

Toward understanding superstring theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Arkady Tseytlin

- recent progress in perturbative GS superstring:
first 2-loop computation
[R. Roiban and A. T., arXiv:0709.0681](#)
- Reformulation of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring in terms of currents:
“Pohlmeyer reduction”
[M. Grigoriev and A. T., arXiv:0711.0155](#)

AdS/CFT

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at $N = \infty$

dual to type IIB superstrings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

$\lambda = g_{Y M}^2 N$ related to string tension

$$2\pi T = \frac{R^2}{\alpha'} = \sqrt{\lambda}$$

$$g_s = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi N} \rightarrow 0$$

need to go beyond BPS states and

“supergravity + classical probes” approximation

Problems:

- spectrum of states (exact energies in λ)
- construction of vertex operators (closed and open string ones)
- computation of their correlation functions (graviton scattering, application to DIS in QCD ?)
- expectation values of various Wilson loops
- gluon scattering amplitudes
- generalizations to simplest less supersymmetric cases
 - orbifolds, exactly marginal deformations, ...
- strings at finite temperature in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ (without black hole and with it ...)
- solution of type 0 theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$...
- non-critical superstrings: $AdS_5 \times S^1$, ...

$AdS_5 \times S^5$

Recent remarkable progress in quantitative understanding
interpolation from weak to strong 't Hooft coupling
based on using perturbative gauge theory (4-loop in λ)
and perturbative string theory (2-loop in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$) “data”
and assumption of exact integrability
string energies = dimensions of gauge-invariant operators

$$E(\sqrt{\lambda}, J, m, \dots) = \Delta(\lambda, J, m, \dots)$$

J - charges of $SO(2, 4) \times SO(6)$:

spins S_1, S_2 ; J_1, J_2, J_3

m - windings, folds, cusps, oscillation numbers, ...

Operators: $\text{Tr}(\Phi_1^{J_1} \Phi_2^{J_2} \Phi_3^{J_3} D_+^{S_1} D_-^{S_2} \dots F_{mn} \dots \Psi \dots)$

Solve susy 4-d CFT = string in R-R background:

compute $E = \Delta$ for **any** λ (and J, m)

Perturbative expansions are **opposite**:

$\lambda \gg 1$ in perturbative string theory

$\lambda \ll 1$ in perturbative planar gauge theory

use perturbative results on both sides

and other properties (integrability, susy,...)

to come up with an exact answer – Bethe ansatz

Last 5 years: remarkable progress:

“semiclassical” string states with large quantum numbers

dual to “long” gauge operators (BMN, GKP, ...)

$E = \Delta$ – same dependence on J, m, \dots

coefficients = **interpolating functions** of λ

SYM: dilatation operator that determines Δ

is same as an integrable spin chain Hamiltonian

integrability at both perturbative gauge ($\lambda \ll 1$)

and string ($\lambda \gg 1$) sides

suggests Bethe ansatz for the spectrum at any λ

Heisenberg-model type BA

(Beisert, Dippel, Staudacher 04; Staudacher 05)

$$e^{ip_k J} = \prod_{j \neq k}^M S(p_k, p_j; \lambda), \quad S = S_1 e^{i\theta}$$

$$S_1 = \frac{u_k - u_j + i}{u_k - u_j - i}, \quad \theta = \theta(p_k, p_j; \lambda)$$

scattering of elementary excitations (magnons)

with 1-d momenta p_j and rapidities u_j

$$u_j(p_j, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{p_j}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_j}{2}}$$

$$E = J + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_j}{2}} - 1 \right)$$

What about phase θ ?

structure fixed by symmetries (Beisert 05)

$$\theta(p, p'; \lambda) = \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \sum_{s=r+1}^{\infty} c_{rs}(\lambda) [q_s(p')q_r(p) - q_s(p)q_r(p')]$$

$$q_{r+1}(p) = \frac{2}{r} \sin \frac{rp}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}} - 1}{\frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin \frac{p}{2}} \right)^r ,$$

$c_{rs}(\lambda) = ?$

crucial input from string theory:

$$c_{rs}(\lambda \gg 1) = \lambda^{\frac{r+s-1}{2}} \left[\delta_{r,s-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} a_{rs} + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^2} b_{rs} + \dots \right]$$

String 1-loop corrections to string energies

(Frolov, AT 03; Park, Tirziu, AT 05) $\rightarrow a_{rs} \neq 0$ (Beisert, AT 05)

1-loop string results translate into (Hernandez, Lopez 06)

$$a_{rs} = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[1 - (-1)^{r+s} \right] \frac{(r-1)(s-1)}{(r-1)^2 - (s-1)^2}$$

Consistent (Arutyunov, Frolov 06; Beisert 06)

with “crossing” (Janik 06)

All-order guess for strong coupling expansion

(Beisert, Hernandez, Lopez 06)

A year ago finally fixed completely (Beisert, Eden, Staudacher 06)

comparing to weak-coupling results (4-loop result of Bern et al)

But **first-principles** derivation remains to be given

Problem:

solve string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

in particular, on an infinite line \rightarrow

determine the magnon (BMN excitation) scattering S-matrix \rightarrow

derive BA with the right BHL/BES phase

String Theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

bosonic coset $\frac{SO(2,4)}{SO(1,4)} \times \frac{SO(6)}{SO(5)}$

generalized to supercoset $\frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{SO(1,4) \times SO(5)}$ (Metsaev, AT 98)

$$S = T \int d^2\sigma \left[G_{mn}(x) \partial x^m \partial x^n + \bar{\theta}(D + F_5)\theta \partial x \right. \\ \left. + \bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\theta}\theta \partial x \partial x + \dots \right]$$

tension $T = \frac{R^2}{2\pi\alpha'} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}$

Conformal invariance: $\beta_{mn} = R_{mn} - (F_5)_{mn}^2 = 0$

Classical integrability of coset σ -model (Luscher-Pohlmeyer 76)

same for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring (Bena, Polchinski, Roiban 02)

Progress in understanding of implications of (semi)classical integrability (Kazakov, Marshakov, Minahan, Zarembo 04;

Beisert et al 05; Dorey, Vicedo 06,...)

Explicit computation of 1-loop **quantum** superstring corrections to classical string energies (Frolov, AT 02-4, ...)
results were used as input for 1-loop term
in strong-coupling expansion of the phase θ in BA

Tree-level S-matrix of BMN states from $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS string agrees with limit of elementary magnon S-matrix (Klose, McLoughlin, Roiban, Zarembo 06)

Semiclassical S-matrix in different limits:
string solitons on an infinite line – Giant magnons (Hofman, Maldacena 06; Dorey 06, ...)
“Near-flat” limit (Hofman, Maldacena 07)
studied at 1-loop level with consistent results...

Last year:

2-loop string corrections (Roiban, Tirziu, AT; Roiban, AT 07)

2-loop check of finiteness of the GS superstring;

agreement with BA

– implicit check of integrability of quantum string theory

– non-trivial confirmation of BES exact phase in BA

– comparison to strong-coupling expansion

of BES equation (Basso, Korchemsky, Kotansky 07)

should extend to higher loop level

Universal scaling function = Cusp anomalous dimension

gauge theory: $\text{Tr}(\Phi D_+^S \Phi)$

$$\Delta = S + 2 + f(\lambda) \ln S + \dots, \quad S \gg 1$$

$$f(\lambda \ll 1) = c_1 \lambda + c_2 \lambda^2 + c_3 \lambda^3 + c_4 \lambda^4 + \dots$$

c_n are given by Feynmann graphs of **4d CFT** – N=4 SYM

string theory: GKP folded string with spin S in AdS_5

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \left[a_0 + \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{a_2}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^2} + \dots \right]$$

a_n are given by Feynmann graphs of **2d CFT** – $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string

Explicitly:

$$f_{\lambda \ll 1} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left[\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{48} + \frac{11\lambda^3}{2^8 \times 45} - \left(\frac{73}{630} + \frac{4(\zeta(3))^2}{\pi^6} \right) \frac{\lambda^4}{27} + \dots \right]$$

c_3 : Kotikov, Lipatov, et al 03; c_4 : Bern, Dixon, et al 06

$$f_{\lambda \gg 1} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \left[1 - \frac{3 \log 2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} - \frac{K}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^2} + \dots \right]$$

a_0 : Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov 02;

a_1 : Frolov, AT 02

a_2 : Roiban, AT 07

$K = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} = 0.915\dots$ – Catalan's constant

appears from 2-loop sigma model integrals

Smooth interpolation from weak to strong coupling

Remarkably, both expansions are reproduced from single Beisert-Eden-Staudacher integral equation for $f(\lambda)$ obtained using the exact BES phase in the BA

Beyond 2-loop order in string theory ?

