Electroweak loop corrections at TeV colliders

Stefano Pozzorini MPI Munich

ILC Physics in Florence September 12–14, 2007 Outline of the talk

- (1) Electroweak corrections at the TeV scale
- (2) One-loop calculations for LHC [Accomando, Denner, Hollik, Kasprzik, Kniehl, Kaiser, Meier, Kühn, Kulesza, S.P., Schulze, Maina, Moretti, Nolten, Ross, Dittmaier, Krämer, Baur, Wackerot, Zykunov, Beccaria, Mirabella, Marcorini, Carloni Calame, Montagna, Piccinini, Gounaris, Layssac, Renard, Verzegnassi, Ciafaloni, Comelli, Scharf, Uwer]
- (3) Beyond one loop with resummations [Fadin, Lipatov, Martin, Melles, Jantzen, Kühn, Moch, Penin, Smirnov, M.Ciafaloni, P.Ciafaloni, Comelli]
- (4) **Diagrammatic two-loop calculations** [Melles, Hori, Kawamura, Kodaira, Beenakker, Werthenbach, Jantzen, Kühn, Moch, Penin, Smirnov, Denner, S.P.]

PART 1

Introduction: electroweak corrections at the TeV scale



At small p_T

• Corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha) \sim 1\%$

At $p_T > 100 \text{ GeV}$

- large negative corrections $\gg 1\%$
- increase with p_T
- -40% at $p_T \sim 1 \text{TeV}$!

Origin: scattering energy \gg characteristic scale of EW corrections

Large double logarithms

$$\frac{\delta\sigma}{\sigma} \sim -\frac{lpha}{\pi s_{
m W}^2} {
m ln}^2 \left(rac{s}{M_{
m W}^2}
ight) \simeq -26\% \qquad {
m at} \quad \sqrt{s} \sim 1\,{
m TeV}$$

from vertex and box diagrams involving virtual weak bosons



Kuroda, Moultaka, Schildknecht (1991); Degrassi, Sirlin (1992); Beenakker, Denner, Dittmaier, Mertig, Sack (1993); Denner, Dittmaier, Schuster (1995); Denner, Dittmaier, Hahn (1997), Beccaria, Montagna, Piccinini, Renard, Verzegnassi (1998); Ciafaloni, Comelli (1999)

Affect all hard scattering processes at LHC and ILC!

Asymptotic expansion of 1-loop EW corrections

General form of M_W^2/s expansion

$$\alpha \left[C_2 \underbrace{\ln^2 \left(\frac{s}{M_W^2} \right)}_{\text{soft,coll}} + C_1 \underbrace{\ln \left(\frac{s}{M_W^2} \right)}_{\text{soft,coll}} + \tilde{C}_1 \underbrace{\ln \left(\frac{s}{\mu_R^2} \right)}_{\text{UV}} + C_0 \right]$$

Terms of $\mathcal{O}(M_W^2/s)$ negligible for $s \sim 1 \,\mathrm{TeV}^2$

$$C_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_k^{(j)} \left(\frac{M_W^2}{s}\right)^j \quad \to \quad C_k^{(0)}$$

Mass singularities from soft/collinear gauge bosons coupling to external lines

Analogies with massless gauge theories (QED,QCD)

Key to understand electroweak logarithms

$$M^2/s \ll 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ln\left(rac{s}{M^2}
ight) \qquad ext{mass singularities!}$$

Analogous singularities in massless QCD

$$M^2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } D = 4 - 2\varepsilon$$

Factorization and Universality of QCD mass singularities [Kunszt, Soper, Catani]

1 loop
$$\mathcal{M}^{(1)} = \underbrace{I^{(1)}(\varepsilon)}_{1/\varepsilon^2, 1/\varepsilon} \mathcal{M}^{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

2 loops $\mathcal{M}^{(2)} = \underbrace{I^{(2)}(\varepsilon)}_{1/\varepsilon^4, 1/\varepsilon^3} \mathcal{M}^{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$

Singular factors $I^{(N)}(\varepsilon)$ process-independent!

