

# Sr optical lattice clock: hyperpolarizability effects and preliminary accuracy evaluation

### A. Brusch, R. Le Targat, X. Baillard, M. Fouché, O. Tcherbakoff, G.D. Rovera and P.Lemonde







CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

### <sup>87</sup>Sr Optical lattice clock

- □ Optical lattice clock with atoms confined in an optical lattice
- □ Expected ultimate fractional accuracy: 10<sup>-18</sup>
- □ Lattice @ "magic wavelength" => cancellation of first order differential light shift



### **Differential light shift cancellation ?**

 $\Box$  U<sub>0</sub>=10 E<sub>r</sub> (36 kHz) is enough to cancel motional frequency shift

P. Lemonde, P. Wolf, Phys. Rev. A, 72 033409 (2005)

Accuracy of  $10^{-18} \iff$  Control at a level of  $10^{-8}$  x Light shift

□ Neutral atoms in an optical lattice :

$$\hbar\nu = \hbar\nu^{(0)} - \frac{1}{4}\Delta\alpha(\mathbf{e},\omega)E^2 - \frac{1}{64}\Delta\gamma(\mathbf{e},\omega)E^4 - \dots$$

□ At the magic wavelength, the first order term cancels

 $\Box$  Higher order terms : Hyperpolarizability => Scale as  $E^4 \alpha U_0^2$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Feasibility is conditioned by the magnitude of higher order effects



### Hyperpolarizability effects on the clock frequency



Need for an experimental evaluation of the effect



## **Optical lattice**

R<5%

@ 698 nm

λ/4 pol.

vac.

windows

Need for high peak intensity > 100 kW/cm<sup>2</sup>

- Laser Ti:sapph ~ 7-800 mW @ 813 nm
- Linear build-up cavity
  - Finesse ~ 100
  - Waist 89µm
  - Peak intensity ~ 400 kW/cm<sup>2</sup>
- Linear polarization (to within ~ 10<sup>-4</sup>)
- probe transmission @ 698 nm

Max trapping depth 1400  $E_R \sim 4,5$  MHz ~ 200  $\mu$ K

bservatoire

 $\omega_{trap,z} = 2\pi.250\,\mathrm{kHz}$ 

 $\omega_{trap,x} = \omega_{trap,y} = 2\pi.540 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ 

INF-SYRTF

### Servo-loop system



## loading the dipole trap



## loading the dipole trap



## Narrow line cooling in the dipole trap



## **Clock transition spectroscopy**





## Detection









### **Atomic carrier resonance**



Systèmes de Référence Temps-Espace

**NF-SYRTF** 

bservatoire

## Measurement of the frequency shift due to the trap

#### -Differential measurement atoms-cavity vs trapping depth



### First order light shift



Measurements done at different wavelengths and different depths



### Second order light shift near the <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub>-<sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub> transition



### Second order light shift near the <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub>-<sup>3</sup>F<sub>2</sub> transition



Contribution of this resonance @  $\lambda_m < 2 \mu Hz / E_R^2$  (0,2 mHz @ 10  $E_r$ )



Second order light shift near the <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub>-<sup>3</sup>F<sub>2</sub> transition



Quadratic shift clearly visible once the first order term has been removed



F-SYRTF

### Hyperpolarizability effects at $\lambda_m$



- Hyperpolarizability shift of -4 (4)  $\mu$ Hz/E<sub>r</sub><sup>2</sup> (-0.4(4) mHz @ 10 E<sub>r</sub>), corresponding to a -1(1).10<sup>-18</sup> relative frequency shift @ 10 E<sub>r</sub>
- This effect will not limit the clock accuracy down to the 10<sup>-18</sup> level

Systèmes de Référence Temps-Espace

oservatoire

A. Brusch et al. PRL 96, 103003, 2006

### **Frequency chain**



### **1st order Zeeman effect**



### **Residual light shift**



### Pulling by transverse sidebands





### **Cold collisions**





Systèmes de Référence Temps-Espace

′RTF

### Accuracy budget

Effect	Correction (Hz)	Uncertainty (Hz)	Fractional Uncertainty (10 <sup>-14</sup> )
First order Zeeman	0	5	1.2
Lattice AC Stark shift (400 Er)	4.5	0.9	0.2
Lattice 2nd order Stark shift (400 Er)	0.6	0.6	0.1
Line pulling (transverse sidebands)	0	1	0.2
Cold collisions	1	1	0.2
BBR shift	2.4	<1	<0.1
Total	8.5 Hz	5.3 Hz	<b>1.2 10</b> <sup>-14</sup>



### **Frequency measurements**



v<sub>1S0-3P0</sub>=429 228 004 229 879 (5) Hz



Systèmes de Référence Temps-Espace

**INF-SYRTF** 

# €: LNE, CNES,ESA, DGA