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Quarks are the fundamental constituents of nuclear matter

\[ f(x, Q^2) \Big|_{x \approx 0.25, Q^2 > 10 \text{GeV}} \quad \text{independent of } Q^2 \]

\( x \) momentum of quarks, \( Q^2 \) momentum transfer

Interpretation (Feynman): scattering on single quarks in a hadron → (Bjorken) scaling

(Friedman and Kendall, 1972)
Quantum Fluctuations and the Quark Picture

analysis in perturbation theory

\[ \int_0^1 dx f(x, Q^2) = 3 \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} - a(n_f) \left( \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} \right)^2 - b(n_f) \left( \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} \right)^3 \right] \]

- \( a(n_f), b(n_f) \) calculable coefficients

deviations from scaling \( \rightarrow \) determination of strong coupling
Examples of quantities computable on the lattice

- Moments of structure functions: \( \langle x^n \rangle = \int dx x^n f(x) \)
  
  lowest moment, \( \langle x \rangle \): corresponds to *average momentum of quark in hadron*

- Pion decay constant: \( \langle 0 | A_\mu | \pi(q) \rangle = f_\pi q_\mu \)
  
  \( A_\mu \) Axial current, \( q \) momentum

- Particle Masses, transition amplitudes, ...

\[
\frac{(O_{\text{Latt}} - O_{\text{Exp}})}{O_{\text{Exp}}}
\]

\( \alpha(M_Z) \), \( f_K \), \( f_\pi \), \( f_{Ds} \), \( B_{Bd}[f_{Bd}]^2 \), \( B_K \), \( m_b \), \( m_c \)
There are dangerous lattice animals

→ violation of chiral symmetry
  (exchange of massless left- and right-handed quarks)
Problem to reach physical value of pion mass
quenched example: chiral extrapolation of \( \langle x \rangle \)

Guagnelli, K.J., Palombi, Petronzio, Shindler, Wetzorke

- Schrödinger Functional
- combined Wilson and \( O(a) \)-improved Wilson
- controlled
  - non-perturbative renormalization
  - continuum limit
  - finite volume effects
  - statistical errors
- want to reach:
  \[ m_{\pi}^2 = 0.02 \text{ [GeV}^2] \]
solution, give up anti-commutation condition with $\gamma_5$: **Ginsparg-Wilson relation**

\[
\gamma_5 D + D \gamma_5 = 2aD \gamma_5 D \quad \Rightarrow \quad D^{-1} \gamma_5 + \gamma_5 D^{-1} = 2a\gamma_5
\]

**Ginsparg-Wilson relation** implies an *exact lattice chiral symmetry* (Lüscher):

for any operator $D$ which satisfies the Ginsparg-Wilson relation, the action

\[
S = \bar{\psi} D \psi
\]

is invariant under the transformations

\[
\delta \psi = \gamma_5 (1 - \frac{1}{2}aD) \psi, \quad \delta \bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi} (1 - \frac{1}{2}aD) \gamma_5
\]

⇒ *almost continuum like behaviour of fermions*

one **local** (Hernández, Lüscher, K.J.) solution: overlap operator $D_{ov}$ (Neuberger)

\[
D_{ov} = [1 - A(A^\dagger A)^{-1/2}]
\]

with $A = 1 + s - D_w(m_q = 0)$; $s$ a tunable parameter, $0 < s < 1$
Wilson (Frezzotti, Rossi) twisted mass QCD (Frezzotti, Grassi, Sint, Weisz)

\[ D_{tm} = m_q + i\mu \tau_3 \gamma_5 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu \left[ \nabla_\mu + \nabla^*_\mu \right] - a^2 \gamma_\mu \nabla_\mu \]

quark mass parameter \( m_q \), twisted mass parameter \( \mu \)

- \( m_q = m_{\text{crit}} \rightarrow O(a) \) improvement for
  hadron masses, matrix elements, form factors, decay constants

- \( \det[D_{tm}] = \det[D_{\text{Wilson}}^2 + \mu^2] \)
  \( \Rightarrow \) protection against small eigenvalues