Factorization and universality of one-loop EW logarithms [Denner, S.P. (2001)]

For arbitrary processes $(e, \nu, u, d, t, b, \gamma, Z, W^{\pm}, H, g)$



proven with collinear Ward identities for spontaneously broken YM theories

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\frac{i}{W,Z,\gamma}}_{j} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left\{ \sum_{V=\gamma,Z,W} I_{i}^{V} I_{j}^{V} \ln^{2} \frac{r_{ij}}{M_{W}^{2}} + 2I_{i}^{Z} I_{j}^{Z} \ln \frac{r_{ij}}{M_{W}^{2}} \ln \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{M_{Z}^{2}} + \frac{\gamma_{ij}^{\text{ew}} \ln \frac{s}{M_{W}^{2}}}{1 + Q_{i}Q_{j}} \sum_{k=i,j} \left[\ln \frac{r_{ij}}{m_{k}^{2}} \ln \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \ln^{2} \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{m_{k}^{2}} - \ln \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{m_{k}^{2}} \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

Simple and general recipe for LL and NLL

Mass singularities and physical observables

Massless gauge theories

 real emission of soft and collinear massless particles cannot be detected



• $1/\varepsilon$ mass singularities cancel (KLN theorem)

Electroweak theory

• real emission of massive W and Z bosons can be detected



- $\ln\left(\frac{s}{M^2}\right)$ corrections remain present in exclusive observables
- and even in fully inclusive observables
 [M. Ciafaloni, P. Ciafaloni, Comelli (2000)]

PART 2

One-loop calculations for LHC

Recent $pp \rightarrow VV$ and $pp \rightarrow Vj$ calculations



¹decay of weak bosons ²real photon bremsstrahlung ³one-loop NLL approximation ⁴exact one-loop predictions ⁵two-loop NLL approximation Double-pole and NLL approximations

Factorization and separation of scales $\mathcal{M}_{\text{DPA}} = \frac{-\sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} \mathcal{M}^{qq' \to W_{\lambda} Z_{\lambda'}} \mathcal{M}^{W_{\lambda} \to l\nu_{l}} \mathcal{M}^{Z_{\lambda'} \to l' \bar{l'}}}{(p_{W}^{2} - M_{W}^{2} + iM_{W}\Gamma_{W}) (p_{Z}^{2} - M_{Z}^{2} + iM_{Z}\Gamma_{Z})} \Big|_{p}$



NLL corrections for T/L gauge-boson production

$$\mathcal{M}^{\bar{q}q' \to W_{\rm T} Z_{\rm T}} = \mathcal{M}_{\rm Born}^{\bar{q}q' \to W_{\rm T} Z_{\rm T}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \left\{ 2C_{q_{\rm L}}^{\rm ew} + C_{W}^{\rm ew} \left[1 + \frac{c_{\rm W}^2 \cos \hat{\theta}}{c_{\rm W}^2 \cos \hat{\theta} - s_{\rm W}^2 Y_{q_{\rm L}}} \right] \right\} \ln^2 \frac{\hat{s}}{M_{\rm W}^2} \\ + \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left\{ 3C_{q_{\rm L}}^{\rm ew} - \frac{1}{s_{\rm W}^2} \left[\ln \frac{\hat{t}\hat{u}}{\hat{s}^2} + \frac{c_{\rm W}^2 \ln (\hat{t}/\hat{u})}{c_{\rm W}^2 \cos \hat{\theta} - s_{\rm W}^2 Y_{q_{\rm L}}} \right] \right\} \ln \frac{\hat{s}}{M_{\rm W}^2} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}^{\bar{q}q' \to W_{\rm L} Z_{\rm L}} = \mathcal{M}_{\rm Born}^{\bar{q}q' \to W_{\rm L} Z_{\rm L}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left[C_{q_{\rm L}}^{\rm ew} + C_{\Phi}^{\rm ew} \right] \ln^2 \frac{\hat{s}}{M_{\rm W}^2} + \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left[-\frac{2}{s_{\rm W}^2} \left(\ln \frac{|\hat{u}|}{\hat{s}} + \ln \frac{|\hat{t}|}{\hat{s}} - \frac{s_{\rm W}^2}{c_{\rm W}^2} Y_{q_{\rm L}} \ln \frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{u}} \right) 3C_{q_{\rm L}}^{\rm ew} + 4C_{\Phi}^{\rm ew} - \frac{3}{2s_{\rm W}^2} \frac{m_t^2}{M_{\rm W}^2} - b_2^{(1)} \left[\ln \frac{\hat{s}}{M_{\rm W}^2} \right] \right\}$$

Accomando, Denner, S.P. (2002)

Size of electroweak NLL corrections at the LHC



High- $p_{\rm T}$ region

- corrections $\gg 10\%!$
- size process-dependent

Importance

- small cross section
- important for newphysics searches

Accomando, Denner, Kaiser (2005)

Precision of NLL/NNLL approx. for pp \rightarrow W $\gamma \rightarrow l \nu_l \gamma$ [Accomando, Denner, Meier (2005)]

