATLAS Preliminary

1 dt = 20.3 fb

1/0

160

150

m_{yy} [GeV]





Table 1: Wishlist part 1 - Higgs (V = W, Z)

Higgs + jet

- First attempts to measure differential Higgs+jets measurements made in diphoton channel at ATLAS
 - paper on archive
- At 14 TeV, with 300 fb⁻¹, there will be a rich variety of differential jet measurements with on the order of 3000 events with jet p_T above the top quark mass scale, thus probing inside the top quark loop
- H+j cross section now known to NNLO (F. Caola et al)
 - although paper not out yet
- LO (one-loop) QCD and EW corrections with top mass dependence known, but finite mass contributions at NLO QCD+NLO EW may also be needed

interesting that NNLO >>NLO at very high $\ensuremath{p_{\text{T}}}$



Harlander and Neumann: arXiv.org: 1308.2225

Higher dimensional operators can change the shape of the Higgs/jet transverse momentum distribution above the top quark mass scale

resolve non-trivial structure

The effective Lagrangian involving operators through mass dimension 7 which couple a scalar Higgs boson H to gluons can be written as [13, 14] (see also Ref. [15])

 $\mathcal{L} = \frac{C_1}{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_1 + \sum_{n=0}^{5} \frac{C_n}{\Lambda^3} \mathcal{O}_n \qquad \Lambda = \mathsf{m}_t, \text{ or new physics}?$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1 &= H F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_2 &= H D_\alpha F^a_{\mu\nu} D^\alpha F^{a\,\mu\nu} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_3 &= H F^{a\,\mu}_\nu F^{b\,\nu}_\sigma F^{c\,\sigma}_\mu f^{abc} \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_4 &= H D^\alpha F^a_{\alpha\nu} D_\beta F^{a\,\beta\nu} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_5 &= H F^a_{\alpha\nu} D^\nu D^\beta F^{a\,\alpha}_\beta \,, \end{aligned}$$

if just m_t,

(2)

(3)



where

FIG. 1: Normalized Higgs transverse momentum distributions for scalar coupling operators. The normalization factors σ_{ij} are given in table I.

Higgs sector

- Higgs + 2 jets crucial to understand Higgs coupling, in particular through VBF
- VBF production known to NNLO QCD in double-DIS approximation together with QCD and EW effects at NLO, while ggF known in infinite top mass limit and to LO QCD retaining top mass effects
- With 300 fb⁻¹, there is the possibility of measuring HWW coupling strength to order of 5%
- This would require both VBF and ggF Higgs + 2 jets cross sections to NNLO QCD and finite mass effects to NLO QCD and NLO EW

interesting that the (statistically limited) results seem to show a jettier final state than predicted

| Process | known | desired | details |
|---------|---|---|---------------------|
| Н | dσ @ NNLO QCD | d σ @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | H branching ratios |
| | d σ @ NLO EW | MC@NNLO | and couplings |
| | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | finite quark mass effects @ NNLO | |
| H + j | d σ @ NNLO QCD (g only) | d σ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H p_T |
| | d σ @ NLO EW | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | |
| | finite quark mass effects @ LO | | |
| H + 2j | $\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm VBF})$ @ NNLO(DIS) QCD | d σ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H couplings |
| | $d\sigma(gg)$ @ NLO QCD | | |
| | $d\sigma(VBF)$ @ NLO EW | | |
| H + V | d σ @ NNLO QCD | with $H \to b\bar{b}$ @ same accuracy | H couplings |
| | $d\sigma$ @ NLO EW | | |
| tīH | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) | top Yukawa coupling |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| HH | $d\sigma @ LO QCD (full m_t dependence)$ | d σ @ NLO QCD (full m_t dependence) | Higgs self coupling |
| | $d\sigma @ NLO QCD (infinite m_t limit)$ | $d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (infinite m_t \text{ limit})$ | |



(a) N_{jets}

Higgs sector

Similar results from other predictions







ATLAS-CONF-2014-044



(e)

Higgs sector

- Coupling of Higgs to top and bottom quarks poorly known
 - 50% for bottom
 - 100% for top
- H->bB primarily measured through asociated production, known current at NNLO QCD and at NLO EW
- bB decay currently in NLO QCD production in narrow-width approximation; desireable to combir Higgs production and decay processes to same order, NNLO in QCD and NLO in EW for Higgsstrahlung process
- With 300 fb⁻¹ at 14 TeV, signal strength for H->bB should be measured to 10-15% level, shrinking to 5% for 3000 fb⁻¹

| Drogogg | Imon | desired | detaile |
|---------|---|--|---------------------|
| Frocess | KIIOWII | desired | details |
| Н | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW$ | H branching ratios |
| | d σ @ NLO EW | MC@NNLO | and couplings |
| | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | finite quark mass effects @ NNLO | |
| H + j | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD (g only) | d σ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H p_T |
| | d σ @ NLO EW | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | |
| | finite quark mass effects @ LO | | |
| H + 2j | $\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm VBF})$ @ NNLO(DIS) QCD | d σ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H couplings |
| | $d\sigma(gg)$ @ NLO QCD | | |
| | $d\sigma(VBF)$ @ NLO EW | | |
| H + V | dσ @ NNLO QCD | with $H \to b\bar{b}$ @ same accuracy | H couplings |
| | dσ @ NLO EW | | |
| tīH | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) | top Yukawa coupling |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| HH | $d\sigma @ LO QCD (full m_t dependence)$ | $d\sigma @ NLO QCD (full m_t dependence)$ | Higgs self coupling |
| | d σ @ NLO QCD (infinite m_t limit) | d σ @ NNLO QCD (infinite m_t limit) | |



