8th International Symposium on Radiative Corrections

RADCOR2007

Galileo Galilei Institute, October 2007

Recent results on unintegrated parton distributions

F. Hautmann

I. Introduction

II. Small-x final states from u-pdf's in Monte-Carlo generators

III. Progress towards precise operator definitions for u-pdf's

I. Introduction

Complex final states with multiple hard scales QCD methods based on parton distributions unintegrated in both longitudinal and transverse momentum (u-pdf's)

Classic examples:

- Sudakov processes
- small-x physics
- simulation of fully exclusive final states

See J.R. Andersen et al., hep-ph/0604189, Summary of 3rd Lund Workshop; S. Alekhin et al., hep-ph/0601012, "Hera and the LHC" Workshop Proceedings For small x, u-pdf's can be introduced in a gauge-invariant manner via high-energy factorization

\Downarrow

• resummation of $\ln x$ corrections to QCD evolution equations \hookrightarrow including matching with collinear dynamics (ordinary pdf's) [see talks by G. Altarelli and M. Ciafaloni]

• Monte Carlo simulation of $x \rightarrow 0$ parton showers

 \hookrightarrow collinear matching yet to be developed

♦ To characterize u-pdf's gauge-invariantly over the whole phase space is more difficult — full framework still missing, much ongoing work [see talk by T. Rogers]

<u>Outline</u>

> Application of u-pdf's to shower Monte-Carlo generators:

- hadronic final states at $x \ll 1$
- multi-jet production
- angular correlations

 \triangleright Progress on unintegrated distributions beyond $x \ll 1$:

- nonlocal operator matrix elements
- endpoint divergences $x \rightarrow 1$
- cut-off vs. subtractive regularization method

II.1 U-pdf's and shower Monte-Carlo generators



(BFKL, CCFM, LDC evolution equations)

• with suitable constraints on angular ordering of gluon emission \Rightarrow correct leading $\ln x$ behavior

• subleading contributions also important for final states

Implementations:

Höche, Kra	auss and Teubner, arXiv:0705.4577 (BFKL)	
Golec, Jada	ach, Placzek, Stephens, Skrzypek, hep-ph/0703317 (CCFM)	
LDCMC	Lönnblad & Sjödahl, 2005; Gustafson, Lönnblad & Miu, 2002	(LDC)
CASCADE	Jung, 2004, 2002; Jung and Salam, 2001 (CCFM)	
SMALLX	Marchesini & Webber, 1992 (CCFM)	

Advantages over standard Monte-Carlo:

- better treatment of high-energy logarithmic effects
- likely more suitable for simulating underlying event's k_{\perp}

Current limitations:

- \bullet collinear radiation associated to $x\sim 1$ not automatically included
- procedure to correct for this not yet systematic

 \hookrightarrow e.g.: LO-DGLAP in Höche et al, 2007

• quark contributions in initial state yet to be implemented

 $\hookrightarrow k_{\perp}$ kernel for sea-quark evolution [Catani & H, 1994]

• limited knowledge of u-pdf's [Jung et al., arXiv:0706.3793;

J. R. Andersen et al., 2006]

II.2 Inclusive examples

• inclusive data used to test model and determine unintegrated gluon



 $[\hookrightarrow DIS, jets, heavy flavors]$

(left) CASCADE (Jung, 2007) vs. H1 [hep-ex/0310019] jet E_T distribution; (right) Höche, Krauss and Teubner, 2007 vs. CDF [hep-ex/0701051] jet spectra

 \triangleright sensible results for evolved gluon

 \triangleright poorly constrained at low scales and low x

II.3 Multi-jet correlations

• Zeus [arXiv:0705.1931] measure azimuthal correlations in 3-jet distrib's ($x \sim 10^{-4}$)



• jet clustering free of nonglobal logs



smallest x and smallest ϕ :

▷ potential effect of truncating multi-gluon emission

 \triangleright reduced contribution from back-to-back

events [Banfi, Dasgupta & Delenda, 2007]

 \triangleright non-negligible k_{\perp} enhances $x \rightarrow 0$ shower effects

Figure 11: Trijet cross sections as functions of $|\Delta \phi_{\text{HCM}}^{\text{ietl},2}|$. The measurements are compared to NLOJET calculations at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$. The boundaries for the bins in $|\Delta \phi_{\text{HCM}}^{\text{ietl},2}|$ are given in Table 5. Other details as in the caption to Fig. 1.