Deeper understanding of quantum string theory from integrability point of view?

Exact string S-matrix?

Proof of the BES Bethe ansatz ?

Green-Schwarz superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Superstring in curved type II supergravity background

$$\int d^2\sigma G_{MN}(Z)\partial Z^M\partial Z^N + \dots, \quad Z^M = (x^m, \theta_\alpha^I)$$

$$m = 0, 1, \dots, 9, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 16, \quad I = 1, 2$$

Explicit form of action is generally hard to find

$AdS_5 \times S^5$: coset space symmetry facilitates explicit construction

Algebraic construction of unique κ -invariant action as in flat space

GS superstring in flat space:

$$R^{1,9} = \frac{G}{H} = \frac{\text{Poincare}}{\text{Lorentz}}$$

$$\text{Flat superspace} = \frac{\widehat{G}}{H} = \frac{\text{SuperPoincare}}{\text{Lorentz}}$$

structure of action is fixed by superPoincare algebra (P, M, Q)

$$[P, M] \sim P, \quad [M, M] \sim M, \quad [M, Q] \sim Q, \quad \{Q, Q\} \sim P$$

$$g^{-1}dg = J^m P_m + J_\alpha^I Q_I^\alpha + J^{mn} M_{mn}$$

Supercoset action = $\int \text{Tr}(g^{-1}dg)_{G/H}^2 + \text{fermionic WZ-term}$

$$I = \int d^2\sigma (J^m J^m + a \bar{J}^I J^I) + b \int J^m \wedge \bar{J}^I \Gamma_m J^J s_{IJ}$$

$$s_{IJ} = (1, -1)$$

$$J^m = dx^m - i\bar{\theta}^I \Gamma^m \theta^I, \quad J_\alpha^I = d\theta_\alpha^I$$

Manifest superPoincare symmetry, but

unitarity and right fermionic spectrum iff $a = 0$, $b = \pm 1$:

κ -invariance \rightarrow Green-Schwarz action:

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_a x^m - i\bar{\theta}^I \Gamma^m \partial_a \theta^I)^2 \\ + i\epsilon^{ab} s_{IJ} \bar{\theta}^I \Gamma_m \partial_a \theta^J (\partial_b x^m - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}^K \Gamma^m \partial_b \theta^K)$$

peculiar “degenerate” Lagrangian: no $\partial\bar{\theta}\partial\theta$ term

$$L \sim \partial x \partial x + \partial x \bar{\theta} \partial \theta + (\bar{\theta} \partial \theta)^2$$

perturbative expansion is well-defined

near \bar{x} background, e.g., $x^m = N_a^m \sigma^a$

$$x = \bar{x} + \xi, \quad \theta' = \sqrt{\partial \bar{x}} \theta$$

$$L \sim \partial \xi \partial \xi + \bar{\theta}' \partial \theta' + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\partial \bar{x}}} \partial \xi \bar{\theta}' \partial \theta' + \dots$$

non-renormalizable by power counting

but κ -symmetry (uniqueness of action) implies finiteness

direct check of cancellation of 2-loop logarithmic UV divergences
and trivial partition function (Roiban, Tirziu, AT 07)
preservation of κ -symmetry implies that semiclassical loop (α')
expansion must be finite also in curved space
but regularization issues are non-trivial starting with 2 loops

$$AdS_5 \times S^5 = \frac{SO(2,4)}{SO(1,4)} \times \frac{SO(6)}{SO(5)}$$

Killing vectors and Killing spinors of $AdS_5 \times S^5$:

$PSU(2, 2|4)$ symmetry

replace G/H =SuperPoincare/Lorentz in flat GS case by

$$\frac{PSU(2, 2|4)}{SO(1, 4) \times SO(5)}$$

generators: $(P_q, M_{pq}); (P'_r, M'_{rs}); Q^I_\alpha, \quad m = (q, r)$

$$[P, P] \sim M, \quad [P, M] \sim P, \quad [M, M] \sim M,$$

$$[Q, P_q] \sim \gamma_q Q, \quad [Q, M_{pq}] \sim \gamma_{pq} Q$$

$$\{Q^I, Q^J\} \sim \delta^{IJ} (\gamma \cdot P + \gamma' \cdot P') + \epsilon^{IJ} (\gamma \cdot M + \gamma' \cdot M')$$

PSU(2, 2|4) invariant action:

$$\int \text{Tr}(g^{-1}dg)_{G/H}^2 + \text{WZ-term}$$

$$J = g^{-1}dg = J^m P_m + J_\alpha^I Q_I^\alpha + J^{mn} M_{mn}$$

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} \left[\int d^2\sigma (J^m J^m + a \bar{J}^I J^I) + b \int J^m \wedge \bar{J}^I \Gamma_m J^J s_{IJ} \right]$$

as in flat space $a = 0$, $b = \pm 1$ required by κ -symmetry

unique action with right symmetry and right flat-space limit

Formal argument for UV finiteness (2d conformal invariance):

1. global symmetry – only overall coefficient of J^2 term (radius) can run
2. non-renormalization of WZ term (homogeneous 3-form)
3. preservation of κ -symmetry at the quantum level
– relating coefficients of J^2 and WZ terms

Component form:

coset representative $g(x, \theta) = f(x)e^{\theta Q}$

$$J^m = e^m(x) - i\bar{\theta}^I \Gamma^m D\theta^I + O(\theta^4), \quad J^I = D\theta^I + O(\theta^3)$$

solving Maurer-Cartan eqs:

$$J_a^A = \partial_a x^m e_m^A - 4i\bar{\theta}^I \Gamma^A \left[\frac{\sinh^2(\frac{s}{2}\mathcal{M})}{\mathcal{M}^2} \right]_{IJ} D_a \theta^J, \quad J_a^I = \left[\frac{\sinh(s\mathcal{M})}{\mathcal{M}} D_a \theta \right]^I,$$

$$D\theta^I = \mathcal{D}\theta^I - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{IJ} e^A(x) \Gamma_* \Gamma_A \theta^J, \quad \mathcal{D}\theta^I = d\theta^I + \frac{1}{4} \omega^{AB}(x) \Gamma_{AB} \theta^I,$$

$$(\mathcal{M}^2)^{IL} = -\epsilon^{IJ} \Gamma_* \Gamma^A \theta^J \bar{\theta}^L \Gamma_A + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{LK} (\Gamma^{pq} \theta^I \bar{\theta}^K \Gamma_{pq} \Gamma_* - \Gamma^{rs} \theta^I \bar{\theta}^K \Gamma_{rs} \Gamma'_*)$$

$$e^A(x) = dx^m e_m^A(x), \quad A = (p, r)$$

$$\Gamma_* = i\Gamma_0\Gamma_1\Gamma_2\Gamma_3\Gamma_4, \quad \Gamma'_* = i\Gamma_5\Gamma_6\Gamma_7\Gamma_8\Gamma_9$$

RR coupling: “mass term” in D

$$D \text{ in IIB Killing spinor eq. } D^{IJ} \epsilon^J = 0, \quad [D_M, D_N] = 0$$