- computational cost comparable to staggered

- simplifies mixing problems for renormalization

- serious competitor to Ginsparg-Wilson fermions

★ based on symmetry arguments \( \Rightarrow \) check how it works in practise

Drawback: explicit breaking of isospin symmetry for any \( a > 0 \)
A first test
twisted mass against overlap fermions: how chiral can we go?
Bietenholz, Capitani, Chiarappa, Christian, Hasenbusch, K.J., Nagai, Papinutto,
Scorzato, Shcheredin, Shindler, Urbach, Wenger, Wetzorke

fixed lattice spacing of $\alpha = 0.125\text{fm}$

$\Rightarrow$ twisted mass simulations can reach quarks masses as small as overlap
substantially smaller than $O(\alpha)$-improved Wilson fermions
Scaling of $\langle x \rangle$ and $F_{PS}$ with twisted mass fermions

K.J., M. Papinutto, A. Shindler, C. Urbach, I. Wetzorke

$\rightarrow$ $O(a^2)$ scaling for two realizations of $O(a)$-improvement

$\rightarrow$ $\kappa_c^{PCAC}$ very small $O(a^2)$ effects

$\rightarrow$ $\kappa_c^{\text{pion}}$ larger $O(a^2)$ effects, late scaling $\beta \geq 6$

$\rightarrow$ consistent with theoretical considerations

(Frezzotti, Martinelli, Papinutto, Rossi; Sharpe, Wu; Aoki, Bär)
$F_{PS}$ and $\langle x \rangle$ with twisted mass

(S. Capitani, K.J., M. Papinutto, A. Shindler, C. Urbach, I. Wetzorke)
## Cost comparison


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V, m_\pi$</th>
<th>Overlap</th>
<th>Wilson TM</th>
<th>rel. factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$12^4, 720\text{Mev}$</td>
<td>48.8(6)</td>
<td>2.6(1)</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$12^4, 390\text{Mev}$</td>
<td>142(2)</td>
<td>4.0(1)</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$16^4, 720\text{Mev}$</td>
<td>225(2)</td>
<td>9.0(2)</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$16^4, 390\text{Mev}$</td>
<td>653(6)</td>
<td>17.5(6)</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$16^4, 230\text{Mev}$</td>
<td>1949(22)</td>
<td>22.1(8)</td>
<td>88.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*timings in seconds on Jump*
Let me describe a typical computer simulation: [...] the first thing to do is to look for phase transitions (G. Parisi)

lattice simulations are done under the assumption that the transition is continuum like

- first order, jump in \( < \bar{\Psi} \Psi > \) when quark mass \( m \) changes sign
- pion mass vanishes at phase transition point

\[ \Rightarrow \text{single phase transition line} \]

\[ \rightarrow \text{twisted mass fermions offer a tool to check this} \]
Revealing the generic phase structure of lattice QCD

Aoki phase: Ilgenfritz, Müller-Preussker, Sternbeck, Stüben

→ Knowledge of phase structure for a particular formulation of lattice QCD: pre-requisite for numerical simulation
Chiral perturbation theory for the phase transition

Sharpe, Wu; Hofmann, Münster; Scorzato; Aoki, Bär

In the regime \( m/\Lambda_{QCD} \gtrsim a\Lambda_{QCD} \)

\[
M = 2B_0/Z_P \sqrt{m_{PCAC,\chi}^2 + \mu^2} \quad \Lambda_R = 4\pi F_0 \quad \cos \omega = \frac{m_{PCAC,\chi}}{\sqrt{m_{PCAC,\chi}^2 + \mu^2}}
\]

\[
m_{\pi}^2 = M + \frac{8}{F_0^2} \left\{ M^2 (2L_{86} - L_{54}) + 4aM \cos \omega (w - \tilde{w}) \right\} + \frac{M^2}{32F_0^{2}\pi^2} \log \left( \frac{M}{\Lambda^2_R} \right)
\]

\[
f_{\pi} = F_0 + \frac{4}{F_0} \left\{ ML_{54} + 4a \cos \omega \tilde{w} \right\} - \frac{M}{16F_0\pi^2} \log \left( \frac{M}{\Lambda^2_R} \right)
\]

\[
g_{\pi} = B_0/Z_P \left[ F_0 + \frac{4}{F_0} \left\{ M(4L_{86} - L_{54}) + 4a \cos \omega (2w_s - \tilde{w}) \right\} - \frac{M}{32F_0\pi^2} \log \left( \frac{M}{\Lambda^2_R} \right) \right]
\]

parameters to fit: \( B_0/Z_P, F_0, L_{86}, L_{54}, w, \tilde{w} \)
Serious Consequence: minimal pseudo scalar mass

