Cosmology with the 21 cm line

Jonathan Pritchard (CfA)

Collaborators: Steve Furlanetto (UCLA) Avi Loeb (Harvard) Mario Santos (CENTRA - IST) Alex Amblard (UCI) Hy Trac (CfA) Renyue Cen (Princeton) Asantha Cooray (UCI) Elena Pierpaoli (USC)

Galileo Galilei

- 400 years ago Galileo used new technology (the telescope) to open a new window onto the heavens
 - Resolved Milky Way into stars
 - Lunar features

GGI

Jan 2009

New moons of Jupiter



21 cm interferometers very much driven by new computing power and hope to open new window of sensitivity in an old wavelength band
important to know where to look

Overview



- 1. 21 cm overview
- 2. Reionization (dreams)
 - neutrino mass
 - inflation
- 3. Reionization (caveats)
- 4. Post-reionization
- 5. Dark ages



•21 cm spin temperature

$$T_S^{-1} = \frac{T_{\gamma}^{-1} + x_{\alpha}T_{\alpha}^{-1} + x_cT_K^{-1}}{1 + x_{\alpha} + x_c}$$

Coupling mechanisms: Radiative transitions (CMB) Collisions Wouthuysen-Field



Thermal history



Furlanetto 2006 Pritchard & Loeb 2008

Jan 2009 Measuring the global signal

- Isotropic signal means single dipole experiment possible
- Integration times ~100 days for z<20
- Longer for weak dark ages signal



Jester & Falcke 2008

• Foregrounds and systematics are the problem...

Foregrounds

• Many foregrounds

GGI

Jan 2009

- Galactic synchrotron
- Terrestrial RFI
- Radio recombination lines
- Radio point sources
- Foregrounds dwarf signal: foregrounds ~1000s K vs 10s mK signal
- Strong frequency dependence $T_{sky} \propto v^{-2.6}$
- Foreground removal exploits smoothness in nu
 signal needs features



Wang, Tegmark, Santos, Knox 2005

EDGES

EDGES







Bowman, Rogers, & Hewitt 2008

Jan 2009 Other sharp global features?

- •End of reionization
- •End of dark ages / onset of Lya pumping
- X-ray heating by stellar remnants
- Rapid heating from exotic processes during dark ages?
 - decaying dark matter
 - evaporating primordial black holes
- ???





Temporal evolution

GGI

Jan 2009



Pritchard & Loeb 2008

21 cm experiments

Current









Future







Group dipoles into tiles Reduce computational cost Lose number of UV baselines Regular grid of dipoles FFTT to correlate Greater sensitivity

$$N_{\text{tile}} < < N_{\text{dipole}}$$
$$T_{\text{RMS}} = \frac{D_{\text{max}}^2 T_{\text{sys}}}{A_{\text{eff}} \sqrt{N(N-1) N_{\text{IF}} t_{\text{exp}} \Delta \nu}}$$

Tegmark & Zaldarriaga 2008



- •Better frequency resolution than angular resolution
- Bandwidth limited by cosmic evolution
- •FT data cube to get 3D power spectrum

Experimental sensitivity



Jan 2009 Comparison with galaxy surveys



Galaxies good on large scales

21 cm could extend to small scales

Array	N_a	$A_{\rm tot}$	D_{\min}	D_{\max}	B	$T_{ m int}$	z
		(10^3m^2)	(m)	(km)	(MHz)	(hr)	
MWA	500	7.0	4	1.5	8	4000	7.8-8.2
SKA	5000	600	10	5	8	4000	7.8-10.3
FFTT	10 ⁶	10^{3}	1	1	8	4000	7.8-10.3

Pritchard & Pierpaoli 2008

Nonlinear growth



Cosmological parameters

20/40

GGI

Jan 2009



$$P_{T_b}(k,\mu) = (1+\mu^2)^2 \bar{T}_b^2 P_{\delta}(k)$$

Massive neutrinos

Massive neutrinos reduce density fluc. on small scales

- Matter-radiation equality delayed (relative to Mnu=0)
- Free-streaming neutrinos don't clump

Precision measurements of P(k) at k>0.01 h Mpc⁻¹ -> Mnu



Lesgourgues & Pastor 2006

Pritchard & Pierpaoli 2008



	$M_{ u}$
Fiducial	0.3
Planck	0.38
+SDSS	0.217
+G3	0.046
+MWA	0.13
+SKA	0.075
+FFTT	0.0075