Complete high-energy expansion vs exact one-loop calculation

$$\underbrace{C_2 \ln^2 \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_W^2}\right) + C_1 \ln \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_W^2}\right)}_{\text{NLL}} + \underbrace{B_0 + B_1 \ln \left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right) + B_2 \ln^2 \left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)}_{\text{NNLL (not enhanced)}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_W^2}{\hat{s}}\right)}_{\text{suppressed}}$$

$p_{\rm T}(\gamma)/{\rm GeV}$	NLL	const	$\ln{(\hat{t}/\hat{s})}$	$\ln^2{(\hat{t}/\hat{s})}$
250	-6.05%	-0.26%	-1.78%	-3.95%
450	-14.4%	-0.58%	-1.97%	-3.50%
700	-22.6%	-0.74%	-1.91%	-3.05%
1000	-30.1%	-0.87%	-1.79%	-2.62%

suppr: numerically < 0.5% \Rightarrow asymptotic high-energy expansion good approach

- NLL: Dominant (20-30%). Agreement with Denner, S.P. (2001). Correct description of energy dependence.
- NNLL.: Less important (-5.5%) but larger than expected ($\alpha \sim 1\%$) and not neglibible. (Analytic expression? Other processes?)

Precision of NLL/NNLL approx. for $pp \rightarrow Zj$ [Kühn, Kulesza, S.P., Schulze (2005)]

Asymptotic expansion $(|\hat{s}|, |\hat{t}|, |\hat{u}| \gg M_W^2)$ for $q\bar{q} \to Zg$ amplitude

$$\begin{split} \overline{|\mathcal{M}_{1}|}^{2} &= 32\pi^{2}\alpha^{2}\alpha_{S}\sum_{\lambda=\mathrm{R},\mathrm{L}}\left\{\left(I_{q_{\lambda}}^{Z}\right)^{2}\sum_{V=Z,\mathrm{W}^{\pm}}\left(I^{V}I^{\bar{V}}\right)_{q_{\lambda}}\left\{\left[-\ln^{2}\left(\frac{-\hat{s}}{M_{V}^{2}}\right)+\sin\left(\frac{-\hat{s}}{M_{V}^{2}}\right)+\frac{3}{2}\left[\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)\right]\right\}\right\} \\ &+\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)+\ln\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)+\ln\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)\right]+\frac{7\pi^{2}}{3}-\frac{5}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\hat{t}^{2}+\hat{u}^{2}}{\hat{t}\hat{u}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[3\ln\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)-3\ln\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)-\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)+\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)\right]\right] \\ &\times\left(\frac{\hat{t}^{2}-\hat{u}^{2}}{\hat{t}\hat{u}}\right)+2\left[\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)+\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)+\ln\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)+\ln\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)+2\pi^{2}\right]\right]\right\}+\frac{c_{W}}{s_{W}^{3}}T_{q_{\lambda}}^{3}I_{q_{\lambda}}^{Z}\left\{\left[\frac{4}{4-D}-2\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\right]\right] \\ &+2\ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{M_{Z}^{2}}\right)+\ln^{2}\left(\frac{-\hat{s}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right)-\ln^{2}\left(\frac{-\hat{t}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right)-\ln^{2}\left(\frac{-\hat{u}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right)+\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{u}}\right)-\frac{3}{2}\left[\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)+\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)\right]-\frac{20\pi^{2}}{9}-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \\ &+2\left[\left(\frac{\hat{t}^{2}+\hat{u}^{2}}{\hat{t}\hat{u}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)-\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)\right]\left(\frac{\hat{t}^{2}-\hat{u}^{2}}{\hat{t}\hat{u}}\right)-2\left[\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\right)+\ln^{2}\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)+\ln\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right)+2\pi^{2}\right]\right\}\right\} \end{split}$$

Very compact expressions

- NLL predicted by process-independent formula [Denner, S.P. (2001)]
- **NNLL** consist of $\pi^2, \pi/\sqrt{3}, \ln(\hat{t}/\hat{u}), \ldots$ not growing with energy



Large negative corrections

- -25% at $p_{\rm T} \sim 1 {
 m TeV}$
- NLO, NLL, NNLL overlap!

Precision of NNLL approximation

• better than 0.2%

Precision of NLL approximation

• better than 1% ! (process-dependent)

 \Rightarrow use asymptotic expansions for two-loop EW corrections at high energies

Separation of photonic singularities for $pp \rightarrow Wj \ [K"uhn, Kulesza, S.P., Schulze (2007)]$

Cancellation of virtual-photon divergencies requires real bremstrahlung. Needed techniques (dipole subtraction) not available beyond one loop.