- Higgs-top couplings may have both scalar and pseudo-scalar components (in presence of CP violation)
- Can be probed in measurements of Higgs production in association with tT or t
- tH (tTH) known to LO (NLO) QCD wth stable tops
- Needed to know the cross section (with top decays) at NLO QCD, possibly including NLO EW effects

Higgs sector

- Self-coupling of the Higgs one of the holy grails of extended running at the LHC
 - directly probes EW potential
- HH production through ggF currently known at LO with full top mass dependence, at NLO with leading finite mass terms, and at NNLO in the infinite top-mass limit
- It may be necessary to compute full top mass dependence at NLO QCD
- With 3000 fb⁻¹ at 14 TeV, hope for a 50% precision on self-coupling parameter

| Process | known | desired | details |
|---------|---|---|---------------------|
| Н | d σ @ NNLO QCD | d σ @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | H branching ratios |
| | d σ @ NLO EW | MC@NNLO | and couplings |
| | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | finite quark mass effects @ NNLO | |
| H + j | d σ @ NNLO QCD (g only) | d σ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H p_T |
| | d σ @ NLO EW | finite quark mass effects @ NLO | |
| | finite quark mass effects @ LO | | |
| H + 2j | $\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm VBF})$ @ NNLO(DIS) QCD | d σ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H couplings |
| | $d\sigma(gg)$ @ NLO QCD | | |
| | $d\sigma(VBF)$ @ NLO EW | | |
| H + V | d σ @ NNLO QCD | with $H \to b\bar{b}$ @ same accuracy | H couplings |
| | d σ @ NLO EW | | |
| tīH | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) | top Yukawa coupling |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| НН | $d\sigma @ LO QCD (full m_t dependence)$ | $d\sigma @ NLO QCD (full m_t dependence)$ | Higgs self coupling |
| | d σ @ NLO QCD (infinite m_t limit) | $d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (infinite m_t \text{ limit})$ | |

Table 1: Wishlist part 1 – Higgs (V = W, Z)

| | heavy quarks, photons, jets | | | | |
|----------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Process | known | desired | details | | |
| tī | $\sigma_{\rm tot}$ @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) | precision top/QCD, | | |
| | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | gluon PDF, effect of extra | | |
| | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO EW | | radiation at high rapidity, | | |
| | | | top asymmetries | | |
| $t\bar{t} + j$ | $\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mathrm{NWA} \mbox{ top decays})$ @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) | precision top/QCD | | |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | top asymmetries | | |
| single-top | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) | precision top/QCD, V_{tb} | | |
| | | @ NNLO QCD (t channel) | | | |
| dijet | d σ @ NNLO QCD (g only) | $\mathrm{d}\sigma$ | Obs.: incl. jets, dijet mass | | |
| | d σ @ NLO weak | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | \rightarrow PDF fits (gluon at high x) | | |
| | | | $\rightarrow lpha_s$ | | |
| | | | CMS http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.6660 | | |
| 3j | d σ @ NLO QCD | $\mathrm{d}\sigma$ | Obs.: $R3/2$ or similar | | |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | $\rightarrow \alpha_s$ at high scales | | |
| | | | dom. uncertainty: scales | | |
| | | | CMS http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.7498 | | |
| $\gamma + j$ | $d\sigma$ @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD | gluon PDF | | |
| | $d\sigma @ NLO EW$ | +NLO EW | $\gamma + b$ for bottom PDF | | |

Table 2: Wishlist part 2 – jets and heav quarks

Top pair production

- Top production is important both as a possible venue for new physics as well as for more mundane purposes such as the determination of the gluon PDF at high x
- Currently, the dilepton final state is known to an experimental uncertainty of 5% and the uncertainty for the leptons+jets final state should be of the same order in Run 2
 - a sizeable portion of that error is due to the luminosity uncertainty
- Currently know total top cross section to NNLO QCD and NLO EW
 - 4% uncertainties
- Need differential top cross section to NNLO QCD (with decays) including NLO EW effects



Mass and rapidity distributions

 gg channel is dominant; differential predictions at NNLO will help constrain high x gluon distribution



tT+jets

- Due to dominance of gg initial state, basically every tT event is a tTj event
- Currently known at NLO QCD
- Desired to know (with decays) at NNLO QCD with NLO EW effects





tTZ

- Important process to compare to tTH production, but also for measuring coupling of top quark with Z (or W)
- Currently known to NLO with onshell top decays
- Need to be able to study hard radiation effects in top decays



| Process | State of the Art | Desired |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| tī | $\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm stable tops})$ @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) |
| | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO EW | |
| $t\bar{t} + j(j)$ | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| $t\bar{t} + Z$ | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD |
| | | + NLO EW |
| single-top | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| dijet | $d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (g only)$ | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $d\sigma @ NLO EW (weak)$ | |
| 3ј | $d\sigma$ @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| $\gamma + j$ | $d\sigma$ @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $d\sigma @ NLO EW$ | |