 $M_{\nu}^{\rm min}(\rm NH) = 0.05\,\rm eV$

 $M_{\nu}^{\min}(\mathrm{IH}) = 0.1 \,\mathrm{eV}$

Neutrino mass

GGI Jan 2009

Total mass constraints





Mass hierarchy



GGI

Jan 2009

- Small signature from mass hierarchy
 & individual nu masses
- Requires v. high precision to detect



adapted from Lesgourgues, Pastor & Perotto (2004)

Individual masses

GGI

Jan 2009



	m_1	m_2	m_3	$m_1 + m_2$	m_3	$M_{ u}$
 Fiducial	0.081	0.09	0.13	0.17	0.13	0.3
Planck	0.922	0.950	0.552	0.633	0.550	0.44
+G3	0.654	0.78	0.295	0.296	0.265	0.046
+SKA	0.645	0.631	0.405	0.41	0.405	0.077
+FFTT	0.037	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.029	0.0076

Inflation - lever arm

- CMB probes k~0.002-0.2 h Mpc⁻¹
- Adding in galaxies, Lya forest, 21 cm extends lever arm
- With long lever arm assumption of slow roll tightens constraints over spectral parametrization





Adshead & Easther 2008

Tilt and running



Mao+ 2008 Barger, Gao, Mao & Marfatia 2008

Start to get down to (n-1)² level=constrain 3rd slow roll param

Potential reconstruction

28/40

• Tight constraints on running aid slow roll potential reconstruction

$$egin{array}{rcl} rac{d\epsilon}{dN}&=&2\epsilon(\lambda_1-\epsilon)\,,\ rac{d\lambda_n}{dN}&=&\left[(n-1)\lambda_1-n\epsilon
ight]\lambda_n+\lambda_{n+1} \end{array}$$





Reionization

• Ionized bubbles modify P21 on large scales

GGI

Jan 2009



Furlanetto, Zaldarriaga, Hernquist 2004

Can reasonably model ionization contribution

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{xx}(k) &= b_{xx}^2 \left[1+lpha_{xx}(k\,R_{xx})+(k\,R_{xx})^2
ight]^{-rac{1xx}{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\delta\delta} \ \mathcal{P}_{x\delta}(k) &= b_{x\delta}^2 \exp\left[-lpha_{x\delta}(k\,R_{x\delta})-(k\,R_{x\delta})^2
ight]\mathcal{P}_{\delta\delta}\,, \end{aligned}$$

non-Gaussianity

31/40

- Ionization fluctuations are not small $\delta X_{H}{\sim}\,1$

GGI

Jan 2009

- Higher order (in X) terms modify P21 on small scales
- non-G from bubbles poorly understood may be useful



You can't always get what you want...



• Ideally would run to higher z where ionization small, but gas already heated and coupled

• Can minimise, but not evade astrophysics. Overlap between ionization/temp/Lya fluctuations

> Pritchard & Loeb 2008



•In linear theory, peculiar velocities correlate with overdensities $\delta_{d_r v_r}(k) = -\mu^2 \delta$ Bharadwaj & Ali 2004
•Anisotropy of velocity gradient term allows angular separation

$$P_{T_b}(\mathbf{k}) = \mu^4 P_{\mu^4} + \mu^2 P_{\mu^2} + P_{\mu^0}$$

GGI

Jan 2009

Barkana & Loeb 2005

• μ^4 term just depends on density

Angular separation



- Works best when isotropic component small - tends to be when density already significant
- Some regions where might be useful



& Loeb 2008

GGI

Jan 2009

... you get what you need?