- Continuum picture not realized
- Pion does not vanish rather reaches a minimal value
- Strength of phase transition depends on lattice spacing $a$
- Minimal pion mass depends on strength of phase transition $m_{PS}$ vanishes with rate $O(a)$
Costs of dynamical fermions simulations, the “Berlin Wall”
see panel discussion in Lattice2001, Berlin, 2001

Formula

\[ C \propto \left( \frac{m_\pi}{m_\rho} \right)^{-z_\pi} (L)^{z_L} (a)^{-z_a} \]

\[ z_\pi = 6 \]
\[ z_L = 5 \]
\[ z_a = 7 \]

physical contact to \( \chiPT \) (?)
A hypothetical dynamical computation of $F_\pi$ in 2000 for up and down quarks ($N_f = 2$)

quenched ($N_f = 0$)  \hspace{1cm} dynamical u and d quarks ($N_f = 2$)
European Twisted Mass Collaboration
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Target setup for $N_f = 2$ maximally twisted Dynamical Quarks

- $\beta = 3.9$, 5000 thermalized trajectories

- simulations at a smaller and a larger lattice spacing at matched pion masses and volumes are in progress

- test scaling and perform continuum limit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L^3 \cdot T$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\kappa_{\text{crit}}$</th>
<th>$a\mu$</th>
<th>$a[\text{fm}]$</th>
<th>$m_\pi[\text{MeV}]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$24^3 \cdot 48$</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.160856</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>$\approx 0.095$</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$24^3 \cdot 48$</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.160856</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>$\approx 0.095$</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$24^3 \cdot 48$</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.160856</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>$\approx 0.095$</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$24^3 \cdot 48$</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.160856</td>
<td>0.0150</td>
<td>$\approx 0.095$</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Shift the Berlin Wall and Twist

New variant of HMC algorithm (Urbach, Shindler, Wenger, K.J.)
(see also SAP (Lüscher) and RHMC (Clark and Kennedy) algorithms)

- even/odd preconditioning
- (twisted) mass-shift (Hasenbusch trick)
- multiple time steps

\[ t_{\text{flops}} \cdot \text{years} \]

- twisted mass at much smaller \( m_{PS}/m_{V} \)
- compatible with (our own) Wilson
- compatible with staggered
- compatible with RHMC

\[ \Rightarrow 3 \text{ algorithms to drive Wilson fermions towards the physical point} \]
A computation of $F_\pi$ in 2006 for up and down quarks ($N_f = 2$)
Vector over pseudoscalar mass
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\textit{m_{PS}/m_{V}}
Pseudo scalar decay constant

- Results at one lattice spacing $a \approx 0.095\text{fm}$
- Finite Size corrections noticeable
- Curvature clearly visible
Comparison with Chiral Perturbation Theory

Precise numerical results for $m_{PS}$ and $f_{PS}$ calls for a comparison to chiral perturbation theory

$$m^2_{PS} = 2B_0\mu \left[1 + \xi \log\left(2B_0\mu/\Lambda_3^2\right)\right], \quad \xi = 2B_0\mu/(4\pi F)^2$$

$$f_{PS} = F \left[1 - 2\xi \log\left(2B_0\mu/\Lambda_4^2\right)\right], \quad \xi = 2B_0\mu/(4\pi F)^2$$

⇒ four unknown parameters: $B_0, F, \Lambda_3, \Lambda_4$

⇒ allow to determine physical observables, e.g.:

- scalar condensate $\Sigma_0 = \langle \bar{\Psi}\Psi \rangle$
- Pion decay constant $F_\pi$
- scalar pion radius $\langle r^2 \rangle$
- s-wave scattering lengths $a_{00}, a_{20}$
Fits to chiral perturbation theory formulae

\[
\begin{align*}
(\frac{am^2_{PS}}{\mu}) & \approx 0.016, 0.012, 0.008, 0.004, 0.0016, \\
(af_{PS}) & \approx 0.09, 0.08, 0.07, 0.06, 0.05
\end{align*}
\]

⇒ excellent description by chiral perturbation theory

\[2aB_0 = 4.99(6), \quad aF = 0.0534(6)\]

\[a^2\ell_3^2 \equiv \log(a^2\Lambda_3^2) = -1.93(10), \quad a^2\ell_4^2 \equiv \log(a^2\Lambda_4^2) = -1.06(4)\]
Comparison to other determinations

● ETMC:
\[ \bar{l}_3 = 3.65 \pm 0.12 \]
\[ \bar{l}_4 = 4.52 \pm 0.06 \]

● Other estimates Leutwyler, hep-ph/0612112

phenomenological determinations
\[ \bar{l}_3 = 2.9 \pm 2.4 \text{ from the mass spectrum of the pseudoscalar octet} \]
\[ \bar{l}_4 = 4.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ from the radius of the scalar} \]

other lattice determinations
\[ \bar{l}_3 = 0.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ from MILC (US-UK, staggered)} \]
\[ \bar{l}_3 = 3.0 \pm 0.6 \text{ from lattice CERN group (Wilson)} \]
\[ \bar{l}_4 = 4.3 \pm 0.9 \text{ from } f_K/f_{\pi} \text{ pion form factor} \]
\[ \bar{l}_4 = 4.0 \pm 0.6 \text{ from MILC} \]
Narrowing scattering lengths *(Leutwyler, private communication)*

- Lattice calculations: only statistical errors → systematic effects under systematic investigation

- scalar pion radius (ETMC): \( < r^2 > = 0.637(26) \text{fm}^2 \)
  Colangelo, Gasser, Leutwyler: \( < r^2 > = 0.61(4) \text{fm}^2 \)

- s-wave scattering lengths:
  \( a_{00} = 0.220 \pm 0.002, \quad a_{20} = -0.0449 \pm 0.0003 \)
Quark Masses Preliminary!

→ prime example for lattice calculations

- up and down quarks:
  \[ m_{u,d}[\text{MS}, 2 \text{ GeV}] = 3.8(3) \text{ MeV} \]

- strange quark:
  \[ m_s[\text{MS}, 2 \text{ GeV}] = 115(2) \text{ MeV} \]

- charm quark:
  \[ m_c[\text{MS}, 2 \text{ GeV}] = 1.1(1) \text{ GeV} \]
Example: Lowest Moment of Non-singlet, Pion Parton Distribution Function $\langle x \rangle$

$\langle x \rangle_{\text{PS}(\mu=2\text{GeV})}$

→ simulation at small pseudoscalar masses feasible

→ dynamical point consistent with quenched (?)
Pion Form Factor

$F_\pi(q^2) = a^2 q^2$ with $M_\pi \sim 300$ MeV

- head-on kin. and stochastic prop. (80 confs)
- vector-meson dominance
- "standard" kin. and point-to-all prop. (120 confs)
First Scaling result

\[
\frac{(a_{F_0})}{(a_{\mu})^2} \quad \beta = 3.9 \quad \beta = 4.05
\]

\[
\frac{(a_{F_0})}{(a_{\mu})^2}
\]

\[
\frac{(a_{F_0})}{(a_{\mu})^2}
\]
• Isospin broken at $a > 0$

• strongest for $m_{PS}^+ - m_{PS}^0$

• Effect vanishes as $m_{PS}^+ - m_{PS}^0 = c_2a^2$

• Find at $a \approx 0.095\text{fm}$
  and 250MeV pion mass

\[ \Delta \equiv (m_{PS}^+ - m_{PS}^0)/m_{PS}^+ = (0.134 - 0.101)/0.134 \approx 25\% \]