Single top

- Important for precision top physics and in particular the measurement of Vtb
- Current experimental precision is on the order of 10% and a precision of the order of 5% likely in Run 2
- Both ATLAS and CMS have evidence for tW, with approximately 40% uncertainties (dominated by statistics)
- Currently single top cross section known to NLO in QCD
 - soft gluon and threshold effects at NNLO
- tW known theoretically to within 10% and tZ to within 5%
- Would like single top cross section to NNLO QCD including NLO EW effects

| | Process | State of the Art | Desired |
|----|------------------------|---|---|
| | $t\overline{t}$ | $\sigma_{\rm tot}$ (stable tops) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma(top decays)$ |
| | | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO EW | - |
| | $t\overline{t} + j(j)$ | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $t\bar{t} + Z$ | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD |
| | | | + NLO EW |
| | single-top | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| _ | diiet | $\mathrm{d}\sigma @ \mathrm{NNLO} \ \mathrm{QCD} \ (\mathrm{g} \ \mathrm{onlv})$ | $d\sigma @ NNLO \ OCD + NLO \ EW$ |
| Š. | EAT | AS Preliminary | hik 2014 |
| ╧ | Ean | AS Freinnary | July 2014 |
| 0 | - sing | le top-quark production | t-channel - |
| 5 | | | - |
| ž | | | 10/4 |
| ธ | 10²⊨ | The second se | vvt_ |
| °. | E | T Y | - |
| 20 | F | | |
| ź | | NI NI | LO+NNLL at m _t = 172.5 GeV _ |
| כ | F | T M | STW2008 NNLO PDF - |
| 4 | | I sta | at. uncertainty |
| ğ | 10 | | s-channel |
| 5 | - | | s-channer 3 |
| È. | F | It chapped 4 50 fb ⁻¹ | - |
| 2 | | | rXIV:1406.7844 |
| D | F | 2t-channel 20.3 fb A | TLAS-CONF-2014-007 - |
| כ | | Wt 2.05 fb ⁻¹ PLB 716 (2) | 012) 142 |
| 0 | ιE | Wt 20.3 fb ⁻¹ ATLAS-CO | NE-2013-100 |
| | E, | a channel OF% C L limit 0 7 fb | |
| | - F . | | ATLAS-CONF-2011-118 |
| | 5 | 6 7 8 9 10 | 11 12 13 14 |
| | 0 | 5, 5 5 10 | 11 12 10 14 |
| | | | \ <i>s</i> [TeV] |

- One of key processes for perturbative QCD
 - covers largest kinematic range with jets produced in the multi-TeV range
 - EW effects very important in this range
- Only process currently included in global fits not known at NNLO
 - gg channel has been calculated
- Current experimental precision on the order of 5-10% for jets from 200 GeV/c to 1 TeV/c
- Would like better precision for theory
 - so need NNLO QCD and NLO EW
- We also need a better understanding of the impact of parton showers on the fixed order cross section

| | Process | State of the Art | Desired |
|---|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | tī | $\sigma_{\rm tot}$ (stable tops) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) |
| | | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO EW | |
| | $t\overline{t} + j(j)$ | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $t\bar{t} + Z$ | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD |
| | | | + NLO EW |
| | single-top | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| (| dijet | $d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (g only)$ | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | | $d\sigma @ NLO EW (weak)$ | |
| | 3ј | $d\sigma @ NLO QCD$ | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $\gamma + j$ | $d\sigma @ NLO QCD$ | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | | $d\sigma @ NLO EW$ | |
| | 90×10 ³ | | |
| | | √s=8 TeV —L0 | |
| | g 80 | anti-k _T R=0.7 | |
| | ΨĘ | MSTW2008nnlo | |
| | 70 | $\mu_{R} = \mu_{F} = \mu$ | |
| | | 80 GeV < p _T < 97 GeV | |
| | ⁶⁰ E | | |
| | 50 | | |
| | Ē | | 3 |
| | 40 | | |
| | Ē | | 1 |
| | 30 | | |

μ/p_

FIG. 2: Scale dependence of the inclusive jet cross section for pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV for the anti- k_T algorithm with R = 0.7 and with |y| < 4.4 and 80 GeV $< p_T < 97$ GeV at NNLO (blue), NLO (red) and LO (green).

...but, arXiv:1407.7031

- NNLO/NLO corrections smaller (on the order of 5%) and flat as a function of jet p_{T} if scale of inclusive jet p_{T} is used rather than p_{T} of the lead jet
- ...which is what should be used in any case
- expect corrections for other subprocesses to be of similar order



Casimir color factors for initial state



FIG. 2: Scale dependence of the inclusive jet cross section for pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV for the anti- k_T algorithm with R = 0.7 and with |y| < 4.4 and 80 GeV $< p_T < 97$ GeV at NNLO (blue), NLO (red) and LO (green).

ATLAS 2010 7 TeV, Inl<0.3



Figure 8: NLO/LO and NNLO/NLO exact k-factors for the gg-channel evaluated with the renormalisation and factorisation scales $\mu_R = \mu_F = p_T$ and $\mu_R = \mu_F = p_{T1}$.





- Useful for determination of the running of the strong coupling constant over a wide dynamic range
- Many experimental uncertainties cancel in the ratio of 3j/2j
 - for example jet energy scale uncertainty for ratio can be reduced to <1%
- Largest theoretical uncertainty is residual scale dependence at NLO
 - 5% at high p_T
- So like the dijet case, would like to know 3j production at NNLO QCD +NLO EW

| | Process | State of the Art | Desired |
|---|------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | tī | $\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm stable tops})$ @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) |
| | | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO EW | |
| | $t\overline{t} + j(j)$ | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $t\bar{t} + Z$ | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD |
| | | | + NLO EW |
| | single-top | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | dijet | $d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (g only)$ | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | | $d\sigma @ NLO EW (weak)$ | |
| (| 3ј | $d\sigma$ @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $\gamma + j$ | $d\sigma$ @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | | $d\sigma @ NLO EW$ | |