Expansion near string soliton solution $x = \bar{x}$:

conformal gauge and κ -symmetry gauge $\theta^1 = \theta^2$

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} \int d^2\sigma (L_{\text{kin}} + L_{\text{WZ}})$$

$$L_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a x^\mu \partial^a x^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(x) + 2ie_a^A \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \mathcal{D}^a \theta + 2\bar{\theta} \Gamma^A \mathcal{D}_a \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \mathcal{D}^a \theta \\ + \frac{1}{12} e_a^A e^{aB} \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A (\Gamma^{pq} \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{pq} - \Gamma^{rs} \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{rs}) \Gamma_B \theta + O(\theta^6)$$

$$L_{\text{WZ}} = \epsilon^{ab} \left[-e_a^A e_b^B \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \Gamma_* \Gamma_B \theta + \frac{4i}{3} e_a^A \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \Gamma_* \Gamma_B \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \mathcal{D}_b \theta \right] + O(\theta^6)$$

Expansion: $x \rightarrow x + \xi$, $L = \xi D^2 \xi + \bar{\theta} D \theta + \xi^3 + \xi^4 + \xi \theta^2 + \theta^4 + \dots$

1-loop results:

- check of finiteness of GS action for generic \bar{x} solution
- computation of 1-loop quantum string corrections to energies of rigid rotating string solutions (Frolov, AT 02,03; Park, AT 05)
– data for reconstructing 1-loop term in strong-coupling expansion of phase in BA (Beisert, AT 05; Hernandez, Lopez 06)

Simple form of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ action

special choice of coordinates (Poincare)

and special κ -symmetry gauge: $\theta^1 = \Gamma_{0123}\theta^2$

plus “Killing spinor” redefn of fermions (Kallosh, Rajaraman 98)

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \left[z^2 (\partial_a x^m - i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^m \partial_a \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} \partial^a z^s \partial_a z^s + 4\epsilon^{ab} \bar{\theta} \partial_a z^s \Gamma_s \partial_b \theta \right]$$

$m = 0, 1, 2, 3; s = 4, \dots, 9, z^2 = z^s z^s, a, b = 0, 1$

after formal T-duality: $x^m \rightarrow \tilde{x}^m$

action becomes exactly quadratic in θ (Kallosh, AT 98)

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \left[\frac{1}{z^2} (\partial^a x^m \partial_a x_m + \partial^a z^s \partial_a z^s) + 4\epsilon^{ab} \bar{\theta} (\partial_a x^m \Gamma_m + \partial_a z^s \Gamma_s) \partial_b \theta \right]$$

starting point of computation of 2-loop string correction

to cusp anomalous dimension (Roiban, AT 07)

check of 2-loop finiteness of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS string

check of BES phase proposal against 2-loop string theory

How to solve quantum string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$?

GS string on supercoset $\frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{SO(1,4) \times SO(5)}$

not of known solvable type (cf. free oscillators; WZW)

analogy with exact solution of $O(n)$ model (Zamolodchikovs) or principal chiral model (Polyakov-Wiegmann; KWZ; ...) ?

– 2d CFT – no mass generation

Try as in flat space –

light-cone gauge: analog of $x^+ = p^+ \tau$, $p^+ = \text{const}$, $\Gamma^+ \theta = 0$

Two natural options:

(i) null geodesic parallel to the boundary in Poincare patch –
action/Hamiltonian quartic in fermions (Metsaev, Thorn, AT, 01)

(ii) null geodesic wrapping S^5 :

hidden $su(2|2) \times su(2|2)$ symmetry

but complicated action (Callan et al, 03;

Arutyunov, Frolov, Plefka, Zamaklar, 05-06)

Common problem:

lack of manifest 2d Lorentz symmetry

hard to apply known 2d integrable field theory methods –

S-matrix depends on two rapidities, not on their difference only
constraints on it are unclear, etc.

An alternative approach: “Pohlmeyer reduction”

use conf. gauge, solve Virasoro conditions in terms of currents,

find “reduced” action for physical number of d.o.f.,

use it as a starting point for quantization

compare to two related models:

I. “non-abelian dual” for PCM

(Zakharov, Mikhailov 78; Nappi 80)

– solve EOM’s in terms of currents,

consider flatness condition (MC) as dynamical

$$L = \text{Tr}(J_a J^a) , \quad J_a = g^{-1} \partial_a g$$

$$\partial_a J^a = 0, \quad \partial_a J_b - \partial_b J_a + [J_a, J_b] = 0$$

Solve EOM by $J_a = \epsilon_{ab} \partial^b \chi$, $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}$

then from flatness (MC)

$$\partial^a \partial_a \chi - \epsilon^{ab} \partial_a \chi \partial_b \chi = 0$$

following from

$$L = \text{Tr}(\partial^a \chi \partial_a \chi + \frac{2}{3} \epsilon^{ab} \chi [\partial_a \chi, \partial_b \chi])$$

corresponds to a gauge-equivalent choice of classical
Lax pair (Mikhailov-Zakharov 78)

But: does not solve Virasoro conditions;

does not define equivalent quantum theory

(Nappi; Fridling, Jevicki 84; Fradkin, AT 85)

Another attempt:

II. FR model (Faddeev, Reshetikhin 86)

express PCM + Virasoro in terms of two

constrained currents as basic variables

fix conf. symm. or add Virasoro for $R_t \times G$ ($X^0 = \mu\tau$)

$$\text{Tr}(J_+ J_+) = \mu^2, \quad \text{Tr}(J_- J_-) = \mu^2$$

in addition to EOM combined with MC into

$$D_- J_+ = 0, \quad D_+ J_- = 0, \quad D_a = \partial_a + [J_a,]$$

e.g. $G = S^3 = SU(2)$: take $n_{\pm}^i = \mu^{-1} J_{\pm}^i$

as two unit vectors to solve Virasoro; action:

$$S = \int d^2\sigma [C_+(n_-) + C_-(n_+) + \mu^2 n_+^i n_-^i],$$

where $C_a(J^i) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dy \epsilon_{ijk} n^i \partial_a n^j \partial_y n^k$

get **first**-order action for $2+2=4$ independent d.o.f.

But: 2d Lorentz invariance is missing – broken by constraints

Remarkably, there is an alternative system with standard
2d Lorentz invariant **second**-order action

for **2** dynamical d.o.f. (1+3-2=2)

describing the same $R_t \times S^3$ string equations of motion

Complex sine-Gordon model found by **Pohlmeyer reduction** (PR)

$$\tilde{S} = \int d^2\sigma [\partial_+\varphi\partial_-\varphi + \cot^2\varphi \partial_+\theta\partial_-\theta + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi]$$

CSG: an example of **non-abelian Toda model** (Leznov, Saveliev):

related to massive integrable perturbation of a coset WZW model

–here $SO(3)/SO(2)$ (Hollowood, Miramontes, Park 94)

quantum-integrable: S-matrix is known (Dorey, Hollowood 95)

Aim: **construct PR version for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring**

(i) introduce new fields locally related to supercoset currents

(ii) solve conformal gauge (Virasoro) condition explicitly

(iii) find local 2d Lorentz-invariant

action for independent (8B+8F) d.o.f

– **fermionic generalization of non-abelian Toda theory**

PR: a nonlocal map that preserves integrable structure

1. gauge-equivalent Lax pairs; map between soliton solutions

gives integrable massive local field theory

2. quantum equivalence to original GS model ?

may expect for full $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string model = **CFT**

3. integrable theory: semiclassical solitonic spectrum

may essentially determine quantum spectrum

the two solitonic S-matrices should be closely related:

Lorentz-invariant S-matrix of PR-model should effectively

give the complicated **magnon S-matrix**

Pohlmeyer reduction: bosonic coset models

Prototypical example: S^2 -sigma model \rightarrow Sine-Gordon theory

$$L = \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m - \Lambda(X^m X^m - 1), \quad m = 1, 2, 3$$

Equations of motion:

$$\partial_+ \partial_- X^m + \Lambda X^m = 0, \quad \Lambda = \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m, \quad X^m X^m = 1$$

Stress tensor: $T_{\pm\pm} = \partial_{\pm} X^m \partial_{\pm} X^m$

$$T_{+-} = 0, \quad \partial_+ T_{--} = 0, \quad \partial_- T_{++} = 0$$

implies $T_{++} = f(\sigma_+)$, $T_{--} = h(\sigma_-)$

using the conformal transformations $\sigma_{\pm} \rightarrow F_{\pm}(\sigma_{\pm})$ can set

$$\partial_+ X^m \partial_+ X^m = \mu^2, \quad \partial_- X^m \partial_- X^m = \mu^2, \quad \mu = \text{const}.$$