		Vanilla Alone								
		$\Delta \Omega_{\Lambda}$	$\Delta \ln(\Omega_m h^2)$	$\Delta \ln(\Omega_h h^2)$	$\Delta n_{\rm S}$	$\Delta \ln A_{\rm S}$	$\Delta \tau$	$\Delta \Omega_k$	$\Delta m_{\nu} [eV]$	$\Delta \alpha$
Planck	0140	0.0070	0.0081	0.0059	0.0033	0.0088	0.0043	0.025	0.23	0.0026
+LOFAR	All OPT	0.0044	0.0052	0.0051	0.0018	0.0087	0.0042	0.0022	0.023	0.00073
	All MID	0.0070	0.0081	0.0059	0.0032	0.0088	0.0043	0.018	0.22	0.0026
	All PESS	0.0070	0.0081	0.0059	0.0033	0.0088	0.0043	0.025	0.23	0.0026
+MWA	All OPT	0.0063	0.0074	0.0055	0.0024	0.0087	0.0043	0.0056	0.017	0.00054
	All MID	0.0061	0.0070	0.0056	0.0030	0.0087	0.0043	0.021	0.19	0.0026
	All PESS	0.0070	0.0081	0.0059	0.0033	0.0088	0.0043	0.025	0.23	0.0026
	All OPT	0.00052	0.0018	0.0040	0.00039	0.0087	0.0042	0.0011	0.010	0.00027
+SKA	All MID	0.0036	0.0040	0.0044	0.0025	0.0087	0.0043	0.0039	0.056	0.0022
57.05	All PESS	0.0070	0.0081	0.0059	0.0033	0.0088	0.0043	0.025	0.23	0.0026
+FFTT ^b	All OPT	0.00010	0.0010	0.0029	0.000088	0.0086	0.0042	0.00020	0.0018	0.000054
	All MID	0.00038	0.00034	0.00059	0.00033	0.0086	0.0042	0.00023	0.0066	0.00017
	All PESS	0.0070	0.0081	0.0059	0.0033	0.0088	0.0043	0.025	0.14	0.0025

Mao+ 2008

- OPT density only, MID model ion, PESS velocity only
- MID constraints still interesting
- FFTT MID constraints very interesting
- So key is ability to model ionization well
- (velocities and non-Gaussianity may help constrain models)

GGI Jan 2009

Residual HI left in dense clumps (e.g. DLA)

- 1) Use radio telescope to do galaxy survey
 - fully spectroscopic
 - SKA could find 10^9 galaxies out to z=1.5
 - measure distances to from BAO

- Abdulla & Rawlings 2004
- 2) Instead of resolving galaxies just integrate 21 cm intensity to get a map of the density - at z=1.5 BAO 3rd peak at 20' Chang et al. 2007 resolvable by ~200 m telescope - smoothing over smaller scale information
 - comparable to DETF stage IV mission

21 cm intensity fluctuations found in cross-correlation between HIPASS and 6dF Pen, Wu, Peterson, Chang 2008

Wyithe & Loeb 2007

BAO at high z?



Dark Ages

- Avoid astrophysics by going to highest redshifts
- Pristine power spectrum
- Primordial bispectrum
- Heating of exotic decays after CMB and before star formation
- Much weaker signal than during reionization ->requires large collecting area (many km²)
 - going to small scales for running requires high angular resolution ->need long baselines + better antennae

When do dark ages end?

- when Lya flux builds up



• Onset of Lya fluctuations log parameter dependent

- Hard to make robust predictions
- •Somewhere in range z~22-28
- Earth ionosphere important at nu<50 MHz (z>26) so hard to get at dark ages from earth



Pritchard & Loeb 2008

GGI

Jan 2009

Why go to the moon?

- Earth Ionosphere reflective below 10 MHz in 10-50 MHz region scattering smears objects
 limited angular resolution
- Radio interference (RFI)
- LARC Lunar Array for Radio Cosmology (PI Hewitt)
- DALI Dark Ages Lunar Interferometer (PI Lazio)
- Mass requirements challenging
 - many km of wire = tonnes of mass
 - energy requirement of correlator
 - data transmission back to Earth
- Active area of NASA interest
 for the moment...
- Plan is for mission ~2020



Conclusions

- Possibility of making very sensitive measurements of P(k) over wide range of scales and redshifts
 - neutrino mass at 0.008 eV level
 - running at 0.0003 level
 - Can you do anything qualitatively new with this precision?
- Largest signal during reionization. Need to...
 - find windows where astrophysics least important
 - use velocities and non-Gaussianity to understand
 - model astro to get cosmology
- Intensity mapping good for BAO in 1<z<6 window
- Dark Ages requires going to moon... ...and 10s km² collecting area ...and funding... >2020
- Large uncertainties in modeling due to ignorance of high redshift sources