- Useful for determination of the gluon distribution, especially at high x
- Final state cleaner than dijet production (at high p_T)
- So like the dijet case, would like to know γ+j production at NNLO QCD +NLO EW



| Process | State of the Art | Desired |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| tī | $\sigma_{\rm tot}({\rm stable \ tops})$ @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) |
| | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO EW | |
| $t\bar{t} + j(j)$ | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| $t\bar{t} + Z$ | $d\sigma$ (stable tops) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (top decays) @ NLO QCD |
| | | + NLO EW |
| single-top | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (NWA top decays) |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| dijet | $d\sigma @ NNLO QCD (g only)$ | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | d σ @ NLO EW (weak) | |
| 3i | $d\sigma$ @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| $\gamma + j$ | $d\sigma$ @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW |
| | $d\sigma @ NLO EW$ | |



Note any isolated high p_T EM object is a photon

| | Process | known | desired | details |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Vector bosons | V | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | precision EW, PDFs |
| | | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | | | MC@NNLO | |
| | V + j | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | Z + j for gluon PDF |
| | | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | $\rm W+c$ for strange PDF |
| | V + jj | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | study of systematics of |
| | | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H + jj final state |
| | VV′ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | off-shell leptonic decays |
| | | $d\sigma$ (stable V) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | TGCs |
| | $\rm gg \rightarrow \rm VV$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ LO QCD$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to $H \to VV$ |
| | | | @ NLO QCD | TGCs |
| | $V\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V decay)$ @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V decay)$ | TGCs |
| | | $d\sigma(PA, V decay) @ NLO EW$ | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | $Vb\bar{b}$ | d σ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | d σ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | bkg. for VH $\rightarrow \rm b\bar{b}$ |
| | | massive b | massless b | |
| | $VV'\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs |
| | | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | VV'V" | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | VV' + j | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{ NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to H, BSM searches |
| | | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | VV' + jj | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{ NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | $\gamma\gamma$ | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD | | bkg to $H \to \gamma \gamma$ |

Vector boson production

- Perhaps key collider benchmark process
- Known experimentally to 1-2% (excluding luminosity uncertainties)
- To take full advantage, would like to know process to NNNLO QCD and NNLO QCD+EW

| Proces | known | desired | details |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| V | σ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | precision EW, PDFs |
| | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | | MC@NNLO | |
| V + j | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | Z + j for gluon PDF |
| | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | $\rm W+c$ for strange PDF |
| V + jj | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | study of systematics of |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H + jj final state |
| VV′ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | off-shell leptonic decays |
| | $d\sigma$ (stable V) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | TGCs |
| $\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{VV}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ LO QCD$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to $H \to VV$ |
| | | @ NLO QCD | TGCs |
| $V\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decay}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V decay)$ | TGCs |
| | $d\sigma(PA, V decay)$ @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| Vbb | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | bkg. for VH $\rightarrow b\bar{b}$ |
| | massive b | massless b | |
| $VV'\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV'V" | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| X/X // + * | | 1 (X I) | II I DOM I |



One example of a dataset that will be constraining

...because this is a ratio, where the systematic errors are very small, and because it covers a relatively wide kinematic range



- Useful for PDF determination
 - Z+jet for gluon determination
 - W+c for strange quark determination
- Useful to study systematics of multiple jet production in a system with a large mass (->Higgs), with a wide accessible kinematic range
- Currently know V+1-5 jets to NLO QCD; NLO EW corrections known for V+1 jet, including V decays and off-shell effects
- For Z+2 jets, NLO EW corrections known for on-shell, and are in progress for off-shell
- Differential theoretical uncertainties can reach 10-20% for high jet momenta, exceeding experimental uncertainties

| Γ | Process | known | desired | details |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| ŀ | V | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO OCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | precision EW PDFs |
| | • | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNNLO OCD + NLO EW | procision Evr, i Di s |
| | | do (lept. V decay) @ HEO EW | MC@NNLO | |
| | V I i | dg(lopt V doesy) @ NLO OCD | $d\sigma(lept, V, deepy)$ | 7 L i for gluon PDF |
| | v + j | a (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | (a) NNLO OCD + NLO EW | $\Sigma + j$ for gruon r Dr W + a far strange PDF |
| ŀ | | o (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | WINDO QCD + NLO EW | W + C for strange F DF |
| | V + JJ | $f\sigma(\text{lept. V decay}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | study of systematics of |
| | | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H + jj final state |
| | VV' | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | off-shell leptonic decays |
| | | $d\sigma$ (stable V) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | TGCs |
| ſ | $\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{VV}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ LO QCD$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to $H \to VV$ |
| | | | @ NLO QCD | TGCs |
| ſ | $V\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decay}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V decay)$ | TGCs |
| | | $d\sigma(PA, V decay) @ NLO EW$ | NNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| ľ | Vbb | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | bkg. for $VH \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ |
| | | massive b | massless b | |
| ſ | $VV'\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs |
| | | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | VV'V" | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| ſ | VV' + j | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to H, BSM searches |
| | | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| ſ | VV' + jj | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| ſ | $\gamma\gamma$ | d σ @ NNLO QCD | | bkg to $H \to \gamma \gamma$ |

Table 3: Wishlist part 3 – EW gauge bosons (V = W, Z)

Would like to know both cross sections at NNLO QCD+NLO EW

W+jets

- ATLAS has measured up to 7 jets in the final state
 - both inclusive and exclusive final states
 - good agreement with Blackhat+Sherpa



Leading jet p_{T}

- Inclusive leading jet p_T distribution higher than NLO prediction at high transverse momentum
 - 1 TeV/c!
- Exclusive lead jet p_T agrees very well with NLO prediction up to 700 GeV/c
 - why should fixed order work so well when such an exclusive final state is probed?