3 unit vectors in 3-dimensional Euclidean space:

$$X^m, \quad X_+^m = \mu^{-1} \partial_+ X^m, \quad X_-^m = \mu^{-1} \partial_- X^m,$$

X^m is orthogonal ($X^m \partial_{\pm} X^m = 0$) to both X^m_+ and X^m_-
remaining $SO(3)$ invariant quantity is scalar product

$$\partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m = \mu^2 \cos 2\varphi$$

then $\partial_+ \partial_- \varphi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \sin 2\varphi = 0$

following from **sine-Gordon action** (Pohlmeyer, 1976)

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi$$

2d Lorentz invariant despite explicit constraints

Classical solutions and integrable structures

(Lax pair, Backlund transformations, etc) are directly related

e.g., SG soliton mapped into rotating folded string on S^2

“giant magnon” in the $J = \infty$ limit (Hofman, Maldacena 06)

other examples for CSG (Chen, Dorey, Okamura 06;

Okamura, Suzuki, Hayashi, Vicedo 07;

Jevicki, Spradlin, Volovich, et al 07)

Analogous construction for S^3 model gives

Complex sine-Gordon model (Pohlmeyer; Lund, Regge 76)

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \cot^2 \varphi \partial_+ \theta \partial_- \theta + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi$$

φ, θ are $SO(4)$ -invariants:

$$\mu^2 \cos 2\varphi = \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m$$

$$\mu^3 \sin^2 \varphi \partial_{\pm} \theta = \mp \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{m n k l} X^m \partial_+ X^n \partial_- X^k \partial_{\pm}^2 X^l$$

“String on $R_t \times S^n$ ” interpretation

conformal gauge plus $t = \mu\tau$ to fix conformal diffeomorphisms:

$\partial_{\pm} X^m \partial_{\pm} X^m = \mu^2$ are **Virasoro** constraints

Similar construction for AdS_n case,

i.e. string on $AdS_n \times S_{\psi}^1$ with $\psi = \mu\tau$

e.g. reduced theory for $AdS_3 \times S^1$

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \coth^2 \varphi \partial_+ \chi \partial_- \chi - \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cosh 2\phi$$

Comments:

- Virasoro constraints are solved by a special choice of variables related nonlocally to the original coordinates
- Although the reduction is not explicitly Lorentz invariant the resulting Lagrangian turns out to be 2d Lorentz invariant
- The reduced theory is formulated in terms of manifestly $SO(n)$ invariant variables: “blind” to original global symmetry
- reduced theory is equivalent to the original theory as integrable system: the respective Lax pairs are gauge-equivalent
- PR may be thought of as a formulation in terms of physical d.o.f. – coset space analog of flat-space l.c. gauge (where 2d Lorentz is unbroken)
- In general reduced theory can **not** be quantum-equivalent to the original one (e.g., conformal symmetry was assumed in the reduction procedure)

PR for bosonic F/G -coset model

To find reduced theory for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS model need to understand PR of F/G coset sigma models as G/H gauged WZW models modified by relevant integrable potential and then generalize to GS supercoset

F/G -coset sigma model:

symmetric space condition ($\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}$ are Lie algebras of F and G)

$$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \quad [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}, \quad [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}, \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

with $\langle \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p} \rangle = 0$ (choose $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{Tr}(ab)$)

Lagrangian:

$$L = -\text{Tr}(P_+ P_-), \quad P_{\pm} = (f^{-1} \partial_{\pm} f)_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

$$J = f^{-1} df = \mathcal{A} + P, \quad \mathcal{A} = J_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad P = J_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Symmetries: G gauge transformations $f \rightarrow fg$;

global F -symmetry: $f \rightarrow f_0 f, f_0 = \text{const} \in F$

classical conformal invariance

Equations of motion in terms of currents

let $J = \mathcal{A} + P$ be fundamental variables, not f

$$D_+ P_- = 0, \quad D_- P_+ = 0, \quad D = d + [\mathcal{A}, \] \quad - \text{EOM}$$

$$D_- P_+ - D_+ P_- + [P_+, P_-] + \mathcal{F}_{+-} = 0 \quad - \text{Maurer-Cartan}$$

$$\text{Tr}(P_+ P_+) = -\mu^2, \quad \text{Tr}(P_- P_-) = -\mu^2 \quad - \text{Virasoro}$$

Main idea: – **first** solve EOM and Virasoro and **then** MC

using special choice of G gauge condition and conformal diffs

then find reduced action giving eqs. resulting from MC

gauge fixing that **solves the first Virasoro constraint**

$$P_+ = \mu T = \text{const}, \quad T \in \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{f} \ominus \mathfrak{g}, \quad \text{Tr}(TT) = -1$$

choice of special element $T \rightarrow$ decomposition of the algebra of F

$$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{p} = T \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \quad [T, \mathfrak{h}] = 0,$$

$$[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{m}, \quad [T, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{n}, \quad [T, \mathfrak{n}] \subset \mathfrak{m}.$$

\mathfrak{h} is a centraliser of T in \mathfrak{g}

EOM $D_- P_+ = 0$ is solved by

$$(\mathcal{A}_-)_m = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_- = (\mathcal{A}_-)_\mathfrak{h} \equiv A_-$$

second Virasoro constraint is solved by

$$P_- = \mu g^{-1} T g, \quad g \in G$$

EOM $D_+ P_- = 0$ is solved by

$$A_+ = g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g$$

To summarise:

solved EOM's and Virasoro constraints introducing
new dynamical field variables

G -valued field g , \mathfrak{h} -valued fields A_+ , A_- , $[T, A_\pm] = 0$

what remains is the **Maurer-Cartan** equation on g, A_\pm

Relation to G/H gauged WZW model

Maurer-Cartan equation in terms of new parametrization:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_- (g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g) - \partial_+ A_- \\ + [A_-, g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g] + \mu^2 [g^{-1} T g, T] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Recall: $J = f^{-1} df = \mathcal{A} + P$, $P_+ = \mu T$, $P_- = \mu g^{-1} T g$

$$\mathcal{A}_+ = g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g, \quad \mathcal{A}_- = A_-$$

MC eq. has “on-shell” $H \times H$ gauge symmetry:

$$g \rightarrow h^{-1} g \bar{h},$$

$$A_+ \rightarrow h^{-1} A_+ h + h^{-1} \partial_+ h, \quad A_- \rightarrow \bar{h}^{-1} A_- \bar{h} + \bar{h}^{-1} \partial_- \bar{h},$$

can choose a gauge: $A_+ = (g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g)_{\mathfrak{h}}$,

$$A_- = (-\partial_- g g^{-1} + g A_- g^{-1})_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

remains left-right H gauge symmetry: $h = \bar{h}$

“off-shell” symmetry of corresponding gWZW action

G/H gWZW action with potential:

$$\begin{aligned} L = & - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(g^{-1} \partial_+ g g^{-1} \partial_- g) + \text{WZ term} \\ & - \text{Tr}(A_+ \partial_- g g^{-1} - A_- g^{-1} \partial_+ g - g^{-1} A_+ g A_- + A_+ A_-) \\ & - \mu^2 \text{Tr}(T g^{-1} T g) \end{aligned}$$

Pohlmeyer-reduced theory for F/G coset sigma model

(as first proposed by Bakas, Park, Shin 95)

and thus also for strings on $R_t \times F/G$ or $F/G \times S^1_\psi$

integrable potential: relation at the level of Lax pairs

special case of non-abelian Toda theory:

“**symmetric space Sine-Gordon model**”

(Hollowood, Miramontes et al 96)

Similar reduction for G PCM or $\frac{G \times G}{G}$ coset leads to G/H theory

with $H = [U(1)]^r = \text{Cartan of } G$,

“**homogeneous Sine-Gordon model**”, known to be quantum-integrable

generalizes CSG model ($G = S^3 = SO(3)$)

What to do with A_+ , A_- : integrate out or gauge-fix

Reduced equation of motion in the “on-shell” gauge $A_{\pm} = 0$:

On-shell $\partial_- A_+ - \partial_+ A_- + [A_-, A_+] = 0$ so can set $A_{\pm} = 0$

$$\partial_- (g^{-1} \partial_+ g) - \mu^2 [T, g^{-1} T g] = 0 ,$$

$$(g^{-1} \partial_+ g)_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0 , \quad (\partial_- g g^{-1})_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0 .$$

$F/G = SO(n+1)/SO(n) = S^n$: $G/H = SO(n)/SO(n-1)$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & \dots & k_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sum_{l=1}^n k_l k_l = 1$$

get (in general **non-Lagrangian**) EOM for k_m

$$\partial_- \left(\frac{\partial_+ k_\ell}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{m=2}^n k_m k_m}} \right) = -\mu^2 k_\ell , \quad \ell = 2, \dots, n .$$

Linearising around the **vacuum** $g = 1$ (i.e. $k_1 = 1$, $k_\ell = 0$)

$$\partial_+ \partial_- k_\ell + \mu^2 k_\ell + O(k_\ell^2) = 0$$

massive spectrum: non-trivial S-matrix with H global symmetry

$F/G = SO(n+1)/SO(n) = S^n$:

parametrization of g in Euler angles

$$g = e^{T_{n-2}\theta_{n-2}} \dots e^{T_1\theta_1} e^{2T\varphi} e^{T_1\theta_1} \dots e^{T_{n-2}\theta_{n-2}}$$

and integrating out $H = SO(n-1)$ gauge field A_{\pm}

leads to reduced theory that generalizes SG and CSG

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + G_{pq}(\varphi, \theta) \partial_+ \theta^p \partial_- \theta^q + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi$$

no B_{mn} coupling

gWZW for $G/H = SO(n)/SO(n-1)$

$$ds_{n=2}^2 = d\varphi^2, \quad ds_{n=3}^2 = d\varphi^2 + \cot^2 \varphi d\theta^2$$

ironically, return of old metrics of “de Sitter” or “ S^n ”

gWZW models (Bars, Nemeschansky,...)

$G/H = SO(4)/SO(3)$ (Fradkin, Linetsky 91)

$$ds_{n=4}^2 = d\varphi^2 + \cot^2 \varphi (d\theta_1 + \cot \theta_1 \tan \theta_2 d\theta_2)^2 + \tan^2 \varphi \frac{d\theta_2^2}{\sin^2 \theta_1}$$

change of variables $x = \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2$, $y = \sin \theta_2$

$$ds_{n=4}^2 = d\varphi^2 + \frac{\cot^2 \varphi dx^2 + \tan^2 \varphi dy^2}{1 - x^2 - y^2}$$

$G/H = SO(5)/SO(4)$ (Bars, Sfetsos 92)

$$ds_{n=5}^2 = d\varphi^2 + \cot^2 \varphi (d\theta_1 + U d\theta_2 + V d\theta_3)^2 \\ + \tan^2 \varphi \left[\frac{d\theta_2^2}{\cos^2 \theta_1} + \frac{d\theta_3^2}{\sin^2 \theta_1} \right]$$

$$U = \frac{\tan \theta_1 \sin 2\theta_2}{\cos 2\theta_2 + \cos 2\theta_3}, \quad V = \frac{\cot \theta_1 \sin 2\theta_3}{\cos 2\theta_2 + \cos 2\theta_3}$$

no isometries, singularities

similar for $F/G = SO(2, n-1)/SO(1, n-1) = AdS_n$ case:

$G/H = SO(1, n-1)/SO(n-1)$

Bosonic strings on $AdS_n \times S^n$

straightforward generalization:

Lagrangian and the Virasoro constraints

$$L = \text{Tr}(P_+^A P_-^A) - \text{Tr}(P_+^S P_-^S),$$

$$\text{Tr}(P_\pm^S P_\pm^S) - \text{Tr}(P_\pm^A P_\pm^A) = 0$$

fix conformal symmetry by

$$\text{Tr}(P_\pm^S P_\pm^S) = \text{Tr}(P_\pm^A P_\pm^A) = -\mu^2$$

then PR applies independently in each sector:

get direct sum of reduced systems for S^n and AdS_n

linked by Virasoro, i.e. common μ

e.g. for $F/G = AdS_2 \times S^2$:

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi)$$

$AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring sigma-model

$$AdS_5 \times S^5 = \frac{SU(2,2)}{Sp(2,2)} \times \frac{SU(4)}{Sp(4)}$$

supercoset GS sigma model (Metsaev, AT 98)

$$\frac{\widehat{F}}{G} = \frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{Sp(2,2) \times Sp(4)}$$

basic superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = psu(2, 2|4)$

bosonic part $\mathfrak{f} = su(2, 2) \oplus su(4) \cong so(2, 4) \oplus so(6)$

admits Z_4 -grading: (Berkovits, Bershadsky, et al 89)

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathfrak{f}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_3, \quad [\mathfrak{f}_i, \mathfrak{f}_j] \subset \mathfrak{f}_{i+j \bmod 4}$$

$$\mathfrak{f}_0 = \mathfrak{g} = sp(2, 2) \oplus sp(4)$$

current ($J = f^{-1} \partial_a f$, $f \in \widehat{F}$) decomposes as

$$J_a = f^{-1} \partial_a f = \mathcal{A}_a + Q_{1a} + P_a + Q_{2a}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{f}_0, \quad Q_1 \in \mathfrak{f}_1, \quad P \in \mathfrak{f}_2, \quad Q_2 \in \mathfrak{f}_3.$$

GS Lagrangian:

$$L_{\text{GS}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{STr}(\sqrt{-g}g^{ab} P_a P_b + \varepsilon^{ab} Q_{1a} Q_{2b}),$$

very simple structure – but not standard coset model:

fermionic currents in WZ term only

this leads to local fermionic κ -symmetry:

$$\delta_\kappa J_a = \partial_a \epsilon + [J_a, \epsilon]$$

$$(\delta_\kappa \sqrt{-g}g^{ab})^{ab} = \text{STr} \left(W([ik_{1(-)}^a, Q_{1(-)}^b] + [ik_{2(+)}^a, Q_{2(+)}^b]) \right)$$

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \{P_{(+)a}, ik_{1(-)}^a\} + \{P_{(-)a}, ik_{2(+)}^a\}$$

self-dual 2-vector parameters $k_{1(-)}$ and $k_{2(+)}$

take values in the degree 1 and degree 3 subspaces of $u(2, 2|4)$

$$W = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$$

$$V_{(\pm)}^a \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{ab} \mp \varepsilon^{ab})V_b$$

conformal gauge: $\sqrt{-g}g^{ab} = \eta^{ab}$

$$L_{\text{GS}} = \text{STr}[P_+ P_- + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{1+} Q_{2-} - Q_{1-} Q_{2+})]$$

$$\text{STr}(P_+ P_+) = 0, \quad \text{STr}(P_- P_-) = 0$$

In terms of current $J = \mathcal{A} + P + Q_1 + Q_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EOM} : \quad \partial_+ P_- + [\mathcal{A}_+, P_-] + [Q_{2+}, Q_{2-}] &= 0, \\ \partial_- P_+ + [\mathcal{A}_-, P_+] + [Q_{1-}, Q_{1+}] &= 0, \\ [P_+, Q_{1-}] = 0, \quad [P_-, Q_{2+}] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Virasoro} : \quad \text{STr}(P_+ P_+) = 0, \quad \text{STr}(P_- P_-) = 0$$

$$\text{MC} : \quad \partial_- J_+ - \partial_+ J_- + [J_-, J_+] = 0.$$

PR procedure: solve first EOM and Virasoro

κ -gauge condition: $Q_{1-} = 0, \quad Q_{2+} = 0$

solves the last (fermionic) pair of EOM

remaining EOM:

$$\partial_+ P_- + [\mathcal{A}_+, P_-] = 0, \quad \partial_- P_+ + [\mathcal{A}_-, P_+] = 0$$