Strategy: gauge-invariant splitting

- $\sigma_{\rm virt}^{\rm fin} = \sigma_{\rm virt}(M_{\gamma} = M_{\rm W})$
- $\sigma_{\gamma}^{\text{fin}} = \text{virtual-photon singularities}$ +photon bremsstrahlung



One-loop calculation for $\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\to\mathbf{W}\mathbf{j}$

- $\sigma_{\rm virt}^{\rm fin} =$ large negative corrections
- $\sigma_{\gamma}^{fin} \leq 1\%$ for fully inclusive γ

Compact formula for partonic scattering amplitudes $(\bar{q}q' \rightarrow Wg)$

$$\overline{\sum} |\mathcal{M}_2|^2 = 4 \frac{\alpha^3 \alpha_{\rm S}}{s_{\rm W}^2} \frac{\hat{t}^2 + \hat{u}^2}{\hat{t}\hat{u}} \left\{ \left(C_{q_{\rm L}}^{\rm ew} + \frac{C_A}{2s_{\rm W}^2} \right) \left[\frac{C_A}{2s_{\rm W}^2} \left(\ln^4 \left(\frac{\hat{t}}{M_W^2} \right) + \ln^4 \left(\frac{\hat{u}}{M_W^2} \right) - \ln^4 \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_W^2} \right) \right) \right] \right\} \\ + C_{q_{\rm L}}^{\rm ew} \left(\ln^4 \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_W^2} \right) - 6 \ln^3 \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_W^2} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{b_1}{c_{\rm W}^2} \left(\frac{Yq_{\rm L}}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{b_2}{s_{\rm W}^2} \left(C_F + \frac{C_A}{2} \right) \right] \ln^3 \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_W^2} \right) \right\}$$

Derived from Melles (2001); Denner, Melles, S.P. (2003) (see later)



Size of one-loop and two-loop corrections

- -27% + 3% = -24% at $p_{\rm T} \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$
- -42% + 9% = -33% at $p_{\rm T} \sim 2 {
 m TeV}$

Two-loop \simeq statistical error!

Percent-level precision requires also two-loop EW effects!

- Complete two-loop (analytical or numerical) calculation out of reach
- Asymptotic high-energy expansion for $M_W^2/s \ll 1$

$$\alpha^{2} \left[C_{4} \underbrace{\ln^{4} \left(\frac{s}{M_{W}^{2}} \right)}_{\text{LL}} + C_{3} \underbrace{\ln^{3} \left(\frac{s}{M_{W}^{2}} \right)}_{\text{NLL}} + \ldots \right]$$

organize calculation according to (formal) hierarchy

$$\ln^4\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right) \gg \ln^3\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right) \gg \dots$$

PART 3

Beyond one loop: QCD-inspired resummations

InfraRed Evolution Equation (IREE) for QCD matrix elements

Logarithmic dependence on soft-collinear cut-off $\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \ln(\mu_{\mathrm{T}})} = K(\mu_{\mathrm{T}})\mathcal{M}$



How to deal with mass gap in the electroweak gauge sector?

$$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}$$

 $\Rightarrow \quad \alpha^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln^3 \left(\frac{s}{M_{\rm tr}^2} \right)$

 $M_{\gamma}=0 \ll M_{
m Z} \sim M_{
m W}:$





mass gap irrelevant $(M_{\gamma} = M_{\rm Z} = M_{\rm W})$

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \ln(\mu_{\mathrm{T}})} = K_{\mathrm{EW}}(\mu_{\mathrm{T}})\mathcal{M}$$

as in symmetric $SU(2) \times U(1)$ theory

weak boson frozen
$$(M_{\rm Z}, M_{\rm W} = \infty)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \ln(\mu_{\rm T})} = K_{\rm QED}(\mu_{\rm T}) \mathcal{M}$$

IREE prediction: double factorization and exponentiation

$$\mathcal{M}(\mu_{\mathrm{T}}) = \exp\left\{-\int_{\mu_{\mathrm{T}}}^{M_{\mathrm{W}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mu} K_{\mathrm{QED}}(\mu)\right\} \exp\left\{-\int_{M_{\mathrm{W}}}^{\sqrt{s}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mu} K_{\mathrm{EW}}(\mu)\right\} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Born}}$$

Fadin, Lipatov, Martin, Melles (2000)