500

600

Η_T

- NLO substantially below data at high H_T (50% discrepancy)
- Large contributions from qq->qq'W not fully taken into account in W+>=1 jet prediction
- Formalisms in which such contributions are added (LoopSim/ exclusive sums) have better agreement with data







- Provides a handle on the determination of triple gauge couplings, and possible new physics
- Cross sections are known to NLO QCD (with V decays) and to NLO EW (with on-shell V's)
- WZ cross sections currently have a (non-luminosity) uncertainty of the order of 10%
- Theoretical uncertainty is 6%
- Thorough knowledge of VV cross section is needed because of triple gauge couplings and backgrounds to Higgs measurements
- Non-luminosity errors for VV are of the order of 10% or less
- Experimental uncertainties will improve, so would like cross sections to NNLO QCD+NLO EW (with V decays)

| Process | known | desired | details |
|----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| V | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | precision EW, PDFs |
| | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | | MC@NNLO | |
| V + j | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | Z + j for gluon PDF |
| | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | W + c for strange PDF |
| V + jj | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | study of systematics of |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H + jj final state |
| VV′ | da (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | off-shell leptonic decays |
| | d (stable V) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | TGCs |
| $gg \rightarrow v V$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ LO QCD$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to $H \to VV$ |
| | | @ NLO QCD | TGCs |
| $V\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decay}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V decay)$ | TGCs |
| | $d\sigma$ (PA, V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| Vbb | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | bkg. for $VH \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ |
| | massive b | massless b | |
| $VV'\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV'V" | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + j | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to H, BSM searches |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + jj | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| $\gamma\gamma$ | d σ @ NNLO QCD | | bkg to $H\to\gamma\gamma$ |
| | | | |

ATLAS diboson cross sections

| Diboson Cross | Section M | easure | ments | S | Status: | luly 2014 | ţ | | | ∫£ dt [fb ^{−1}] | Reference |
|---|---|--|-------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-----|------------------------------|--|
| $\sigma^{\rm fid}(\gamma\gamma)[\Delta R_{\gamma\gamma} > 0.4]$ | $\sigma = 44.0 \pm 0.0 + 3.2 - 4.2 \text{ pb} \\ 2\gamma \text{NNLO (theory)}$ | (data) | | • | | 1 | | | | 4.9 | JHEP 01, 086 (2013) |
| $\sigma^{\rm fid}(W\gamma \to \ell \nu \gamma)$ | $\sigma = 2.77 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.36 \text{ pb} (\text{de} \text{MGFM} (\text{theory})$ | ta) | | | | • | | | | 4.6 | PRD 87, 112003 (2013) |
| $-[n_{\rm jet}=0]$ | $\sigma = 1.76 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.22 \text{ pb (data MCFM (theory)})$ | la) | | | • | | | | | 4.6 | PRD 87, 112003 (2013) |
| $\sigma^{\rm fid}({\sf Z}\gamma\to\ell\ell\gamma)$ | $\sigma = 1.31 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.12 \ \mathrm{pb} \ \mathrm{(damma}) \\ \mathrm{MCFM} \ \mathrm{(theory)} \ $ | ia) | | | | ΑΤ | L AS F | Prelimina | ary | 4.6 | PRD 87, 112003 (2013) |
| $-\left[n_{\mathrm{jet}}=0 ight]$ | $\sigma = 1.05 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11 \ \mathrm{pb} \ \mathrm{(damma}) \\ \mathrm{MCFM} \ \mathrm{(theory)} \ $ | la) | | | | Run | 1 \sqrt{s} | = 7, 8 T | ēV | 4.6 | PRD 87, 112003 (2013) |
| $\sigma^{\rm total}({\rm pp}{\rightarrow}{\rm WW}{+}{\rm WZ})$ | $\sigma = 72.0 \pm 9.0 \pm 19.8 \ \mathrm{pb} \ \mathrm{(dat} \\ \mathrm{MCFM} \ \mathrm{(theory)}$ | 8) | | | • | | | | | 4.7 | ATLAS-CONF-2012-157 |
| $\sigma^{\rm fid}({\rm W}^{\pm}{\rm W}^{\pm}{ m jj})$ EWK | $\sigma = 1.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ fb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{PowhegBox (theory)}$ | 0 | | | | ^ | | | | 20.3 | arXiv:1405.6241 [hep-ex |
| $\sigma^{\rm total}({\rm pp}{\rightarrow}{\rm WW})$ | $\sigma = 51.9 \pm 2.0 \pm 4.4$ pb (data MCFM (theory) $\sigma = 71.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 5.5 - 4.9$ pb MCFM (theory) | (data) | | | | | | | | 4.6 20.3 | PRD 87, 112001 (2013) ATLAS-CONF-2014-033 |
| $-\sigma^{\text{fid}}(WW \rightarrow ee)$ | $\sigma = 56.4 \pm 6.8 \pm 10.0 \text{ fb} \text{ (data MCFM (theory)})$ | l. | | • | | | | | | 4.6 | PRD 87, 112001 (2013) |
| $-\sigma^{\text{fid}}(WW \rightarrow \mu\mu)$ | $\sigma = 73.9 \pm 5.9 \pm 7.5 \text{ fb (data)} \\ \text{MCFM (theory)}$ | | | | • | | | | | 4.6 | PRD 87, 112001 (2013) |
| $-\sigma^{\text{fid}}(WW \rightarrow e\mu)$ | $\sigma = 262.3 \pm 12.3 \pm 23.1 \text{ fb} \text{ (d} \\ \text{MCFM (theory)}$ | sta) | | | • | | LHC pp | √s = 7 Te\ | / | 4.6 | PRD 87, 112001 (2013) |
| $\sigma^{\rm total}({\rm pp}{\rightarrow}{\rm WZ})$ | | (data) 1.3 pb (data) | | | | | | Theory Data | | 4.6 13.0 | EPJC 72, 2173 (2012) ATLAS-CONF-2013-021 |
| $-\sigma^{fid}(WZ \to \ell \nu \ell \ell)$ | $\sigma = 99.2 + 3.8 - 3.0 + 6.0 - \\ \text{MCFM (theory)}$ | 6.2 fb (data) | | A | | | | stat stat+syst | | 13.0 | ATLAS-CONF-2013-021 |
| $\sigma^{\rm total}({\bf pp}{\rightarrow}{\bf ZZ})$ | $\sigma = 6.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5 - 0.4 \text{ pb}$ MCFM (theory) $\sigma = 7.1 \pm 0.5 - 0.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ pb}$ MCFM (theory) | data) (data) | | | • | | LHC pp | √s = 8 Te\ | / | 4.6 20.3 | JHEP 03, 128 (2013) ATLAS-CONF-2013-020 |
| $-\sigma^{\text{total}}(pp \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ | $\sigma = 76.0 \pm 18.0 \pm 4.0 \text{ fb} \text{ (data Powheg (theory)})$ $\sigma = 107.0 \pm 9.0 \pm 5.0 \text{ fb} \text{ (data Powheg (theory)})$ | 0 | • | | | | | Theory | | 4.5 20.3 | arXiv:1403.5657 [hep-ex] arXiv:1403.5657 [hep-ex] |
| $-\sigma^{\mathrm{fid}}(ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell)$ | $\sigma = 25.4 + 3.3 - 3.0 + 1.6 -$ PowhegBox & gg2i $\sigma = 20.7 + 1.3 - 1.2 \pm 1.0$ fb MCFM (theory) | 1.4 fb (data) ZZ (theory) (data) | | | • | | A | Data stat | | 4.6 20.3 | JHEP 03, 128 (2013) ATLAS-CONF-2013-020 |
| $-\sigma^{fid}(ZZ^* \to 4\ell)$ | $\sigma = 29.8 + 3.8 - 3.5 + 2.1 - \\ {\rm PowhegBox \ \& \ gg2}$ | 1.9 fb (data) ZZ (theory) | | | • | | | stat+syst | | 4.6 | JHEP 03, 128 (2013) |
| $-\sigma^{\rm fid}(ZZ^*\to\ell\ell\nu\nu)$ | $\sigma = 12.7 + 3.1 - 2.9 \pm 1.8 \text{ fb} \\ \text{PowhegBox \& gg2}$ | (data) 22 (theory) | | • | | | | | | 4.6 | JHEP 03, 128 (2013) |
| | 0.2 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | data | a/thec | ory | | |