Similar dynamical effects observed in di-jet distributions:



• Large correction from order- α_s^2 to order- α_s^3 for decreasing x and decreasing ϕ



• Different shapes than from standard shower MC's, e.g. HERWIG

 \hookrightarrow soft/collinear radiation but no $x \rightarrow 0$ effects



[Jung & H, 2007]

II.4 Further developments

\diamond Measurements in the forward region

- MC results depend strongly on evolution model
- not quite accessible yet
- deeper understanding of u-pdf's likely to be needed

\diamondsuit Relevant glue-glue applications:

 \bullet production of $b,\ c$

large NLO uncertainties at LHC energies

[Nason et al. 2004]

• final states with Higgs

possibly 15 % in p_t spectrum from $x \ll 1$ terms

[Kulesza, Sterman & Vogelsang, 2004]

III. Towards precise characterizations of u-pdf's



 $p = (p^+, m^2 / 2 p^+, 0_\perp)$

Collins, 2003

Gauge-invariant matrix element:

$$\widetilde{f}(y) = \langle P \mid \overline{\psi}(y) \; V_y^{\dagger}(n) \; \gamma^+ \; V_0(n) \; \psi(0) \mid P \rangle \quad , \qquad y = (0, y^-, y_{\perp})$$
$$V_y(n) = \mathcal{P} \exp\left(ig_s \int_0^\infty d\tau \; n \cdot A(y + \tau \; n)\right) \qquad \text{Boer \& Mulders, 1998}$$
$$\text{Belitsky, Ji \& Yuan, 2003}$$

Ok at tree level, but more subtle at the level of radiative corrections:

• incomplete KLN cancellations near x = 1 Brodsky et al., 2001

Collins & Soper, 1981

• UV divergences / relation with ordinary pdf's Collins & Zu, 2005 $(\lambda \phi^3)_6$ Catani, Ciafaloni & H, 1993 $(x \rightarrow 0)$ Balitsky & Braun, 1991 (OPE)



$$\widetilde{f}_{(a)+(b)}(y) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4^{d/2-2}\pi^{d/2-1}} p^+ \int_0^1 dv \, \frac{v}{1-v} \left[e^{ip \cdot yv} \, 2^{d/2-1} \, \left(\frac{\rho^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{d/4-1} \right]$$

$$\times \frac{1}{(-y^2\mu^2)^{d/4-1}} K_{d/2-2}(\sqrt{-\rho^2 y^2}) - e^{ip \cdot y} \, \Gamma(2-\frac{d}{2}) \, (\frac{\mu^2}{\rho^2})^{2-d/2}$$

(a)

where K = modified Bessel function, $\Gamma =$ Euler gamma function $\rho^2 = (1 - v)^2 m^2 + v \lambda^2$ $\alpha_s C_F + \int_{-\infty}^{1} v \int_{-\infty}^{1} v \int_{-\infty}^{1} |u| v = in |u| = r(v - d_v + 4\pi \mu^2)^2 = d/v$

(b)

$$\simeq \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} p^+ \int_0^{-1} dv \, \frac{v}{1-v} \left\{ \left[e^{ip \cdot yv} - e^{ip \cdot y} \right] \, \Gamma(2 - \frac{d}{2}) \, \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{2-d/2} \right. \\ \left. + e^{ip \cdot yv} \, \pi^{2-d/2} \, \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - 2) \, \left(-y^2 \mu^2 \right)^{2-d/2} + \cdots \right\} ,$$

 $\triangleright v \rightarrow 1$: endpoint singularity

- cancels for ordinary pdf (first term in rhs)
- present, even at $d \neq 4$ and finite ρ , in subsequent terms

 \Diamond Physical observables:

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{O} &=& \int dx \; dk_\perp \; f_{(1)}(x,k_\perp) \; arphi(x,k_\perp) \ &=& \int dx \; dk_\perp \; \left[arphi(x,k_\perp) - arphi(1,0_\perp)
ight] P_R(x,k_\perp) \end{array}$$

inclusive case: φ independent of $k_{\perp} \Rightarrow 1/(1-x)_{+}$ from real + virtual general case: endpoint divergences from incomplete KLN cancellation