Maurer-Cartan:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \mathcal{A}_- - \partial_- \mathcal{A}_+ + [\mathcal{A}_+, \mathcal{A}_-] + [P_+, P_-] + [Q_{1+}, Q_{2-}] &= 0, \\ \partial_- Q_{1+} + [\mathcal{A}_-, Q_{1+}] - [P_+, Q_{2-}] &= 0, \\ \partial_+ Q_{2-} + [\mathcal{A}_+, Q_{2-}] - [P_-, Q_{1+}] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

as in the bosonic F/G case can fix the “reduction gauge”

$$P_+ = \mu T, \quad T = \frac{i}{2} \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1 | 1, 1, -1, -1)$$

$$P_- = \mu g^{-1} T g, \quad \mathcal{A}_+ = g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g, \quad \mathcal{A}_- = A_-$$

T defines \mathfrak{h} by $[\mathfrak{h}, T] = 0$:

$$\mathfrak{h} = su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus su(2)$$

new parametrisation: $G = Sp(2, 2) \times Sp(4)$ -valued field g

and \mathfrak{h} -valued field A_\pm

MC eqs. become:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_- (g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g) - \partial_+ A_- + [A_-, g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g] \\ = -\mu^2 [g^{-1} T g, T] + [Q_{1+}, Q_{2-}], \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_- Q_{1+} + [A_-, Q_{1+}] = \mu [T, Q_{2-}],$$

$$\partial_+ Q_{2-} + [g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g, Q_{2-}] = \mu [g^{-1} T g, Q_{1+}]$$

*AdS*₅ and *S*⁵ sectors now coupled by fermions

remains residual κ -symmetry to be fixed

use T to generalise decomposition of bosonic part

$\mathfrak{f} = T \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ to superalgebra $psu(2, 2|4)$

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\parallel} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\perp}, \quad [T, [T, \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\perp}]] = 0$$

define

$$\Psi_1 = Q_{1+}, \quad \Psi_2 = g Q_{2-} g^{-1}$$

$\Psi_1^{\perp}, \Psi_2^{\perp}$ can be set =0 by residual κ -symmetry

remaining fermionic components

$$\Psi_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \Psi_1^\parallel, \quad \Psi_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \Psi_2^\parallel,$$

transform under $H \times H$ as $\Psi_R \rightarrow \bar{h}^{-1} \Psi_R \bar{h}$, $\Psi_L \rightarrow h^{-1} \Psi_L h$.

equations of motion of reduced theory are thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_- (g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g) - \partial_+ A_- + [A_-, g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g] \\ = -\mu^2 [g^{-1} T g, T] - \mu [g^{-1} \Psi_L g, \Psi_R], \end{aligned}$$

$$[T, D_- \Psi_R] = -\mu (g^{-1} \Psi_L g)^\parallel, \quad [T, D_+ \Psi_L] = -\mu (g \Psi_R g^{-1})^\parallel.$$

Lagrangian of PR theory for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring

(Grigoriev, AT 07; related work: Mikhailov, Schafer-Nameki 07)
fermionic generalization of “gWZW+ potential” theory for

$$\frac{G}{H} = \frac{Sp(2,2)}{SU(2) \times SU(2)} \times \frac{Sp(4)}{SU(2) \times SU(2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L_{\text{gWZW}}(g, A_+, A_-) + \mu^2 \text{STr}(g^{-1} T g T) \\ &+ \text{STr}(\Psi_L [T, D_+ \Psi_L] + \Psi_R [T, D_- \Psi_R]) \\ &+ \mu \text{STr}(g^{-1} \Psi_L g \Psi_R) \end{aligned}$$

direct sum of PR theories for AdS_5 and S^5

“glued together” by components of fermions

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \tilde{L}_{S^5}(g, A_+, A_-) + \tilde{L}_{AdS_5}(g, A_+, A_-) \\ &+ \psi_L D_+ \psi_L + \psi_R D_+ \psi_R + \mu \text{ (interaction terms)} \end{aligned}$$

all gauge symmetries fixed; standard kin. terms (cf. GS action)

The corresponding Lax pair encoding the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_- &= \partial_- + A_- + \ell^{-1} \sqrt{\mu} g^{-1} \Psi_L g + \ell^{-2} \mu g^{-1} T g, \\ \mathcal{L}_+ &= \partial_+ + g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g + \ell \sqrt{\mu} \Psi_R + \ell^2 \mu T.\end{aligned}$$

use that $[T, [T, \Psi_{L,R}]] = -\Psi_{L,R}$

Comments:

- gWZW model coupled to the fermions interacting minimally and through the “Yukawa term”
- 8 real bosonic and 16 real fermionic independent variables
- 2d Lorentz invariant with Ψ_R, Ψ_L as 2d Majorana spinors
- 2d supersymmetry? yes, at the linearised level, and yes in $AdS_2 \times S^2$ case: $n = 2$ super sine-Gordon
- μ -dependent interaction terms are equal to original GS Lagrangian; gWZW produces MC eq.: path integral derivation via change from fields to currents?
- quadratic in fermions (like susy version of gWZW); integrating out A_{\pm} gives quartic fermionic terms (reflecting curvature)
- linearisation of EOM in the gauge $A_{\pm} = 0$ around $g = 1$ describes 8+8 massive bosonic and fermionic d.o.f. with mass μ : same as in BMN limit
- symmetry of resulting **relativistic** S-matrix: $H = [SU(2)]^4$ – same as bosonic part of magnon S-matrix symmetry $[PSU(2|2)]^2$

Example: superstring on $AdS_2 \times S^2$

Explicit parametrisation:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \phi & \sinh \phi & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh \phi & \cosh \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \varphi & i \sin \varphi \\ 0 & 0 & i \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Psi_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ 0 & i\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho \\ 0 & 0 & -i\nu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu & 0 & 0 \\ i\rho & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

PR Lagrangian: same as $n = 2$ supersymmetric sine-Gordon!

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L} = & \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi) \\ & + \beta \partial_- \beta + \gamma \partial_- \gamma + \nu \partial_+ \nu + \rho \partial_+ \rho \\ & - 2\mu [\cosh \phi \cos \varphi (\beta \nu + \gamma \rho) + \sinh \phi \sin \varphi (\beta \rho - \gamma \nu)] . \end{aligned}$$

indeed, equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L} = & \partial_+ \Phi \partial_- \Phi^* - |W'(\Phi)|^2 \\ & + \psi_L^* \partial_+ \psi_L + \psi_R^* \partial_- \psi_R + [W''(\Phi) \psi_L \psi_R + W^{*''}(\Phi^*) \psi_L^* \psi_R^*] . \end{aligned}$$

bosonic part is of $AdS_2 \times S^2$ bosonic reduced model if

$$W(\Phi) = \mu \cos \Phi , \quad |W'(\Phi)|^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cosh 2\phi - \cos 2\varphi) .$$

$$\psi_L = \nu + i\rho , \quad \psi_R = -\beta + i\gamma ,$$

Example: superstring on $AdS_3 \times S^3$

Green-Schwarz superstring on $AdS_3 \times S^3$

supported by RR 3-form flux: coset model

$$\frac{PSU(1, 1|2) \times PSU(1, 1|2)}{SU(2) \times SU(1, 1)}$$

superalgebra $psu(1, 1|2)$ admits a Z_4 -grading

complexified algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{psl}(2|2) \oplus \mathfrak{psl}(2|2)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & \delta & d \end{pmatrix}$$

a, c, b, d are 2×2 bosonic matrices from $sl(2)$; $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are complex fermionic matrices.