CMS diboson cross sections



...but arxiv:1408.5243

- NNLO calculation of WW production recently completed
- Modest increase in size of cross section
- Decrease in size of excess
- QCD issues with extrapolation of jet vetoed cross section to full cross section mean that uncertainty is larger than assumed in experimental papers



gg->VV

- Formally, this is suppressed by a factor of α_s² with respect to dominant q-qbar subprocess, but still contributes 5-10% to cross section due to large gluon flux
- For some Higgs background regions, it can be over 10%
- ZZ needed for determination of offshell Higgs boson signal strength in high-mass ZZ final state
 - interferes with gg->H->ZZ^(*)
- Currently subprocess is known (with lepton decays) at LO QCD
- Need to know to NLO QCD
 - ZZ calculation is feasible, but tensor basis somewhat messy
 - Thomas Gehrmann says 'a few months'
 - what about WW?



- Serve as precision tests for EW sector and also a probe for possible new physics in triple gauge boson couplings, or in production of new vector meson resonances in Vγ
- Experimental uncertainties are on the order of 10% and theoretical errors on the order of 5-10%
- Currently, W_γ production is known (with decays) at NLO QCD, Z_γ production at NNLO QCD
- NLO corrections known in the pole approximation (resonant V bosons with decays)
- Need to know cross sections to NNLO QCD + NLO EW

| Process | known | desired | details |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| V | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | precision EW, PDFs |
| | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | | MC@NNLO | |
| V + j | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | Z + j for gluon PDF |
| | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | $\rm W+c$ for strange PDF |
| V + jj | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | study of systematics of |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H + jj final state |
| VV′ | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | off-shell leptonic decays |
| | $d\sigma$ (stable V) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | TGCs |
| $\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{VV}$ | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ LO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to $H \to VV$ |
| | | @ NLO QCD | TGCs |
| $V\gamma$ | da (V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V decay)$ | TGCs |
| | d (PA, V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VDD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | bkg. for VH $\rightarrow b\bar{b}$ |
| | massive b | massless b | |
| $VV'\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV'V" | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + j | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to H, BSM searches |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + jj | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| $\gamma\gamma$ | d σ @ NNLO QCD | | bkg to $H\to\gamma\gamma$ |
| | | | |