Two-loop EW predictions based on IREE approach

Massless $f\bar{f} \rightarrow f'\bar{f}'$ processes

$$\alpha^{2} \left[\underbrace{C_{4} \ln^{4} \left(\frac{s}{M_{W}^{2}} \right) + C_{3} \ln^{3} \left(\frac{s}{M_{W}^{2}} \right) + C_{2} \ln^{2} \left(\frac{s}{M_{W}^{2}} \right)}_{\text{Kühn, Moch, Penin, Smirnov}} + \underbrace{C_{1} \ln^{1} \left(\frac{s}{M_{W}^{2}} \right)}_{\text{Jantzen, Kühn, Penin, Smirnov}} \right]_{\text{Jantzen, Kühn, Penin, Smirnov}}$$

Main ingredient: 2-loop log corrections for fermionic vertex [Jantzen, Smirnov (2006)]



(A) SU(2)×U(1) Higgs model, $M_{\gamma} = M_Z = M_W = M_H$, $\sin(\theta_W) = 0 \Rightarrow \ln(s/M_W^2)$

(B) $M_{\gamma} = 0 \Rightarrow$ check IREE (separation of photonic singularities)



(reduced amp. from 2-loop QCD results)

Mueller(1979), Collins(1980), Sen (1981), Botts, Sterman (1987)

Relative 2-loop logarithmic corrections to $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow d\bar{d})$

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi\sin^2\theta_w}\right)^2 \left[2.79\,\ln^4\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right) - 51.98\,\ln^3\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right) + 321.34\,\ln^2\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right) - 757.35\,\ln^1\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right)\right]$$



Subleading logarithms

- increasingly large coefficients
- alternating signs

Importance of logarithmic effects

- total 2-loop correction small
- + residual theoretical error $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$

Behaviour of log expansion

- oscillating, bad convergence
- + better convergence expected for gauge-boson production

Other two-loop EW predictions based on IREE approach

Arbitrary processes



Fadin, Lipatov, Martin, Melles (2000)



Melles (2001,2002,2003,2004)

Assumptions

- splitting of EW theory in symmetric $SU(2) \times U(1)$ and QED regime
- symmetry breaking completely negligible

Not proven...

PART 4

Diagrammatic two-loop calculations based on EW Lagrangian

- check IREE-based predictions
- control additional effects: mixing, M_W - M_Z difference

Two-loop calculations based on EW Lagrangian

The (few) existing results agree with the IREE



Technology for two-loop NLL for arbitrary processes now available

- automatic algorithm for 2-loop diagrams in NLL approximation
- electroweak collinear Ward identities for process-independent treatment

Algorithm based on sector decomposition [Denner, S.P. (2004)]

- arbitrary two-loop diagrams in the limit $L = \ln(Q^2/M^2) \gg 1$
- photons and light fermions massless in $D=4-2\epsilon$

• completely automatized to **NLL accuracy**; computing time = $\mathcal{O}(10s)$

Multi-loop integrals with sector decomposition (one-slide summary)

Hepp(1966); Denner, Roth (1996); Binoth, Heinrich(2000); Denner, S.P. (2004)

(A) L-loop integral with I propagators: Feynman parametrization

$$G = \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^I \mathrm{d}\alpha_j \,\delta(1 - \sum_{s=1}^I \alpha_s) \frac{\Gamma(e)\mathcal{U}(\vec{\alpha})^{-e}}{\left[\mathcal{P}(\vec{\alpha}) + (M^2/Q^2)\mathcal{R}(\vec{\alpha})\right]^f} \quad \text{with} \quad M^2/Q^2 \ll 1$$

(B) Isolate mass singularities in FP space: sector decomposition

$$G' = \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{d}\beta_i \int_0^1 \prod_{j=1}^n \mathrm{d}\alpha_j^f \frac{\mathcal{G}(\vec{\alpha};\vec{\beta})}{\left[\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n + (M^2/Q^2)\mathcal{H}(\vec{\alpha};\vec{\beta})\right]^f} \Rightarrow \ln^n \text{ singularity!}$$

(C) Extract logarithms: singular α_j -integrations

$$G' = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^m d\beta_i \left\{ \mathcal{G}(\vec{0}, \vec{\beta}) \ln^n \left(\frac{Q^2}{M^2} \right) + (n-1) \left\{ \mathcal{G}(\vec{0}, \vec{\beta}) \left[\ln[\mathcal{H}(\vec{0}, \vec{\beta})] + \sum_{k=1}^{f-1} \frac{1}{k} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha_j}{\alpha_j} \left[\mathcal{G}(0, \dots, \alpha_j, \dots, 0, \vec{\beta}) - \mathcal{G}(\vec{0}, \vec{\beta}) \right] \right\} \ln^{n-1} \left(\frac{Q^2}{M^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\ln^{n-2}) \right\}$$