The antiautomorphism determining the Z_4 structure

$$M^\Omega = -\mathbf{K}^{-1} M^T \mathbf{K}, \quad \mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ K & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & \delta & d \end{pmatrix}^{\Omega} = - \begin{pmatrix} c^t & -\delta^t & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma^t & d^t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^t & -\beta^t \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^t & b^t \end{pmatrix}$$

Z_4 components $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_l^{\mathbb{C}}$ are eigenspaces of Ω :

$$M^{\Omega} = i^k M, \quad M \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_k^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_1^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_2^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_3^{\mathbb{C}}$$

Ω induces the Z_4 decomposition of $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = psu(1, 1|2) \oplus psu(1, 1|2)$

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_1 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_2 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_3, \quad [\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_i, \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_j] \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{i+j \bmod 4}.$$

GS Lagrangian: in terms of Z_4 -components of $J_{\pm} = \widehat{f}^{-1} \partial_{\pm} \widehat{f}$

$$J_{\pm} = \mathcal{A}_{\pm} + P_{\pm} + Q_{1\pm} + Q_{2\pm},$$

$$\mathcal{A} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0, \quad Q_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_1, \quad P \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_2, \quad Q_2 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_3$$

$$L_{\text{GS}} = \text{STr} \left[P_+ P_- + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{1+} Q_{2-} - Q_{1-} Q_{2+}) \right],$$

conformal gauge constraints: $\text{STr}(P_+ P_+) = 0$ and $\text{STr}(P_- P_-) = 0$

κ -symmetry partially fixed by the gauge condition

$$Q_{1-} = 0, \quad Q_{2+} = 0$$

explicit choice of $T \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0$

$$T = \text{diag}(t, t^T), \quad t = \frac{i}{2} \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1).$$

choice of T induces decomposition

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^\perp \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^\parallel \text{ in each } \mathfrak{psu}(1, 1|2): \widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^\parallel \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^\perp, P^\parallel = -[T, [T, \cdot]]$$

Reduced theory is a fermionic generalization of 2 copies of

$$G/H = [SU(1, 1) \times SU(2)]/[U(1) \times U(1)]$$

Reduced theory Lagrangian

$$L_{tot} = L_{\text{gWZW}}(g, A) + \mu^2 \text{STr}(g^{-1} T g T) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \text{STr}(\Psi_1 [T, D_+ \Psi_1] + \Psi_2 [T, D_- \Psi_2]) + \mu \text{STr}(g^{-1} \Psi_1 g \Psi_2),$$

$g \in SU(1,1) \times SU(2)$, $A_{\pm} \in u(1) \oplus u(1)$, Ψ_1, Ψ_2 related to ()^{||}
parts of fermionic currents Q_{1+}, Q_{2-}

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_A & 0 \\ 0 & g_S \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi_{1,2} \\ i\psi_{1,2}^\dagger \sigma_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$g_A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\chi} \cosh \phi & \sinh \phi \\ \sinh \phi & e^{-i\chi} \cosh \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_S = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi & e^{-i\theta} \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda + i\nu \\ \rho + i\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha + i\beta \\ \gamma + i\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Solving for gauge fields A_{\pm}

$$L_{tot} = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 = L_B + \text{fermionic terms}$$

bosonic terms: direct sum of the CSG action and its “hyperbolic”
counterpart – reduced bosonic string in $AdS_3 \times S^3$:

$$L_B = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \cot^2 \varphi \partial_+ \theta \partial_- \theta \\
+ \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \coth^2 \phi \partial_+ \chi \partial_- \chi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi)$$

$$L_1 = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos 2\varphi) \partial_+ \theta \partial_- \theta \\ + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi - \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cosh 2\phi) \partial_+ \chi \partial_- \chi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi) .$$

$$L_2 = \alpha \partial_- \alpha + \beta \partial_- \beta + \gamma \partial_- \gamma + \delta \partial_- \delta + \lambda \partial_+ \lambda + \nu \partial_+ \nu + \rho \partial_+ \rho + \sigma \partial_+ \sigma \\ - 2\mu \left(\sinh \phi \sin \varphi (\lambda \beta - \nu \alpha + \rho \delta - \sigma \gamma) + \cosh \phi \cos \varphi \left[\cos (\chi + \theta) (\sigma \alpha - \rho \beta \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \lambda \delta - \nu \gamma) + \sin (\chi + \theta) (\rho \alpha + \sigma \beta - \lambda \gamma - \nu \delta) \right] \right)$$

$$L_3 = \frac{[\partial_+ \chi (1 + \cosh 2\phi) - 2(\alpha \beta - \gamma \delta)][\partial_- \chi (1 + \cosh 2\phi) + 2(\lambda \nu - \rho \sigma)]}{2(\cosh 2\phi - 1)} \\ + \frac{[\partial_+ \theta (1 + \cos 2\varphi) + 2(\alpha \beta - \gamma \delta)][\partial_- \theta (1 + \cos 2\varphi) - 2(\lambda \nu - \rho \sigma)]}{2(1 - \cos 2\varphi)} .$$

identify the fermions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and $\lambda, \nu, \rho, \sigma$ with 2d MW spinors –
2d supersymmetry ?

Yes for consistent truncation $\chi = \theta = 0$, $\beta = \delta = \lambda = \rho = 0 \rightarrow$
reduced Lagrangian for the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ superstring

$$L = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi) + \alpha \partial_- \alpha + \gamma \partial_- \gamma \\
+ \nu \partial_+ \nu + \sigma \partial_+ \sigma - 2\mu [\cosh \phi \cos \varphi (\gamma \nu - \alpha \sigma) + \sinh \phi \sin \varphi (\gamma \sigma + \alpha \nu)]$$

equivalent to $N = 2$ super SG:

$$L = \partial_+ \Phi \partial_- \Phi^* - |W'(\Phi)|^2 + \psi_L^* \partial_+ \psi_L + \psi_R^* \partial_- \psi_R \\
+ [W''(\Phi) \psi_L \psi_R + W^{*''}(\Phi^*) \psi_L^* \psi_R^*],$$

$$\Phi = \varphi + i\phi, \psi_L = \nu + i\sigma, \psi_R = -\gamma - i\alpha, W = \mu \cos \Phi.$$

CSG model and its “hyperbolic” analog each
admit $N = 2$ supersymmetric extensions:

interpret $\xi \equiv \ln \cos \varphi + i\theta$ and $\eta \equiv \ln \cosh \phi + i\chi$ as
complex scalar components of chiral superfields

$$\text{using that } d\varphi^2 + \cot^2 \varphi d\theta^2 = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \xi \partial \bar{\xi}} d\xi d\bar{\xi},$$

$$d\phi^2 + \coth^2 \phi d\chi^2 = \frac{\partial^2 K'}{\partial \eta \partial \bar{\eta}} d\eta d\bar{\eta}:$$

K and K' are then Kahler potentials and

μe^ξ and μe^η as superpotentials

But resulting $N = 2$ supersymmetric Lagrangian

is direct sum of two decoupled $N = 2$ theories –

not equivalent to L_{tot}

(e.g., does not admit the $N = 2$ SG truncation)

2d susy of L_{tot} remains open question...

Open questions

- Quantum equivalence of reduced theory and GS theory?

Check of UV finiteness? Yes in $AdS_2 \times S^2$. In $AdS_3 \times S^3$?

- Path integral argument of equivalence?

Potential term is original action

$$\text{Tr}(P_+ P_-) = \mu^2 \text{Tr}(Tg^{-1}Tg)$$

while gWZW should come from change of variables.

Rough idea: string in $R_t \times F/G$ coset

$$L = -(\partial t)^2 + \text{Tr}(f^{-1}df + B)^2, \quad f \in F, \quad B \in \mathfrak{g}$$

string path integral in conformal+ $t = \mu\tau$ gauge:

$$\int Df DB \delta(T_{++} - \mu^2) \delta(T_{--} - \mu^2) e^{iI(f,B)}$$

then replace $f^{-1}df$ by C

$$\int DC DB Dv \delta(T_{++} - \mu^2) \delta(T_{--} - \mu^2) \exp[i \int (C+B)^2 + v(dC + C \wedge C)]$$

set $(C + B)_+ = \mu T$, $(C + B)_- = \mu g^{-1} T g$; change from C, B, v to $g \in G, A \in \mathfrak{h}$: $[\mathfrak{h}, T] = 0$

Transformation may work only in genuine quantum-conformal $(AdS_n \times S^n)$ case.

- Indication of equivalence: semiclassical expansion
near analog of (S, J) rigid string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ leads to the same characteristic frequencies – same 1-loop partition function (Roiban, AT 08, to appear)
- Tree-level S-matrix for elementary excitations?
Manifest $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$ symmetry?
Hidden bigger symmetry? Relation to magnon S-matrix in BA?
- better understanding the relationship between the original and the reduced system: symmetries, vacua, values of conserved charges, etc.; which observables can be related?