- Associated Higgs production, with Higgs decaying into bB is key to understanding Higgs couplings to b-quarks
- VbB is significant background
- Current state of the art is NLO QCD (including b-quark mass effects)
- Experimental and theoretical uncertainties are of the order of 20%
- As experimental uncertainties will improve with more data, crucial to extend the theoretical accuracy by extending the calculation to NNLO QCD (massless b quarks)
- Includes an understanding of uncertainties in 4-flavor vs 5flavor approaches

| | T | | I |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Process | known | desired | details |
| V | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | precision EW, PDFs |
| | $\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mathrm{lept.}\ \mathrm{V}\ \mathrm{decay})$ @ NLO EW | @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | | MC@NNLO | |
| V + j | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | Z + j for gluon PDF |
| | $\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mathrm{lept.}\ \mathrm{V}\ \mathrm{decay})$ @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | W + c for strange PDF |
| V + jj | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | study of systematics of |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H + jj final state |
| VV′ | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | off-shell leptonic decays |
| | $d\sigma$ (stable V) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | TGCs |
| $\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{VV}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ LO QCD$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to $H \to VV$ |
| | | @ NLO QCD | TGCs |
| $V\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decay}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V decay)$ | TGCs |
| | $d\sigma$ (PA, V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| Vbb | do (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | bkg. for $VH \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ |
| | prassive b | massless b | |
| $\mathrm{VV}'\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV'V" | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + j | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to H, BSM searches |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + jj | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| $\gamma\gamma$ | d σ @ NNLO QCD | | bkg to $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ |
| | | | |

- Cross sections currently known to NLO QCD, but NLO EW corrections only known for WWZ (in approximation of stable W and Z bosons)
- Triple gauge boson production processes serve as channels for determination of quartic gauge boson couplings and will allow for better understanding of EW symmetry breaking
- Analyses are currently statistically limited (no published results so far), but precision measurements will be possible in Run 2
- Desire calculation of final states to NLO QCD + NLO EW

| Process | known | desired | details |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| V | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | precision EW, PDFs |
| | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | | MC@NNLO | |
| V + j | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | Z + j for gluon PDF |
| | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | W + c for strange PDF |
| V + jj | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | study of systematics of |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H + jj final state |
| VV′ | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | off-shell leptonic decays |
| | $d\sigma$ (stable V) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | TGCs |
| $\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{VV}$ | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ LO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to $H \to VV$ |
| | | @ NLO QCD | TGCs |
| $V\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decay}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V decay)$ | TGCs |
| | $d\sigma(PA, V decay)$ @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| $Vb\bar{b}$ | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | bkg. for VH $\rightarrow \rm b\bar{b}$ |
| | massive b | massless b | |
| $VV'\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV'V'' | $\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{ NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + j | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to H, BSM searches |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + jj | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| $\gamma\gamma$ | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD | | bkg to $H \to \gamma \gamma$ |

- VV'+j(j) currently known to NLO QCD
- VV'+j useful as a background to Higgs boson production and for BSM searches
- VV'+jj production contains EW vector boson scattering subprocess that is particularly sensitive to EW quartic gauge couplings and to details of EW symmetry breaking
- EW corrections to these processes are unknown, although as important as QCD corrections in vector boson scattering channels

| Process | known | desired | details |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| V | d σ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | precision EW, PDFs |
| | $\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mathrm{lept.}\ \mathrm{V}\ \mathrm{decay})$ @ NLO EW | @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | | MC@NNLO | |
| V + j | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | Z + j for gluon PDF |
| | $\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mathrm{lept.}\ \mathrm{V}\ \mathrm{decay})$ @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | W + c for strange PDF |
| V + jj | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | study of systematics of |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H + jj final state |
| VV′ | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | off-shell leptonic decays |
| | $d\sigma$ (stable V) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | TGCs |
| $\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{VV}$ | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ LO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to $H \to VV$ |
| | | @ NLO QCD | TGCs |
| $V\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decay}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V decay)$ | TGCs |
| | $d\sigma$ (PA, V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| $Vb\bar{b}$ | d σ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mathrm{lept.}\ \mathrm{V}\ \mathrm{decay})$ @ NNLO QCD | bkg. for VH $\rightarrow \rm b\bar{b}$ |
| | massive b | massless b | |
| $VV'\gamma$ | $d\sigma$ (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV'V'' | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + j | d (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to H, BSM searches |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + jj | (V decays) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| $\gamma\gamma$ | $d\sigma$ @ NNLO QCD | | bkg to $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ |

Table 3: Wishlist part 3 – EW gauge bosons $(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{W},\mathrm{Z})$

Diphoton production

- Diphoton cross section known to NNLO QCD and to NLO EW
- Need q_T resummation at NNLL matched to the NNLO calculation
- If DY and Higgs production are known in fully differential form at NNNLO, then it should be possible to extend those calculations to vy

importance of higher multiplicity contributions clear in some corners of phase space



- Diphoton cross section known to NNLO QCD and to NLO EW
- Need q_T resummation at NNLL matched to the NNLO calculation
- If DY and Higgs production are known in fully differential form at NNNLO, then it should be possible to extend those calculations to γγ
- ...of course, the most complex calculations are being carried out by someone not present here

| Process | known | desired | details |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| V | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | precision EW, PDFs |
| | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| | | MC@NNLO | |
| V + j | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | Z + j for gluon PDF |
| | $\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mathrm{lept.}\ \mathrm{V}\ \mathrm{decay})$ @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | W + c for strange PDF |
| V + jj | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) | study of systematics of |
| | | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | H + jj final state |
| VV′ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | off-shell leptonic decays |
| | $d\sigma$ (stable V) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | TGCs |
| $\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{VV}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ LO QCD$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to $H \to VV$ |
| | | @ NLO QCD | TGCs |
| $V\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decay}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V decay)$ | TGCs |
| | $d\sigma$ (PA, V decay) @ NLO EW | @ NNLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| $Vb\bar{b}$ | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NLO QCD | $d\sigma$ (lept. V decay) @ NNLO QCD | bkg. for VH $\rightarrow b\bar{b}$ |
| | massive b | massless b | |
| $VV'\gamma$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV'V'' | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + j | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | bkg. to H, BSM searches |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| VV' + jj | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays}) @ \text{NLO QCD}$ | $d\sigma(V \text{ decays})$ | QGCs, EWSB |
| | | @ NLO QCD + NLO EW | |
| $\gamma\gamma$ | do @ NNLO QCD | | bkg to $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ |

Table 3: Wishlist part 3 – EW gauge bosons $(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{W},\mathrm{Z})$

The frontier



Meta-PDFs:arXiv:1401.0013

• Take NNLO (or NLO) PDFs

| NNLO | Initial scale | a _s | Error type | Error sets |
|----------|---------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| СТ10 | 1.3 | 0.118 | Hessian | 50 |
| MSTW'08 | 1.0 | 0.1171 | Hessian | 40 |
| NNPDF2.3 | 1.414 | 0.118 | МС | 100 |

 Choose a meta-parametrisaton of PDFs at initial scale of 8 GeV (away from thresholds) for 9 PDF flavors (66 parameters in total)

$$f(x, Q_0; \{a\}) = e^{a_1} x^{a_2} (1-x)^{a_3} e^{\sum_{i \ge 4} a_i} \left[T_{i-3}(y(x)) - 1 \right]$$

- Generate MC replicas for all 3 groups and merge with equal weights, finding meta parameters for each of the replicas by fitting PDFs in x ranges probed at LHC
- Construct 50 eigenvectors using Hessian method (throw away 16 least important)
- These 50 eigenvectors provide a very good representation of the PDF uncertainties for all of the 3 PDF error families above

J. Gao, P. Nadolsky

meta-PDFs

 The meta-PDFs provide both an average of the chosen PDFs, as well as a good estimation of the total PDF uncertainty

meta-PDF uncertainty band



Higgs observables

 Select global set of Higgs cross sections at 8 and 14 TeV (46 observables in total; more can be easily added if there is motivation)

| production channel | $\sigma(inc.)$ | $\sigma(y_H > 1)$ | $\sigma(p_{T,H} > m_H)$ | scales |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| gg ightarrow H | iHixs1.3 [32] at NNLO | MCFM6.3 [33] at LO | | m_H |
| $b\bar{b} \to H$ | iHixs at NNLO | | | m_H |
| VBF | VBFNLO2.6 [34] at NLO | same | same | m_W |
| HZ | VHNNLO1.2 [35] at NNLO | CompHEP4.5 [36] at LO | CompHEP at LO | $m_Z + m_H$ |
| HW^{\pm} | VHNNLO at NNLO | | | $m_W + m_H$ |
| HW^+ | CompHEP at LO | same | same | $m_W + m_H$ |
| HW^- | CompHEP at LO | same | same | $m_W + m_H$ |
| H + 1 jet | MCFM at LO | same | same | m_H |
| $Htar{t}$ | MCFM at LO | CompHEP at LO | CompHEP at LO | $2m_t + m_H$ |
| HH | Hpair $[37]$ at NLO | | | $2m_H$ |

Data set diagonalization (arXiv:0904.2424)

 There are 50 eigenvectors, but can re-diagonalize the Hessian matrix to pick out directions important for the Higgs observables listed on previous page; with rotation of basis, 50 eigenvectors become 6



It's possible to define a few eigenvectors which completely encompass the PDF and α_{s} uncertainties for CT10, MSTW08 and NNPDF2.3 for Higgs production for 8-14 TeV

Re-diagonalized eigenvectors

- Eigenvectors 1-3 cover the gluon uncertainty
- Note that eigenvector 1 saturates the uncertainty for most of the gg->Higgs range





Re-diagonalized eigenvectors

 Up quark uncertainties a bit more distributed



arXiv:1004.4624

- Treat α_s input as another eigenvector; α_s and PDF uncertainties can be added in quadrature ($\alpha_s(m_Z)=0.118+/0.0012$)
- So 7 eigenvectors to represent all PDF+ α_s uncertainty for all 3 global PDFs

| LHC | $\Delta \alpha_s(M_Z)$ | GGH inc. | GGH $0j$ exc. | GGH $1j$ exc. | GGH $2j$ inc. | VBF inc. |
|------------|------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| LHC 8 TeV | $+1\sigma$ | 2.2% | 1.6% | 3.0% | 4.8% | -0.23% |
| | -1σ | -2.2% | -1.6% | -2.8% | -4.8% | 0.11% |
| LHC 14 TeV | $+1\sigma$ | 2.1% | 1.4% | 2.6% | 4.5% | 0.05% |
| | -1σ | -2.0% | -1.4% | -2.5% | -4.4% | -0.09% |

* using PDF α_s series of the META PDFs

Try other distributions

- Look at rapidity distribution for production of a 1 TeV mass state through gg fusion
- This was not an input to the re-diagonalization, but still works fairly well



Look at 100 TeV

Again, these cross sections were not used in the re-diagonalization



what we left at Les Houches for the BSM session



Summary

- The new high precision Les Houches wishlist presents some real (and important) challenges for QCD and EW calculators
- The data taken in Run 2 requires the effort

If a tree falls...

- Once we have the calculations, how do we (experimentalists) use them?
- If a theoretical calculation is done, but it can not be used by any experimentalists, does it make a sound?
 - or create a citation?
- We need public programs and/or public ntuples
- Oftentimes, the program is too complex to be run by nonauthors
- In that case, ROOT ntuples may be the best solution
- May be too disk-intensive, though, for most NNLO calculations