(D) Compute LL and NLL coefficients: non-singular β_i -integrations (2-loop diagrams \Rightarrow integrations 2-dimensional and simple) **Computing 2-loop NLL corrections for generic processes**

Scattering of n massless fermions [Denner, Jantzen, S.P. (2006)]



Classification of 2-loop diagrams that yield NLL contributions



(A) Non-factorizable two-loop diagrams

Collinear gauge bosons coupling to external and internal lines



Collinear Ward identities for spontaneously broken non-abelian theories

$$\underbrace{ \sum_{V_2} v_1}_{V_3 V_1} = \mu_0^{4\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D q_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{4\mathrm{i}e^2 g_2 \varepsilon^{V_1 V_2 V_3}}{(q_1^2 - M_{V_1}^2)(q_2^2 - M_{V_2}^2)(q_3^2 - M_{V_3}^2)(p_i - q_2)^2 (p_j - q_1)^2 }$$

$$\times \lim_{q_1^{\mu} \to 0} \lim_{q_2^{\mu} \to x p_i^{\mu}} (p_i - q_2)^{\mu_2} (p_j - q_1)^{\mu_1} \left[g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} (q_1 - q_2)^{\mu_3} + g_{\mu_2}^{\mu_3} (q_2 + q_3)_{\mu_1} - g_{\mu_1}^{\mu_3} (q_3 + q_1)_{\mu_2} \right]$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{\varphi_i',\varphi_j' \\ \varphi_i',\varphi_j'}} \left\{ G_{\mu_3}^{[\bar{V}_3} \underline{\varphi}_i'](q_3, p_i - q_2) u(p_i, \kappa_i) + \frac{2(p_j + q_2)_{\mu_3}}{(p_j + q_2)^2} \sum_{\substack{\varphi_j'' \\ \varphi_j'' \\ \varphi_j'' \\ \varphi_j'' \\ \varphi_j'' \\ \varphi_j'' \\ \varphi_j' \\$$

cancellation mechanism permits process-independent treatment

(B) Factorizable two-loop diagrams

Collinear gauge bosons coupling only to external lines



Factorization and explicit calculation using sector decomposition $[D_{enner, S.P. (2004)}]$ and expansion by regions $[J_{antzen, Smirnov (2006)}]$





70 inequivalent two-loop diagrams \Rightarrow very simple result!

- Two-loop $\equiv \exp(1\text{-loop}) \times \text{Born}$
- Agreement with IREE [Kühn, Moch, Penin, Smirnov (2000); Melles (2003)]





 $\Delta K(\epsilon, 0, r_{ij})$

 $+\left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^2 \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \left[\left(\frac{-s}{\mu^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \Delta K(\epsilon,0,s) - \Delta K(2\epsilon,0,s)\right] e^2 Q_i Q_j b_{\text{QED}}^{(1)}$





Mixing correction depending on Z-W mass difference

 $-\underbrace{\left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)I_{i}^{Z}I_{j}^{Z}\ln\left(\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right)\left[2L+2L^{2}\varepsilon+L^{3}\varepsilon^{2}\right]}_{j} \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) \text{ effect at two loops}$



- massless-fermion scattering well understood
- method developped for general processes with massive fermions and bosons
- work in progress

Conclusions

Hard reactions at $Q^2 \sim 1 \,\mathrm{TeV}^2$ receive large $\ln(s/M_W^2)$ EW corrections

- one loop $\gg 10\%$
- two loops $\gg 1\%$ (important for LHC/ILC precision tests and NP searches)

Systematic asymptotic expansions

- strong simplification, process-independent treatment
- good precision (requires subleading logarithms!)

Status and future challenges

- NLLs for any process within reach
- Next important challenges: NNLLs, hard photon bremsstrahlung ...

pp \rightarrow WW, WZ, ZZ \rightarrow 4 leptons

	${ m pp} ightarrow WZ ightarrow l u_l l' ar{l'}$		$pp \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l\bar{l}l'\bar{l'}$		$pp \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l \bar{\nu}_l \nu_{l'} \bar{l'}$	
$M_{\rm inv}^{\rm cut}({\rm leptons})[{\rm GeV}]$	Δ_{EW} [%]	$\Delta_{ ext{stat}}$ [%]	Δ_{EW} [%]	$\Delta_{ m stat}$ [%]	Δ_{EW} [%]	$\Delta_{ m stat}$ [%]
500	-7.4	5.4	-15.0	8.5	-13.8	2.6
600	-9.5	7.5	-18.3	11.9	-15.9	3.7
700	-10.9	9.9	-21.0	15.7	-18.1	4.9
800	-13.3	12.8	-23.8	20.1	-20.2	6.5
900	-15.1	16.2	-26.1	25.3	-22.0	8.3
1000	-16.7	20.2	-28.1	31.2	-23.4	10.4

estimate based on $L = 100 \text{fb}^{-1}$ and final states with l, l' = e or μ Accomando, Denner, Kaiser (2005)