• Preliminary: at $a \approx 0.075\text{fm}$, $\Delta \approx 10\%$
International Lattice Data Grid

- Configurations stored within ILDG context
- storage elements: DESY Hamburg and Zeuthen, ZiB Berlin, FZ Jülich
- semantic based access to configuration data
Summary

- Progress in solving outstanding problem in LGT
  - reaching the chiral limit
  - comparison to analytical approaches

- Overlap fermions: *exact chiral symmetry*
- Twisted mass fermions:
  - $O(10-100)$ cheaper to simulate
  - small quark masses reachable
  - only chirally improved

- Dramatic algorithm improvement

- New Computer Architectures
  - \textit{apeNEXT}
  - enter area of precise dynamical results
Physics Plans and Machines in Germany

http://www-zeuthen.desy.de/latfor
## Physics Plans

| SESAM GRAL TXL | Action: $N_f = 2$ Wilson, Wilson plaquette | $m_\pi = 0.4...1\text{GeV}$, $a=0.08...0.13\text{fm}$, up to $V = 24^3 40$ |
|               | Links: Germany, Italy, US                  | 19 ensembles (60K confs.), uploaded now 15K |
|               | Policy: open(*)                           |                                                   |
|               | Parameters:                               |                                                   |
|               | $m_\pi = 0.4...1\text{GeV}$, $a=0.08...0.13\text{fm}$, up to $V = 24^3 40$ |
|               | Configurations:                           |                                                   |
|               | 19 ensembles (60K confs.), uploaded now 15K |                                                   |

| QCDSF         | Action: $N_f = 2$ NP-Clover, Wilson plaquette | $m_\pi = 0.25...1\text{GeV}$, $a=0.05...0.11\text{fm}$, up to $V = 32^3 64$ |
|               | Links: Germany, UK, Japan, US               | 14 ensembles, O(14000) confs |
|               | Policy: Open access to ensembles before 2006 (**) | Immediate access to new data by agreement |
|               | Parameters:                               |                                                   |
|               | $m_\pi = 0.25...1\text{GeV}$, $a=0.05...0.11\text{fm}$, up to $V = 32^3 64$ |
|               | Configurations:                           |                                                   |
|               | 14 ensembles, O(14000) confs |                                                   |

| ETMC          | Action: $N_f = 2$ maximally tmQCD, tISym gauge | $a = 0.075 - 0.12\text{fm}$, $L \approx 2.5\text{fm}$, $250 < m_\pi < 500\text{MeV}$ |
|               | Links: Germany, France, Italy, UK          | 3 ensembles O(3500) confs uploaded |
|               | Policy: open (*)                           |                                                   |
|               | Parameters:                               |                                                   |
|               | $a = 0.075 - 0.12\text{fm}$, $L \approx 2.5\text{fm}$, $250 < m_\pi < 500\text{MeV}$ |
|               | Configurations:                           |                                                   |
|               | 3 ensembles O(3500) confs uploaded |                                                   |

(*) Acknowledgment in paper, draft paper in advance
(**) hep-ph/0502212 and hep-lat/0601004 should be cited
Supercomputer Infrastructure

- apeNEXT in Zeuthen 3 Teraflops
  and Bielefeld 5 Teraflops
  → dedicated to LGT

- NIC BlueGene/L System at FZ-Jülich
  45 Teraflops

- NIC IBM Regatta System at FZ-Jülich
  10 Teraflops

- Altix System at LRZ Munic
  26.2 Teraflops (since June 2006)
  upgrade to 60 Teraflops mid 2007
The Future

Gauss Centre for Supercomputing (GCS)

*The Gauss Centre for Supercomputing (GCS) provides the most powerful high-performance computing infrastructure in Europe.*

- John von Neumann-Institut for Computing, Jülich
- Leibniz Rechenzentrum, München
- Höchstleistungsrechenzentrum, Stuttgart

- Multi-teraflops supercomputers
- Multi-petabyte storage
- Multi-gigabit communication links

→ compete for European Supercomputer Center

⇒ Super Computers with several 100 Teraflops in near future