CUT-OFF REGULARIZATION

\triangleright cut-off from gauge link in non-lightlike direction n

 \Rightarrow analysis of factorization in LO: Collins, Rogers & Stasto, arXiv:0708.2833



Chen, Idilbi & Ji, 2007 Ji, Ma & Yuan, 2005 Korchemsky & Radyushkin, 1992 Collins, 1989

finite $\eta \Rightarrow$ singularity is cut off at $1 - x \gtrsim k_{\perp} / \sqrt{4\eta}$

Drawbacks:

- good for leading accuracy, but makes it difficult to go beyond
- \bullet lightcone limits $y^2{\rightarrow}0$ and $n^2{\rightarrow}0$ do not commute \Rightarrow

$$\Rightarrow \int dk_{\perp} f(x, k_{\perp}, \mu, \eta) = F(x, \mu, \eta) \neq \text{ ordinary pdf}$$

UPDF'S WITH SUBTRACTIVE REGULARIZATION

- subtractive method more systematic than cut-off
- formulation for eikonal-line matrix elements: Collins & H, 2001.

 \triangleright gauge link still evaluated at n lightlike, but multiplied by "subtraction factors"



 $\bar{y} = (0, y^-, 0_\perp); \ u = auxiliary non-lightlike eikonal <math>(u^+, u^-, 0_\perp)$ (drops out of integrated f)

• denominator cancels the endpoint divergence

(explicit form at one loop: H, hep-ph/0702196)

• counterterms from gauge-invariant operator matrix elements

Collins, hep-ph/0304122

One loop expansion:

$$[\zeta = (p^{+2}/2)u^{-}/u^{+}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(1)}^{(\mathrm{subtr})}(x,k_{\perp}) &= P_R(x,k_{\perp}) - \delta(1-x)\,\delta(k_{\perp}) \int dx' dk'_{\perp} P_R(x',k'_{\perp}) \quad (\leftarrow \text{from numerator}) \\ &- W_R(x,k_{\perp},\zeta) + \delta(k_{\perp}) \int dk'_{\perp} W_R(x,k'_{\perp},\zeta) \quad (\leftarrow \text{from vev's}) \end{aligned}$$

with $P_R = \alpha_s C_F / \pi^2 \left\{ 1 / [(1-x) (k_{\perp}^2 + m^2 (1-x)^2)] + \ldots \right\} = \text{real emission prob.}$ $W_R = \alpha_s C_F / \pi^2 \left\{ 1 / [(1-x) (k_{\perp}^2 + 4\zeta (1-x)^2)] + \ldots \right\} = \text{counterterm}$

• $\zeta\text{-dependence cancels upon integration in }k_\perp$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O} = \int dx \ dk_{\perp} \ f_{(1)}^{(\text{subtr})}(x,k_{\perp}) \ \varphi(x,k_{\perp})$$
$$= \int dx \ dk_{\perp} \ \{P_R \ [\varphi(x,0_{\perp}) - \varphi(1,0_{\perp})] + (P_R - W_R) \ [\varphi(x,k_{\perp}) - \varphi(x,0_{\perp})]\}$$

• first term: usual $1/(1-x)_+$ distribution

• second term: singularity in P_R cancelled by W_R

FURTHER ISSUES AT HIGHER ORDER

- soft gluon exchange with "spectator" partons
 - \Rightarrow factorization breaking in higher loops?



Collins, arXiv:0708.4410 Vogelsang and Yuan, arXiv:0708.4398 Bomhof and Mulders, arXiv:0709.1390

 \diamond should appear at N³LO (2 soft, 1 collinear partons)

 \diamondsuit does it survive destructive interference from soft-color coherence?

• evolution equations for u-pdf's

(Regge/Sudakov matching, target fragmentation, ...)

Ceccopieri and Trentadue, 2007

Collins and Qiu, 2007

IV. Conclusions

U-pdf's being proved to be useful tool for simulation of x→0 parton showers
 ▷ Results from k_⊥ shower Monte-Carlo's for

small-x multi-jet final states

• Extension of u-pdf's over whole phase space important to turn these Monte-Carlo's into general-purpose tools

Open issues on factorization, lack of complete KLN cancellation
 ⇒ need to address new problems compared to ordinary pdf's

 \triangleright subtractive regularization ($x \rightarrow 1$)

- likely more suitable than cut-off for sub-leading issues
- more transparent relation with OPE and standard pdf's