Conclusion

Pohlmeyer reduction seems most promising approach
towards solution of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS superstring
Uncovers remarkable connection to a fermionic
(2d supersymmetric? UV finite?)
integrable deformation of a gWZW model
solvable by Bethe Ansatz?
same BA as on gauge theory side ?
appears to be very likely...

Some additional remarks

Lax pair for a coset model:

found from the zero curvature condition $d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$ for Lax connection

$$\omega = d\sigma^+(\mathcal{A}_+ + \ell P_+) + d\sigma^-(\mathcal{A}_- + \ell^{-1} P_-),$$

$$[\partial_+ + \mathcal{A}_+ + \ell P_+, \partial_- + \mathcal{A}_- + \ell^{-1} P_-] = 0,$$

ℓ is a spectral parameter. The equations of motion follow as the coefficients of order ℓ^{-1} and ℓ terms. The coefficient of the order ℓ^0 term is the \mathfrak{g} -component of the zero curvature condition for the connection $J = \mathcal{A} + P$.

$$M(\ell) = P \exp \int_{(-\infty, t)}^{(\infty, t)} \omega(\ell)$$

conserved charges – coefficients of expansion in ℓ

Matrix superalgebras

The algebra $Mat(n|l; \Lambda)$ is that of $(n + l) \times (n + l)$ matrices over Λ whose diagonal block entries are even elements of Grassmann algebra Λ while off-diagonal block entries are odd. The supertransposition st is defined as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ Y & B \end{pmatrix} {}^{st} = \begin{pmatrix} A^t & -Y^t \\ X^t & B^t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (MN) {}^{st} = N {}^{st} M {}^{st}.$$

$$(M {}^{st}) {}^{st} = W M W, \quad W = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$$

A real form of a complex matrix Lie (super)algebra: antilinear anti-automorphism $*$

$$(MN)^* = M^* N^*, \quad (M^*)^* = M, \quad (aM)^* = \bar{a} M^*, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}$$

The real subspace of elements satisfying $M^* = -M$ is then a real Lie superalgebra.

The case of $n = l$, i.e. $Mat(n|n, \Lambda)$. define $*$ on arbitrary super-

matrices according to $M^* = \Sigma^{-1}M^\dagger\Sigma$

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ Y & B \end{pmatrix}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A^\dagger & -iY^\dagger \\ -iX^\dagger & B^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Sigma^2 = \mathbf{1}$ and $\Sigma^\dagger = \Sigma$. Note that $(MN)^\dagger = N^\dagger M^\dagger$ and $(M^\dagger)^\dagger = M$.
 $(M^\dagger)^{st} = W(M^{st})^\dagger W$

To define Z_4 anti-automorphism consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ Y & B \end{pmatrix}^\Omega = - \begin{pmatrix} K^{-1}A^tK & -K^{-1}Y^tK \\ K^{-1}X^tK & K^{-1}B^tK \end{pmatrix}$$

where $K^2 = \pm\mathbf{1}$ and $K^t = \pm K^{-1}$.

$$M^\Omega = -\mathbf{K}^{-1}M^{st}\mathbf{K}, \quad \mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}, \quad (MN)^\Omega = -N^\Omega M^\Omega$$

explicit form in the case of $psu(2, 2|4)$

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}$ admits Z_4 grading if can be decomposed

$$\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{f}_0^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_2^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_3^{\mathbb{C}}$$

where $\mathfrak{f}_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the eigenspace with eigenvalue i^m

$$M^{\Omega} = i^m M, \quad ([M, N])^{\Omega} = i^{m+n} [M, N], \quad M \in \mathfrak{f}_m^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad N \in \mathfrak{f}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$$

Ω is compatible with the reality condition $(M^*)^{\Omega} = i^m M^*$

Superalgebra $\mathfrak{psu}(2, 2|4)$

$\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)$ is spanned by 8×8 matrices M : in terms of 4×4 blocks

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ Y & D \end{pmatrix}$$

required to have vanishing supertrace $\text{str} M = \text{tr} A - \text{tr} D = 0$ and to satisfy the following reality condition

$$HM + M^\dagger H = 0, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

A and D span the subalgebras $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(4)$, while $Y = X^\dagger \Sigma$

$\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)$ also contains the $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ generator $i\mathbb{I}$

the bosonic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)$ is

$$\mathfrak{su}(2, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$$

$\mathfrak{psu}(2, 2|4)$ is defined as the quotient algebra of $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)$

over this $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ factor

$\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)$ has \mathbb{Z}_4 grading

$$M = M^{(0)} \oplus M^{(1)} \oplus M^{(2)} \oplus M^{(3)}$$

defined by the automorphism $M \rightarrow \Omega(M)$

$$\Omega(M) = \begin{pmatrix} KA^tK & -KY^tK \\ KX^tK & KD^tK \end{pmatrix},$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$M^{(0)}$ is the $\mathfrak{so}(4, 1) \times \mathfrak{so}(5)$ subalgebra

$M^{(2)}$ is the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ coset

$M^{(1)}, M^{(3)}$ contain odd fermionic variables

Dirac matrices for $SO(4,1)$ and $SO(5)$ γ_a and Γ_a , $a = 1, \dots, 5$

$$K\gamma_a^tK = -\gamma_a, \quad K\Gamma_a^tK = -\Gamma_a$$

span the orthogonal complements to $\mathfrak{so}(4,1)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(5)$

Coset Representative (Arutyunov et al 05)

$$g = g(\theta, \eta)g(x, y)$$

$g(x, y)$ describes an embedding of AdS into $SU(2,2) \times SU(4)$

$$g(x, y) = \underbrace{\exp \frac{1}{2} (x_a \gamma_a)}_{g(x)} \underbrace{\exp \frac{i}{2} (y_a \Gamma_a)}_{g(y)}$$

x_a parametrize the AdS_5 space while $y_a - S^5$

$g(x, y)$ is 8 by 8 block-diagonal matrix:

upper 4 by 4 block $g(x)$, and lower block $g(y)$

$g(\theta, \eta)$ incorporates the original 32 fermionic degrees of freedom

$$g(\theta, \eta) = \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta^5 & \eta^6 & \eta^7 & \eta^8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta^1 & \eta^2 & \eta^3 & \eta^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta^1 & \theta^2 & \theta^3 & \theta^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta^5 & \theta^6 & \theta^7 & \theta^8 \\ \eta_5 & \eta_1 & -\theta_1 & -\theta_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \eta_6 & \eta_2 & -\theta_2 & -\theta_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \eta_7 & \eta_3 & -\theta_3 & -\theta_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \eta_8 & \eta_4 & -\theta_4 & -\theta_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here θ^i and η^i are $8 + 8$ complex fermions: $\theta^{i*} = \theta_i, \eta^{i*} = \eta_i$

alternative: $g = \text{diag}(g_a, g_s)$

in terms of 6+6 embedding coordinates of AdS_5 and S^5 in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ and \mathbb{R}^6

$$g_a(v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iv_5 - v_6 & v_1 - iv_4 & -iv_2 - v_3 \\ iv_5 + v_6 & 0 & -iv_2 + v_3 & v_1 + iv_4 \\ -v_1 + iv_4 & iv_2 - v_3 & 0 & iv_5 - v_6 \\ iv_2 + v_3 & -v_1 - iv_4 & -iv_5 + v_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g_s(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iu_5 - u_6 & -iu_1 - u_4 & -u_2 + iu_3 \\ iu_5 + u_6 & 0 & -u_2 - iu_3 & -iu_1 + u_4 \\ iu_1 + u_4 & u_2 + iu_3 & 0 & iu_5 - u_6 \\ u_2 - iu_3 & iu_1 - u_4 & -iu_5 + u_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2 + v_4^2 - v_5^2 - v_6^2 = -1$$

$$u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + u_4^2 + u_5^2 + u_6^2 = 1$$

so $g_a(v)$ and $g_s(u)$ belong to $\text{SU}(2,2)$ and $\text{SU}(4)$ respectively:

on (u, v) conformal and $SO(6)$ transformations act linearly