Electroweak corrections ($\Delta_{\rm EW}$) vs statistical error ($\Delta_{\rm stat} = 1/\sqrt{2L\sigma_0}$)

- $\Delta_{\rm EW}$ and $\Delta_{\rm stat}$ grow with $M_{\rm inv}$ (leptons)
- $|\Delta_{\rm EW}| \gtrsim \Delta_{\rm stat}$ up to $M_{\rm inv} \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$

Double logarithms beyond one loop

QED vertex corrections for $s \gg \lambda^2$

Double logarithms beyond one loop: exponentiation

$$\dots + \frac{1}{2} \left(\dots \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \left(\dots \right)^3 + \dots = \exp \left(\dots \right)^3$$

Sudakov (1956)

Back-of-the-envelope estimate of two-loop electroweak logs at $\sqrt{s}\simeq 1\,{\rm TeV}$

$$\left(\frac{\delta\sigma}{\sigma_{\rm Born}}\right)_{2\to2} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j\neq i} \sqrt{\frac{w_{,Z,\gamma}}{j}}\right)^2 \simeq \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi^2 s_{\rm W}^4} \ln^4 \frac{s}{M_{\rm W}^2} \simeq 3.5\%$$

well beyond 1%

Cancellation mechanism for non-factorizable contributions

Soft-collinear fermion-boson vertices (Dirac structure disappears)

$$\lim_{\substack{q_{k}^{\mu} \to x_{k} p_{i}^{\mu} \\ \bar{V}_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \dots \bar{V}_{n}^{\mu_{n}} \quad V_{n}^{\mu_{n}}} \cdots \xrightarrow{i} = G_{\mu_{1} \dots \mu_{n}}^{\underline{V}_{1} \dots \underline{V}_{n}^{\mu_{n}} i} (-q_{1}, \dots, -q_{n}, p_{i} + \tilde{q}_{n}) u(p_{i}, \kappa_{i})$$

$$\times \frac{-2eI_{i}^{V_{n}} (p_{i} + \tilde{q}_{n})^{\mu_{n}}}{(p_{i} + \tilde{q}_{n})^{2}} \dots \frac{-2eI_{i}^{V_{1}} (p_{i} + q_{1})^{\mu_{1}}}{(p_{i} + q_{1})^{2}}$$

Collinear Ward identities for spontaneously broken non-abelian theories

Denner, S.P. (2001)

derived from BRS symmetry and valid for arbitrary processes

Origin of $1/\varepsilon$ and $\ln(Q^2/M^2)$ singularities

$$G \propto \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}^I \vec{\alpha} \, \delta(1 - \sum_{r=1}^I \alpha_r) \frac{\Gamma(e)}{\left[\mathcal{U}(\vec{\alpha})\right]^e \left[\mathcal{F}(\vec{\alpha})\right]^f}$$

Polynomials ($\mathcal{T} = \text{trees}, \mathcal{C} = \text{cuts}$)

$$\mathcal{U}(\vec{\alpha}) = \sum_{\mathcal{T}} \alpha_{\mathcal{T}_1} \dots \alpha_{\mathcal{T}_L}$$
$$-\mathcal{F}(\vec{\alpha}) = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} s_{\mathcal{C}} \alpha_{\mathcal{C}_1} \dots \alpha_{\mathcal{C}_{L+1}} - \mathcal{U}(\vec{\alpha}) \sum_{r=1}^{I} \alpha_r M_r^2 + i\varepsilon$$

UV and mass singularities $(s_{\mathcal{C}} = s, t, u < 0)$

 $\mathcal{U}(\vec{\alpha}) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{UV sing.}$ $\mathcal{F}(\vec{\alpha}) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{mass sing.}$

Singular regions $(\mathcal{U} = 0, \mathcal{F} = 0)$

$$\{\vec{\alpha}|\alpha_{i_1}=\ldots=\alpha_{i_n}=0\}$$

Crucial for factorization of singularities in FP space!

Step 2: Sector decomposition

Goal: factorization of mass singularities from $\mathcal{F}(\vec{\alpha})$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{I-1}\vec{\alpha}}{\left[\underbrace{Q^{2}\mathcal{P}(\vec{\alpha}) + M^{2}\mathcal{R}(\vec{\alpha})}_{\mathcal{F}(\vec{\alpha})}\right]^{f} \dots} \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{I-1}\vec{\alpha}}{\left[\underbrace{Q^{2}}_{\neq 0}\hat{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{\alpha}) \alpha_{1} \dots \alpha_{k} + M^{2}\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{\alpha})\right]^{f} \dots}$$

Sector decomposition for overlapping singularities $\mathcal{P}(\vec{\alpha})|_{\alpha_1=\ldots=\alpha_k=0} = 0$ (A) partition of $[0,1]^{I-1}$ into sectors Ω_1,\ldots,Ω_k

$$\Omega_j = \{\vec{\alpha} | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \leq \alpha_j\}$$

(B) remapping $\Omega_j \to [0,1]^{I-1}$ yields factorization in Ω_j -sector

$$\alpha_k \to \alpha_k \alpha_j \text{ for } k \neq j \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{P}(\vec{\alpha}) \to \alpha_j \mathcal{P}_j(\vec{\alpha})$$

(C) iterate until $\mathcal{P}_j(\vec{\alpha}) \neq 0$



NLL-error $\leq 10^{-2}$, NNLL-error $\leq 10^{-3}$

Dominant two-loop contributions for $\bar{q}q \rightarrow Zg$

Include LL and NLL terms

$$X_{1} = \ln^{4} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right) - 6 \ln^{3} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right)$$
$$X_{2} = \ln^{4} \left(\frac{\hat{t}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right) + \ln^{4} \left(\frac{\hat{u}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right) - \ln^{4} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right)$$
$$X_{3} = \ln^{3} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right)$$

derived from general two-loop results for EW logarithms (see later)

$$\overline{\sum} |\mathcal{M}_{2}|^{2} = \overline{\sum} |\mathcal{M}_{1}|^{2} + 2\alpha^{3}\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(N_{c}^{2}-1)\frac{\hat{t}^{2}+\hat{u}^{2}}{\hat{t}\hat{u}}\sum_{\lambda=\mathrm{L,R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(I_{q_{\lambda}}^{Z}C_{q_{\lambda}}^{\mathrm{ew}} + \frac{c_{\mathrm{W}}}{s_{\mathrm{W}}^{3}}T_{q_{\lambda}}^{3} \right) \times \left[I_{q_{\lambda}}^{Z}C_{q_{\lambda}}^{\mathrm{ew}} X_{1} + \frac{c_{\mathrm{W}}}{s_{\mathrm{W}}^{3}}T_{q_{\lambda}}^{3} X_{2} \right] - \frac{T_{q_{\lambda}}^{3}Yq_{\lambda}}{8s_{\mathrm{W}}^{4}} X_{2} + \frac{1}{6}I_{q_{\lambda}}^{V} \left[I_{q_{\lambda}}^{Z} \left(\frac{b_{1}}{c_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}} \left(\frac{Yq_{\lambda}}{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{b_{2}}{s_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}}Cq_{\lambda} \right) + \frac{c_{\mathrm{W}}}{s_{\mathrm{W}}^{3}}T_{q_{\lambda}}^{3}b_{2} \right] X_{3} \right\}$$

Melles (2001); Denner, Melles, S.P. (2003) Kühn, Kulesza,S.P.,Schulze (2005)



 $\label{eq:MS} \begin{array}{l} \overline{\rm MS} \ {\bf input:} \ \alpha_S(M_Z^2) = 0.13, \ \alpha = 1/128.1, \ s_w^2 = 0.2314 \\ {\bf PDFs:} \ {\rm LO} \ {\rm MRST2001}, \ \mu_{\rm F} = \mu_{\rm R} = p_{\rm T} \end{array}$

Estimated statistical error

- $\mathcal{L} = 300 \, \text{fb}^{-1}, \, \text{Z} \to \text{leptons}$
- $(\Delta \sigma / \sigma)_{\text{stat}} \sim 2\%$ at 1 TeV

Size of corrections at $p_{\rm T} \sim 1 \, {\rm TeV}$

- 1-loop: $-26\% \simeq -13\sigma_{\rm stat}$
- 2-loop:+ $4\% \simeq +2\sigma_{\text{stat}}$

Resummation formula based on IREE approach

Double exponentiation resulting from $M_{\gamma} \ll M_W \sim M_Z$



Existing results



"Since the number of jets is not fixed in a measurement of the Z boson p_T distribution, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \alpha^2) ZVj$ production with $V \to jj$ has to be included when calculating weak radiative corrections"



Virtual and real $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ corr. to $pp \to Zj$



- W, Z emission can be non-negligible and partially cancel EW virtual corrections
- depends on observable definition and can be reduced by jet veto